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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the Liouville-type theorem for stable solutions of the following Kirchhoff equation $$
M\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right) \Delta u=g(x) u^{-q}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$ where $M(t)=a+b t^{\theta}, a>0, b, \theta \geq 0, \theta=0$ if and only if $b=0 . N \geq 2, q>0$ and the nonnegative function $g(x) \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Under suitable conditions on $g(x), \theta$ and $q$, we investigate the nonexistence of positive stable solution for this problem.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with Liouville-type theorem for stable solution of the Kirchhoff equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right) \Delta u=g(x) u^{-q}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M(t)=a+b t^{\theta}, a>0, b, \theta \geq 0, \theta=0$ if and only if $b=0 . N \geq 2, q>0, g(x) \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is nonnegative, the exact assumption on $g(x)$ will be given latter. Such problem is often referred to as being nonlocal because of the presence of the integral over the entire domain $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. When $\theta=1$, problem (1.1) is analogous to the stationary problem of a model introduced by Kirchhoff [1]. More precisely, Kirchhoff proposed a model given by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho u_{t t}-\left(\frac{p_{0}}{h}+\frac{E}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L} u_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right) u_{x x}=0, \quad t>0, \quad x \in(0, L), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $\rho, p_{0}, h, E, L$ are all positive constants. This equation extends the classical D'Alembert wave equation. For the bounded domain $\Omega$, the problem
\[

\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}
-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right) \Delta u=f(x, u), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.3}\\
u(x)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

is related to the stationary analogue of (1.2). Such nonlocal elliptic problem like (1.3) has received a lot of attention and some important and interesting results have been established, see [2-5] and the references therein.

Recently, much attention has been paid for Kirchhoff elliptic equation on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Li et al. [6] studied the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+b u^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)(-\Delta u+b u)=f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N \geq 3$ and $a, b$ are positive constants, $\lambda \geq 0$ is a parameter. They proved the existence of a positive solution to problem (1.4) with small $\lambda \in\left[0, \lambda_{0}\right)$. Fan and Liu [7] studied the existence of multiple solutions for the Kirchhoff equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a+\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)(-\Delta u+V(x) u)=f(x, u)+g(x)|u|^{q-2} u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu \geq 0$ is small, $N \geq 3,1<q<2, a>0$, and the potential function $V(x) \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfying $\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)>0$ and meas $\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid V(x) \leq M\right\}\right)<\infty$ for each $M>0$. This assumption guarantees that the embedding $W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is compact for each $2 \leq s<2^{*}$. For problem (1.5), the function $f(x, u)$ verifies $|f(x, t)| \leq C\left(1+|t|^{p-1}\right), \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-1} f(t)=0$, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} f(t)=\infty$, and $\|g\|_{q^{\prime}}\left(q^{\prime}=2^{*} /\left(2^{*}-q\right)\right.$ is small.

Li and $\mathrm{Su}[8]$, Nie and Wu [9], also considered problem (1.5), where the potential $V(x)$ is radially symmetric function. The other class of potential $V(x) \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<V_{0}=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) \leq \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x)=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x):=V_{\infty}<\infty \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

has also been studied, see $[10-12]$ and the references therein.
We note that, in the above works, one always assumes that the potential function $V(x) \geq 0$ and $V(x) \not \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

On the other hand, the nonexistence and stability of solutions to nonlinear partial differential equations also have been studied in recent years. We refer the readers to [13-21] and the references therein. It is worth pointing out that for the singular elliptic equation (1.1), Ma and Wei in [20] obtained:

Theorem 1.1 Let $q>0, g(x)=1$ and $M(t)=1$ in (1.1). Moreover, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \leq N<2+\frac{4}{1+q}\left(q+\sqrt{q^{2}+q}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there are no stable positive solutions to (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Remark 1.2 Obviously, if $2<N<10$, then (1.7) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q>p_{0}:=-1-\frac{4(N-4+2 \sqrt{N-1})}{(N-2)(N-10)} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Motivated by $[18,22,23]$, we will study the nonexistence of positive stable solution of (1.1). We now introduce the main results in this paper.

