

Admissible Estimation of Parameters in Linear Model*

Liu Jian (刘坚)

(Jinan University)

Abstract

This paper considers the estimation of $f'\theta$, $\beta'c\beta$ and their linear combination by quadratic estimates in variance components model. The solution to the LaMotte's Problem (see[1]) and some interesting results on the admissible or inadmissible estimation of quantities involving θ and β are presented.

§1 Introduction

Consider variance components model

$$Y = X\beta + \varepsilon, \quad E\varepsilon\varepsilon' = \theta_1V_1 + \dots + \theta_pV_p = V_\theta \quad (1.1)$$

where $\beta \in R^h$, $\theta \in \Theta$, $\Theta \cap \{\theta; V_\theta > 0\} \neq \emptyset$. Let the risk function of $Y'AY$ be $R(A, \theta, \beta) = E(Y'AY - f'\theta - \beta'c\beta)^2$. $Y'AY$ is said to be better than $Y'BY$ iff $R(A, \theta, \beta) \leq R(B, \theta, \beta)$ for all $\beta \in R^h$ and $\theta \in \Theta$ and the inequality holds at least for one pair of $(\beta_0, \theta_0) \in R^h \times \Theta$; $Y'AY$ is said to be admissible among \mathcal{B} which is a subset of quadratic estimates iff there is no $Y'BY \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $Y'BY$ is better than $Y'AY$.

§2 Inadmissible Quadratic Estimate of Variance Components

Suppose that the variance components model is (1.1) and Y is normally distributed. Under these assumptions, it has been verified (see[1]) that if $Y'AY$ is invariant unbiased for $f'\theta$, $Y'AY$ is inadmissible among the class of invariant quadratic estimates for $f'\theta$. But he didn't establish whether $Y'AY$ is inadmissible among the class of quadratic estimates or not while Y is normally distributed.

Theorem 2.1 Suppose that the model considered here is (1.1) and Y is elliptically contoured distributed, i. e., for each $\beta \in R^h$ and $\theta \in \Theta$, there exist R and $u^{(r)}$ such that $Y \stackrel{d}{=} X\beta + RB'u^{(r)}$, where $R \geq 0$ and $u^{(h)}$ are independent, $u^{(r)}$ is uniformly

*Received Sept. 14, 1983.

distributed on the unit sphere in R^r , $0 < ER^4 < \infty$, $r = \text{rank}(V_\theta)$, $V_\theta = \frac{ER^2}{r} B' B$, R , r and B can depend on the parameters; the notation " $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$ " means that X and Y have the same distributions. Assume further that $d = \frac{rER^4}{(r+2)(ER^2)^2} \geq 1$ and $Y'AY$ is unbiased for $f'\theta$. Then $Y'AY$ is inadmissible among the class of quadratics. Furthermore, there exists a class of estimates of the form $cY'AY$ ($c \in R^1$) such that $cY'AY$ is better than $Y'AY$.

$$\text{Proof } R(cA, \theta, \beta) - R(A, \theta, \beta) = 2(c^2 - 1) \text{tr} AV_\theta AV_\theta + (c^2 - 1)(d - 1)(\text{tr} AV_\theta)^2 + (c - 1)^2 (\text{tr} AV_\theta)^2 + 4(c^2 - 1) \beta' X' AV_\theta AX \beta \leq 2(c^2 - 1) \text{tr} AV_\theta AV_\theta + n(c - 1)^2 \text{tr} AV_\theta AV_\theta \leq 0, \forall \beta \in R^h, \theta \in \Theta$$

where $d \geq 1$ and $c \in \{c: |c| < 1 \text{ and } c > \frac{n-2}{n+2}\}$. This implies that $cY'AY$ is better than $Y'AY$ and hence $Y'AY$ is inadmissible.

Note That Y is normally distributed implies $d = 1$. So theorem 2.1 holds in normal case. It is easy to see that the above proof also fits for the invariant case, so the result of LaMotte is simply a corollary of this theorem.

§3 Estimation of Quantities Involving θ and β

Let's still consider model (1.1), and the quadratic estimation of $\gamma = f'\theta + \beta'c\beta$. It is easy to see that $Y'AY$ is unbiased for γ iff $C = X'AX$ and $\text{tr} AV_i = f_i$, $i = 1, \dots, p$.

Theorem 3.1 Let Y be normally distributed. Then γ is quadratic unbiased estimable iff γ is unbiased estimable.

