

On L^2 -Boundedness of Singular Integral with Oscillating Kernel*

Chen Jiecheng and Xu Han

(Dept. Math., Hangzhou University)

Abstract In this paper, we consider L^2 -boundedness of singular integrals with oscillating kernels and corresponding $T(1)$ -Theorem, and generalize or improve some results of Hu, Phong and Stein.

I. Introduction

Let $K \in D'(R^n \times R^n) \cap C(R^n \times R^n - \{(x, x) : x \in R^n\})$, $B: R^n \times R^n \rightarrow R^1$ s.t. $(x, y) \rightarrow xBy^T$, where B is a symmetric (real) matrix, define a singular integral operator from $D(R^n)$ to its dual continuously as follows

$$S_B(f)(x) = \int_{R^n} K(x, y) e^{iB(x, y)} f(y) dy \quad (1)$$

where $f \in D(R^n)'$. In this paper, we consider L^2 -boundedness of S_B .

Notations are as follows.

$K \in D_{a, k, \mu}^\infty$ ($a, \mu \geq 0, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & |\nabla_x^l K(x, y)| + |\nabla_x^l K(y, x)| \leq C_{k, l} (1 + |x - y|)^{-\mu - l} \quad (0 \leq l \leq k - 1, |x - y| \geq 1) \\ & |\nabla_x^{k-1} K(x + h, y) - \nabla_x^{k-1} K(x, y)| + |\nabla_x^{k-1} K(y, x + h) - \nabla_x^{k-1} K(y, x)| \\ & \leq C_{K, k} (1 + |x - y|)^{-\mu - (k-1)} \cdot |h|^a \quad (|h| < \frac{1}{2}|x - y|, |x - y| \text{ and } |x + h - y| \geq 1) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$K \in D_\bullet^0$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} & |K(x, y)| \leq C_K \cdot |x - y|^{-n} \quad (|x - y| \leq 2) \\ & |K(x + h, y) - K(x, y)| + |K(y, x + h) - K(y, x)| \leq C_K \cdot |x - y|^{-n} \omega\left(\frac{|h|}{|x - y|}\right) \\ & \quad (\forall |h| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x - y| \leq 1) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$K \in D_{a, k, \mu}$ or $D_\bullet$ implies (3) or (2) without restrictions $|x - y|$ and $|x + h - y| \geq 1$ or ≤ 2 . $\omega \in D^*$ implies that ω is increasing in $[0, +\infty)$ and

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega(\delta)}{\delta} \ln \frac{1}{\delta} d\delta < \infty.$$

$T \in WBP^0$ implies that T is a continuous linear operator from $D(R^n)'$ to $D'(R^n)$,

* Received Sept. 16, 1988.

and

$$|\langle Th_t^x, g_t^y \rangle| \leq C_{T, h, g} t^{-n} \quad (0 < t \leq 1, h, g \in D(R^n))$$

where $h_t^x(y) = t^{-n} h(\frac{y-x}{t})$, g_t^y is similar. Without the restriction " $t \leq 1$ ", we write

$T \in WBP$. Finally, fix $\psi_0 \in D(R^n)$ such that $\psi_0|_{|x| < \frac{1}{2}} \equiv 1$, $\psi_0|_{|x| > 1} \equiv 0$, then define

$$T_B(f)(x) = \begin{cases} S_B(f)(x) & \text{when rank}(B) = 0 \\ \int_{R^n} K(x, y) \psi_0(x-y) f(y) dy & \text{when rank}(B) > 0 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

When $\text{rank}(B) = 0$, David-Journe^[1] got following famous $T(I)$ -Theorem.

Theorem A Let $K \in D_{a, \omega}(\delta) = \delta^a$ ($0 < a < 1$), then S_B is L^2 -bounded iff $T_B(I)$ and $T_B^*(I) \in BMO$ and $T_B \in WBP$.

In [4, 5], Yabuta and author improved Theorem A.

When $\text{rank}(B) = n$, Hu Yu^[2] got a corresponding $T(I)$ -Theorem.

Theorem B Suppose $\text{rank}(B) = n$, $K \in D_a$ or $K \in D_a^0$ but K has bounded derivatives of sufficiently higher orders, $\omega(\delta) = \delta$, then S_B is L^2 -bounded iff $T(I)$ and $T_B^*(I) \in BMO^0$ and $T_B \in WBP^0$.

For general B , Phong and Stein proved

Theorem C If $K(x, y) = \tilde{K}(x-y)$, $\tilde{K} \in C^N(R^n)$, and $|\nabla^l \tilde{K}(x)| \leq C_K (1+|x|)^{-\mu-l}$ ($0 \leq l \leq N$), then S_B is L^2 -bounded if $\mu > n - \text{rank}(B)$ and N is sufficiently large.

