

Uniqueness and Iterative Schemes of Positive Solutions for Conformable Fractional Differential Equations via Sum-Type Operator Method

Bibo ZHOU^{1,2}, Lingling ZHANG^{1,*}

1. *College of Mathematics, Taiyuan University of Technology, Shanxi 030024, P. R. China;*
2. *Department of Mathematics, Lyuliang University, Shanxi 033000, P. R. China*

Abstract We are concerned with two points boundary value problems for a kind of conformable fractional differential equations in this paper. By employing the fixed point theorems for a class of sum-type operator defined on a cone, the existence-uniqueness and iterative schemes converging to unique positive solution are established. As applications, two examples are presented to illustrate our main results.

Keywords positive solutions; existence-uniqueness; conformable fractional derivatives; sum-type operator; boundary value problems

MR(2020) Subject Classification 34B18; 34A08; 35J05

1. Introduction

In recent decades, fractional calculus has become one of the most powerful mathematical tools to model various complex problems in many science fields, including economy, chemistry, biology and engineering [1–6], the study on all kinds of boundary value problems of fractional differential equations received a great attention. Furthermore, based on the nonlinear operator theory in abstract Banach space, a large number of results concerning the existence and uniqueness of solutions had arisen in many literatures [7–11].

Zhai [12] investigated the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for the following fractional boundary value problems given by

$$\begin{cases} -D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) = f(t, u(t)) + g(t, u(t)); \\ u(0) = u'(0) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where D_{0+}^{α} is the standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. Existence and uniqueness of positive solution were obtained by employing the fixed point theorem for a class of sum-type operator. Liu and Zhang [13] studied the existence and uniqueness of the positive solutions for

Received September 20, 2021; Accepted February 19, 2022

Supported by the Key R&D Program of Shanxi Province (International Cooperation) (Grant No. 201903D421042) and the Shanxi Scholarship Council of China (Grant No. 2021-030).

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: zbb0316@163.com (Bibo ZHOU); tyutzll@126.com (Lingling ZHANG)

the following singular fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\alpha}x(t) + p(t)f(t, x(t), x(t)) + q(t)g(t, x(t)) = 0; \\ x(0) = x'(0) = \dots = x^{(n-1)}(0) = 0; \\ x(1) = \int_0^1 k(s)x(s)dA(s), \end{cases}$$

where $n - 1 < \alpha \leq n$, D_{0+}^{α} is the standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. By employing a kind of mixed monotone operator fixed point theorem, existence and uniqueness of positive solutions were obtained for above integral boundary value problems. In [14], Qiao investigated the positive solutions of fractional differential equations with infinite-point boundary value condition as follows:

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\alpha}x(t) + q(t)f(t, x(t)) = 0; \\ x(0) = x'(0) = \dots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0; \\ D_{0+}^{\beta}x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x(\xi_i), \end{cases}$$

where $n - 1 < \alpha \leq n$, $\beta \in [1, \alpha - 1]$ is a fixed number, D_{0+}^{α} is the standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. Existence of positive solutions was obtained by using upper and lower solution method.

In recent years, Khalil [15] defined a new fractional derivative called conformable fractional derivative, compared with Riemann-Liouville and Caputo fractional derivative definitions, the conformable fractional derivative is well-behaved and it just depends on the basic limit definition as follows

$$T_{\alpha}f(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t + \varepsilon t^{1-\alpha}) - f(t)}{\varepsilon},$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$ and ε is a small enough variable. The new definition seems to be a natural extension of the usual integer derivative, and it satisfies the major properties of the integer derivative. Based on the definition of conformable fractional calculus, Bayour [16] investigated the initial value problems of conformable fractional differential equation as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x^{(\alpha)}(t) = f(t, x(t)); \\ x(a) = x_0, \end{cases}$$

where $f : [a, b] \times R \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function, $x^{(\alpha)}(t) = T_{\alpha}x(t)$ denotes the conformable fractional derivative of x at t of order α . In [17], He et al. studied a class of nonlinear Zoomeron equation with conformable time-fractional derivative, by employing the $\exp(-\varphi(\varepsilon))$ -expansion method and the first integral method, various exact analytical traveling wave solutions to the Zoomeron equation were obtained, such as solitary wave, breaking wave and periodic wave.

In this paper, we investigate the existence and uniqueness of positive solution for high-order conformable fractional differential equations with sum-type nonlinear terms as follows:

$$\begin{cases} -T_{\alpha}^{0+}u(t) = f(t, u(t), u(t)) + g(t, u(t)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1; \\ u^{(i)}(0) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n - 2; \\ [T_{\beta}^{0+}u(t)]_{t=1} = 0, \quad m - 1 \leq \beta \leq m, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $n - 1 < \alpha \leq n$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, T_{α}^{0+} is conformable fractional derivative.

