

## Global Stability in a Differential Equation with Piecewisely Constant Arguments \*

LIU Yu-ji

(Yueyang Teachers' College, Hunan 414000, China)

**Abstract:** In this paper we consider the differential equation with piecewisely constant arguments

$$N'(t) = r(t)(-\mu N(t) + \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i N(t-i)}), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $[\cdot]$  denotes the greatest integer function,  $r(t) \in C([0, +\infty), (0, +\infty))$ ,  $P_i \in [0, +\infty)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ), with  $P_m > 0$ , we establish some new sufficient conditions for an arbitrary solution  $N(t)$  to satisfy the initial conditions of the form

$$N(0) = N_0 > 0 \text{ and } N(-j) = N_{-j} \geq 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

to converge to the positive equilibrium  $N^*$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Key words:** differential equation; global stability; piecewise constant argument.

**Classification:** AMS(1991) 34K15/CLC O175.7, O175.13

**Document code:** A    **Article ID:** 1000-341X(2001)01-0031-06

### 1. Introduction

It is known that the delay differential equation

$$N'(t) = -\mu N(t) + p e^{-r N(t-\tau)}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

was used to model the survival of red blood cells<sup>[1]</sup>.

Equation (1) has been extensively discussed, see [1-3]. Our aim in this paper is to consider the more general equation with piecewisely constant arguments

$$N'(t) = r(t)(-\mu N(t) + \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i N(t-i)}), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

---

\*Received date: 1998-03-10

Foundation item: Supported by the Science Foundation of Hunan Educational Commities (99C12)

Biography: LIU Yu-ji (1963- ), male, professor.

where  $[P]$  is the greatest integer  $\leq P$ ,  $r(t) \in C([0, +\infty), (0, +\infty))$ ,  $r_j, P_j \geq 0$  ( $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ ),  $P_m > 0$ ,  $r_m > 0$ , and  $\mu > 0$ .

By a solution of (2) we mean a function  $N(t)$  which is defined on the set  $\{-m, -m+1, \dots, 0\} \cup (0, +\infty)$ , and possesses the following properties:

- (i)  $N$  is continuous on  $(0, +\infty)$ ;
- (ii) The derivative  $N'(t)$  exists at each point  $t \in (0, +\infty)$  with the possible exception of the points  $t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  where left-sided derivatives exist;
- (iii) (2) is satisfied on each interval  $(n, n+1)$  with  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

Using a method similar to that in Lemma 2.1 of [3], one can easily show that (2) together with initial conditions of the form

$$N(0) = N_0 > 0 \text{ and } N(-j) = N_{-j} \geq 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad (3)$$

has a unique solution  $N(t)$  which is positive for all  $t \geq 0$ , and (2) has a unique positive equilibrium  $N^*$  which satisfies  $-\mu N^* + \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i N^*} = 0$ .

## 2. Boundedness results

In this section, we consider conditions under which solutions of (2) will be bounded.

**Lemma 1** *Let  $N(t)$  be the solution of (2) and (3), if  $N(t)$  is eventually greater (or less) than  $N^*$ , then the limit  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(t)$  exists and is positive. Furthermore if*

$$\int_0^{\infty} r(s) ds = \infty, \quad (4)$$

then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(t) = N^*$ .

The proof is simple and that is omitted.

**Lemma 2** *Assume that  $N(t)$  is a solution of (2) and (3), and it is oscillatory about  $N^*$ , if for some  $M > 0$ , we have*

$$\limsup \int_{t-m}^t r(s) ds = M. \quad (5)$$

Then  $N(t)$  is bounded above and is bounded below away from 0.

**Proof** For any  $M' > M$ , there exists  $T > m$  such that

$$\int_{t-m}^t r(s) ds < M', \quad t > T,$$

We prove first that  $N(t)$  is bounded above. Suppose that  $\limsup N(t) = \infty$ , since  $N(t)$  is both unbounded and oscillatory, there exists a  $t^* \geq m + T$ , such that

$$N(t^*) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} N(t) > N^*.$$

Let  $D^-x(t)$  denote the left-side derivative of  $x(t)$ . If  $t^* \notin \{0, 1, \dots\}$  then

$$D^-N(t^*) = r(t^*)(-\mu N(t^*) + \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i N(t^*)}) \geq 0,$$