In this paper, we prove

Theorem I If $k = \text{rank}(B) > 0$, $K \in D_{a, k, \mu}$, $0 < a \leq 1$, $\mu > n - k + (1-a)$, then S_B is L^2 -bounded.

Theorem II If $k = \text{rank}(B) > 0$, $K \in D_{a, k, \mu}^\infty \cap D_a^0$, $\omega \in D^*$, then, S_B is L^2 -bounded iff $T_B(I)$ and $T_B^*(I) \in BMO^0$ and $T_B \in WBP^0$.

Theorem I improves Theorem C, Theorem II improves and generalizes Theorem B.

II. Proofs

Theorem II is a corollary of Theorem I and [4; Theorem 2], so, it is enough to prove Theorem I. Take a nonnegative, radial C^∞ -function ψ such that $\text{supp } \psi \subset \{\frac{1}{4} < |x| < 1\}$, $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j(x) = 1$ ($\forall x \neq 0$) where $\psi_j(x) = \psi(2^j x)$. Then, set $K_0(x, y) =$

$$K(x, y) \sum_{j=-\infty}^0 \psi_j(x-y), K_j(x, y) = K(x, y) \psi_j(x-y) \quad (j > 0), \text{ and}$$

$$T_j(f)(x) = \int_{R^n} e^{iB(x, y)} K_j(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

Because $\|T_j\|_{2,2} = \|T_j^* T_j\|_{2,2}^{1/2}$, and the kernel of $T_j^* T_j$ is

$$L_j(x, y) = \int_{R^n} e^{iB(x-y, u)} K_j(u, y) \overline{K_j(u, x)} du,$$

so, it is enough for Theorem I to prove

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sup_y (\|L_j(\cdot, y)\|_1 + \|L_j(y, \cdot)\|_1)^{1/2} < +\infty. \quad (5)$$

It is not hard to see that

$$|L_j(x, y)| \leq C_{K, \mu} \cdot 2^{-(2\mu+n)j} \chi_{B(0, 2^{j+1})}(x-y). \quad (6)$$

Now, let P denote the orthogonal projection operator from R^n to $B(R^n) = \{Bx: x \in R^n\}$, for $\mathcal{L}_u = i(a, \nabla_u)/B(a, x-y)$ where $a \in R^n$, there holds $\mathcal{L}_u^N e^{-iB(u, x-y)} = e^{-iB(u, x-y)}$ take $a = B_1(P(x-y)/|P(x-y)|)$ where B_1 is a matrix such that $BB_1 = P$ and $B_1P = B_1$, then $B(a, x-y) = |P(x-y)|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} L_j(x, y) &= |P(x-y)|^{-k+1} (-i)^{k-1} \int e^{-iB(x-y, u)} (a, \nabla_u)^{k-1} (K_j(u, y) \overline{K_j(u, x)}) du \\ &\triangleq (-i)^{k-1} |P(x-y)|^{-k+1} \sum_{l+m=k-1} N_{j, l, m}(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N_{j, l, m} &= \int (a, \nabla_u)^l K_j(u, y) (a, \nabla_u)^m \overline{K_j(u, x)} e^{-iB(x-y, u)} du \\ &= e^{-iB(x-y, y)} \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} n_{j, l, m, u'}(x, y) d\sigma(u') \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} n_{j, l, m, u'}(x, y) &= \int_0^{2^j} e^{-iB(x-y, u')r} ((a, \nabla_u)^l K_j)(y+ru', y) \cdot \\ &\quad ((a, \nabla_u)^m \overline{K_j})(y+ru', x) r^{n-1} dr \\ &= 2^{nj} \int_0^1 e^{-iB(x-y, u')2^j r} ((a, \nabla_u)^l K_j)(y+2^j u' r, y) \cdot \\ &\quad ((a, \nabla_u)^m \overline{K_j})(y+2^j u' r, x) r^{n-1} dr \chi_{B(0, 2^{j+1})}(x-y). \end{aligned}$$

Because $K \in D_{a, k, \mu}^\infty$, and $\text{supp} K_j \subset \{2^{j-2} \leq |x-y| \leq 2^j\}$, it is not hard to see (Remark 2)

$$\|(a, \nabla_u)^l K_j(\cdot, x)\|_{\wedge^a} = \sup_{u \in R^n, h \neq 0} |h|^{-a} (a, \nabla_u)^l K_j(u+h, x) - (a, \nabla_u)^l K_j(u, x) \leq C_{K, \mu} 2^{-(\mu+l)j} \quad (7')$$

$$\|(a, \nabla_u)^l K_j(\cdot, x)\|_\infty \leq C_{K, \mu} 2^{-(\mu+l)j} \quad (7'')$$

$$\begin{cases} \text{supp}(a, \nabla_u)^l K_j(u+\cdot, \omega) \subset B(0, 2^j), \\ \text{supp}(a, \nabla_u)^l K_j(u+\cdot, x) \subset B(0, 2^{j+2}) \text{ for } u-x \in B(0, 2^{j+1}). \end{cases} \quad (7''')$$