As in [24], let $X=\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be the completion of the space $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ endowed with the norm of $\|u\|_{X}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / 2}$. Then there exists a positive constant $S$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / 2^{*}} \leq S\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / 2}, \text { or }\|u\|_{2^{*}} \leq S\|u\|_{X}, \forall u \in X \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is called the Sobolev's inequality in [25], where $2^{*}$ is the Sobolev critical exponent.
Now we consider the energy functional of (1.1) $\mathcal{I}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}(u)=\frac{a}{2}\|u\|_{X}^{2}+\frac{b}{2(\theta+1)}\|u\|_{X}^{2(\theta+1)}+\frac{1}{1-q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) u^{1-q} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that if $u \in X$ is a weak solution of (1.1), then for any $\zeta \in X$, the function $E(t)=\mathcal{I}(u+t \zeta)$ satisfies $E^{\prime}(0)=0$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(0)=\mathcal{I}^{\prime}(u) \zeta=\left(a+b\|u\|_{X}^{2 \theta}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) u^{-q} \zeta \mathrm{~d} x=0, \quad \forall \zeta \in X \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in [13], we say that the positive solution $u$ of $(1.1)$ is stable if $E^{\prime \prime}(0) \geq 0$. A routine calculation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{E^{\prime}(t)-E^{\prime}(0)}{t}=\frac{\mathcal{I}^{\prime}(u+t \zeta) \zeta-\mathcal{I}^{\prime}(u) \zeta}{t}=\frac{b\left(\|u+t \zeta\|_{X}^{2 \theta}-\|u\|_{X}^{2 \theta}\right)}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta \mathrm{~d} x+ \\
& \quad\left(a+b\|u+t \zeta\|_{X}^{2 \theta}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \zeta|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)\left((u+t \zeta)^{-q}-u^{-q}\right) \zeta \mathrm{d} x \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime \prime}(0)= & \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{E^{\prime}(t)-E^{\prime}(0)}{t}=2 b \theta\|u\|_{X}^{2(\theta-1)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{2}+ \\
& \left(a+b\|u\|_{X}^{2 \theta}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \zeta|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) u^{-q-1} \zeta^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{1.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $Q_{u}(\zeta)=E^{\prime \prime}(0)$. We now define stability as follows.
Definition 1.3 A positive weak solution $u \in X$ of (1.1) is stable if $Q_{u}(\zeta) \geq 0$ for any $\zeta \in X$.
Remark 1.4 The quadratic form $Q_{u}$ is called the second variation of the energy functional $\mathcal{I}$. Then, the stability condition translates into the fact that the second variation of the energy functional is non-negative. Thus, all the minima of the functional $\mathcal{I}$ are stable solutions of (1.1), see [13].

Remark 1.5 If $u \in X$ is a stable positive weak solution of (1.1), applying Hölder inequality and (1.13), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) u^{-q-1} \zeta^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq A \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \zeta|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \forall \zeta \in X \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=a+b(1+2 \theta)\|u\|_{X}^{2 \theta} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout this paper, we give the following assumption on $g(x)$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \quad g(x) \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is nonnegative in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Moreover, there exist $k>-2$ and $R_{0}, c_{0}>0$ such that $g(x) \geq c_{0}|x|^{k}, \forall|x| \geq R_{0}$.

