

Some Notes on Solvability of LPDO*

Niu Peipin Lo Xuobo

(牛培平) (罗学波)

(Lanzhou University)

The purpose of this paper is to discuss how the lower order terms of a LPDO with multiple characteristics influence the solvability of the operators. We begin with the following operator:

$$L(x, D) = D_1^2 + x_1^2 D_2^2 + p D_2 + q D_1 + c$$

where p, q, c are assumed be complex constants.

Theorem 1 The operator L will be locally solvable for any q, c , if either $|\operatorname{Re} p| < 1$ or $\operatorname{Im} p = 0$.

Proof (i) Suppose $|\operatorname{Re} p| < 1$. Let ω be a neighborhood of the zero in \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\omega)$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} |(L\varphi, \varphi)| &= |((1 - |\operatorname{Re} p|)(D_1^2 + x_1^2 D_2^2)\varphi, \varphi) + |\operatorname{Re} p| \cdot ((D_1^2 + x_1^2 D_2^2 + \operatorname{Sign} \operatorname{Re} p \cdot D_2)\varphi, \varphi) \\ &\quad + i \operatorname{Im} p (D_2 \varphi, \varphi) + q(D_1 \varphi, \varphi) + c(\varphi, \varphi)| \geq |((1 - \operatorname{Re} p)(D_1^2 + x_1^2 D_2^2)\varphi, \varphi) + |\operatorname{Re} p| \\ &\quad \cdot ((D_1^2 + x_1^2 D_2^2 + \operatorname{Sign} \operatorname{Re} p) \varphi, \varphi) + i \operatorname{Im} p (D_2 \varphi, \varphi)| - |q(D_1 \varphi, \varphi)| - |c(\varphi, \varphi)|. \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$((D_1^2 + x_1^2 D_2^2)\varphi, \varphi) = \|D_1 \varphi\|^2 + \|D_2(x_1 \varphi)\|^2 \geq \|D_1 \varphi\|^2 \quad (2)$$

and that

$$((D_1^2 + x_1^2 D_2^2 + \operatorname{Sign} \operatorname{Re} p \cdot D_2)\varphi, \varphi) = \begin{cases} \|D_1 + ix_1 D_2\varphi\|^2 & \text{for } \operatorname{Re} p > 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } \operatorname{Re} p = 0, \\ \|D_1 - ix_1 D_2\varphi\|^2 & \text{for } \operatorname{Re} p < 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$|\operatorname{Re} p| ((D_1^2 + x_1^2 D_2^2 + \operatorname{Sign} \operatorname{Re} p \cdot D_2)\varphi, \varphi) \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

Since $(D_2 \varphi, \varphi) = (\varphi, D_2 \varphi) = \overline{(D_2 \varphi, \varphi)}$ hence $\operatorname{Im}(D_2 \varphi, \varphi) = 0$, namely, $(D_2 \varphi, \varphi)$ is real. From this and (1), (2), (3), we obtain:

*Received Dec. 30, 1981.

$$|(L\varphi, \varphi)| \geq \sqrt{(1 - \operatorname{Re} p)^2 \|D_1\varphi\|^2 + |\operatorname{Im} p(D_2\varphi, \varphi)|^2} - |q(D_1\varphi, \varphi)|^2 - |c| \|\varphi\|^2 \quad (4)$$

Let $\varepsilon = \max |x'_1 - x'_2|$, $(x'_1, x'_2) \in \omega$, $(x''_1, x''_2) \in \omega$. It is easy to see that $\|D_1\varphi\| \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\varphi\|$, $\forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\omega)$. Making use of Cauchy's inequality, we obtain $\|L\varphi\| \geq c_0 \|\varphi\|$, for some constant $c_0 > 0$, $\forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\omega)$, which implies the local solvability of the operator L .

(ii) Suppose $\operatorname{Im} p \neq 0$. Denote $A = \|D_1\varphi\|^2 + \|x_1 D_2\varphi\|^2 + P(D_2\varphi, \varphi)$. Note that $(D_2\varphi, \varphi)$ is real, as has been shown. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &= \sqrt{(\|D_1\varphi\|^2 + \|x_1 D_2\varphi\|^2 + \operatorname{Re} p(D_2\varphi, \varphi)) + |\operatorname{Im} p(D_2\varphi, \varphi)|^2} \\ &\geq \|D_1\varphi\|^2 + \|x_1 D_2\varphi\|^2 - |\operatorname{Re} p| \cdot |(D_2\varphi, \varphi)| \end{aligned}$$