The remainder of this article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some concepts relative to the conformable fractional calculus and give some lemmas with respect to sum-type operators. In Section 3, we prove the main results about the existence and uniqueness of positive solution for BVP (1.1). In Section 4, we give some examples to verify our main results.

2. Preliminaries

For the convenience, in this section, we give some definitions and lemmas on conformable fractional derivative and integral.

Definition 2.1 ([15]) *The conformable fractional derivative starting from a of a function $f : [a, \infty) \rightarrow R$ of order $0 < \alpha < 1$ is defined by*

$$(T_\alpha^a f)(t) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t + \epsilon(t - a)^{1-\alpha}) - f(t)}{\epsilon},$$

when $a = 0$, we write T_α . If $(T_\alpha^a f)(t)$ exists on $[a, b]$, then

$$(T_\alpha^a f)(a) = \lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} (T_\alpha^a f)(t).$$

The conformable fractional integral starting from a of a function $f : [a, \infty) \rightarrow R$ is defined by

$$(I_\alpha^a f)(t) = I_1^a((t - a)^{\alpha-1} f(t)) = \int_a^t \frac{f(x)}{(x - a)^{1-\alpha}} dx,$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Definition 2.2 ([15]) *Let $\alpha \in (n, n + 1)$. The conformable fractional derivative starting from a of a function $f : [a, +\infty) \rightarrow R$ of order α , where $f^{(n)}(t)$ exists, is defined by*

$$(T_\alpha^a f)(t) = (T_{\alpha-n}^a f^{(n)}(t)).$$

Let $\alpha \in (n, n + 1)$. The conformable fractional integral of order α starting at a is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (I_\alpha^a f)(t) &= I_{n+1}^a((t - a)^{\alpha-n-1} f(t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \int_a^t (t - x)^n (x - a)^{\alpha-n-1} f(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3 ([15]) *Let $\alpha \in (n, n + 1]$ and $f : [a, +\infty)$ be $(n + 1)$ times differentiable. For $t > a$ we have*

$$I_\alpha^a T_\alpha^a f(t) = f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(a)(t - a)^k}{k!}.$$

Lemma 2.4 *Let $g \in C[0, 1]$ be given and T_α^{0+} denote the conformable fractional derivative. Then the following boundary value problem for fractional differential equation*

$$\begin{cases} -T_\alpha^{0+} u(t) = g(t), & n - 1 < \alpha \leq n; \\ u^{(i)}(0) = 0, & 0 \leq i \leq n - 2; \\ [T_\beta^{0+} u(t)]_{t=1} = 0, & m - 1 < \beta \leq m, 1 \leq m \leq n - 1 \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

has a unique positive solution

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)g(s)ds, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \begin{cases} s^{\alpha-n}[(1-s)^{n-m-1}t^{n-1} - (t-s)^{n-1}], & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1; \\ (1-s)^{n-m-1}s^{\alpha-n}t^{n-1}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1 \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

is the Green function.

Proof In view of Lemma 2.3 and Definition 2.2, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\alpha}^{0+}T_{\alpha}^{0+}u(t) &= u(t) - u(0) - u'(0)t - \frac{u''(0)}{2!}t^2 - \dots - \frac{u^{(n-2)}(0)}{(n-2)!}t^{n-2} - \frac{u^{(n-1)}(0)}{(n-1)!}t^{n-1} \\ &= u(t) - \frac{u^{(n-1)}(0)}{(n-1)!}t^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_{\alpha}^{0+}g(t) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1} s^{\alpha-n} g(s) ds.$$

From $u^{(i)}(0) = 0$, it is easy to know that

$$u(t) = \frac{u^{(n-1)}(0)}{(n-1)!}t^{n-1} - \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1} s^{\alpha-n} g(s) ds. \tag{2.4}$$

If $1 < \beta \leq 2$, taking β order conformable fractional derivative on both sides of equation (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\beta}^{0+}u(t) &= T_{\beta-1}^{0+} \left[\frac{d}{dt} \frac{u^{(n-1)}(0)}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1} s^{\alpha-n} g(s) ds \right] \\ &= T_{\beta-1}^{0+} \left[\frac{u^{(n-1)}(0)}{(n-2)!} t^{n-2} - \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-2} s^{\alpha-n} g(s) ds \right] \\ &= t^{2-\beta} \frac{u^{(n-1)}(0)}{(n-3)!} t^{n-3} - \frac{t^{2-\beta}}{(n-3)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-3} s^{\alpha-n} g(s) ds \\ &= \frac{u^{(n-1)}(0)}{(n-3)!} t^{n-\beta-1} - \frac{t^{2-\beta}}{(n-3)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-3} s^{\alpha-n} g(s) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Setting $t = 1$, from $[T_{\beta}^{0+}u(t)]_{t=1} = 0$, it is evident that

$$u^{(n-1)}(0) = \int_0^1 (1-s)^{n-3} s^{\alpha-n} g(s) ds. \tag{2.6}$$

Now, combining (2.6) with (2.4), we obtain that

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{n-3} s^{\alpha-n} t^{n-1} g(s) ds - \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1} s^{\alpha-n} g(s) ds.$$

