

An Example of the 2-Uniformly Rotund Banach Spaces*

Zang Erbin (威尔彬)

(The First Ground Artillery School, Nanjing)

The conception of the k -UR (k -uniformly rotund) Banach spaces was given by F. Sullivan in 1979^[1]. He pointed out that there exist some Banach spaces which are not $(k-1)$ -UR but k -UR. It is easily to show such spaces if they are not rotund.

In this note an example is given in which the Banach space is not 1-UR (i. e., UR) but rotund and 2-UR.

In[4] we gave an equivalent form of the k -uniform rotundity:

A real Banach space X is 2-UR if and only if for any sequences $\{x^{(m)}\}$, $\{y^{(m)}\}$ and $\{z^{(m)}\}$ of X , if $\|x^{(m)}\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|y^{(m)}\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|z^{(m)}\| \rightarrow 1$ and $\|x^{(m)} + y^{(m)} + z^{(m)}\| \rightarrow 3$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\sup \left\{ \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ f(x^{(m)}) & f(y^{(m)}) & f(z^{(m)}) \\ g(x^{(m)}) & g(y^{(m)}) & g(z^{(m)}) \end{vmatrix} : f, g \in B(X^*) \right\} \rightarrow 0$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, where $B(X^*)$ is the dual unit ball.

Let $X = l^2$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in X$, $B(X)$ be the convex body defined by

$$B(X) = \left\{ x \in X : \text{if } \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4} \leq |x_1| \leq 1, \text{ then } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^2 \leq 1 \text{ and} \right.$$

$$\left. \text{if } |x_1| \leq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}, \text{ then } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{x_n^2}{\left(\sqrt{n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}} - x_1^2 - (n-1)\right)^2} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The Minkowsky functional of $B(X)$ is referred to as a new norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ of X , i. e., $B(X)$ is the unit ball in $(X, \|\cdot\|_*)$ (The origin norm of X is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_2$).

Now we prove that the Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|_*)$ is not UR but R and 2-UR.

Lemma 1 Suppose $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in B(X)$, $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, \dots) \in B(X)$,

* Received July 12, 1982.

$$|x_1| \leq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}, |y_1| \leq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4} \text{ and}$$

$$f_n(t) = \frac{(tx_n + (1-t)y_n)^2}{\left(\sqrt{n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}} - (tx_1 + (1-t)y_1)^2 - (n-1)\right)^2}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad n=2,3,\dots \quad (1)$$

If neither $x_1 - y_1 = x_n - y_n = 0$ nor $x_n = y_n = 0$, then $f_n(t)$ is a strictly convex function in the unit interval.

Proof For $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $|tx_1 + (1-t)y_1| \leq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}$, and so

$$\sqrt{n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}} - (tx_1 + (1-t)y_1)^2 \geq n - \frac{1}{4}. \quad (2)$$

Differentiating $f_n(t)$ twice and noting (by (2))

$$\left(n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2} - (tx_1 + (1-t)y_1)^2\right)^{3/2} - (n-1)\left(n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}\right) > 1.$$

We can get $f_n''(t) > 0$ if neither $x_1 - y_1 = x_n - y_n = 0$ nor $x_n = y_n = 0$.

Proposition 1 $(X, \|\cdot\|_*)$ is rotund.

Proof Suppose $x \neq y$, $\|x\|_* = \|y\|_* = 1$. What we need to prove is $\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|_* < 1$.

It can be proved in three cases:

$$(i) |x_1| \leq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4} \text{ and } |y_1| \leq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}, \quad (ii) |x_1| \geq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4} \text{ and } |y_1| \geq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4};$$

$$(iii) |x_1| > \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4} \text{ and } |y_1| < \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4} \text{ or } |x_1| < \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4} \text{ and } |y_1| > \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}.$$

It is trivial to prove $\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|_* < 1$ in the cases (i) and (ii). For the case (iii), without loss of generality, we can assume

$$x_1 > \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4} \text{ and } -\frac{\sqrt{7}}{4} < y_1 < \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}. \text{ Let } \lambda = \frac{\frac{\sqrt{7}}{4} - y_1}{x_1 - y_1},$$

$$\beta^2 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\lambda x_n + (1-\lambda)y_n)^2, \quad z_1 = \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}, \quad z_n = \frac{3}{4\beta} (\lambda x_n + (1-\lambda)y_n) \quad (n=2,3,\dots),$$

$$z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, \dots) \text{ and } w = \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y.$$