And, when $\text{supp} f \subset [0, 2^{j+2}]$, it is also not hard to see (Remark 3)

$$|\int_0^1 f(2^j r) e^{-i\lambda 2^j r} r^{n-1} dr| \leq C_n (\|f\|_\infty / 2^j \lambda + \|f\|_{\wedge^a} / \lambda^a). \quad (8)$$

By (7')-(7''') and (8) and the fact that $\|fg\|_{\wedge^a} \leq \|f\|_\infty \cdot \|g\|_{\wedge^a} + \|g\|_\infty \|f\|_{\wedge^a}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |n_{j, l, m, u'}(x-y)| &\leq 2^{nj} C_{K, k, \mu, n} \left(\frac{2^{-(2\mu+l+m)j}}{2^j |B(x-y, u')|^a} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2^{-(2\mu+l+m)j}}{2^{aj} |B(x-y, u')|^a} \right) \chi_{B(0, 2^{j+1})}(x-y), \end{aligned}$$

so, (6) gives (for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} |N_{j,l,m}(x,y)| &\leq C_{K,k,\varphi,n} 2^{-(2\mu+l+m-n)\varepsilon j} \cdot 2^{-(2\mu-n)(1-\varepsilon)j} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \left(\frac{2^{-\varepsilon j}}{|B(x-y,u')|^a} + \frac{2^{-a\varepsilon j}}{|B(x-y,u')|^{a\varepsilon}} \right) d\sigma(u') \chi_{B(0,2^{j+1})}(x-y) \\ &\leq C_{K,\varphi,k,n,\varepsilon,a} \cdot 2^{-(2\mu+l+m-(2-\varepsilon)n)(2^{-\varepsilon j}/|x-y|^a} \\ &\quad + 2^{-a\varepsilon j/|x-y|^{a\varepsilon}} \chi_{B(0,2^{j+1})}(x-y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} |L_j(x,y)| &\leq C_{K,\varphi,k,n,\varepsilon,a} \cdot 2^{-(2\mu+k-1-(2-\varepsilon)n)} \cdot \\ &\quad (2^{-\varepsilon j}/|(I-P)(x-y)|^a + 2^{-a\varepsilon j}/|(I-P)(x-y)|^{a\varepsilon}) \cdot \\ &\quad |P(x-y)|^{-k+1} \chi_{B(0,2^{j+1})}(x-y) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int (|L_j(x,y)| + |L_j(y,x)|) dx \\ &\leq C_{K,\varphi,k,n,\varepsilon,a} \cdot 2^{-(2\mu+k-1-(2-\varepsilon)n)} (2^{-\varepsilon j} \int_{\substack{\tilde{x} \in (I-P)R^n \\ |\tilde{x}| < 2^{j+1}}} \frac{d\tilde{x}}{|\tilde{x}|^a} \\ &\quad + \int_{\substack{\tilde{x} \in P(R^n) \\ |\tilde{x}| < 2^{j+1}}} \frac{d\tilde{x}}{|\tilde{x}|^{k-1}} + 2^{-a\varepsilon j} \int_{\substack{\tilde{x} \in (I-P)R^n \\ |\tilde{x}| < 2^{j+1}}} \frac{d\tilde{x}}{|\tilde{x}|^{a\varepsilon}} + \int_{\substack{\tilde{x} \in P(R^n) \\ |\tilde{x}| < 2^{j+1}}} \frac{d\tilde{x}}{|\tilde{x}|^{k-1}}) \\ &\leq C \cdot 2^{-(2\mu+k-1-(2-\varepsilon)n)} \cdot (2^{-\varepsilon j} 2^{((n-k)-\varepsilon)-\varepsilon)j} \cdot 2^j \\ &\quad + 2^{-a\varepsilon j} 2^{((n-k)-\varepsilon a)j} 2^j) \\ &\leq C \cdot 2^{-2(\mu+k-1-(3-\varepsilon)n/2+a\varepsilon)j}. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\mu > n-k+(1-a)$, $\mu+k-1-(3-\varepsilon)n/2+a\varepsilon > 0$ when ε is sufficiently close to 1, thus (5) holds. Theorem I is proved.

Remark 1 From the above proof, we see that if (2) is replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} &|\nabla_x^{k-1} K(x+h,y) - \nabla_x^{k-1} K(x,y)| + |\nabla_x^{k-1} K(y,x+h) - \nabla_x^{k-1} K(y,x)| \\ &\leq C_{k,K}(1+|x-y|)^{-\mu-(k-1)-\beta}|h|^a \text{ for } |h| \leq |x-y|/2, \end{aligned}$$

then, in Theorem I, " $\mu > n-k+(1-a)$ " can be replaced by " $\mu > n-k+\max(0, 1-a-\beta/2)$ ".

