

Note on "Some New Results of P-Injective Rings" and "Regular Rings Are Very Regular"*

Zhang Jule

Xue Weimin

(Anhui Normal University, Wuhu)

(Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou)

In this note, we point out and correct some mistakes in [1] and [2] that are related to (von Neumann) regular rings.

Throughout R is an associative ring with identity and $E(R) = \{e | e = e^2 \in R\}$. Following [1], we call $E(R)$ weakly closed in case for any $e, f \in E(R)$ there exists $g \in E(R)$ with $Ref = Rg$. It should be noted that the weakly closed-ness is left-right symmetric, since for any $r \in R$; Rr is a summand of ${}_R R$ if and only if rR is a summand of R_R . In [1], the authors incorrectly claimed that $E(R)$ is weakly closed for any ring R . Consequently, they induced the incorrect [1, Proposition 5] and [1, Theorem 6] (= [2 Theorem]). The next example gives an explanation.

Example 1 Let F be a field and $R = \begin{bmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{bmatrix}$. Choose idempotents $e = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $Ref = R \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is not a summand of R , and so $E(R)$ is not weakly closed. Clearly $Ref \subseteq Re$, so the equality in [1, p.9, line -4] is incorrect. In general, we only have $Ref \oplus Re(1-f) \supseteq Re$. To show that [1, Proposition 5] is not correct, we take an idempotent $h = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $Re + Rh = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in F \right\}$ is not a summand of R .

Recall that R is a regular ring in case $r \in rRr$ for any $r \in R$. It is well-known that R is regular if and only if every principal left (right) ideal of R is a summand of R . Recently, Tjukavkin in [3, Example 3] has constructed a non-regular ring R such that each finitely generated right ideal of R can be generated by finitely many idempotents. This shows that [1, Theorem 6] and [2, Theorem] are not correct.

Using a result in [4], we observe the following

Lemma 2 Let R be a ring and $e, f \in E(R)$. The following two statements

* Received Dec. 8, 1989.

The second author is supported by a grant at Fujian Normal University.

are equivalent :

(1) There exists $h \in E(R)$ such that $Rf(1-e) = Rh$.

(2) There exists $g \in E(R)$ such that $Rg = Re + Rf$.

Proof One notes that $Re + Rf = Re \oplus Rf(1-e)$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2). We have $he = 0$, so it follows from [4, p.102, Exercise 6(1)] that $e + h - eh \in E(R)$ and $R(e + h - eh) = Re + Rh = Re + Rf(1-e) = Re + Rf$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Since $Rg = Re + Rf = Re \oplus Rf(1-e)$ and Rg is a summand of R , so $Rf(1-e)$ is also a summand of R .

The above lemma enables us to correct [1, Proposition 5] as follows.

Proposition 3 If $E(R)$ is weakly closed then for any $e, f \in E(R)$ there exists $g \in E(R)$ such that $Re + Rf = Rg$.

It is easy to see that $E(R)$ is weakly closed if R is a regular ring. Using the above result and the induction, we now correct [1, Theorem 6] and [2, Theorem].

Theorem 4 A ring R is regular if and only if each finitely generated left ideal of R can be generated by finitely many idempotents and $E(R)$ is weakly closed.

Remark 5 (1) A ring R is called normal in case each idempotent of R lies in the center of R . For a normal ring R , $E(R)$ is closed under multiplication and so $E(R)$ is weakly closed;

(2) As noted earlier, $E(R)$ is weakly closed if R is regular;

(3) According to Example 1, the above result can not be extended to semihereditary rings;

(4) If $R = \pi R_i$ is an arbitrary product of rings, then $E(R)$ is weakly closed if and only if each $E(R_i)$ is weakly closed.

Our concluding proposition gives a criterion for $E(R)$ to be weakly closed.

Proposition 6 If R is a ring and $e, f \in E(R)$, then Ref is a summand of R if and only if Ref is projective and any homomorphism from Ref to R can be extended to one from R to R .

Proof (\Rightarrow). This direction is obvious.

(\Leftarrow). Since Ref is projective, there exists $g \in \text{Hom}_R(Ref, R)$ with $ef = g(ef)ef$. By hypothesis, there exists $h \in \text{Hom}_R(R, R)$ with $h|_{Ref} = g$. Let $r = h(1)$, then

$$ef = g(ef)ef = h(ef)ef = efh(1)ef = efref.$$

Hence $ref \in E(R)$, and $Ref = Rref$ is a summand of R .

The second author wishes to thank his colleague, Chen Qinghua, for some helpful discussions.

References

- [1] Zhang Jule and Chen Jianlong, *J. Anhui Normal Univ.*, No.2 (1989), 6-11.
- [2] Page, S. S., *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 25 (1982), 118.
- [3] Tjukavkin, D. V., *Comm. Algebra*, 17 (1989), 1193-1198.
- [4] Anderson, F. W. and Fuller, K. R., *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.

关于“ P -内射环的几个新结果”和 “正则环是非常正则的”两文的注记

章聚乐

(安徽师范大学数学系)

薛卫民

(福建师范大学数学系)

摘 要

本文指出并且修正了文献“ P -内射环的几个新结果”和“正则环是非常正则的”的几个错误。