If $2 < \beta \leq 3$, we can deduce that

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{n-4} s^{\alpha-n} t^{n-1} g(s) ds - \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1} s^{\alpha-n} g(s) ds.$$

Evidently, if $m - 1 < \beta \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{n-m-1} s^{\alpha-n} t^{n-1} g(s) ds - \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1} s^{\alpha-n} g(s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t (1-s)^{n-m-1} s^{\alpha-n} t^{n-1} g(s) ds + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_t^1 (1-s)^{n-m-1} s^{\alpha-n} t^{n-1} g(s) ds - \\ &\quad \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1} s^{\alpha-n} g(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 G(t,s) g(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G(t,s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \begin{cases} s^{\alpha-n} [(1-s)^{n-m-1} t^{n-1} - (t-s)^{n-1}], & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1; \\ (1-s)^{n-m-1} s^{\alpha-n} t^{n-1}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

is Green function. \square

Lemma 2.5 For $\forall(t,s) \in (0,1) \times (0,1)$, the Green function (2.3) has the following properties:

- (i) $\frac{(1-s)^{n-m-1} [1-(1-s)^m] s^{\alpha-n} t^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n)} \leq G(t,s) \leq \frac{(1-s)^{n-m-1} s^{\alpha-n} t^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n)}$, where $n = [\alpha] + 1$;
- (ii) $G(t,s)$ is a continuous function and $G(t,s) \geq 0$.

Proof It is evident that the right inequality of (i) holds. So, we only need to prove the left inequality holds. For convenience, set

$$G_1(t,s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} [s^{\alpha-n} (1-s)^{n-m-1} t^{n-1} - s^{\alpha-n} (t-s)^{n-1}]$$

and

$$G_2(t,s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} s^{\alpha-n} (1-s)^{n-m-1} t^{n-1}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1.$$

If $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$, we have

$$0 \leq t-s \leq t-ts = (1-s)t,$$

and thus

$$(t-s)^{n-1} \leq (1-s)^{n-1} t^{n-1}.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(n)G_1(t,s) &= s^{\alpha-n} [(1-s)^{n-m-1} t^{n-1} - (t-s)^{n-1}] \\ &\geq s^{\alpha-n} [(1-s)^{n-m-1} t^{n-1} - (1-s)^{n-1} t^{n-1}] \\ &= (1-s)^{n-m-1} [1 - (1-s)^m] s^{\alpha-n} t^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1$, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(n)G_2(t,s) &= s^{\alpha-n} (1-s)^{n-m-1} t^{n-1} \\ &\geq s^{\alpha-n} [(1-s)^{n-m-1} - (1-s)^{n-1}] t^{n-1} \\ &= (1-s)^{n-m-1} [1 - (1-s)^m] s^{\alpha-n} t^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $G_1(t, s)$ and $G_2(t, s)$ are continuous on their domains and $G_1(s, s) = G_2(s, s)$. In addition, for $\forall s, t \in [0, 1]$, from (i), we know that

$$G(t, s) \geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)}(1-s)^{n-m-1}[1-(1-s)^m]s^{\alpha-n}t^{n-1} \geq 0. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.6 ([18]) *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $A : P \times P \rightarrow P$ be a mixed monotone operator satisfying*

$$A(tx, t^{-1}y) \geq t^\alpha A(x, y), \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad x, y \in P.$$

$B : P \rightarrow P$ is an increasing sub-homogeneous operator. Assume that

- (I) *There is $h_0 \in P_h$ such that $A(h_0, h_0) \in P_h$ and $Bh_0 \in P_h$;*
- (II) *There exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $A(x, y) \geq \delta_0 Bx$ for $\forall x, y \in P$.*

Then,

- (1) $A : P_h \times P_h \rightarrow P_h, B : P_h \rightarrow P_h$;
- (2) *There exist $u_0, v_0 \in P_h$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$rv_0 \leq u_0 < v_0, \quad u_0 \leq A(u_0, v_0) + Bu_0 \leq A(v_0, u_0) + Bv_0 \leq v_0;$$

- (3) *The operator equation $A(x, x) + Bx = x$ has a unique solution x^* in P_h ;*
- (4) *For any initial values $x_0, y_0 \in P_h$, constructing successively the sequences*

$$x_n = A(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) + Bx_{n-1}, \quad y_n = A(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) + By_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

we have $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ and $y_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.7 ([18]) *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $A : P \times P \rightarrow P$ be a mixed monotone operator satisfying*

$$A(tx, t^{-1}y) \geq tA(x, y), \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad x, y \in P.$$