and $|A| \geq |\operatorname{Im} p| \cdot |(D_2\varphi, \varphi)|$. It follows that $|A| \geq \frac{|\operatorname{Im} p|}{|\operatorname{Im} p| + |\operatorname{Re} p|} \|D_1\varphi\|^2$. Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |(L\varphi, \varphi)| &\geq |A| - |q(D_1\varphi, \varphi)| - |c| \|\varphi\|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{|\operatorname{Im} p|}{|\operatorname{Re} p| + |\operatorname{Im} p|} \|D_1\varphi\|^2 - |q| \cdot \|D_1\varphi\| \cdot \|\varphi\| - |c| \|\varphi\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Applying the same argument as in the case (i) we obtain $\|L\varphi\| \geq c_0 \|\varphi\|^2$, for some constant $c_0 > 0$ and for every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\omega)$, provided that the size of ω is chosen to be sufficiently small. Thus, the proof of "theorem 1" has been completed.

It is easy to see that "theorem 1" can also be proved when p, q, c are functions belonging to $L_\infty(\omega_0)$, where ω_0 is a neighborhood of zero. This theorem shows that the lower order terms $qD + c$ do not have any influence on the solvability of L , when either $|\operatorname{Re} p| < 1$ or $\operatorname{Im} p \neq 0$.

On the other hand, it is well known that the operator $D_1^2 + x_1^2 D_2^2 + D_2$ is nonsolvable[2]. If we add the lower order term $qD + c$ to this operator, what influence will they have on the solvability? To answer this question, we give the following:

Theorem 2 Let $L(x, D) = D_1^2 + x_1^2 D_2^2 \pm D_2 + C$, namely, $p = \pm 1$, $q = 0$. If either $\operatorname{Re} c > 0$ or $\operatorname{Im} c \neq 0$, then $L(x, D)$ is locally solvable.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof } |(L\varphi, \varphi)| &= |(\langle D_1 \pm ix_1 D_2 \rangle \varphi)^2 + \operatorname{Re} c \|\varphi\|^2 + i \operatorname{Im} c \|\varphi\|^2| \\ &= \sqrt{(\|D_1 \pm ix_1 D_2\| \varphi)^2 + \operatorname{Re} c \|\varphi\|^2 + (\operatorname{Im} c \|\varphi\|)^2} \\ &\geq \max(|\operatorname{Im} c|, \operatorname{Re} c) \|\varphi\|^2 = c_0 \|\varphi\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

From the conditions given to c , it is obvious that $\max(|\operatorname{Im} c|, \operatorname{Re} c) > 0$, $c_0 > 0$. Therefore we obtain:

$$\|L\varphi\| \geq c_0 \|\varphi\| \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\omega).$$

Hence the proof is completed.

It follows from "theorem 2" that the lowest order term c can play a crucial role in the solvability of L . After the change of unknown function $v = e^{i \frac{q}{2} x_1} u$ and by "theorem 2", we obtain the following.

Theorem 3 The operator $D_1^2 + x_1^2 D_2^2 \pm D + qD_1 + c$ is locally solvable, if either $\operatorname{Re}\left(-\frac{q^2}{4} + c\right) > 0$ or $\operatorname{Im}\left(-\frac{p}{4} + c\right) \neq 0$.

In the same way as in the proof of theorem 2, we can also obtain the following.

Theorem 4 Let $L(x, D) = L_0(x, D) + R(x, D) + iQ(x, D) + L_1(x, D)$. Suppose that

- (a) $(L_0\varphi, \varphi) \geq 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\omega);$
- (b) either $(R\varphi, \varphi) \geq c' \|\varphi\|^2$ for some constant $c' > 0$, or $|(Q\varphi, \varphi)| \geq c'' \|\varphi\|^2$ for some constant $c'' > 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}(Q\varphi, \varphi) = 0$,
- (c) there is a constant $c_1 < 1$ such that

$$(L_1\varphi, \varphi) \leq c_1 |(L_0 + R + iQ)\varphi, \varphi|.$$

Then the operator $L(x, D)$ is locally solvable. In particular, choose $L = A'A + c$, where A is a nonsolvable operator and c is a constant.

Note that the $A'A$ is nonsolvable when $c = 0$, but L is solvable when either $\operatorname{Re} c > 0$ or $\operatorname{Im} c \neq 0$. Thus, we have seen that the lowest order term c may have a crucial influence on the solvability of L .

Acknowledgement:

We thank Professor C. Y. Chen (陈庆益) for his interest and encouragement.

References

- [1] Hörmander, L., Linear Partial Differential Operators, Springer, Berlin 1964.
- [2] Treves, F., Pseudo-Differential Operators and Its Application, Oct., 1978, Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica.