

+ $\overline{B_2}$ from (3.3) where $\overline{B_1} = S_r$, $\overline{B_2} = S_{k-r}$. By $\text{tr}(AB)^m = \text{tr}(A^m B^m)$, we have $\text{tr}(D \overline{B})^m = \text{tr}(D^m \overline{B^m})$. So,

$$\text{tr}(D \overline{B_1})^m = \text{tr}(D^m \overline{B_1^m})$$

and

$$\text{tr}(D \overline{B_2})^m = \text{tr}(D^m \overline{B_2^m}).$$

Here

$$D = D_1 + D_2,$$

corresponding with the block of \overline{B} . By the hypothesis,

$$D \overline{B_1} = \overline{B_1} D, D \overline{B_2} = \overline{B_2} D.$$

We have $D \overline{B} = \overline{B} D$, that is $AB = BA$.

References

- [1] R. Bellman, *Introduction to Matrix Analysis*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1970
- [2] Man Kam Kwong, *Some results on matrix monotone functions*, Linear Alg Appl, **118**(1989), 129-153
- [3] R. A. Horn & C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1985, 464--471.
- [4] Xu Changqin, *Bellman's Inequality*, Linear Alg Appl, 229(1995), 9-4

Belman 不等式(II)

徐 常 青

(中国科技大学数学系, 合肥 230026)

摘 要

本文考察不等式:

$$\text{tr}(AB)^m \leq \text{tr}(A^m B^m), \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

其中A,B 为K 阶方阵. 证明了当A 正定B 对称幂等条件下上述不等式成立. 还考察了A,B 为非负矩阵时的情形.

Belman's Inequality (II)

Xu Changqing

(Dept. of Math., Univ. of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026)

Abstract This article concerns a conjecture:

$$\operatorname{tr}(AB)^m \leq \operatorname{tr}(A^m B^m)$$

which was put forward by Belman [1]. We prove it under the additional condition that $A \succeq S_k$ and $B \succeq I_k$. We also investigate the case when A, B are nonnegative.

Keywords definite positive matrices, idempotent matrices, trace

Classification AMS (1991) 15A15, 15A42/CCL O15L21

1. Introduction

In [4], it is shown that if $A, B \succeq S_k$, we have

$$(\text{for any } m \in N): \operatorname{tr}(AB)^m \leq \operatorname{tr}(A^m B^m) \quad (1.1)$$

for the case of $k=2$ and $k=3$ for all $m=1, 2, 3, \dots$. We will continue to use the notations described in [4]. That is, $S_k(\tilde{S}_k)$ denotes the set of all real symmetric definite positive matrices of order k ; k is any member in N ; I_k denotes the set of all $k \times k$ symmetric idempotent matrices; $\operatorname{tr}(X)$ denotes the trace of matrix X , and A^T for the transpose of matrix A . K for $1, 2, \dots, k$ for $k \in N$, where N is the set of all the integers. $A > B$ ($A \geq B$) means $A - B \succeq S_k$, A_r and $A^{(1/r)}$ denotes the r th leading principal submatrix of A and $A^{(1/n)}$, respectively.

Our main result is

Theorem 1 Let $A \succeq S_k$, $B \succeq I_k$, then (1.1) holds for all $m=1, 2, 3, \dots$.

We will use the following theorem 1' (theorem 1 in [4]) to prove some results

Theorem 1' Suppose $A, B \succeq S_k$, set $A = UDU^T$, $D = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ and $\tilde{B} = U^T B U = (b_{ij})$.

Here σ , $\Phi_{\sigma, m}(\lambda)$ and b_σ are defined by

$$\Phi_{\sigma, m}(\lambda) = \sum_{\sigma} (\lambda_{i_1}^m + \lambda_{i_2}^m + \dots + \lambda_{i_m}^m) / m - \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \dots \lambda_{i_m},$$

$$b_\sigma = b_{i_1 i_2 i_3 \dots i_m i_r}$$

Received May 5, 1994

2 Main result

The following result is an important proposition of definite positive matrices

Lemma 1 Let $A \in S^{k \times k}$, then $A_r^{1/n} \geq A_r^{(1/n)} > 0$ for $r \in \mathbb{K}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof For any real function $f(\lambda)$, given a real symmetric matrix A , we define

$$f(A) = U^T f(D)U = U^T \text{diag}(f(\lambda_1), \dots, f(\lambda_k))U,$$

where $A = U^T D U$, U is a real orthogonal matrix, $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$, $(\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, i \in \mathbb{K})$.

