

Optimal Control Finite Element Algorithm for Penalty Variational Formulation of the Navier-Stokes Equation*

Ma Yichen (马逸尘)

(Xi'an Jiao-tong University)

For the Stationary Navier-Stokes equations

$$\begin{cases} -\nu \Delta \vec{u} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} + \nabla p = \vec{f} & \text{in } \Omega \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{div} \vec{u} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \vec{u}|_{\Gamma_1} = \vec{u}_0 \quad (\nu \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial n} - p \cdot \vec{n})|_{\Gamma_1} = \vec{g}_0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

we can write the penalty-variational formulation of the Eq. (1): Find $\vec{u} \in X_*(\vec{u}_0)$ such that $\forall \vec{v} \in X_*(\vec{v})$

$$a_0(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) + a_1(\vec{u}; \vec{u}, \vec{v}) + \varepsilon^{-1} \cdot G(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) = \langle \vec{f}, \vec{v} \rangle + \langle \vec{g}_0, \vec{v} \rangle|_{\Gamma_1}. \quad (2)$$

where, besides the common notations in other literatures, the following notations are also introduced. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a parameter tending to zero and, for each ε , let

$$H_\varepsilon^1(\Omega) = \{\vec{u}; \vec{u} \in [H^1(\Omega)]^3, \|\vec{u}\|_{1,\varepsilon,\Omega}^2 = \|\vec{u}\|_{1,\Omega}^2 + \varepsilon^{-1} \cdot \|\operatorname{div} \vec{u}\|_{0,\Omega}^2\}$$

and $X_*(\vec{u}_0) = \{\vec{u}; \vec{u} \in H_\varepsilon^1, \vec{u}|_{\Gamma_1} = \vec{u}_0\}$. Moreover, the continuous linear functionals are defined: $\forall \vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{w} \in H_\varepsilon^1(\Omega)$, $a_0(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) = \nu \int_\Omega \nabla \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{v} dx$, $G(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) = \int_\Omega \operatorname{div} \vec{u} \cdot \operatorname{div} \vec{v} dx$, and

$$a_1(\vec{w}; \vec{u}; \vec{v}) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_\Omega w_j \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x_j} \vec{v} dx.$$

An optimal control problem corresponding to the Eq. (1) is: Find $\vec{u} \in X_*(\vec{u}_0)$ such that $\min_{\vec{v} \in X_*(\vec{u}_0)} J(\vec{v}) = J(\vec{u})$ (3)

$$\text{with } J(\vec{v}) = \frac{1}{2} a_0(\vec{v} - \vec{\xi}, \vec{v} - \vec{\xi}) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} G(\vec{v} - \vec{\xi}, \vec{v} - \vec{\xi}), \quad (4)$$

where in Eq.(4) $\vec{\xi} \in X_*(\vec{u}_0)$ is a function of \vec{v} according to the following variational problem: i.e.

$$\forall \vec{\eta} \in X_*(\vec{v}) \quad a_0(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) + \varepsilon^{-1} \cdot G(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) = \langle \vec{F}, \vec{\eta} \rangle - a_1(\vec{v}; \vec{v}, \vec{\eta}). \quad (5)$$

where $\langle \vec{F}, \vec{\eta} \rangle = \langle \vec{f}, \vec{\eta} \rangle + \langle \vec{g}_0, \vec{\eta} \rangle|_{\Gamma_1}$.

* Received May. 28, 1981.

Proposition 1 Suppose that $t \in \mathbb{R}^1, \forall \mathbf{v} \in X_s(\Omega_0), \mathbf{w} \in X_s(\partial), \xi, \xi_1$ is the solution of Eq.(5), corresponding to \mathbf{v} and $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v} + t\mathbf{w}$ respectively, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} a_1(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{w}, \xi_1) = a_1(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{w}, \xi) \quad (6)$$

Proposition 2 The functional $J(\mathbf{v})$ defined by (4) and (5) has a differential operator $J'(\mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{L}(X_s(\partial), \mathbb{R})$ and $\forall \mathbf{w} \in X_s(\partial), \langle J'(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{w} \rangle = a_1(\mathbf{w}; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} - \xi) + a_1(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} - \xi)$

$$+ a_0(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} - \xi) + \varepsilon^{-1} \cdot G(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} - \xi), \quad (7)$$

where ξ is the function of \mathbf{v} according to Eq.(5).

Proposition 3 If $\mathbf{v}_0, \xi_0 \in X_s(\Omega_0) \cap [H^2(\Omega)]^3$ is a stationary point of $J(\mathbf{v})$, then one has

$$\begin{cases} (\mathbf{v}_0 - \xi_0) \nabla \mathbf{v}_0 - \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} [(\mathbf{v}_0 - \xi_0) \mathbf{v}_{0j}] - \nu \Delta \mathbf{v}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^3 v_{0j} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_0}{\partial x_j} - \varepsilon^{-1} \nabla \cdot \text{div} \mathbf{v}_0 = \mathbf{f} \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \{(\mathbf{v}_0 - \xi_0) \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n} + (\nu \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_0}{\partial n} + \varepsilon^{-1} \cdot \text{div} \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n})\} |_{\Gamma_1} = \xi_0, \quad \mathbf{v}_0 |_{\Gamma_1} = \mathbf{v}_0. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Proposition 4 A solution \mathbf{v}_0 of the variational problem (2) and ξ defined according to Eq.(5) is certainly the solution of the optimal control problem (3)~(5).