Then

$$\|w\|_* < \|z\|_* = 1.$$

For $0 < t < 1$, we have

$$\|tw + (1-t)x\|_* = \|tw + (1-t)x\|_2 \leq t\|w\|_2 + (1-t)\|x\|_2 < 1 \quad (3)$$

and (by lemma 1)

$$\|tw + (1-t)x\|_* < \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(t|z_n| + (1-t)y_n)^2}{\left(\sqrt{n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}} - (tx_1 + (1-t)y_1)^2 - (n-1)\right)^2} < 1. \quad (4)$$

From (3) and (4), it is not difficult to prove $\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\|_* < 1$.

Proposition 2 $(X, \|\cdot\|_*)$ is not uniformly rotund. In fact, $(X, \|\cdot\|_*)$ is not URED (URED=uniformly rotund in every direction)^[1].

Proof Let $\{x^{(m)}; m=2,3,\dots\}$ and $\{y^{(m)}; m=2,3,\dots\}$ be the two sequences of unit vectors in $(X, \|\cdot\|_*)$, where $x^{(m)} = (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, \dots, x_n^{(m)}, \dots)$, $y^{(m)} = (y_1^{(m)}, y_2^{(m)}, \dots, y_n^{(m)}, \dots)$ ($m=2,3,\dots$) and

$$x_n^{(m)} = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4} & \text{when } n=1, \\ \frac{4}{3} & \text{when } n=m, \\ 0 & \text{or else;} \end{cases} \quad y_n^{(m)} = \begin{cases} -\frac{\sqrt{7}}{4} & \text{when } n=1, \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{when } n=m, \\ 0 & \text{or else.} \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\left\| \frac{x^{(m)} + y^{(m)}}{2} \right\|_* = \frac{\frac{3}{4}}{\sqrt{m^2 - \frac{1}{2}m + \frac{1}{2} - (m-1)}} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$x^{(m)} - y^{(m)} = \frac{\sqrt{7}}{2} e_1,$$

where $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$. Therefore $(X, \|\cdot\|_*)$ is not URED and so is not UR.

Lemma 2 Let N be a fixed natural number, $x, y \in B(X)$, $|x_1| \leq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}$ and $|y_1| \leq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}$. For every $\delta_1 > 0$, there is $\delta_2(\delta_1, N) > 0$ such that if $|x_n - y_n| > 2\delta_1$ for some $n \leq N$

$$\frac{1}{2}(f_n(0) + f_n(1)) - f_n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \geq \delta_2,$$

where $f_n(t)$ is defined in (1).

Proof The lemma can be proved in two cases.

(1) $\left| \frac{x_n + y_n}{2} \right| \leq \min(|x_n|, |y_n|)$.

By lemma 1, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(f_n(0) + f_n(1)) - f_n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &\geq \frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(x_n^2 - \left(\frac{x_n + y_n}{2}\right)^2\right)}{\left(\sqrt{n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2} - x_n^2} - (n-1)\right)^2} + \\ &+ \frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(y_n^2 - \left(\frac{x_n + y_n}{2}\right)^2\right)}{\left(\sqrt{n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2} - y_n^2} - (n-1)\right)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $|x_n| \geq |y_n|$, then $|x_n| - \left| \frac{x_n + y_n}{2} \right| = \left| \frac{x_n - y_n}{2} \right| > \delta_1$ and $|x_n|^2 - \left| \frac{x_n + y_n}{2} \right|^2 > \delta_1^2$;

and if $|y_n| > |x_n|$ then $|y_n|^2 - \left| \frac{x_n + y_n}{2} \right|^2 > \delta_1^2$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{2}(f_n(0) + f_n(1)) - f_n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) > \frac{1}{2}\delta_1^2.$$

$$(ii) \left| \frac{x_n + y_n}{2} \right| > \min(|x_n|, |y_n|).$$

Without loss of generality, $\left| \frac{x_n + y_n}{2} \right| > |y_n|$, and so $\left| \frac{x_n + y_n}{2} \right| > \frac{\delta_1}{2}$.