Proof Let $T(Y)$ be unbiased for γ and $\theta_0 \in \Theta \cap \{\theta: V_\theta > 0\}$. Choose $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ such that $a + b = 1$ and $\frac{1}{a}\theta_0 \in \Theta \cap \{\theta: V_\theta > 0\}$. Since $\|Y - X\beta\|^m \exp\left\{-\frac{b}{2}(Y - X\beta)' \cdot V_{\theta_0}^{-1}(Y - X\beta)\right\}$ is bounded above, where $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \right)' E(T(Y)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \right)' (f'\theta + \beta'c\beta) = 2c = X' \left\{ \text{const.} \int T(Y) [V_{\theta_0}^{-1}(Y - X\beta)(Y - X\beta)' V_{\theta_0}^{-1} - V_{\theta_0}^{-1}] \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(Y - X\beta)' V_{\theta_0}^{-1}(Y - X\beta)\right\} dY \right\} X.$$

So there must be some C_0 such that $C = X' C_0 X$. By Pincus Theorem (see [2])*, $f'\theta - \text{tr} P C_0 P V_\theta$ is quadratic unbiased estimable, so is γ , here $P = X X^+$.

Lemma 3.2 Let $\gamma \sim N_n(X\beta, \sigma^2 I)$, C be a symmetric matrix such that $\mathcal{Q}(C) \subset$

*) Mr. Tian-shi Su has given a new proof of this theorem by means of differentiation with respect to θ .

$\mathcal{U}(X')$. Then the MVUE of $b\sigma^2 + \beta'c\beta$ is $\hat{\beta}'c\hat{\beta} - [b - \text{tr}C(X'X)^{-1}]\hat{\sigma}^2$, where $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y$, $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-r}Y'MY$, $r = \text{rank}(X)$, $M = I - P$, $\mathcal{U}(X)$ denotes the column space of X .

Proof The density function of Y can be expressed as

$$(2\pi)^{-n/2}\sigma^{-n}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\|Y\|^2 + \|X\beta\|^2 - 2\beta'X'Y)\right\}$$

which belongs to the exponential family. Hence, $(\|Y\|^2, X'Y)$ is complete and sufficient. That $\hat{\beta}'c\hat{\beta} + [b - \text{tr}C(X'X)^{-1}]\hat{\sigma}^2$ is unbiased for $b\sigma^2 + \beta'c\beta$ and the Lehmann-Scheffe Theorem imply that $\hat{\beta}'c\hat{\beta} + [b - \text{tr}C(X'X)^{-1}]\hat{\sigma}^2$ is the MVUE of $b\sigma^2 + \beta'c\beta$.

Theorem 3.3 Suppose that the model is (1.1) and Y is normally distributed, $\theta_0 \in \Theta \cap \{\theta: V_\theta > 0\}$, and $\gamma = f'\theta + \beta'c\beta$ is unbiased estimable. Then the LMVUE at (β_0, θ_0) of γ is $\hat{\gamma} = YV_{\theta_0}^{-1}X(X'V_{\theta_0}^{-1}X)^{-1}C(X'V_{\theta_0}^{-1}X)^{-1}X'V_{\theta_0}^{-1}Y + (Y - X\beta_0)'\sum_{i=1}^p\lambda_iV_{\theta_0}^{-1}(V_i - P_{\theta_0}V_iP_{\theta_0}')V_{\theta_0}^{-1}(Y - X\beta_0)$, where $P_{\theta_0} = X(X'V_{\theta_0}^{-1}X)^{-1}X'V_{\theta_0}^{-1}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)'$ satisfies $(\text{tr}V_{\theta_0}^{-1} \cdot (V_i - P_{\theta_0}V_iP_{\theta_0}')V_{\theta_0}^{-1}V_i)\lambda = f - g$, $g_i = \text{tr}V_{\theta_0}^{-1}X(X'V_{\theta_0}^{-1}X)^{-1}C(X'V_{\theta_0}^{-1}X)^{-1}X'V_{\theta_0}^{-1}V_i$, $i = 1, \dots, p$.

Note This theorem can be verified by applying Lemma 3.2, Theorem 4.1.1 in [2] and the general theorem in estimation theory.

We have seen in section 2 that if one only considers the estimation of variance components, the unbiased quadratic estimator is inadmissible in the above sense. Whether is it true for the estimation of quantities involving θ and β ? In the following, we will present some necessary and sufficient or sufficient conditions for this. It is well known that an estimate $T(Y)$ is not always the admissible estimate for its expectation, and in some cases, its shrunken estimate is better than $T(Y)$. This method is very powerful in some problems such as Stein's and LaMotte's. But there are some exceptions as follows.

Lemma 3.4 Let the model be (1.1) and Y be normally distributed. Suppose A is a symmetric matrix such that $X'AX \neq 0$. Then there is no shrunken estimate of $Y'AY$ such that it is better than $Y'AY$.