$B : P \rightarrow P$ is an increasing α -concave operator. Assume that

- (I) *There is $h_0 \in P_h$ such that $A(h_0, h_0) \in P_h$ and $Bh_0 \in P_h$;*
- (II) *There exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $A(x, y) \leq \delta_0 Bx$ for $\forall x, y \in P$.*

Then,

- (1) $A : P_h \times P_h \rightarrow P_h, B : P_h \rightarrow P_h$;
- (2) *There exist $u_0, v_0 \in P_h$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$rv_0 \leq u_0 < v_0, \quad u_0 \leq A(u_0, v_0) + Bu_0 \leq A(v_0, u_0) + Bv_0 \leq v_0;$$

- (3) *The sum-type operator $T = A + B$ has a unique fixed point $x^* \in P_h$;*
- (4) *For any initial values $x_0, y_0 \in P_h$, constructing successively the sequences*

$$x_n = T(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \quad y_n = T(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

we have $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ and $y_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Main results

In our considerations, we work in the Banach space $C[0, 1] = \{u : [0, 1] \rightarrow R \text{ is continuous}\}$ with the standard norm $\|u\| = \sup\{|u(t)| : t \in [0, 1]\}$. Notice that this paper can be equipped

with a partial order given by

$$x, y \in C[0, 1], \quad x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x(t) \leq y(t) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1].$$

Let $P = \{u \in C[0, 1] | u(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, 1]\}$. It is clear that P is a normal cone in $C[0, 1]$ and the normality constant is 1. In addition, for given $h > \theta$, set $P_h = \{x \in E | x \sim h\}$, in which \sim is an equivalence relation, i.e., for all $x, y \in E$, $x \sim y$ means that there exist $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ such that $\lambda x \geq y \geq \mu x$.

By Lemma 2.4, we know that the boundary value problems (1.1) for conformable fractional differential equations has an integral solution:

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, u(s), u(s))ds + \int_0^1 G(t, s)g(s, x(s))ds,$$

where $G(t, s)$ is given by (2.3). Now, we define two operators,

$$A(u, v)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, u(s), v(s))ds \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$B(u)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)g(s, u(s))ds, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.2}$$

It is evident that u is a solution of boundary value problems (1.1) if and only if $u = A(u, u) + B(u)$.

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that*

(H₁) $f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous and $g : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous;

(H₂) For fixed $t \in [0, 1]$, $f(t, u, v)$ is increasing in $u \in [0, +\infty)$, and decreasing in $v \in [0, +\infty)$; $g(t, u)$ is increasing in $u \in [0, +\infty)$ for fixed $t \in [0, 1]$;

(H₃) For $\forall t \in [0, 1]$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, there exists a constant $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that $f(t, \lambda u, \lambda^{-1}v) \geq \lambda^\gamma f(t, u, v)$;

(H₄) For $\forall t \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, $g(t, \lambda u) \geq \lambda g(t, u)$, and there exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $f(t, u, v) \geq \delta_0 g(t, u)$.

Then:

(1) The boundary value problems (1.1) has a unique positive solution $x^* \in P_h$, where $h(t) = t^{n-1}$ and $n = [\alpha] + 1$;

(2) There exist $u_0, v_0 \in P_h$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $rv_0 \leq u_0 \leq v_0$ and

$$u_0 \leq \int_0^1 G(t, s)(f(s, u_0(s), v_0(s)) + g(s, u_0(s)))ds,$$

$$v_0 \geq \int_0^1 G(t, s)(f(s, v_0(s), u_0(s)) + g(s, v_0(s)))ds,$$

where $G(t, s)$ is defined by (2.3).

(3) For any initial value $u_0, v_0 \in P_h$, there are two iterative sequences $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$ for approximating u^* , that is, $u_n \rightarrow x^*, v_n \rightarrow x^*$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$u_{n+1} = \int_0^1 G(t, s)(f(s, u_n(s), v_n(s)) + g(s, u_n(s)))ds,$$

$$v_{n+1} = \int_0^1 G(t, s)(f(s, v_n(s), u_n(s)) + g(s, v_n(s)))ds,$$

we have $\|u_n - u^*\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|v_n - u^*\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof Step 1. We prove that A is a mixed monotone operator and B is an increasing operator. From (H₁) and Lemma 2.5, it is easy to know that $A : P \times P \rightarrow P$ and $B : P \times P \rightarrow P$. From (H₂), for $\forall u_i, v_i \in P, i = 1, 2$ with $u_1 \geq u_2, v_1 \leq v_2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A(u_1, v_1)(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, u_1(s), v_1(s))ds \\ &\geq \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, u_2(s), v_2(s))ds = A(u_2, v_2)(t). \end{aligned}$$

That is, A is a mixed monotone operator. Moreover, we can conclude that the operator B is increasing from (H₂) and Lemma 2.5.