Proposition 5 Suppose that, for $\varepsilon > 0$, the problem (2) has at least a solution \mathbf{v}_ε , then, for a suitable constant $K_1 > 0$ and the optimal control problem (3)~(5), there exists a subsequence $\{\mathbf{v}_n\}$ of the any minimizing sequence of $J(\mathbf{v})$ on the convex set $\tilde{X}_\varepsilon(\Omega_0) = \{\mathbf{v} \in X_s(\Omega_0), \|\mathbf{v}\|_{1,\Omega} \leq K_1, \mathbf{x} \in \Omega\}$ such that $\forall \mathbf{w} \in X_s(\partial)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{a_0(\mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{w}) + a_1(\mathbf{v}_n; \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{w}) + \varepsilon^{-1} G(\mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{w})\} = \langle \bar{\mathbf{F}}, \mathbf{w} \rangle. \quad (9)$$

Proposition 6 For a suitable $K_2 > 0$ and on $\tilde{Y}_\varepsilon(\Omega_0) = \{\mathbf{v} \in X_s(\Omega_0) \cap [H^2(\Omega)]^3, \|\mathbf{v}\|_{1,\Omega} \leq K_2, \mathbf{x} \in \Omega\}$, the optimal control problem (3)~(5) has at least a solution \mathbf{v}_0 , i.e. $J(\mathbf{v}_0) = \min_{\mathbf{v} \in \tilde{Y}_\varepsilon(\Omega_0)} J(\mathbf{v})$. (10)

Remark Here H_s^2 is a fractional Sobolev space for $S \in \mathbb{R}^+$ normed by $\|u\|_{s,\Omega}^2 = \|u\|_{s,\Omega}^2 + \varepsilon^{-1} \|\text{div } u\|_{s,\Omega}^2$.

Proposition 7 Suppose that the hypothesis of the Proposition 5 holds, then for a suitable $K_2 > 0$, where $K_2 = \|\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon\|_{s,\Omega}$, the solution \mathbf{v}_0 of the optimal control problem (3)~(5) on the convex set $\tilde{Y}_\varepsilon(\Omega_0)$ is also the solution of the penalty-variational problem(2).

An algorithm to solve the problem (3)~(5) is given according to the conjugate gradient method recommended by R.Glowinski, i.e.:

Step 0: we can take for $\mathbf{v}^0 \in X_s(\Omega_0)$ the solution of the corresponding penalty-variational problem of the Stokes Eq.:

$$\forall \mathbf{w} \in X_s(\partial), a_0(\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}) + \varepsilon^{-1} \cdot G(\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}) = \langle \bar{\mathbf{F}}, \mathbf{w} \rangle, \quad (11)$$

then compute $\bar{\varphi}_0 \in X_*(\partial)$ as the solution of the linear variational Eq.: $\forall \bar{\eta} \in X_*(\partial)$,

$$a_0(\bar{g}^0, \bar{\eta}) + \varepsilon^{-1} \cdot G(\bar{\varphi}_0, \bar{\eta}) = \langle J'(\bar{\varphi}^0); \bar{\eta} \rangle \quad (12)$$

and set $\bar{\xi}^0 = \bar{g}^0$. (13)

For $n \geq 0$, assuming $\bar{\varphi}^n, \bar{g}^n, \bar{\xi}^n$ known, compute $\bar{\varphi}^{n+1}, \bar{g}^{n+1}, \bar{\xi}^{n+1}$ by

Step 1: $\lambda^n = \arg \min_{\lambda \in R} J(\bar{\varphi}^n - \lambda \bar{\xi}^n), \bar{\varphi}^{n+1} = \bar{\varphi}^n - \lambda^n \bar{\xi}^n$. (14)

Step 2: Construction of the new descent direction. Define $\bar{g}^{n+1} \in X_*(\partial)$ as the solution of the linear Eq.:

$$\forall \bar{\eta} \in X_*(\partial), a_0(\bar{g}^{n+1}, \bar{\eta}) + \varepsilon^{-1} \cdot G(\bar{g}^{n+1}, \bar{\eta}) = \langle J'(\bar{\varphi}^{n+1}), \bar{\eta} \rangle, \quad (15)$$

$$\gamma^{n+1} = \frac{\int_{\Omega} \nabla \bar{g}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla (\bar{g}^{n+1} - \bar{g}^n) dx}{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{g}^n|^2 dx},$$

$$\bar{\xi}^{n+1} = \bar{g}^{n+1} + \gamma^{n+1} \bar{\xi}^n,$$

replace n to $n+1$, go to (14) until the accuracy is achieved.

Remark One of the characters for this method is to reduce a nonlinear variational problem (2) to finding linear variational problems (11), (12), (15) and a minimizing problem of the single variable (14). The fact that $J'(\bar{\varphi})$ can be expressed in terms of integrals on Ω (see(7)) is of fundamental importance in view of finite element approximations. After completing the discretization of the problems (11), (12), (15) by finite element method, we obtain the stiffness matrices K, K_* for $a_0(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\varphi}), G(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\varphi})/\varepsilon$ which are invariant in the iterative process.

References

- [1] Bristean, M.O., Glowiski, R., Periaux, J., Perrler, P., Youneau. O.P., Poirier, G., "Transonic flow simulations by finite elements and least square methods" (Proceedings of the thrd International Conference on F.E.M. in flow problems, 10—13, June, 1990)
- [2] Giroult, V., Raviat, P—A., "Finite element approximation of the Navier—Stokes equation," Springer—Verlag, 1979.