Proof Notice that $R(cA, \theta, \beta) - R(A, \theta, \beta) = (c^2 - 1)(2\text{tr}AV_\theta AV_\theta + 4\beta'X'AV_\theta AX\beta) + (c - 1)^2(\text{tr}AV_\theta + \beta'X'AX\beta)^2 \leq 0$ can not hold for all $\beta \in R^k$ and a fixed $\theta \in \Theta$ while $X'AX \neq 0$.

Theorem 3.5 Suppose that $Y \sim N_n(X\beta, \sigma^2I)$ —the variance components model with $p = 1$ and $\theta_1 = \sigma^2$ and A is a symmetric matrix such that $X'AX \neq 0$. Then we have

(i) $\mathcal{U}(A) \subsetneq \mathcal{U}(X)$ implies that $Y'AY$ is inadmissible among the quadratic estimates for its expectation;

(ii) $\mathcal{U}(A) \subset \mathcal{U}(X)$ implies that $Y'AY$ is admissible among the quadratic estimates for its expectation.

Proof (i) $\mathcal{U}(A) \not\subset \mathcal{U}(X)$ implies that $A - PAP \neq 0$, where $P = XX^+$. Let $B_\delta = A + \delta(A - PAP)$, $\delta \in R^1$. Then

$$R(B_\delta, \sigma^2, \beta) - R(A, \sigma^2, \beta) = \sigma^4 \{ 4\delta \text{tr}(A - PAP)^2 + 2\delta^2 \text{tr}(A - PAP)^2 + \delta^2 [\text{tr}(A - PAP)]^2 \} + 4\delta\sigma^2(2 + \delta)\mu'(A - PAP)^2\mu < 0, \quad \forall \beta \in R^k, \sigma^2 > 0.$$

where $\delta \in \left(\max \left[-2, -\frac{4\text{tr}(A - PAP)^2}{2\text{tr}(A - PAP)^2 + [\text{tr}(A - PAP)]^2} \right], 0 \right)$, $\mu = X\beta$. This implies

that $Y' B_\delta Y$ is better than $Y' A Y$ and $Y' A Y$ is inadmissible.

(ii) Suppose that $\mathcal{U}(A) \subset \mathcal{U}(X)$ but $Y' A Y$ is inadmissible among the quadratic estimates, i.e., there is a symmetric matrix D such that

$$R(A + D, \sigma^2, \beta) - R(A, \sigma^2, \beta) = 4\sigma^4 \text{tr} D A + 8\sigma^2 \mu' D A \mu + 2\sigma^4 \text{tr} D^2 + 4\sigma^2 \mu' D^2 \mu + (\sigma^2 \text{tr} D + \mu' D \mu)^2 \leq 0, \quad \forall \beta \in R^k, \sigma^2 \geq 0, \mu = X\beta, \quad (3.1)$$

and the inequality holds at least for some $(\beta_0, \sigma_0^2) \in R^k \times \Theta$. This implies that $PDP = 0$, since the term with the highest degree of μ appears in the last term in (3.1).

By using $PDP = 0$, we get another expression

$$R(A + D, \sigma^2, \beta) - R(A, \sigma^2, \beta) = 4\sigma^4 \text{tr} D(PAP) + 2\sigma^4 \text{tr} D^2 + \sigma^4 (\text{tr} D)^2 + 8\sigma^2 \alpha' P D(PAP) \mu + 4\sigma^2 \mu' D^2 \mu = 2\sigma^4 \text{tr} D^2 + \sigma^4 (\text{tr} D)^2 + 4\sigma^2 \mu' D^2 \mu \geq 0, \quad \forall \beta \in R^k, \sigma^2 \geq 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\mu = X\beta = P\alpha$. This contradicts the assumption that $Y'(A + D)Y$ is better than $Y' A Y$. So $Y' A Y$ is admissible among the quadratic estimates for its expectation.

Note $\mathcal{U}(A) \subset \mathcal{U}(X)$ implies that $A = PAP$, where A is symmetric and $P = XX^+$.

Theorem 3.6 Let $Y \sim N_n(\beta 1_n, \theta_1 1_n 1_n' + \theta_2 I)$ — the simplest Balanced One-Way classification ANOVA model, where $\theta_1 \geq 0$, $\theta_2 > 0$. Suppose further that $Y' A Y$ is unbiased for $\gamma = f'\theta + b\beta^2$, here $b \neq 0$. Then we have

(i) $\mathcal{U}(A) \not\subset \mathcal{U}(1_n)$ implies that $Y' A Y$ is inadmissible among the quadratic estimates of γ ;

(ii) $\mathcal{U}(A) \subset \mathcal{U}(1_n)$ implies that $Y' A Y$ is admissible among the quadratic estimates of γ .

Proof After calculating one can get $P = \frac{1}{n} 1_n 1_n'$ and $PV_\theta = (n\theta_1 + \theta_2)P$.