For the given δ_1 , there is $\delta'(\delta_1, N) > 0$ such that if $|x_1 - y_1| < \delta'$ and $n \leq N$

$$\left| \frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2} - x_1^2 - (n-1)}\right)^2} - \frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2} - y_1^2 - (n-1)}\right)^2} \right| < \frac{\delta_1^2}{2}.$$

Thus, for $|x_1 - y_1| < \delta'$,

$$\frac{1}{2}(f_n(0) + f_n(1)) - f_n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \geq \left(\frac{x_n - y_n}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\delta_1^2 > \frac{1}{2}\delta_1^2.$$

If $|x_1 - y_1| \geq \delta'$, by lemma 1 for $t \in \left[\frac{3}{8}, \frac{5}{8}\right]$, we have

$$|tx_n + (1-t)y_n| > \frac{1}{4}\delta_1,$$

and so

$$f_n^*(t) \geq \frac{2\left(\frac{1}{4}\delta_1\right)^2 \cdot \delta'^2}{\left(\sqrt{N^2 - \frac{1}{2}N + \frac{1}{2}}\right)^3} = \delta_3 > 0.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{2}(f_n(0) + f_n(1)) - f_n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(f_n\left(\frac{3}{8}\right) + f_n\left(\frac{5}{8}\right)\right) - f_n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) > \frac{\delta_3}{128} > 0.$$

Taking $\delta_2 = \min\left(\frac{1}{2}\delta_1^2, \frac{\delta_3}{128}\right)$, the proof is finished.

Lemma 3 Suppose $\{x^{(m)}\}$ and $\{y^{(m)}\}$ are two sequences of unit vectors in $(X, \|\cdot\|_*)$ where $x^{(m)} = (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, \dots, x_n^{(m)}, \dots)$ and $y^{(m)} = (y_1^{(m)}, y_2^{(m)}, \dots, y_n^{(m)}, \dots)$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$).

If $\left\| \frac{x^{(m)} + y^{(m)}}{2} \right\|_* \rightarrow 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, then $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (x_n^{(m)} - y_n^{(m)})^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof If the conclusion of the lemma is false, there exist subsequences of $\{x^{(m)}\}$ and $\{y^{(m)}\}$ (we still denote them by $\{x^{(m)}\}$ and $\{y^{(m)}\}$) such that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x_n^{(m)} - y_n^{(m)}}{2}\right)^2 \geq \delta > 0. \quad (5)$$

(i) For all m , $|x_1^{(m)}| \leq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}$, $|y_1^{(m)}| \leq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}$.

For the given δ in (5) there is a natural number N such that if $n > N$ and $|a| \leq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}$.

$$\frac{16}{9} - \frac{\delta}{8} \leq \frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}} - a^2 - (n-1)\right)^2} \leq \frac{16}{9}.$$

On the other hand, according to the assumption of the lemma, there is M such that if $m > M$, $\left\| \frac{x^{(m)} + y^{(m)}}{2} \right\|_* > 1 - \frac{\delta}{8}$. Hence by lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^N \left(\frac{x_n^{(m)} - y_n^{(m)}}{2} \right)^2 > \delta - \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x_n^{(m)} - y_n^{(m)}}{2} \right)^2 \\ & \geq \delta - \frac{9}{16} \left[\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}x_n^{(m)^2}}{\left(\sqrt{n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}} - y_1^{(m)^2} - (n-1)\right)^2} + \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{\frac{1}{2}y_n^{(m)^2}}{\left(\sqrt{n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}} - x_1^{(m)^2} - (n-1)\right)^2} - \frac{\left(\frac{x_n^{(m)} + y_n^{(m)}}{2}\right)^2}{\left(\sqrt{n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{x_1^{(m)} + y_1^{(m)}}{2}\right)^2 - (n-1)\right)^2} \right) + \frac{\delta}{8} \right] \\ & \geq \delta - \frac{9}{16} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\|x^{(m)}\|_*^2 + \|y^{(m)}\|_*^2) - \left\| \frac{x^{(m)} + y^{(m)}}{2} \right\|_*^2 + \frac{\delta}{8} \right] > \frac{55}{64} \delta. \end{aligned}$$