Our main result can be included in the following theorem:
Theorem 1.6 Let $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $M(t)=a+b t^{\theta}, a>0, b, \theta \geq 0$ hold, $\theta=0$ if and only if $b=0$. Assume that one of the following conditions is satisfied:
$\left(H_{2}\right) \quad \theta \geq 0$ and $N=2, q>0 ;$
$\left(H_{3}\right) \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{3}{2}$ and $2<N<2+\frac{4(2+k)}{1+2 \theta}, q>\alpha_{0}$;
$\left(H_{4}\right) \quad \theta>\frac{3}{2}$ and $2<N<2+\frac{4(2+k)}{1+2 \theta}, q>\beta_{0}$;
$\left(H_{5}\right) \quad \theta>\frac{3}{2}$ and $N=2+\frac{4(2+k)}{1+2 \theta}, q>\frac{4}{2 \theta-3}$;
$\left(H_{6}\right) \theta>\frac{3}{2}$ and $2+\frac{4(2+k)}{1+2 \theta}<N<2+\frac{(1+\sqrt{1+2 \theta})(2+k)}{2 \theta}, \beta_{1}<q<\beta_{2}$,
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{0}=-1-\frac{2(2+k)\left[N-4-k+\sqrt{(N+k)^{2}-(N-2)^{2}(1+2 \theta)}\right]}{(N-2)[(N-2)(1+2 \theta)-4(2+k)]}  \tag{1.16}\\
& \beta_{0}=-1-\frac{2(2+k)\left[N-4-k+\sqrt{(N+k)^{2}-(N-2)^{2}(1+2 \theta)}\right]}{(N-2)[(N-2)(1+2 \theta)-4(2+k)]} .  \tag{1.17}\\
& \beta_{1,2}=-1-\frac{2(2+k)\left[N-4-k \pm \sqrt{(N+k)^{2}-(N-2)^{2}(1+2 \theta)}\right]}{(N-2)[(N-2)(1+2 \theta)-4(2+k)]} . \tag{1.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Then (1.1) has no positive weak stable solution.
Remark 1.7 (i) If $\theta=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}=-1-\frac{2(2+k)[N-4-k+\sqrt{(2 N-2+k)(2+k)}]}{(N-2)(N-10-4 k)} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\alpha_{0}$ is equal to the exponent $p(N, \alpha)$ in [26].
(ii) If $\theta=0, k=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}=-1-\frac{4(N-4+2 \sqrt{N-1})}{(N-2)(N-10)} \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\alpha_{0}$ is equal to the exponent $q_{c}(2, N)$ in [27] and $\alpha_{0}=p_{0}$, where $p_{0}$ is the critical exponent (1.8) and coincides with that in [20].

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.6

To prove the nonexistence of positive weak stable solution of (1.1), we use the test function method, which has been used in $[18,22,23]$. Since Kirchhoff equation (1.1) is nonlocal, some modification in choosing test functions is necessary.

We first establish the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1 Let $u \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, \omega}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)(0<\omega<1)$ be a positive weak stable solution of (1.1) with $q>0$. Then for every $\gamma \in(\gamma(q),-1)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(t)=-\frac{1+2 \theta+2 t+2 \sqrt{t(t+1+2 \theta)}}{1+2 \theta}, \quad t>0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any constant $m \geq \frac{q-\gamma}{q+1}$, there exists a positive constant $C$ depending on $q, \gamma, m, a, b, \theta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(g(x) u^{\gamma-q}+|\nabla u|^{2} u^{\gamma-1}\right) \varphi^{2 m} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C A^{\frac{q-\gamma}{q+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{q+1}}|\nabla \varphi|^{\frac{2(q-\gamma)}{q+1}} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi(x) \in C_{0}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is any nonnegative function with $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$ and $A$ is given by (1.15).
Proof Let $u \in C_{\text {loc }}^{1, \omega}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)(0<\omega<1)$ be a positive weak stable solution of (1.1) and $\gamma<-1$. Choosing $\zeta=u^{\gamma} \varphi^{2}$ as a test function in (1.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\gamma| A_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{\gamma-1} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq 2 A_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u||\nabla \varphi| u^{\gamma} \varphi \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) u^{\gamma-q} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}=a+b\|u\|_{X}^{2 \theta}$. Applying Young's inequality with any $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u||\nabla \varphi| u^{\gamma} \varphi \mathrm{d} x \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{\gamma-1} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+C_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} u^{\gamma+1} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and in what follows, we denote by $C_{j}$ a positive constant depending on $\varepsilon$ and $q, \gamma, \theta$. Combining (2.3) with (2.4) enables us to deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
(|\gamma|-\varepsilon) A_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{\gamma-1} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) u^{\gamma-q} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+C_{1} A_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} u^{\gamma+1} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using the stability assumption with $\zeta=u^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} \varphi$ in (1.14) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) u^{\gamma-q} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq & \frac{(1+\gamma)^{2}}{4} A \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{\gamma-1} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+A \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} u^{\gamma+1} \mathrm{~d} x+ \\
& (1+|\gamma|) A \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u||\nabla \varphi| u^{\gamma} \varphi \mathrm{d} x . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