The case $k=1$ or $n=1$ is trivial. Now we consider $k>1$ and $n>1$. For any given $r \in \mathbb{K}$,

if $r=k$, the result is immediate; If $1 \leq r < k$, we block A and $A^{1/n}$ as follows ($A^{1/n}$ is defined as above when we set $f(\lambda) = \lambda^{1/n}$):

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_r & A_{12}^T \\ A_{12} & A_r^{(c)} \end{bmatrix}, A^{1/n} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{(1/n)} & A_{12}^{(1/n)} \\ [A_{12}^{(r)}]^T & \end{bmatrix},$$

$A_r, A_r^{(1/n)} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$, others are corresponding block matrices. We know that $f(\lambda)$ is a monotone increasing function on S_k in terms of [2], that is,

$$(\text{for any } A, B \in S_k): A \geq B \implies f(A) \geq f(B),$$

note that $A_r \in S_k$. By [2], we have:

$$f(A_r) \geq A_r^{(1/n)} > 0, \text{ says, } A^{1/n} \geq A_r^{(1/n)} > 0 \text{ for } r \in \mathbb{K}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 2 Suppose $A, B \in S^{k \times k}$ and $A \geq B$, then

$$\text{tr}(A^n) \geq \text{tr}(B^n) \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Proof By the positivity of A and B , we can set

$$\sigma(A) = \{\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k\} \quad (\lambda_k > 0),$$

$$\sigma(B) = \{\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_k\} \quad (\mu_k > 0).$$

Corollary 7.7.4 in [3] tells us: $\lambda_i \geq \mu_i > 0, i \in \mathbb{K}$. Therefore

$$\lambda_i^n \geq \mu_i^n > 0, i \in \mathbb{K} \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$\text{So, } \text{tr}(A^n) = \sum_{i=1}^K \lambda_i^n \geq \sum_{i=1}^K \mu_i^n = \text{tr}(B^n).$$

Lemma 3 Let $A \in S_K (K \in \mathbb{N})$, then $\text{tr}(A_r^m) \leq \text{tr}(A_r^{(m)})$ for all m and all $r \in \mathbb{K}$. Here A_r and $A_r^{(m)}$ are the r th principal main submatrices of A and A^m , respectively.

Proof Note that for the case $r = k$ or $m = 1$, it is trivial. Now let $m > 1$ and $1 \leq r < k$, and set $F = A^m$ corresponding to (1.1), we have

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} F_r & F_{12} \\ F_{21} & F_r^c \end{bmatrix}$$

and $F_r = A_r^{(m)}$, also $A = F^{1/m}$ (defined as in Lemma 1), then we may write $A_r = F_r^{(1/m)}$, $F_r^{(1/m)}$ is the r th principal main submatrix of $F^{1/m}$. If the result is not true, that is, $\text{tr}(A_r^{(m)}) < \text{tr}(A_r^m)$, then

$$\text{tr}(F_r) = \text{tr}(A_r^{(m)}) < \text{tr}(A_r^m) = \text{tr}[(F_r^{(1/m)})^m] \quad (2.2)$$

By Lemma 1, $0 < F_r^{(1/m)} \leq F_r^{1/m}$, while we have

$$0 < \text{tr}\{[F_r^{(1/m)}]^m\} \leq \text{tr}\{(F_r^{1/m})^m\} = \text{tr}(F_r). \quad (2.3)$$

From Lemma 2, a contradiction.

Now we complete this part by theorem 1:

Theorem 1 Let $A \in S_k$, $B \in I_k$, then (1.1) holds for all $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Theorem 1 is immediate from Lemma 3

3 About N_k

Now we deal with the inequality (1.1) for nonnegative matrices. We have

Lemma 4 Given $A, B \in R^{k \times k}$, $A \gg B$ is defined as $A - B \in N_k$ (N_k stands for the set of all non-negative matrices of order K). Then $A, B, A - B \in N_k$ for any $n \in N$ implies $A^n - B^n \in N_k$.

Proof For $n = 1$, it is trivial. Now suppose it is valid for $n - 1$, then from the formula

$$A^n - B^n = A(A^{n-1} - B^{n-1}) + (A - B)B^{n-1}$$

and the hypothesis we know that $A^{n-1} - B^{n-1} \in N_k$.

