

## Some Notes on Submanifolds of an Euclidean Space with Conformal Gauss Map\*

Ouyang Chongzhen

(Jiangxi University)

Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $m$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $i: M \rightarrow E^n$  an isometric immersion of  $(M, g)$  into an  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $E^n$ . Let  $V \subset M$  be an open set in which the immersion  $i: M \rightarrow E^n$  is given by  $x^h = x^h(y^a)$ , ( $h = 1, \dots, n$ ;  $a = 1, \dots, m$ ). Here and in the sequel  $x^h$  ( $h = 1, \dots, n$ ) are rectangular coordinates of  $E^n$  and  $y^a$  ( $a = 1, \dots, m$ ) are local coordinates of a generic point in  $V$ . The tangent plane  $iM_p = i(M_p)$ ,  $p \in V$ , of  $iM$  can be considered after a suitable parallel displacement as a point  $\Gamma_i(p)$  of the Grassmann manifold  $G(m, n-m)$ . The mapping  $\Gamma: iM \rightarrow G(m, n-m)$ ,  $ip \mapsto \Gamma(ip) = \Gamma_i(p)$  is called the Gauss map. The mapping  $\Gamma_i: M \rightarrow G(m, n-m)$ ,  $p \mapsto \Gamma_i(p)$  is called the Gauss map associated with the immersion  $i$ , and  $\Gamma_i(M) = \Gamma(iM)$  the Gauss image of  $M$ .<sup>[1]</sup>

Assume  $M$  be a connected  $C^\infty$  manifold and the map  $\Gamma_i$  be regular. Let  $g_i$  be the Riemannian metric induced from  $E^n$  on  $iM$  and  $G_i$  be the Riemannian metric induced from the standard Riemannian metric  $\tilde{g}$  of  $G(m, n-m)$  on  $\Gamma_i(M)$ . If  $G_i$  equals  $e^{2\rho}g_i$  for some  $C^\infty$  function  $\rho$ , namely,  $\Gamma_i: (iM, g_i) \rightarrow (\Gamma(iM), G_i)$  is a conformal mapping, then the Gauss map  $\Gamma_i$  is said to be conformal. Particularly, if  $\rho$  is constant,  $\Gamma_i$  is said to be homothetic. Y. Muto [2] studied submanifolds in  $E^n$  with homothetic Gauss map. In this paper we study submanifolds in  $E^n$  with conformal Gauss map and obtain the following results.

**Theorem 1** Let  $i: (M, g) \rightarrow E^n$  be an isometric immersion of an  $m$ -dimensional locally indecomposable Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  in  $E^n$ . Assume that the Gauss map  $\Gamma_i: M \rightarrow G(m, n-m)$  is regular. Let  $G_i$  be the Riemannian metric induced on  $\Gamma_i(M)$ . Then,

- (1)  $\Gamma_i: M \rightarrow G(m, n-m)$  is conformal if and only if  $G_i$  is recurrent in  $(M, g)$ .
- (2)  $\Gamma_i: M \rightarrow G(m, n-m)$  is homothetic if and only if  $G_i$  is parallel in  $(M, g)$ .

\* Received Nov. 9, 1982.

**Theorem 2** Let  $i: (M, g) \rightarrow E^n$  be an isometric immersion of an  $m$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  in  $E^n$  ( $n > m \geq 3$ ). Assume that the Gauss map  $\Gamma_i: M \rightarrow G(m, n-m)$  is conformal, and the Gauss image  $\Gamma_i(M)$  is totally umbilical and not totally geodesic. Then immersion  $i$  is pseudo-umbilical if and only if  $(M, g)$  has the constant sectional curvature.

