

## Complete Totally Real Submanifolds with Parallel Mean Curvature\*

Shen Yi-Bing ( 沈 一 兵 )

(Hangzhou University)

(Dedicated to Professor Bai Zheng-Guo on the Occasion of his 70-th Birthday)

### 1. Introduction

A submanifold  $M$  in a Kaehler manifold  $\overline{M}$  is said to be totally real if every tangent space of  $M$  is mapped into its normal space by the complex structure of  $M$ . Some fundamental properties of totally real submanifolds can be found in [1], [2]. Let  $\sigma$  be the second fundamental form of  $M$ . The mean curvature  $\eta$  of  $M$  is defined by  $\eta = \text{tr } \sigma$ , and  $M$  is called a submanifold with parallel mean curvature if either  $\eta = 0$  or  $\|\eta\| = \text{constant} \neq 0$  and  $\eta/\|\eta\|$  is parallel in the normal bundle over  $M$ .  $M$  is said to be pseudo-umbilical if it is umbilical with respect to the normal direction of  $\eta$ .

It is interesting to study totally real submanifolds in the complex number space  $C^n$  with parallel mean curvature, and some classifications of such compact totally real submanifolds have been obtained in [1], [2]. In this paper, by employing the generalized maximum principle, we shall prove the following

**Theorem 1** Let  $M$  be an  $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional, non-compact, complete totally real submanifold in  $C^n$  with parallel mean curvature. If the second fundamental form  $\sigma$  of  $M$  satisfies

$$\|\sigma\|^2 \leq \|\text{tr } \sigma\|^2 / (n-1), \quad (1)$$

then  $M$  must be a flat submanifold which is either  $R^n$  or a product  $S^1 \times R^{n-1}$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following

**Theorem 2.** Let  $M$  be an  $n(\geq 3)$  dimensional, non-compact, complete totally real submanifold in  $C^n$  with nonzero parallel mean curvature. If the inequality (1) holds, then either  $M$  is pseudo-umbilical or  $\|\sigma'\|^2 = \|\text{tr } \sigma'\|^2 / (n-1)$ , where  $\sigma'$  is the second fundamental form of  $M$  with respect to the normal direction of  $\eta$ .

Throughout this paper, all manifolds considered are smooth and connected, and the following ranges of indices will be used:

\* Received May 16, 1984. Projects supported by the Science Fund of the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

$$A, B, C, \dots = 1, 2, \dots, n, 1^*, \dots, n^*; i, j, k, \dots = 1, \dots, n.$$

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional totally real submanifold in the complex  $n$ -space  $C^n$  with the complex structure  $J$ . we choose a local field of orthonormal frames  $\{e_A\}$  in  $C^n$  such that, restricted to  $M$ ,  $\{e_i\}$  are tangent to  $M$ . Let  $\{\omega_A\}$  and  $\{\omega_{AB}\}$  be the field of dual frames of  $\{e_A\}$  and the connection forms, respectively. Restricting those forms to  $M$ , we have (cf. [1] and [3])

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{k^*} &= 0, & \omega_{k^*i} &= \Sigma h_{ij}^* \omega_j, & h_{ij}^{k^*} &= h_{ji}^{k^*}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$d\omega_{ij} = -\Sigma \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma R_{ijkl} \omega_k \wedge \omega_l,$$

$$R_{ijkl} = \Sigma (h_{ik}^{m^*} h_{jl}^{m^*} - h_{il}^{m^*} h_{jk}^{m^*}).$$

$$d\omega_{k^*i^*} = -\Sigma \omega_{k^*j} \wedge \omega_{j^*i^*} + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma R_{k^*i^*ij} \omega_i \wedge \omega_j,$$

$$R_{k^*i^*ij} = \Sigma (h_{im}^{k^*} h_{jm}^{i^*} - h_{im}^{i^*} h_{jm}^{k^*}), \quad (4)$$

$$\sigma = \Sigma h_{ij}^* \omega_i \otimes \omega_j \otimes e_{k^*}, \quad (5)$$

$$\eta = \text{tr} \sigma = \Sigma (\text{tr } H^{k^*}) e_{k^*}, \quad H^{k^*} = (h_{ij}^{k^*}). \quad (6)$$

From (3), (5) and (6) the scalar curvature  $\rho$  of  $M$  is

$$\rho = H^2 - \|\sigma\|^2, \text{ where } H^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|\eta\|^2. \quad (7)$$

If  $\eta \neq 0$ , we can choose  $e_{1^*}$  in such a way that its direction coincides with that of  $\eta$ . Then

$$\text{tr } H^{1^*} = H, \quad \text{tr } H^{j^*} = 0 \quad (j \neq 1). \quad (8)$$

By virtue of (3), (7) and (8), we have

**Lemma 1** Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional totally real submanifold in  $C^n$ . If  $\rho \geq (n-2)\|\sigma\|^2 + 2(n-1)c$  at a point  $x \in M$  for some real number  $c$ , then the sectional curvatures of  $M$  at the point  $x$  are  $\geq c$ . The proof of this lemma is completely similar to that of [4], and is therefore omitted.