we have again  $\sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i N^*} = \mu N^*$ . Thus  $N([t^* - i]) < N^*$ . Then there exists  $\xi \in [[t^* - m], t^*]$  such that  $N(\xi) = N^*$  and  $N(t) > N^*$  for  $t \in (\xi, t^*]$ . Integrating (2) from  $\xi$  to  $t^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\xi}^{t^*} [N'(t)e^{\int_0^t \mu r(s) ds} + \mu r(t)N(t)e^{\int_0^t \mu r(s) ds}] dt &\leq \left(\sum_{i=0}^m P_i\right) \int_{\xi}^{t^*} r(t)e^{\int_0^t \mu r(s) ds} dt, \\ N(t^*) &\leq N^* e^{\int_{t^*}^{\xi} \mu r(s) ds} + \left(\sum_{i=0}^m P_i\right) \int_{\xi}^{t^*} r(t)e^{\int_{t^*}^t \mu r(s) ds} dt \leq N^* + \left(\sum_{i=0}^m P_i\right) \int_{[t^*-m]}^{t^*} \mu r(t) dt \\ &\leq N^* + \left(\sum_{i=0}^m P_i\right) \int_{[t^*-m]}^{[t^*]+1} \mu r(t) dt \leq N^* + \left(\sum_{i=0}^m P_i\right) M' \mu. \end{aligned}$$

If  $t^* \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , then

$$0 \leq D^- N(t^*) = r(t^*)(-\mu N(t^*) + \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i N(t^* - i - 1)}),$$

Thus there exists  $\xi \in [t^* - m - 1, t^*]$  such that  $N(\xi) = N^*$  and  $N(t) > N^*$  for  $t \in (\xi, t^*]$ . Similary, we have  $N(t^*) \leq N^* + (\sum_{i=0}^m P_i) M' \mu$ .

Consequently  $\limsup N(t) \leq N^* + (\sum_{i=0}^m P_i) M \mu$ . This contradiction shows that  $N(t)$  is bounded above, and

$$N(t) \leq N^* + \sum_{i=0}^m P_i M \mu. \quad (6)$$

Substituting (6) into (2), we have

$$N'(t) \geq r(t)(-\mu(N^* + \sum_{i=0}^m P_i M \mu) + \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i(N^* + \sum_{i=0}^m P_i M \mu)}), \quad t \geq 2m.$$

Next we will show that  $N(t)$  is bounded below away from 0. Suppose that  $\liminf N(t) = 0$ , since  $N(t)$  is oscillation about  $N^*$ , there exists  $t_* > 3m$  such that  $N(t_*) = \min_{0 \leq t \leq t_*} N(t) < N^*$  clearly  $D^- N(t_*) \leq 0$ . Further more if  $t_* \notin \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , then  $D^- N(t_*) = r(t_*)(-\mu N(t_*) + \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i N([t_* - i])}) < 0$ . Thus  $\sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i N([t_* - i])} \leq \mu N(t_*) < \mu N^*$ , and there exists an  $N([t_* - i]) > N^*$  and an  $\eta \in ([t_* - m], t_*)$ , such that  $N(\eta) = N^*$  and  $N(t) < N_*$  for  $t \in (\eta, t_*)$ . Integrating (2) from  $\xi$  to  $t_*$ , we have

$$N(t_*) = N^* e^{\int_{t_*}^{\eta} \mu r(s) ds} + \int_{\eta}^{t_*} r(t) \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i N([t - i])} e^{\int_{t_*}^t \mu r(s) ds} dt \geq N^* e^{-\int_{\eta}^{t_*} \mu r(s) ds} \geq N^* e^{-\mu M'}.$$

If  $t_* \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , similary we have  $N(t_*) \geq N^* e^{-\mu M'}$ . Thus  $\liminf N(t) \geq N^* e^{-\mu M'} > 0$ , this is a contradiction. Hence the proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3. Global stability results

In this section we provide sufficient conditions for the global stability of the pititive equilibrium  $N^*$  of (2). The main result is