By Young's inequality, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+|\gamma|) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u||\nabla \varphi| u^{\gamma} \varphi \mathrm{d} x \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{\gamma-1} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+C_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} u^{\gamma+1} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ coincides with that in (2.4). Plugging (2.7) into (2.6), we can deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) u^{\gamma-q} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{\left[(1+\gamma)^{2}+4 \varepsilon\right]}{4} A \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{\gamma-1} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+C_{3} A \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} u^{\gamma+1} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, from (2.5) and (2.8) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) u^{\gamma-q} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{\left[(1+\gamma)^{2}+4 \varepsilon\right] A}{4(|\gamma|-\varepsilon) A_{1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) u^{\gamma-q} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+C_{4} A \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} u^{\gamma+1} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\left[(1+\gamma)^{2}+4 \varepsilon\right](1+2 \theta)}{4(|\gamma|-\varepsilon)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) u^{\gamma-q} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+C_{4} A \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} u^{\gamma+1} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) u^{\gamma-q} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C_{4} A \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} u^{\gamma+1} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\varepsilon}=q-\frac{\left[(1+\gamma)^{2}+4 \varepsilon\right](1+2 \theta)}{4(|\gamma|-\varepsilon)}, \quad \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} q_{\varepsilon}=q_{0}=q-\frac{(1+\gamma)^{2}(1+2 \theta)}{4|\gamma|} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to $\gamma \in(\gamma(q),-1), q_{0}>0$ holds, where $\gamma(t)$ is defined by (2.1). Thus, we can select sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$ such that $q_{\varepsilon}>0$.

Applying (2.10) and (2.5), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{\gamma-1} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C_{5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} u^{\gamma+1} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we claim that (2.2) is true. In fact, we can choose some constant $m$ large enough satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(m-1)(\gamma-q)}{\gamma+1} \geq m, \text { or } m \geq \frac{q-\gamma}{q+1} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, one can achieve

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\varphi(x)]^{\frac{2(m-1)(\gamma-q)}{(\gamma+1)}} \leq[\varphi(x)]^{2 m}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $\varphi$ in (2.10) with $\varphi^{m}$ and utilizing the Hölder inequality, we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) u^{\gamma-q} \varphi^{2 m} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C_{6} A \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \varphi^{2(m-1)} u^{\gamma+1} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad \leq C_{6} A\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) u^{\gamma-q} \varphi^{\frac{2(m-1)(\gamma-q)}{\gamma+1}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-q}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{q+1}}|\nabla \varphi|^{\frac{2(q-\gamma)}{q+1}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-\gamma}} \\
& \leq C_{6} A\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) u^{\gamma-q} \varphi^{2 m} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-q}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{q+1}}|\nabla \varphi|^{\frac{2(q-\gamma)}{q+1}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-\gamma}} \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) u^{\gamma-q} \varphi^{2 m} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C_{7} A^{\frac{q-\gamma}{q+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{q+1}}|\nabla \varphi|^{\frac{2(q-\gamma)}{q+1}} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, with $\varphi$ replaced by $\varphi^{m}$ in (2.12), it follows from (2.12), (2.15) and (2.16) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{\gamma-1} \varphi^{2 m} \mathrm{~d} x & \leq C_{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \varphi^{2(m-1)} u^{\gamma+1} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq C_{9} A^{\frac{q-\gamma}{q+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{q+1}}|\nabla \varphi|^{\frac{2(q-\gamma)}{q+1}} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.16) with (2.17) enables us to deduce (2.2). The proof is completed.
Proof of Theorem 1.6 Define $\varphi_{0}(s) \in C_{0}^{1}[0,+\infty)$ with

$$
\varphi_{0}(s)= \begin{cases}1, & 0 \leq s \leq 1  \tag{2.18}\\ 0, & s>2\end{cases}
$$

Let $\varphi(x)=\varphi_{0}\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right)$ for $R \geq R_{0}$, where $R_{0}$ is given in $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$. Obviously, $\varphi(x) \in C_{0}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$. A direct calculation shows that there exists $C>0$ such that $|\nabla \varphi(x)| \leq C R^{-1}$, $x \in \bar{B}_{2 R} \backslash \bar{B}_{R}$ and $|\nabla \varphi(x)|=0, x \in \bar{B}_{R} \cup \bar{B}_{2 R}^{c}$, where $B_{r}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|x|<r\right\}$.