Step 2. For $\forall u, v \in P$, we show that $A(\lambda u, \lambda^{-1}v) \geq \lambda^\gamma A(u, v)(t)$ and B is a sub-homogeneous operator. For any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $u, v \in P$, from (H₃), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(\lambda u, \lambda^{-1}v) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, \lambda u(s), \lambda^{-1}v(s))ds \\ &\geq \lambda^\gamma \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, u(s), v(s))ds = \lambda^\gamma A(u, v)(t), \end{aligned}$$

that is $A(\lambda u, \lambda^{-1}v) \geq \lambda^\gamma A(u, v)(t)$ for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $u, v \in P$. In addition, from (H₃), for any $\lambda \in (0, 1), u \in P$, we obtain that

$$B(\lambda u)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)g(s, \lambda u(s))ds \geq \lambda \int_0^1 G(t, s)g(s, u(s))ds = \lambda B u(t).$$

Step 3. Let $h_0(t) = \frac{1}{2}t^{n-1}$. It is easy to know $\frac{1}{3}h(t) \leq h_0(t) \leq 2h(t)$, evidently, $h_0 \in P_h$, we show that $A(h_0, h_0) \in P_h$ and $Bh_0 \in P_h$. On the one hand, from (H₁), (H₂) and Lemma 2.5, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} A(h_0, h_0)(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, h_0(s), h_0(s))ds = \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, \frac{1}{2}s^{n-1}, \frac{1}{2}s^{n-1})ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)}h(t) \int_0^1 (1-s)^{n-m-1}s^{\alpha-n}f(s, 1, 0)ds. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(h_0, h_0)(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, h_0(s), h_0(s))ds = \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, \frac{1}{2}s^{n-1}, \frac{1}{2}s^{n-1})ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)}h(t) \int_0^1 [1 - (1-s)^m](1-s)^{n-m-1}s^{\alpha-n}f(s, 0, 1)ds. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, from (H₂) and (H₄), we get

$$f(s, 1, 0) \geq f(s, 0, 1) \geq \delta_0 g(s, 0) \geq 0.$$

Since $g(t, 0) \neq 0$, it is easy to know

$$\int_0^1 f(s, 1, 0)ds \geq \int_0^1 f(s, 0, 1)ds \geq \delta_0 \int_0^1 g(s, 0)ds > 0.$$

Set

$$l_1 := \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{n-m-1} s^{\alpha-n} f(s, 1, 0) ds$$

and

$$l_2 := \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 [1 - (1-s)^m] (1-s)^{n-m-1} s^{\alpha-n} f(s, 0, 1) ds.$$

Evidently, $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq 0$, and $l_2 h \leq A(h_0, h_0) \leq l_1 h$ for $\forall t \in [0, 1]$, that is $A(h_0, h_0) \in P_h$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (Bh_0)(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) g(s, h_0(s)) ds = \int_0^1 G(t, s) g(s, \frac{1}{2} s^{n-1}) ds \\ &\leq \frac{h(t)}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{n-m-1} s^{\alpha-n} g(s, 1) ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (Bh_0)(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) g(s, h_0(s)) ds = \int_0^1 G(t, s) g(s, \frac{1}{2} s^{n-1}) ds \\ &\geq \frac{h(t)}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 [1 - (1-s)^m] (1-s)^{n-m-1} s^{\alpha-n} g(s, 0) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$l_3 := \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{n-m-1} s^{\alpha-n} g(s, 1) ds$$

and

$$l_4 := \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 [1 - (1-s)^m] (1-s)^{n-m-1} s^{\alpha-n} g(s, 0) ds.$$

It is obvious that $l_3 \geq l_4 \geq 0$ and $l_4 h \leq Bh_0 \leq l_3 h$, that is $Bh_0 \in P_h$. In addition, from (H₄), for $\forall u, v \in P$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A(u, v)(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(s, u(s), v(s)) ds \geq \delta_0 \int_0^1 G(t, s) g(s, u(s)) ds \\ &= \delta_0 Bu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Now, all conditions of Lemma 2.6 are satisfied and an application implies that there exist $u_0, v_0 \in P_h$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that $\gamma v_0 \leq u_0 < v_0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &\leq \int_0^1 G(t, s) (f(s, u_0(s), v_0(s)) + g(s, u_0(s))) ds, \\ v_0 &\geq \int_0^1 G(t, s) (f(s, v_0(s), u_0(s)) + g(s, v_0(s))) ds. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, the boundary value problems (1.1) for conformable fractional differential equations has a unique positive solution $u^* \in P_h$. Furthermore, for any initial value $u_0, v_0 \in P_h$, constructing successively the sequences