For the given δ in (5), N is a fixed number, so there is n , $2 \leq n \leq N$ such that for infinite number of m , $m > M$.

$$\left| \frac{x_n^{(m)} - y_n^{(m)}}{2} \right|^2 > \frac{55\delta}{64N} = \delta_1^2 > \theta.$$

By lemma 2, there is $\delta_2(\delta_1, N) > 0$ such that $\left\| \frac{x^{(m)} + y^{(m)}}{2} \right\|_*^2 \leq 1 - \delta_2$ which contradicts the assumption $\left\| \frac{x^{(m)} - y^{(m)}}{2} \right\|_* \rightarrow 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii) There is infinite number of m such that $|x_1^{(m)}| \leq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}$ and $|y_1^{(m)}| \leq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}$. Choosing subsequences of $\{x^{(m)}\}$ and $\{y^{(m)}\}$, we can get the contradiction in the same way.

If for infinite number of m , $|x_1^{(m)}| \geq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}$, $|y_1^{(m)}| \geq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}$ we can get the same contradiction as usual.

(iii) For almost all m , $|x_1^{(m)}| \leq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}$ and $|y_1^{(m)}| > \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}$ or $|x_1^{(m)}| \geq \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}$ and

$|y_1^{(m)}| < \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}$. For these m , let $\lambda_m = \frac{\sqrt{7} - y_1^{(m)}}{x_1^{(m)} - y_1^{(m)}}$ and $z^{(m)} = \lambda_m x^{(m)} + (1 - \lambda_m) y^{(m)}$.

It is readily to check that $\|z^{(m)}\|_* \rightarrow 1$, $\left\| \frac{x^{(m)} + z^{(m)}}{2} \right\|_* \rightarrow 1$ and $\left\| \frac{z^{(m)} + y^{(m)}}{2} \right\|_* \rightarrow 1$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. According to the proof in(ii), $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (x_n^{(m)} - z_n^{(m)})^2 \rightarrow 0$ and $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (z_n^{(m)} - y_n^{(m)})^2 \rightarrow 0$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (x_n^{(m)} - y_n^{(m)})^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 3 $(X, \|\cdot\|_*)$ is 2-uniformly rotund.

Proof Suppose $\{x^{(m)}\}$, $\{y^{(m)}\}$ and $\{z^{(m)}\}$ are the sequences of unit vectors in $(X, \|\cdot\|_*)$ and $\|x^{(m)} + y^{(m)} + z^{(m)}\|_* \rightarrow 3$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. By lemma 3,5 in [4], what we need to prove is

$$\sup \left\{ \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ f(x^{(m)}) & f(y^{(m)}) & f(z^{(m)}) \\ g(x^{(m)}) & g(y^{(m)}) & g(z^{(m)}) \end{vmatrix} : f, g \in B(x^*) \right\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6)$$

By lemma 3, $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (x_n^{(m)} - y_n^{(m)})^2 \rightarrow 0$ and $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (x_n^{(m)} - z_n^{(m)})^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, together with

the properties of determinant, we can get (6).

Remark According to this way, some examples in which the Banach spaces are not $(k-1)$ -UR but R and k -UR can be constructed;

References

- [1] Sullivan, F., A Generalization of Uniformly Rotund Banach Spaces. *Canad. J. Math.* 31 (1979) pp 628-636.
- [2] Garkavi, A. L., The Best Possible Net and Best Possible Gross Section of a Set in a Normed Space. *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.* 26(1982) pp 81-106 (Russian).
- [3] Smith, M. A., Some Examples Concerning Rotundity in Banach Spaces. *Math. Ann.* 233 (1978) pp 155-161.
- [4] Yu Xintai, Zang Erbin and Liu Zheng, On K -UR Banach Spaces. *Journal of East China Normal University Natural Science Edition* (华东师大学报自然科学版) 1 (1981) pp1-8. (In Chinese with English abstract).