Suppose on the contrary that (1.1) admits a positive weak stable solution, then utilizing the assumption $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and the estimate (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) u^{\gamma-q} \varphi^{2 m} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{\gamma-1} \varphi^{2 m} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad \leq C A^{\frac{q-\gamma}{q+1}} R^{\frac{-2(q-\gamma)}{q+1}} \int_{R<|x| \leq 2 R}|x|^{\frac{k(\gamma+1)}{q+1}} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq C A^{\frac{q-\gamma}{q+1}} R^{N-\frac{2(q-\gamma)-k(\gamma+1)}{q+1}} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ denote various positive constants.
Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=N-\frac{2(q-\gamma)-k(\gamma+1)}{q+1} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, if $\rho<0$, passing to the limits as $R \rightarrow+\infty$ in (2.19), we deduce a contradiction. Next, we are devoted to choosing some appropriate $\gamma$ such that $\rho<0$. To do this, we define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t)=\frac{2[t-\gamma(t)]-k[\gamma(t)+1]}{t+1}, \quad t>0 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma(t)$ is given by (2.1). A direct calculation leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \gamma(t)=-1, \quad \gamma^{\prime}(t)<0, t>0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \gamma(t)=-\infty \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} h(t)=2 \leq N, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} h(t)=2+\frac{4(2+k)}{1+2 \theta},  \tag{2.23}\\
h^{\prime}(t)=\frac{(2+k)(2 \sqrt{t(t+1+2 \theta)}+1+2 \theta+t(1-2 \theta))}{(1+2 \theta) \sqrt{t(t+1+2 \theta)}(t+1)^{2}}, t>0 . \tag{2.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

A routine calculation shows that if $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{3}{2}$, then $h(t)$ is strictly increasing on $(0,+\infty)$; if $\theta>\frac{3}{2}$, then $h(t)$ is strictly increasing on $\left(0, \frac{1+2 \theta+2 \sqrt{1+2 \theta}}{2 \theta-3}\right)$ and strictly decreasing on $\left(\frac{1+2 \theta+2 \sqrt{1+2 \theta}}{2 \theta-3},+\infty\right)$. Moreover, $h\left(\frac{1+2 \theta+2 \sqrt{1+2 \theta}}{2 \theta-3}\right)=2+\frac{(1+\sqrt{1+2 \theta})(2+k)}{2 \theta}, h\left(\frac{4}{2 \theta-3}\right)=2+\frac{4(2+k)}{1+2 \theta}$.

Therefore, if $N=2$ and $\theta \geq 0$, then $N<h(t), \forall t>0$. So if we fix $\gamma \in(\gamma(t),-1)$ suitably near $\gamma(t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N<\frac{2(t-\gamma)-k(\gamma+1)}{t+1} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $R \rightarrow+\infty$ in (2.19), we get a contradiction.
If $2<N<2+\frac{4(2+k)}{1+2 \theta}$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{3}{2}$, by the properties of the function $h(t)$, there exists a unique $\alpha_{0}>0$ such that $N<h(t), t>\alpha_{0}$. From this, taking $R \rightarrow+\infty$ in (2.19), we deduce a contradiction. Clearly, $\alpha_{0}$ may be deduced from the equation $N=h(q)$, which is given in (1.16).

If $2<N<2+\frac{4(2+k)}{1+2 \theta}$ and $\theta>\frac{3}{2}$, by the properties of the function $h(t)$, there exists a unique $\beta_{0}>0$ such that $N<h(t), t>\beta_{0}$. From this, letting $R \rightarrow+\infty$ in (2.19), we get a contradiction. Clearly, $\beta_{0}$ may be deduced from the equation $N=h(q)$, which is given in (1.17).

If $N=2+\frac{4(2+k)}{1+2 \theta}$ and $\theta>\frac{3}{2}$, note that $h(t)>h\left(\frac{4}{2 \theta-3}\right)=2+\frac{4(2+k)}{1+2 \theta}, t>\frac{4}{2 \theta-3}$, we have $N<h(t), t>\frac{4}{2 \theta-3}$. From this, letting $R \rightarrow+\infty$ in (2.19), we get a contradiction.

Assume now $2+\frac{4(2+k)}{1+2 \theta}<N<2+\frac{(1+\sqrt{1+2 \theta})(2+k)}{2 \theta}$ and $\theta>\frac{3}{2}$, by the properties of the function $h(t)$, there exist $\beta_{1,2}>\frac{4}{2 \theta-3}$ such that $N<h(t)$ for $\beta_{1}<t<\beta_{2}$. From this, letting $R \rightarrow+\infty$ in (2.19), we get a contradiction. Clearly, $\beta_{1,2}$ may be deduced from the equation $N=h(q)$, which is given in (1.18). The proof of Theorem 1.6 is completed.
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