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n+1} &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) (f(s, u_n(s), v_n(s)) + g(s, u_n(s))) ds, \\ v_{n+1} &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) (f(s, v_n(s), u_n(s)) + g(s, v_n(s))) ds, \end{aligned}$$

we have $\|u_n - u^*\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|v_n - u^*\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Corollary 3.2 Let $g(t, u) \equiv 0$. Assume that (H_1) – (H_3) hold and $f(t, 0, 1) \neq 0$. Then, the following boundary value problem for conformable fractional differential equation

$$\begin{cases} -T_\alpha^{0+}u(t) = f(t, u(t), u(t)), & 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad n - 1 \leq \alpha \leq n; \\ u^{(i)}(0) = 0, & i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n - 2; \\ [T_\beta^{0+}u(t)]_{t=1} = 0, & m - 1 \leq \beta \leq m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1 \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

has a unique positive solution u^* in P_h . Moreover, constructing successively the sequences

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, u_{n-1}(s), v_{n-1}(s))ds, \\ v_n &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, v_{n-1}(s), u_{n-1}(s))ds, \end{aligned}$$

we have $u_n \rightarrow u^*$ and $v_n \rightarrow u^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3.3 Assume (H_1) , (H_2) hold, and suppose that

(H_5) For $\forall \lambda \in (0, 1), t \in [0, 1], u, v \in [0, +\infty)$, there exists a constant $\mu \in (0, 1)$ such that $g(t, \lambda u) \geq \lambda^\mu g(t, u)$, and $f(t, \lambda u, \lambda^{-1}v) \geq \lambda f(t, u, v)$.

(H_6) There exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $f(t, u, v) \leq \delta_0 g(t, u)$ for $\forall t \in [0, 1]$ and $u, v \geq 0$.

Then:

(1) The boundary value problems (1.1) has a unique positive solution $x^* \in P_h$, where $h(t) = t^{n-1}$ and $n = [\alpha] + 1$;

(2) There exist $u_0, v_0 \in P_h$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $rv_0 \leq u_0 \leq v_0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &\leq \int_0^1 G(t, s)(f(s, u_0(s), v_0(s)) + g(s, u_0(s)))ds, \\ v_0 &\geq \int_0^1 G(t, s)(f(s, v_0(s), u_0(s)) + g(s, v_0(s)))ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $G(t, s)$ is defined by (2.3).

(3) For any initial value $u_0, v_0 \in P_h$, constructing successively sequences

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n+1} &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)(f(s, u_n(s), v_n(s)) + g(s, u_n(s)))ds, \\ v_{n+1} &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)(f(s, v_n(s), u_n(s)) + g(s, v_n(s)))ds, \end{aligned}$$

we have $u_n \rightarrow u^*$ and $v_n \rightarrow u^*$ as $n \rightarrow 0$.

Proof Firstly, from (H_1) and (H_2) , we obtain that $A : P \times P \rightarrow P$ is a mixed monotone operator and $B : P \rightarrow P$ is increasing. For $\forall \lambda \in (0, 1), u, v \in P$, from (H_5) , we can know that

$$\begin{aligned} A(\lambda u, \lambda^{-1}v) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, \lambda u(s), \lambda^{-1}v(s))ds \\ &\geq \lambda \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, u(s), v(s))ds = \lambda A(u, v)(t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B(\lambda u)(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)g(s, \lambda u(s))ds \geq \lambda^\mu \int_0^1 G(t, s)g(s, u(s))ds \\ &= \lambda^\mu Bu(t). \end{aligned}$$

From (H₂) and (H₆), we can deduce that

$$g(s, 0) \geq \frac{1}{\delta_0} f(s, 0, 1), \quad f(s, 1, 0) \geq f(s, 0, 1), \quad \forall s \in (0, 1).$$

Since $f(t, 0, 1) \not\equiv 0$, it is obvious that

$$\int_0^1 f(s, 1, 0)ds \geq \int_0^1 f(s, 0, 1)ds > 0 \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 g(s, 1)ds \geq \int_0^1 g(s, 0)ds \geq \frac{1}{\delta_0} \int_0^1 f(s, 0, 1)ds > 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Secondly, by employing Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{n-m-1} s^{\alpha-n} f(s, 1, 0)ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 [1 - (1-s)^m](1-s)^{n-m-1} s^{\alpha-n} f(s, 0, 1)ds > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{n-m-1} s^{\alpha-n} g(s, 1)ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 [1 - (1-s)^m](1-s)^{n-m-1} s^{\alpha-n} g(s, 0)ds > 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain that $A(h_0, h_0) \in P_h$ and $B(h_0) \in P_h$. Furthermore, from (H₆), for $\forall u, v \in P$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$A(u, v)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, u(s), v(s))ds \leq \delta_0 \int_0^1 G(t, s)g(s, u(s))ds = \delta_0 Bu(t). \tag{3.6}$$

