On Injective Simple Modules Over a Noetherian Commutative Ring*

Pan Shizhong

(Dept. of Applied Math., Dalian Univ. of Tech., Dalian)

It is proved in [1] that an injective simple module over a noetherian commutative ring must be projective. Because every noetherian ring R is a finite direct sum of indecomposable rings, say $R = R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_n$, every simple R-module S must be a simple R_i -module for some i and every R-homomorphism $\sigma: M \rightarrow S$ must satisfy $\sigma(R_jM) = 0$ $(j \neq i)$. Thus it is easy to know theorem 2 in [1] is equivalent to the following statement which can be proved much easier.

Theorem | There is no injective simple module over an indecomposable noetherian commutative ring R except R is a field.

Proof Suppose S is an injective simple R-module, then there is a maximal ideal M of R such that $S \cong R/M$.

If M = 0, R is a field. Suppose $M \neq 0$. Because of a.c.c. we have $M = Ru_1 + \cdots + Ru_n$ for some $u_i \in M$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$.

Denote $N = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \text{ ann } u_i$.

If $N \neq 0$, take an $x \in N$, $x \neq 0$. Thus xM = 0, and we have a nonzero homomorphism

$$\begin{cases} R/M \to Rx \\ 1+M \mapsto x \end{cases}$$

Hence there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R/M \rightarrow R$. But R/M is injective and R is indecomposable, thus $R \cong R/M$, R is a simple R-module. It contradicts $M \neq 0$.

If N=0, we have a non-zero homomorphism

$$\sigma: \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} R(u_1\,,\cdots,u_n) \to R/M \\ (u_1\,,\cdots,u_n) \mapsto 1+M \end{array} \right.$$

It can be extended to $\overline{\sigma}: R^n \to R/M$ by the injectivity of |R/M|. So there are $v_i \in R$ $(i=1, \dots, n)$ such that

$$(0, \dots, 0, \stackrel{i}{1}, 0, \dots, 0) \stackrel{\overline{\sigma}}{\mapsto} v_i + M \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

Hence we have $\overline{\sigma}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i v_i + M = 0 + M$. It also is a contradiction.

Reference

[1] Guo Shanliang Projectivity and Injectivity of Simple Modules over Commutative Rings, Journal of Mathematical Research and Exposition Vol. 9, No.4, 1989. 501—503.

^{*} Received May, 18, 1990.