

Solution to a Problem of J.P.Hutchinson and P.B.Trow*

W. D. Wei (魏万迪)

Department of Mathematics
Sichuan University and
Department of Computer Science,
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

C. L. Liu

Department of Computer Science
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Use of the Pigeonhole Principle to solve the following problem was discussed in several books, for example [1,2]. Suppose a player (e. g., tennis or chess) practices on d consecutive days, playing at least one game a day and a total of no more than b games where $d < b < 2d$. Then we can assert that for each $i < 2d - b - 1$, there are some consecutive days on which exactly i games are played totally. In [3], J. P. Hutchinson and P. B. Trow investigated this problem in detail. They also posed an unsettled question to which we provide an answer in this note.

We follow the terminology in [3]. Let d, b ($d < b$) be two fixed positive integers. Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers. Then a is called an admissible sum sequence if $a_d < b$. An admissible sum sequence is said to have property k , k a positive integer, if either an entry of the sequence equals k or two entries differ by k . Let A be the set of integers i such that every admissible sum sequence has property i , and A^c the complement of A .

The question posed by J. P. Hutchinson and P. B. Trow is as follows: "Is there, in general, a simple characterization of the least integer in A^c ?" And they^[3] and Wang and Wu^[4] settled this problem for the special cases $d < b < \frac{3}{2}d$ and $\frac{3}{2}d < b < \frac{7}{4}d$, respectively. In the present note, We provide an answer to this problem for the general case.

We summarize some of the results in [3] Which we need later on:

Lemma 1 [3,Th.2]: Given positive integers d, b ($d < b$) and k , k is in A if and only if $2d - r > b$, where r is the least non-negative residue of d , mod k .

Lemma 2 [3,Cor.3]: If $b \geq 2d$, A is empty.

According to Lemma 2, we assume that $b < 2d$ from now on.

* Received Jan. 4, 1984.

Lemma 3 [3, Th. 4]: $\{1, 2, \dots, 2d-b\} \in A$.

Theorem: Let b, d ($d < b < 2d$) be two given positive integers, and r_i ($i \geq 0$) be the least non-negative residue of $b-d$, mod $2d-b+1+i$. Then the least integer in A^c is $2d-b+i_0+1$, where $i_0 = \min\{i \mid i \geq r_i\}$.

Proof By Lemma 1, for given k , k is in A^c if and only if $r \geq 2d-b$, where r is the least non-negative residue of d , mod k . That is, k is in A^c if and only if there is an integer r such that $k > r \geq 2d-b$ and $k \mid d-r$. Let $k = 2d-b+i_0+1$, $r = 2d-b+r_{i_0}$. By the definition of i_0 and r_{i_0} , $k > 2d-b+i_0 \geq 2d-b+r_{i_0} = r \geq 2d-b$; $d-r = d-(2d-b+r_{i_0}) = b-d-r_{i_0} = 0 \pmod{k}$. Hence $k \in A^c$.

Now we prove that $l \in A$ for all l , $1 \leq l \leq 2d-b+i_0$. by Lemma 3, we need only to consider the l 's, between $2d-b+1$ and $2d-b+i_0$. For such l , let $h = l - (2d-b)$. Suppose there is an integer r such that $l > r \geq 2d-b$ and $l \mid d-r$. Let $j = r - (2d-b)$. Then

$$0 < j < h \leq i_0, l = 2d-b+1+(h-1); \quad b-d = d-r+j = j \pmod{(2d-b+1+(h-1))}.$$

But $j < h-1 < i_0$, a contradiction to the definition of i_0 .

The theorem provides a simple algorithm, which is based only on the division algorithm. By this algorithm, the least number in A^c can be found easily in the general case.

Here are two illustrative examples.

Example 1 Suppose $d = 5000$, $b = 9000$. Then $2d-b+1 = 1001$ and $b-d = 4000 = 3 \cdot 1001 + 997 = 3(1001+i) + 997 - 3i$. Since the minimal value of i satisfying $0 < 997 - 3i < i$, i.e., $\frac{997}{4} < i < \frac{997}{3}$ exists and equals to 250, the least number in A^c in this case is $1001 + 250 = 1251$.

Example 2 Suppose $d = 5000$, $b = 9990$. Then $2d-b+1 = 11$ and $b-d = 4990 \equiv 7 \pmod{11} \equiv 10 \pmod{12} \equiv 11 \pmod{13} \equiv 6 \pmod{14} \equiv 10 \pmod{15} \equiv 14 \pmod{16} \equiv 9 \pmod{17} \equiv 4 \pmod{18}$.

Since $7 > 0, 10 > 1, 11 > 2, 6 > 3, 10 > 4, 14 > 5, 9 > 6, 4 < 7$, the least number in A^c in this case is 18.

References

- [1] Liu, C. L., Elements of Discrete Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1977.
- [2] Brualdi, R. A., Introductory Combinatorics, North-Holland, New York, 1977.
- [3] Hutchinson, J.P., and P.B. Trow, Some Pigeonhole Principle Results extended, Amer. Math. Monthly 87(1980), 648-651.
- [4] Wang Yufeng and Wu Leguang, A Note on Pigeonhole Principle, J. Math. Research and Exposition, 1981, No. 2, 103-106.