

**Lemma 6.** If  $p \geq 7$  is odd, B can win in the game.

**Proof.** Suppose A colours edge  $xy$ , B can colour  $xy'$ ,  $Y = V - \{x\}$ , where  $V = V(K_p)$ . If A colours  $xy''$ , then B colours  $xy'''$ , and so on. Because  $|Y| = p-1$  is even, B can force A first colours in  $Y$ , according to Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, B can ensure that  $G(Y)$  has an H-path.

Obviously, we have  $d_1(x) = \frac{p-1}{2}$ . Thus we can easily see that  $G$  has an H-path. By all the Lemmas above, we can get the following theorem.

**Theorem** If  $p \geq 5$ , B can win in the game. If  $p=2, 3$ , B can win trivially, but for  $p=4$ , B will lose.

I am very grateful to my tutor professor Wang Jianfang for his his helpful guiding and correcting, and Mr. Tian Song-Lin for his careful reding and revising.

## References

1. F. Harary Achievement and Avoidance Games for Graphs Annals of discret mathematics, 13 (1982) , 111-120.
2. A. Papaioannou, A Hamiltonian Game, Annals of discrete mathematics, 13 (1982) , 171-178.

## Block diagonal dominance of matrix and spectral inclusion regions\*

Pang Mingxian (逢明贤)

(Jilin Normal Institue)

Suppose that comlex matrix  $A$  or order  $n$  is partitioned as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{N1} & \cdots & A_{NN} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where the diagonal submatrices  $A_{ii}$  are square of order  $n_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N$ ). If each  $A_{ii}$  is nonsingular and satisfies

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \|A_{ji}^{-1} A_{ij}\| \leq 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N. \quad (2)$$

thon  $A$  is called quasi-block diagonally dominant. Specially, if strictly inequality in (2) is valid for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$  then  $A$  is called quasi-block strictly diagonally dominant. If strict inequality in (2) is valid for at least one  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N$ ) and

\* Received March 22, 1985

$A$  is block irreducible (i. e.  $B=(\|A_{ij}\|)_{N \times N}$  is irreducible), then  $A$  is called quasi-block irreducible diagonally dominant. If  $B$  is weakly irreducible (i. e. every vertex of  $\Gamma(B)$  belongs to some circuit of  $\Gamma(B)$ , where  $\Gamma(B)$  denotes directed graph of  $B$ ), then  $A$  is called weakly irreducible.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $n \times n$  complex matrix  $A$  be partitioned as in (1) which is either quasi-block strictly diagonally dominant or quasi-block irreducible diagonally dominant, then  $A$  is nonsingular.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $n \times n$  complex matrix  $A$  be partitioned as in (1). Then the eigenvalues of  $A$  lie in the union of the regions

$$G_i = \{z, \sum_{j \neq i}^N \|(zI - A_{ii})^{-1}\| \geq 1\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

**Corollary 2.** Let  $n \times n$  complex  $A$  be partitioned as in (1) which is block irreducible,  $\lambda$  is any eigenvalue of  $A$ . If  $\lambda$  is a boundary point of  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^N G_i$ , then it is a boundary point of each set  $G_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $n \times n$  complex  $A$  be partitioned as in (1) which is block weakly irreducible ( $1 < N$ ),  $S(B)$  denotes the set of all circuits of directed graph of  $B$ . If the

$$\prod_{i \in v} \left( \sum_{j \neq i}^N \|A_{ii}^{-1} A_{ij}\| \right) < 1 \quad (v \in S(B)),$$

then  $A$  is nonsingular.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $n \times n$  complex matrix  $A$  be partitioned as in (1) which is block weakly irreducible ( $1 < N$ ). Then the eigenvalues of  $A$  lie in the union of the regions

$$D_v = \left\{ z : \prod_{i \in v} \left( \sum_{j \neq i}^N \|(zI - A_{ii})^{-1} A_{ij}\| \right) \geq 1 \right\} \quad (v \in S(B)).$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $n \times n$  complex matrix  $A$  be partitioned as in (1),  $|\lambda|_{min}$  denotes the small eigenvalue of  $A$  which is according to modules. Then

$$|\lambda|_{min} \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ (\|A_{ii}^{-1}\|)^{-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j \neq i}^N \|A_{ii}^{-1} A_{ij}\| \right) \right\}$$

The Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 in this paper are generalizations of the Theorem 1 in paper of Fiengold and Varga (Pac. J. Math., 12(1962), 1241-1250) and the Theorem 2.3 in paper of Brualdi (Linear Multilin Alg, 11 (1982), 147-165), respectively.