**Theorem 1** Assume that  $R = \max\{r_i\}$ ,  $r = \min\{r_i\}$ ,

$$\limsup \int_{t-m}^t \mu r(s) = M, \quad (7)$$

$$(1 - e^{-M}) \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i r_i e^{-r_i N^*} \leq 1, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{R}{r^2} (1 - e^{-M}) \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i r_i^2 e^{-r_i N^*} \leq 1, \quad (9)$$

$$\int_0^\infty r(s) ds = \infty. \quad (10)$$

Then the solution  $N(t)$  of (2) and (3) satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(t) = N^*. \quad (11)$$

**Proof** In view of Lemma 1, it suffices to prove that (11) holds for all solution  $N(t)$  which is oscillation about  $N^*$ . By Lemma 2,  $N(t)$  is bounded above and bounded below away from 0. Set

$$u = \limsup N(t), \quad v = \liminf N(t), \quad (12)$$

then  $0 < v \leq N^* \leq u < \infty$ . It suffices to prove that  $u = v = N^*$ , for and  $\varepsilon \in (0, v)$ , choose an integer  $T = T(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$v_1 = v - \varepsilon < N(t - m) < u + \varepsilon = u_1 \text{ for } t > T. \quad (13)$$

Using (2) we have

$$N'(t) \leq r(t)(-\mu N(t) + \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i v_1}), \quad t \geq T, \quad (14)$$

$$N'(t) \geq r(t)(-\mu N(t) + \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i u_1}), \quad t \geq T. \quad (15)$$

Let  $\{T_n\}$  be an increasing sequence such that  $T_n > T + 2m$ ,  $D^- N(T_n) \geq 0$ ,  $N(T_n) > N^*$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(T_n) = u$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n = \infty$ . If  $T_n \notin [0, 1, 2, \dots]$ , by (2) we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i N([T_n - i])} \geq \mu N(T_n).$$

Thus there exists  $\xi_n \in ([T_n - m], T_n)$  such that  $N(\xi_n) = N$  and  $N(t) > N^*$  for  $t \in (\xi_n, T_n]$ . If  $T_n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Then by (2) we know that there exists  $\xi_n \in [T_n - m - 1, T_n)$  such that  $N(\xi_n) = N^*$  and  $N(t) > N^*$  for  $t \in (\xi_n, T_n)$ , thus by (7), we have  $\mu \int_{\xi_n}^{T_n} r(s) ds < M'$ .

By integrating (12) from  $\xi_n$  to  $T_n$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} N(T_n) &\leq N(\xi_n)e^{\int_{T_n}^{\xi_n} \mu r(s) ds} + \int_{\xi_n}^{T_n} r(s) \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i v_1} e^{\int_{T_n}^s \mu r(u) du} ds \\ &\leq N^* e^{\int_{T_n}^{\xi_n} \mu r(s) ds} + \int_{\xi_n}^{T_n} r(s) \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i v_1} e^{\int_{T_n}^s \mu r(u) du} ds \\ &= (N^* - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i v_1}) e^{\int_{T_n}^{\xi_n} \mu r(s) ds} + \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i v_1}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $v_1 \leq N^*$ , thus  $N^* - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i v_1} \leq N^* - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i N^*} = 0$ ,  $N(T_n) \leq (N^* - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i v_1}) e^{-M'} + \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i v_1}$ , let  $n \rightarrow \infty, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, M' \rightarrow M$ , we have

$$u \leq (N^* - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i v}) e^{-M} + \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i v}. \quad (16)$$

Let  $\{t_n\}$  be an increasing sequence, such that  $t_n > T + 2m, D^-N(T_n) \leq 0, N(t_n) < N^*, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(t_n) = v$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$ . If  $t_n \notin \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , we have by (2) that  $\sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i N(t_n - i)} \leq \mu N(t_n)$ . Thus there exists  $\eta_n \in [t_n - m, t_n]$ , such that  $N(\eta_n) = N^*$  and  $N(t) < N^*$  for  $t \in [\eta_n, t_n]$ . If  $t_n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , then by (2) we know that there exists  $\eta_n \in (t_n - m - 1, t_n)$ , such that  $N(\eta_n) = N^*$  and  $N(t) < N^*$  for  $t \in (\eta_n, t_n)$ . Thus by integrating (14) from  $\eta_n$  to  $t_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(t_n) &\geq N^* e^{\int_{t_n}^{\eta_n} \mu r(s) ds} + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\eta_n}^{t_n} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i u_1} d e^{\int_{t_n}^s \mu r(u) du} \\ &\geq (N^* - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i u_1}) e^{\int_{t_n}^{\eta_n} \mu r(u) du} + \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i u_1}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $u_1 > u \geq N^*$ , thus  $N^* - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i u_1} \geq N^* - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i N^*} = 0$ . Then

$$N(t_n) \geq (N^* - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i u_1}) e^{-M'} + \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i u_1}.$$