Next we use Lemma 4 to get Theorem 2

Theorem 2 Let $A, B, A - B \in N_k$, then

$$(\text{for any } m \in N): \text{tr}(AB)^m \leq \text{tr}(A^m B^m). \quad (3.1)$$

Proof We use induction on m to verify the inequality $(AB)^m \leq A^m B^m$. It is trivial for $m = 1$. Now suppose it holds for $m - 1$, then for m , we have:

$$A^m B^m = A(A^{m-1} B^{m-1})B \gg A(AB)^{m-1}B. \quad (3.2)$$

From the hypothesis, $AB \gg BA \gg 0$, and by Lemma 4, we get $(AB)^{m-1} \gg (BA)^{m-1}$, so (3.2) is followed by

$$A^m B^m \gg A(AB)^{m-1}B \gg A(BA)^{m-1}B = (AB)^m,$$

that is,

$$A^m B^m \gg (AB)^m.$$

By the definition " \gg ", (3.1) is immediate.

Next we present some results related to [4].

Theorem 3 Let $A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$, $\bar{B} = (b_{ij})_{n \times k}$, then (1.1) holds. Moreover, the equality in (1.1) holds iff

$$(\text{for any pair } (i, j): 1 \leq i, j \leq k): \bar{b}_{ij} = 0 \quad \lambda_i = \lambda_j. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof Since \bar{B} is nonnegative, we have $\bar{b}_{\sigma} \geq 0$ for any σ (see [4]). By arithmetic-geometric means we get: (for any σ): $\Phi_{\sigma,m}(\lambda) \geq 0$. So,

$$\sum_{\sigma} \Phi_{\sigma,m}(\lambda) \bar{b}_{\sigma} \geq 0$$

(2.1) is immediate from Theorem 1 in [4].

Now we consider the case $\sum_{\sigma} \Phi_{\sigma,m}(\lambda) \bar{b}_{\sigma} = 0$. In this case $\Phi_{\sigma,m}(\lambda) \bar{b}_{\sigma} = 0$ for all $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m)$. Suppose there exists a pair (i, j) in $K \times K$ such that $\bar{b}_{ij} > 0$, then we set $\sigma = (i, j, j, \dots, j)$ whose first coordinate is i and the others are all j . We get $\Phi_{\sigma,m}(\lambda) \bar{b}_{\sigma} = 0$. But $\bar{b}_{\sigma} = \bar{b}_{ij} \bar{b}_{jj}^{m-1} > 0$. So, $\Phi_{\sigma,m}(\lambda) = 0$, whereas

$$\Phi_{\sigma,m}(\lambda) = 1/m [\lambda_i^m + (m-1)\lambda_j^m] - \lambda_i \lambda_j^{m-1}, \quad \lambda_i, \lambda_j > 0,$$

from arithmetic-geometric mean inequality we get $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$.

Conversely, if we have:

$$(\text{for any pair } (i, j): 1 \leq i, j \leq k): \bar{b}_{ij} = 0 \quad \lambda_i = \lambda_j.$$

Then $\bar{b}_{\sigma} = 0$, $\Phi_{\sigma,m}(\lambda) = 0$ for any possible σ . So, we have $\Phi_{\sigma,m}(\lambda) \bar{b}_{\sigma} = 0$ for any σ . That is $\sum_{\sigma} \Phi_{\sigma,m}(\lambda) \bar{b}_{\sigma} = 0$. From the proof of the above theorem, we get the equality of (1.1).

It is easy to see that (2.1) holds when $A, B \in S_k$ and $\bar{B} \in N_k$.

Theorem 4 Suppose that $A, B \in S_k$, \bar{B} described as the above is nonnegative. Then the equality of (2.1) holds iff $AB = BA$.

Proof The sufficiency is obvious. Now we come to prove its necessity: As \bar{B} is non-negative, we know the equality of (1.1) holds iff: (for any pair $(i, j): 1 \leq i, j \leq k$): $\bar{b}_{ij} = 0$, $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ (by Theorem 3).

Next we prove $AB = BA$:

For $k=1$, it is trivial. Suppose it is true for all the matrices of order less than k . Then for k , if for any pair $(i, j): i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq k$, $\bar{b}_{ij} = 0$, that is, \bar{B} is diagonal, then $AB = BA$ is immediate. If there exists a pair $(i, j): i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq k$ such that $\bar{b}_{ij} > 0$, we have $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$. Without the loss of generality, we set

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_r, \quad 1 < r \leq k.$$

If $r=k$, then $AB = BA$. Now for $r < k$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_r = \lambda_{r+1} (= \lambda_1, 2, \dots, k-r)$, we get $\bar{B} = \bar{B}_1$