On putting

$$\mu = \sum_{i,j} \left( h_{ij}^{1^*} - \frac{H}{n} \delta_{ij} \right) \omega_i \otimes \omega_j \otimes e_{1^*}, \quad \tau = \sum_{\substack{m \neq 1 \\ i,j}} h_{ij}^{m^*} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j \otimes e_{m^*}, \quad (9)$$

we have

$$\text{tr } \mu = 0, \quad \|\mu\|^2 = \|\sigma'\|^2 - \frac{H^2}{n}, \quad \|\sigma'\|^2 = \text{tr} (H^{1^*})^2, \quad (10)$$

$$\text{tr } \tau = 0, \quad \|\tau\|^2 = \sum_{m \neq 1} \text{tr} (H^{m^*})^2,$$

$$\|\sigma\|^2 = \|\mu\|^2 + \|\tau\|^2 + H^2/n, \quad (11)$$

from which it may be seen that  $\|\tau\|^2$  as well as  $\|\mu\|^2$  is independent of the choice of

the frames and is a globally defined function on  $M$ . From now on we choose the local frames  $\{e_i\}$  in  $C^n$  such that  $e_{i^*} = J e_i$  and  $e_{1^*} = \eta / \|\eta\|$  if  $\eta \neq 0$ . Then, by (2) we have (cf. [1])

$$h_{ij}^{k^*} = h_{ji}^{k^*} = h_{kj}^{i^*} = h_{ik}^{j^*} \quad (12)$$

From (8), (10) and (12) one can easily see the following

**Lemma 2**  $M$  is pseudo-umbilical iff  $\|\mu\|^2 = 0$ , and  $M$  is totally geodesic iff it is pseudo-umbilical and  $\|\tau\|^2 = 0$ .

Now assume that  $\eta = H e_{1^*}$  is parallel, i. e.,  $H = \text{constant}$  and  $\omega_{1^*k^*} = 0$ . Using the same calculation as in [3], by means of (3), (4) and (8) we can get

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta \|\mu\|^2 = \|D\mu\|^2 - [\text{tr}(H^{1^*})^2]^2 + H \text{tr}(H^{1^*})^3 - \sum_{k \neq 1} [\text{tr}(H^{k^*} H^{1^*})]^2, \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta \|\tau\|^2 = \|D\tau\|^2 + \sum_{i,j \neq 1} \{ \text{tr}(H^{i^*} H^{j^*} - H^{j^*} H^{i^*})^2 - [\text{tr}(H^{i^*} H^{j^*})]^2 \} + \frac{H^2}{n} \|\tau\|^2, \quad (14)$$

where  $D$  denotes the generalized covariant differentiation and  $\Delta$  the Laplacian.

The following generalized maximum principle which is due to Yau, S.T. - Cheng, S.Y. - Motomiya, M. can be found in [5].

**Lemma 3** Let  $M$  be a complete Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature bounded below, and  $f$  a  $C^2$ -function bounded above on  $M$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a point  $x \in M$  such that at  $x$

- (i)  $\sup f - \varepsilon < f(x)$ ,
- (ii)  $|\text{grad} f|(x) < \varepsilon$ ,
- (iii)  $\Delta f(x) < \varepsilon$ .

Furthermore, if  $f$  has no maximum, then there exists a sequence of positive numbers  $\{\varepsilon_v\}$  such that  $\varepsilon_v \rightarrow 0 (v \rightarrow \infty)$ , and for all  $v$ , (i) may be replaced by

$$(i') \quad \sup f - \varepsilon_v < f(x) < \sup f - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_v.$$

### 3. The Proof of Theorem 2.

For the nonzero mean curvature vector  $\eta = H e_{1^*}$ , we shall start with the formula (13). By Schwarz inequality, it follows from (8) and (10) that

$$\sum_{k \neq 1} [\text{tr}(H^{k^*} H^{1^*})]^2 = \sum_{k \neq 1} \left\{ \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^{k^*} (h_{ij}^{k^*} - \frac{H}{n} \delta_{ij}) \right\}^2 \leq \|\mu\|^2 \|\tau\|^2. \quad (15)$$

By repeating the same calculations as Okumura, M. in [6], one can get from (13), (15), (11) and (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta \|\mu\|^2 &> \|D\mu\|^2 + \|\mu\|^2 \left\{ \frac{H^2}{n} - \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} |H| \cdot \|\mu\| - \|\mu\|^2 - \|\tau\|^2 \right\} \\ &> \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} |H| \cdot \|\mu\|^2 \left\{ \frac{|H|}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} - \|\mu\| \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Since (1) implies that

$$\|\mu\|^2 \leq \|\sigma\|^2 - \frac{H}{n} \leq H^2/n(n-1) \quad (17)$$

and, by (1) and (7) we deduce  $\rho \geq (n-2)\|\sigma\|^2$ , and hence, by Lemma 1, the sectional curvatures of  $M$  are bounded below from 0, we can apply Lemma 3, and from (16) and (17) conclude that either  $\|\mu\|^2 = 0$ , i.e., by Lemma 2,  $M$  is pseudo-umbilical, or

$$\sup \|\mu\| = |H|/\sqrt{n(n-1)} \quad (18)$$

If  $\|\mu\|^2$  attains its maximum at a point of  $M$ , then by using Hopf's well-known maximum principle we see from (16) and (17) that  $\|\mu\|^2 = \text{constant}$  and hence  $\|\mu\|^2 = H^2/n(n-1)$  on  $M$  everywhere, i.e.,  $\|\sigma'\|^2 = \|\text{tr}\sigma\|^2/(n-1)$  by (10).

Now assume  $\|\mu\|^2$  has no maximum on  $M$ , we prove that it is impossible. In fact, from Lemma 3 it follows that, for any natural number  $v$ , there exists a point  $x_v \in M$  such that, by (18) and (16),

$$\frac{H^2}{n(n-1)} - \frac{1}{v} < \|\mu\|^2(x_v) < \frac{H^2}{n(n-1)} - \frac{1}{2v} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} |H| \cdot \|\mu\|^2(x_v) \left\{ \frac{H}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} - \|\mu\|(x_v) \right\} < \frac{1}{2v} \quad (20)$$

From (19) we get

$$\frac{1}{2v(|H|/\sqrt{n(n-1)} + \|\mu\|(x_v))} < \frac{|H|}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} - \|\mu\|(x_v)$$

and thus (20) becomes

$$\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} |H| \cdot \|\mu\|^2(x_v) < \frac{|H|}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} + \|\mu\|(x_v)$$

or

$$\|\mu\|^2(x_v) - \frac{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}{(n-2)|H|} \|\mu\|(x_v) - \frac{1}{n-2} < 0 \quad (21)$$

Since  $\|\mu\|(x_v) > 0$ , (21) yields

$$\|\mu\|(x_v) < (\sqrt{n(n-1)} + \sqrt{n(n-1) + 4(n-2)H^2})/2(n-2)|H|,$$

and thus

$$\sup \|\mu\| \leq (\sqrt{n(n-1)} + \sqrt{n(n-1) + 4(n-2)H^2})/2(n-2)|H|,$$

from which together with (18) it follows that

$$2(n-2)H^2 - n(n-1) \leq \sqrt{n^2(n-1)^2 + 4n(n-1)(n-2)H^2}.$$

In view of  $H \neq 0$ , we have then

$$H^2 \leq 2n(n-1)/(n-2). \quad (22)$$

We now consider a homothetic transformation  $\#$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  which is defined by  $\omega_{\#} = \lambda \omega_A$ , where  $\lambda$  is an arbitrary positive real number. Then, by the structure

equations, we have  $\bar{\omega}_{AB} = \omega_{AB}$ . Thus, it is easy to see that the image  $\bar{M} = \mathcal{H}(M)$  satisfies the same conditions as  $M$  and  $\bar{H}^2 = H^2/\lambda^2$ , where  $\bar{H}$  is the corresponding quantity for  $\bar{M}$ . Then we must have, as (22) above,

$$H^2 = \lambda^2 \bar{H}^2 < 2\lambda^2 n(n-1)/(n-2),$$

which is evidently absurd for  $\lambda < \sqrt{(n-2)H^2/2(n-1)n}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

#### 4. The Proof of Theorem 1.

First of all, we note that  $\|\text{tr} \sigma\|^2 = H^2 = \text{constant}$  under the hypothesis of Theorem 1. Thus, if  $\eta = 0$ , then (1) implies  $\|\sigma\|^2 = 0$  on  $M$  everywhere, i. e.,  $M$  is a totally geodesic  $R^n$  in  $C^n$ . So from now on we assume  $\eta \neq 0$  on  $M$ .

If  $n \geq 3$ , by Theorem 2 we have to consider two cases.

Case (I):  $M$  is pseudo-umbilical, i. e.,  $\|\mu\|^2 = 0$  everywhere. Using the following well-known estimate (cf. [3], § 7)

$$\sum_{i,j \neq 1} \{ \text{tr}(H^{i*} H^{j*} - H^{j*} H^{i*})^2 - [\text{tr}(H^{i*} H^{j*})]^2 \} > - (2 - \frac{1}{n-1}) \|\tau\|^4,$$

we have from (14)

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta(\|\tau\|^2) > (2 - \frac{1}{n-1}) \|\tau\|^2 \left\{ \frac{n-1}{n(2n-3)} H^2 - \|\tau\|^2 \right\}. \quad (23)$$

The condition (1) implies that  $\|\tau\|^2 (< \|\sigma\|^2)$  is bounded above and the sectional curvatures of  $M$  are bounded below from 0 (Lemma 1). Applying the assertion

(iii) of Lemma 3, (23) gives rise to either  $\|\tau\|^2 = 0$  or

$$\sup \|\tau\|^2 > (n-1)H^2/n(2n-3). \quad (24)$$

However, by virtue of (11) and the fact that  $\|\mu\|^2 = 0$ , it follows from (1) that  $\|\tau\|^2 < H^2/n(n-1)$ , which contradicts (24) for  $n \geq 3$ . Hence,  $\|\tau\|^2 = 0$  and, by Lemma 2,  $M$  is a totally geodesic  $R^n$  in  $C^n$ .

Case (II):  $\|\sigma'\|^2 = \|\text{tr} \sigma\|^2/(n-1)$ , then by (10),  $\|\mu\|^2 = H^2/n(n-1)$  everywhere. In this case, from (11) and (1) we get

$$\|\tau\|^2 + H^2/n(n-1) = \|\sigma\|^2 - H^2/n < H^2/n(n-1),$$

which implies  $\|\tau\|^2 = 0$  on  $M$  everywhere. Thus,  $h_{ij}^{k*} = 0$  ( $k \neq 1$ ) and, by (12),  $\dot{h}_{jk}^{1*} = 0$  except  $j = k = 1$ , from which it follows that

$$h_{11}^{1*} = \text{tr}(H^{1*}) = H = \text{constant}.$$

If  $h_{11}^{1*} = 0$ ,  $M$  is totally geodesic. Since  $\|\sigma\|^2 = H^2/(n-1)$ , by an analogy to the proof of Theorem 4 in [2],  $M = S^1 \times R^{n-1}$ , where  $S^1$  is a circle with the radius  $1/|H|$  in  $C^1$ .

Finally, we consider the case that  $n = 2$ . By Lemma 1, the condition (1) guarantees that the Gauss curvature of  $M$  is nonnegative. If  $M$  is viewed as a surface in  $R^4$  with parallel mean curvature, then Hoffman's theorem (cf. [7])

says that  $M$  is either  $R^2$  or  $S^1 \times R^1$  because  $M$  is non - compact. Therefore, Theorem 1 is proved completely.

### References

- [ 1 ] Chen, B.Y.& Ogiue, K., On totally real submanifolds, Thans.A.M.S., 193(1974), 257-266.
- [ 2 ] Chen, B.Y., Houh, C.S.&Lue, H.S., Totally real submanifolds, J.Diff.Geom., 12 (1977), 473-480.
- [ 3 ] Yau, S.T., Submanifolds with constant mean curvature I, II, Amer.J.Math.96 (1974), 346-366; 97 (1975), 76-100.
- [ 4 ] Chen, B.Y.&Okumura, M., Scalar curvature, inequality and submanifold, Proc .A.M.S., 38 (1973), 605-608.
- [ 5 ] Motomiya, K., On functions which satisfy some differtial inequalities on Riemannian manifolds, Nagoya Math.J., 81(1981), 57-72.
- [ 6 ] Okumura, M., Hypersurfaces and a pinching problem on the second fundamental tensor, Amer. Math.J., 96(1974), 207-213.
- [ 7 ] Hoffman, D.A., Surfaces in constant curvature manifolds with parallel mean curvature vector field, Bull.A.M.S., 78 (1972), 247-250.