Let  $n \rightarrow \infty, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, M' \rightarrow M$ ,

$$v \geq (N^* - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i u}) e^{-M} + \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i u}. \quad (17)$$

From (16), we have  $u - N^* e^{-M} + (1 - e^{-M}) \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i v} \leq 0$ .

Set  $f(v) = u - N^* e^{-M} + (1 - e^{-M}) \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i v}$ , from (16) and (17), we have

$$f'(v) = -\frac{1}{(1 - e^{-M}) \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i r_i e^{-r_i v}} + (1 - e^{-M}) \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i r_i e^{-r_i v}, \quad 0 < v < N^*,$$

$f(N^*) = 0$ . Now we prove that  $f'(v) < 0$ . Set

$$g(v) = -1 + (1 - e^{-M})^2 \frac{1}{\mu^2} \left( \sum_{i=0}^m P_i r_i e^{-r_i v} \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^m P_i r_i e^{-r_i u} \right)$$

$$g(N^*) = -1 + (1 - e^{-M})^2 \frac{1}{\mu^2} \left( \sum_{i=0}^m P_i r_i e^{-r_i N^*} \right)^2 \leq 0 \quad (\text{by (8)}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} g'(v) &= (1 - e^{-M})^2 \frac{1}{\mu^2} \left[ - \left( \sum_{i=0}^m P_i r_i^2 e^{-r_i v} \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^m P_i r_i e^{-r_i u} \right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{i=0}^m P_i r_i e^{-r_i v} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m P_i r_i^2 e^{-r_i u}}{(1 - e^{-M}) \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i r_i e^{-r_i u}} \right] \\ &> (1 - e^{-M})^2 \frac{1}{\mu^2} \left[ - \sum_{i=0}^m P_i r_i^2 e^{-r_i N^*} \sum_{i=0}^m P_i r_i e^{-r_i N^*} + \frac{r^2 \sum_{i=0}^m P_i r_i e^{-r_i N^*}}{R(1 - e^{-M}) \frac{1}{\mu}} \right] \geq 0 \quad (\text{by (9)}). \end{aligned}$$

If  $v < N^*$ , we have  $g(v) < g(N^*) \leq 0$ , thus  $f'(v) < 0$  and  $f(v) > f(N^*) = 0$ ,  $u > N^* e^{-M} + \frac{1}{\mu} (1 - e^{-M}) \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i v}$ .

This contradicts to (16). Thus  $v = N^*$ . Similarly, we have  $u = N^*$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements** The author would like to thank professor J.S.Yu for his helpful suggestions.

## References:

- [1] CZYEWKA M W, LASOTA A. *Mathematical problem of the dynamics of the red blood cells systems* [J]. Annals of Polish Math. Society, Series III, Appl. Math., 1976, 6: 23-24.
- [2] GYÖRE I, LADAS G. *Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equation with Application* [M]. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [3] LI Jing-wen. *Asymptotic behavior of delay differential model* [J]. J. Bia. Math., 1994, 9(1): 91-95.

# 具分片常变量泛函微分方程的全局稳定性

刘玉记

(岳阳师范学院数学系, 湖南 414000)

**摘要:** 本文研究具分片常变量泛函微分方程  $N'(t) = r(t)(-\mu N(t) + \sum_{i=0}^m P_i e^{-r_i N(t-i)})$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , 其中  $[\cdot]$  表示取整函数,  $r(t) \in C([0, +\infty), (0, +\infty))$ ,  $P_i \in [0, +\infty)$ ,  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ ,  $P_m > 0$ , 文中给出了保证方程的每一满足初始条件  $N(0) = N_0 > 0$ ,  $N(-j) = N_{-j} \geq 0$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ), 的解  $N(t)$  满足  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(t) = N^*$  的一些新的充分条件.