Corollary If $K(x,y) = \tilde{K}(x-y)$, $\tilde{K} \in C^{n-k}(R^n)$, $k = \text{rank}(B) > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^l \tilde{K}(x)| &\leq C_l(1+|x|)^{-\mu-l} \\ |\nabla^k \tilde{K}(x)| &\leq C_k(1+|x|)^{-\mu-(k-1)} \quad (\forall 0 \leq l \leq k-1) \end{aligned}$$

then, S_B is L^2 -bounded when $\mu > n-k$.

Remark 2 (7'') and (7''') are obvious. Now, we prove (7'), for example, for $k=1$ and $l=0$. Because $\text{supp } K_j \subset \{2^{j-2} \leq |x-y| \leq 2^j\}$, we can assume that at least one of $y+h-x$ and $y-x$, for example $y+h-x$, belongs to $B(0, 2^j) - B(0, 2^{j-2})$. Now, if $x-y \in B(0, 2^{j+1}) - B(0, 2^{j-3})$, then $|h| \leq 2^{j+2}$, thus

$$|K_j(y+h,x) - K_j(y,x)| \leq \|K\|_\infty |\psi_j(y+h-x) - \psi_j(y-x)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + |K(y+h, x) - K(y, x)| \|\psi\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq C_K (\|\nabla \psi\|_{\infty} \cdot 2^{-\mu j} + \|\psi\|_{\infty} |h|^a |x-y|^{-\mu} \chi_{|h| < 2^{j-4}}(h) \\
& \quad + \|\psi\|_{\infty} \|K\|_{\infty} \chi_{|h| > 2^{j-4}}(h)) \\
& \leq C_K \cdot 2^{-2\mu j} |h|^a.
\end{aligned}$$

If $x-y \in B(0, 2^{j+1}) - B(0, 2^{j-3})$, then $|h| \geq 2^{j-3}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_j(y+h, x) - K_j(y, x)| &= |K_j(y+h, x)| \\
&\leq C_K \cdot 2^{-\mu j} \leq C_K \cdot |h|^a \cdot 2^{-\mu j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3 Proof of (8). Because $\text{supp} f \subset [0, 2^{j+2}]$, $|\pi/\lambda| \leq 2^{j+3}$ when $f(2^j r - \pi/\lambda) \neq 0$, thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 f(2^j r) e^{-i\lambda 2^j r} r^{n-1} dr &= - \int_{\frac{\pi}{2^j \lambda}}^{1+\frac{\pi}{2^j \lambda}} f(2^j r - \frac{\pi}{\lambda}) (r - \frac{\pi}{2^j \lambda})^{n-1} e^{-i\lambda e^j r} dr \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 e^{-i\lambda 2^j r} (f(2^j r - \frac{\pi}{\lambda}) (r^{n-1} - (r - \frac{\pi}{\lambda 2^j})^{n-1}) \\
&\quad + r^{n-1} (f(2^j r) - f(2^j r - \frac{\pi}{\lambda})) dr \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2^j \lambda}} - \int_1^{1+\frac{\pi}{2^j \lambda}}) f(2^j r - \frac{\pi}{\lambda}) (r - \frac{\pi}{\lambda 2^j})^{n-1} e^{-i\lambda 2^j r} dr \\
&= O(1) (\|f\|_{\infty} / 2^j \lambda + \|f\|_{\wedge a} / \lambda^a + \|f\|_{\infty} / 2^j \lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

(8) is proved.

References

- [1] David G., Journé J. L., Ann. of Math., 120 (1984), 371-397.
- [2] Hu Yu, Function spaces and boundedness of operators with oscillatory kernels, Ph. D. Thesis, Beijing University, 1986.
- [3] Phong D. H., Stein E. M., Acta Math., 157 (1986), 99-157.
- [4] Xu Han, Some remarks on $T(1)$ -Theorems, to appear in J. Northeastern Math.
- [5] Yabuta K., Studia Math., 82 (1985), 17-31.

关于振动核奇异积分的 L^2 -有界性

徐 罕 陈杰诚

在本文中, 我们研究了形如 $S_B(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x, y) e^{iB(x, y)} f(y) dy$ 的振动核奇异积分的 L^2 -有界性及相应的 $T(1)$ -定理, 其中 B 非退化. 对于相当广的一类核函数 K , S_B 的奇异性只取决于 K 在“0”点附近的奇异性; 此外, 为了建立 $T(1)$ -定理, 我们把核函数的光滑性降到了一种近似于 $D_{i, ni}$ -条件的积分条件.