**Remark** If  $\Gamma_i(M)$  is totally geodesic, then it is locally symmetric. Assume that  $\Gamma_i$  is homothetic. Then  $(M, g)$  is also locally symmetric. (see [2] Theorem 3.2)

**Theorem 3** Let  $i: (M, g) \rightarrow E^n$  be as above. Assume that  $\Gamma_i: M \rightarrow G(m, n-m)$  is conformal. If  $i$  is minimal, then  $\Gamma_i$  is homothetic, namely  $G_i = c^2 g_i$ . If moreover  $\Gamma_i(M)$  is totally umbilical and not totally geodesic, then  $(M, g)$  has the negative constant sectional curvature  $K = -\frac{c^2}{m-1}$ . Conversely, if  $(M, g)$  has the negative constant sectional curvature  $K$  and  $G_i = -(m-1)Kg_i$ , then the immersion  $i$  is minimal.

The outline of the proofs:

Let  $g_{\mu\lambda}$  be the components of the metric  $g_i$  of  $iM$  on  $V$ ,  $H_{\mu\lambda}^h$  ( $\mu, \lambda = 1, \dots, m$ ;  $h = 1, \dots, n$ ) the components of the second fundamental form of  $iM$ , and  $G_{\mu\lambda}$  ( $\mu, \lambda = 1, \dots, m$ ) the components of the metric  $G_i$  of  $\Gamma_i(M)$ . We have

$$G_{\mu\lambda} = \Sigma_h g^{\alpha\beta} H_{\mu\alpha}^h H_{\lambda\beta}^h, \quad (1)$$

where  $g^{\alpha\beta}$  ( $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, m$ ) is the contravariant components of  $g_i$ .

Assume that the metric  $G_i$  of  $\Gamma_i(M)$  is recurrent in  $(M, g)$ , namely, in each  $V$ ,

$$\nabla_a G_{\mu\lambda} = a_a G_{\mu\lambda}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\nabla$  represents covariant differentiation in  $(M, g)$  and  $a_a$  ( $a = 1, \dots, m$ ) are the components of some vector field on  $M$ . If  $(M, g)$  is locally indecomposable, then

$$G_{\mu\lambda} = \rho g_{\mu\lambda} \quad (3)$$

for some function  $\rho$ , namely,  $(\Gamma_i(M), G_i)$  is conformal to  $(M, g)$ . Hence,  $\Gamma_i: (M, g) \rightarrow (\Gamma_i(M), G_i)$  is conformal. If  $G_i$  is parallel in  $(M, g)$ , namely, in each  $V$ ,

$$\nabla_a G_{\mu\lambda} = 0, \quad (4)$$

then we have (3) with  $\rho = \text{const.}$ . Hence,  $\Gamma_i$  is homothetic. The converses are obvious.

It is shown that the totally umbilical submanifold of a locally symmetric Riemannian manifold is conformally flat if it is not totally geodesic.  $G(m, n-m)$  is locally symmetric. Therefore, if  $\Gamma_i(M)$  is totally umbilical and not totally geodesic, then  $\Gamma_i(M)$  is conformally flat.

Assume that  $iM$  is pseudo-umbilical, namely,

$$\Sigma_h H_{\mu\lambda}^h H^h = \theta g_{\mu\lambda}, \quad (5)$$

for some function  $\theta$ , where  $H^h = \frac{1}{m} g^{\mu\lambda} H_{\mu\lambda}^h$  are the components of the mean curvature vector of  $iM$ . Using the Gauss equation, we can show that  $(M, g)$  is an Einstein manifold. Because  $\Gamma_i$  is conformal,  $(M, g)$  is conformally flat. Hence,  $(M, g)$  has the constants sectional curvature.

Conversely, if  $(M, g)$  has the constant sectional curvature  $K$ , then from the Gauss equation and  $G_{\mu\lambda} = e^{2\rho} g_{\mu\lambda}$  we can obtain (5) which proves that  $i: (M, g) \rightarrow E^n$  is pseudo-umbilical.

The immersion  $i: (M, g) \rightarrow E^n$  is minimal if and only if  $H^h = 0$ ,  $(h = 1, \dots, n)$ . Then we can prove the theorem 3.

### References

- [1] Muto Y., *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 32(1978), 85—100.
- [2] Muto Y., *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 32(1980), 531—555.

2.2.2.