Finally, an application of Lemma 2.7 implies that there exist $u_0, v_0 \in P_h$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $rv_0 \leq u_0 < v_0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &\leq \int_0^1 G(t, s)(f(s, u_0(s), v_0(s)) + g(s, u_0(s)))ds, \\ v_0 &\geq \int_0^1 G(t, s)(f(s, v_0(s), u_0(s)) + g(s, v_0(s)))ds. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the boundary value problem (1.1) for conformable fractional differential equations has a unique solution $u^* \in P_h$. For any initial values $x_0, y_0 \in P_h$, constructing successively the sequences

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n+1} &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)(f(s, u_n(s), v_n(s)) + g(s, u_n(s)))ds, \\ v_{n+1} &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)(f(s, v_n(s), u_n(s)) + g(s, v_n(s)))ds, \end{aligned}$$

we have $u_n \rightarrow u^*$ and $v_n \rightarrow u^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Corollary 3.4 *Let $f(t, u, v) \equiv 0$. Assume that g satisfied all the conditions of Theorem 3.3 and $g(t, 0) \neq 0$ for $t \in (0, 1)$. Then, the following boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} -T_{\alpha}^{0+}u(t) = g(t, u(t)), & 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad n - 1 \leq \alpha \leq n; \\ u^{(i)}(0) = 0, & i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n - 2; \\ [T_{\beta}^{0+}u(t)]_{t=1} = 0, & m - 1 \leq \beta \leq m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1 \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

has a unique positive solution $u^* \in P_h$; Moreover, for any initial values $u_0, \in P_h$, constructing successively the sequences

$$u_{n+1} = \int_0^1 G(t, s)g(s, u_n(s))ds, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

one has $u_n \rightarrow u^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

4. Applications

In this section, we conclude this article with the following two examples.

Example 4.1 Let $\alpha = \frac{9}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{5}{2}$. We consider the following two-point boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} -T_{\frac{9}{2}}^{0+}x(t) = 2(x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} + t^2 + (x(t) + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 1, & t \in (0, 1), \\ x(0) = x'(0) = x''(0) = x'''(0) = 0, \\ T_{\frac{5}{2}}^{0+}x(1) = \frac{1}{1+x(1)}. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Conclusion. BVP (4.1) has a unique positive solution x^* in P_{h_1} , where $h_1(t) = t^4$.

Proof Let $g(t, x) = (x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} + t^2$ and $g(t, 0) = t^2 \neq 0$, $f(t, x, y) = (x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} + (y(t) + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 1$. Clearly, $g : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, $f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous. And it is easy to see that $g(t, x)$ is increasing in $x \in [0, +\infty)$ for fixed $t \in (0, 1)$, $f(t, x, y)$ is increasing in $x \in [0, +\infty)$ for fixed $t \in (0, 1)$ and $y \in [0, +\infty)$, decreasing in $y \in [0, +\infty)$ for fixed $t \in (0, 1)$ and $x \in [0, +\infty)$. In addition, $\forall \lambda \in (0, 1)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(t, \lambda x) &= (\lambda x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} + t^2 \geq \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}}(x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} + \lambda t^2 \\ &\geq \lambda((x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} + t^2)g(t, x) = \lambda g(t, x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, \lambda x, \lambda^{-1}y) &\geq \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}}(x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(y(t) + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \\ &\geq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}((x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(y(t) + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 1) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}f(t, x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, setting $\delta_0 = 1$, we have

$$f(t, x, y) = (x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} + (y(t) + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \geq (x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} + t^2 = \delta_0 g(t, x).$$

By employing Theorem 3.1, we know that BVP (4.1) has a unique positive solution x^* in P_{h_1} , where $h_1(t) = t^4$. \square

Example 4.2 Let $\alpha = \frac{9}{4}$ and $\beta = \frac{3}{2}$. We consider two points boundary value problem for the conformable fractional differential equation as follows:

$$\begin{cases} -T_{\frac{9}{4}}^{0+} x(t) = 2 + 2(x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} + \cos^2 t + \frac{1}{x(t)+1}, & t \in (0, 1), \\ x(0) = x'(0) = 0, \\ [T_{\frac{3}{2}}^{0+} x(t)]_{t=1} = \frac{1}{1+x(1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

Conclusion. BVP (4.2) has a unique positive solution x^* in P_{h_2} , where $h_2(t) = t^2$.

Proof Let $g(t, x) = 2 + (x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $f(t, x, y) = \cos^2 t + (x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{y+1}$. Evidently, $g : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ and $f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous. And it is easy to verify that $g(t, x)$ is increasing in $x \in [0, +\infty)$ for fixed $t \in (0, 1)$, $f(t, x, y)$ is increasing in $x \in [0, +\infty)$ for fixed $t \in (0, 1)$ and $y \in [0, +\infty)$ and decreasing in $y \in [0, +\infty)$ for fixed $t \in (0, 1)$ and $x \in [0, +\infty)$. Moreover, we get

$$g(t, \lambda x) = 2 + (\lambda x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} \geq \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} 2 + \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} (x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} g(t, x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, \lambda x, \lambda^{-1} y) &= \cos^2 t + (\lambda x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{-1} y + 1} \\ &\geq \lambda \cos^2 t + \lambda (x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{\lambda}{y + 1} \geq \lambda f(t, x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $f(t, 0, 1) = \cos^2 t + \frac{1}{2} \neq 0$, setting $\delta_0 = 1$, we obtain

$$f(t, x, y) = \cos^2 t + (x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{y+1} \leq 2 + (x(t))^{\frac{1}{4}} = \delta_0 g(t, x).$$

In consequence, an application of Theorem 3.3 implies that BVP (4.2) has a unique positive solution x^* in P_{h_2} , where $h_2(t) = t^2$. \square

Acknowledgements We thank the referees for their time and comments.

References

- [1] Yuji LIU. *General solutions of a higher order impulsive fractional differential equation involving the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives*. J. Math. Res. Appl., 2020, **40**(2): 140–164.
- [2] H. WEITZNER, G. M. ZASLAVSKY. *Some applications of fractional equations*. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., 2003, **8**(3-4): 273–281.
- [3] C. S. GOODRICH. *Existence of a positive solution to a class of fractional differential equations*. Appl. Math. Lett., 2010, **23**(9): 1050–1055.
- [4] J. MOHAMED, S. BESSEM. *Existence of positive solutions to an arbitrary order fractional differential equation via a mixed monotone operator method*. Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control., 2015, **20**(3): 367–376.
- [5] Chengjun YUAN. *Multiple positive solutions for $(n - 1, 1)$ -type semipositone conjugate boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations*. Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ., 2010, **36**: 1–12.
- [6] Limin GUO, Lishan LIU, Yonghong WU. *Uniqueness of iterative positive solutions for the singular fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions*. Bound. Value Probl., 2016, **147**: 1–20.
- [7] C. S. GOODRICH. *Existence of a positive solution to systems of differential equations of fractional order*. Comput. Math. Appl., 2011, **62**(3): 1251–1268.
- [8] Zhanbing BAI, Haishen LU. *Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2005, **311**(2): 495–505.

- [9] Hui WANG, Lingling ZHANG. *The solution for a class of sum operator equation and its application to fractional differential equation boundary value problems*. Bound. Value Probl., 2015, 2015:203, 16 pp.
- [10] Bibo ZHOU, Lingling ZHANG, E. ADDAI, et al. *Multiple positive solutions for nonlinear high-order Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations boundary value problems with p -Laplacian operator*. Bound. Value Probl., 2020, Paper No. 26, 17 pp.
- [11] B. OANA, K. EVA. *Stability analysis of multi-term fractional-differential equations with three fractional derivatives*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2021, **495**(2): Paper No. 124751, 22 pp.
- [12] Chengbo ZHAI, Weiping YAN, Chen YANG. *A sum operator method for the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions to Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equation boundary value problems*. Commun. Nonlinear Sci., 2013, **18**(4): 858–866.
- [13] Lily Li LIU, Xinqiu ZHANG, Lishan LIU, et al. *Iterative positive solutions for singular nonlinear fractional differential equation with integral boundary conditions*. Adv. Difference Equ., 2016, Paper No. 154, 13 pp.
- [14] Yan QIAO, Zongfu ZHOU. *Existence of positive solutions of singular fractional differential equations with infinite-point boundary conditions*. Adv. Difference Equ., 2017, Paper No. 8, 9 pp.
- [15] R. KHALIL, M. A. HORANI, A. YOUSEF, et al. *A new definition of fractional derivative*. J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2014, **264**: 65–70.
- [16] B. BAYOUR, D. F. M. TORRES. *Existence of solution to a local fractional nonlinear differential equation*. J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2017, **312**: 127–133.
- [17] Chunlei HE, Shoujun HUNG, Chunping XIA, et al. *New exact solutions to the nonlinear Zoomeron equation with local conformable time-fractional derivative*. J. Math. Res. Appl., 2020, **40**(3): 287–296.
- [18] Chengbo ZHAI, Mengru HAO. *Fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators with perturbation and applications to fractional differential equation boundary value problems*. Nonlinear Anal., 2012, **75**(4): 2542–2551.