(i) $\mathcal{U}(A) \not\subset \mathcal{U}(1_n)$ implies that $A - PAP \neq 0$. Let $B_\delta = A + \delta(A - PAP)$, $\delta \in R^1$. Then

$$R(B_\delta, \theta, \beta) - R(A, \theta, \beta) = 2\delta \{ 2\text{tr} A V_\theta (A - PAP) V_\theta + 4\beta^2 1_n' A V_\theta (A - PAP) 1_n \} + \delta^2 \{ 2\text{tr}(A - PAP) V_\theta (A - PAP) V_\theta + 4\beta^2 1_n' (A - PAP) V_\theta (A - PAP) 1_n + [\text{tr}(A - PAP) V_\theta]^2 \} \leq (4\delta + 2\delta^2 + n\delta^2) \text{tr}(A - PAP) V_\theta (A - PAP) V_\theta + 4\beta^2 (2\delta + \delta^2) 1_n' (A - PAP) V_\theta (A - PAP) 1_n < 0, \quad \forall \beta \in R^1, \theta_1 \geq 0, \theta_2 > 0,$$

where $\delta \in \left(\max \left[-2, \frac{-4}{2+n} \right], 0 \right)$. This implies that $Y A' Y$ is inadmissible. For the proof of (ii), one can see the following theorem.

Theorem 3.7 Suppose that the model is (1.1) and Y is normally distributed, $\alpha \in \Theta \cap \{\theta: V_\theta > 0\}$, A is a symmetric matrix such that $X'AX \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{U}(V_\alpha^{1/2}AV_\alpha^{1/2}) \subset \mathcal{U}(V_\alpha^{-1/2}X)$. Then $Y'AY$ is admissible among the quadratic estimates for its expectation.

Proof The inadmissibility of $Y'AY$ implies that there exists a symmetric matrix D such that

$$R(A+D, \alpha, \beta) - R(A, \alpha, \beta) = 4\text{tr}AV_\alpha DV_\alpha + 8\beta'X'AV_\alpha DX\beta + 2\text{tr}DV_\alpha DV_\alpha + 4\beta'X'DV_\alpha DX\beta + (\text{tr}DV_\alpha + \beta'X'DX\beta)^2 \leq 0, \quad \forall \beta \in R^k. \quad (3.3)$$

This implies that $X'DX = 0$ or $PDP = 0$. So we have another expression

$$R(A+D, \alpha, \beta) - R(A, \alpha, \beta) = 2\text{tr}(V_\alpha^{1/2}DV_\alpha^{1/2})^2 + (\text{tr}DV_\alpha)^2 + 4\beta'X'V_\alpha^{-1/2}(V_\alpha^{1/2}DV_\alpha^{1/2})^2V_\alpha^{-1/2}X\beta > 0, \quad \forall \beta \in R^k \quad (3.4)$$

This contradicts the assumption that $Y'AY$ is inadmissible. Thus, $Y'AY$ is admissible among the quadratic estimates for its expectation.

Corollary 3.8 Let $Y \sim N_n(X\beta, \sigma^2I)$, and C be a symmetric matrix such that $\mathcal{U}(C) \subset \mathcal{U}(X')$, and $b \in R^1$ such that $b - \text{tr}C(X'X)^{-1} \neq 0$. Under these assumptions, the MVUE of $b\sigma^2 + \beta'c\beta$ is inadmissible among the quadratic estimates.

Proof By Lemma 3.2, the MVUE of $b\sigma^2 + \beta'c\beta$ is

$$Y' \left\{ X(X'X)^{-1}C(X'X)^{-1}X' + \frac{b - \text{tr}C(X'X)^{-1}}{n-r} M \right\} Y$$

which meets the condition in (ii) of Theorem 3.5.

Corollary 3.9 Let $Y \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2I)$, $\mathcal{U}(D) \subset \mathcal{U}(X')$, $\gamma = b\sigma^2 + \beta'D\beta$. Then (a) $b - \text{tr}D(X'X)^{-1} \neq 0 \implies$ every unbiased quadratic estimator of γ is inadmissible; (b) $b = \text{tr}D(X'X)^{-1} \implies$ there is one and only one admissible quadratic estimator which is also unbiased for γ and this estimator is $\hat{\beta}'D\beta$.

Acknowledgements I am grateful to my teachers Mr. Liang Wen-Qi, Mr. Deng Wei-Cai and Mr. Teng Cheng-ye for their kind instructions and careful reading of this paper.

References

- [1] LaMotte, L. R. (1980), Some results on biased linear estimation applied to variance components estimation. Math. Statist. and Prob., Proc. Sixth, Inter. Conf. Wisla, Poland, Springer, Berlin.
- [2] Rao, C. R. and Kleffe, J. (1980), Estimation of variance components. Handbook of Statist. Vol. 1, 1-40.