

## On Baernstein's Star-Function \*

*Gung Guifu    Liu Liquan*  
 (Dept. of Math., Heilongjiang Univ., Harbin, China)

Let  $g$  be a real-valued integrable function on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . The Baernstein's star-function of  $g$  as given in [1] is

$$g^*(\theta) = \sup_{|E|=2\theta} \int_E g(x) dx, 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi,$$

where  $|E|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set  $E \subset [-\pi, \pi]$ . The  $*$ -function has many applications [1-8] to complex function theory. The following theorem plays an essential role in the proof of the sharp inequality (cf. [1])

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |r/\{(1 - re^{i\theta})^2\}|^p d\theta, p > 0, 0 < r < 1,$$

for all functions  $f = z + \dots$  regular and univalent in  $|z| < 1$ .

A function  $\phi$  continuous on  $-\infty < x < \infty$  is called convex if  $\phi(\frac{1}{2}(x + y)) \leq \frac{1}{2}[\phi(x) + \phi(y)]$ , and is called strictly convex if strict inequality holds unless  $x = y$ . For real-valued  $g(x)$ , let  $[g(x)]^+ = \max\{g(x), 0\}$ .

**Theorem (Baernstein)** For  $g, h \in L^1[-\pi, \pi]$ , the following statements are equivalent.

(i) For every convex and nondecreasing function  $\phi$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ ,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(g(x)) dx \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(h(x)) dx. \quad (1)$$

(ii) For each  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ ,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [g(x) - t]^+ dx \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [h(x) - t]^+ dx. \quad (2)$$

(iii)  $g^*(\theta) \leq h^*(\theta), \theta \in [0, \pi]$ .

We shall discuss the equivalent conditions for strict inequalities in (i)- (iii), and study some properties of the  $*$ -function.

As in [1], denote by  $\bar{g}$  the symmetric nonincreasing rearrangement function of  $g$ . For  $g \in L^1[-\pi, \pi]$ , let

$$I_g(t) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [g(x) - t]^+ dx. \quad (3)$$

---

\*Received Apr. 8 1991. Project Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

Clearly  $I_g(t)$  is continuous on  $-\infty < t < \infty$ .

**Lemma 1** For  $g \in L^1[-\pi, \pi]$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ ,  $g^*(\theta) = \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} \bar{g}(x) dx$ . For each  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ , there exists a set  $E \subset [-\pi, \pi]$  with  $|E| = 2\theta$  for which  $g^*(\theta) = \int_E g(x) dx$ .

**Lemma 2** For  $g \in L^1[-\pi, \pi]$  and  $0 \leq a < b < c \leq \pi$ ,

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \bar{g}(x) dx \geq \frac{1}{c-b} \int_b^c \bar{g}(x) dx.$$

Lemma 1 is due to Baernstein [1]. Lemma 2 follows from the definition of  $\bar{g}$ .

**Lemma 3** For  $g, h \in L^1[-\pi, \pi]$ , the equality  $g^*(\theta) = h^*(\theta)$  holds on  $[0, \pi]$  if and only if the equality  $\bar{g}(x) = \bar{h}(x)$  holds a.e. on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ .

**Proof** The sufficiency follows readily from Lemma 1. If  $g^*(\theta) = h^*(\theta)$ , differentiating both sides and using Lemma 1, we get  $(g^*(\theta))' = 2\bar{g}(\theta)$  a.e. in  $[0, \pi]$ . So is  $h^*(\theta)$ . Thus  $\bar{g}(x) = \bar{h}(x)$  a.e. on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ .

**Theorem 1** If  $g, h \in L^1[-\pi, \pi]$  and satisfy

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(x) dx = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(x) dx \quad (4)$$

then the following statements are equivalent.

(a) For each function  $\phi(x)$  strictly convex on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ ,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(g(x)) dx < \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(h(x)) dx. \quad (5)$$

(b) There exists an interval  $I = [a, b]$ ,  $a < b$ , such that for almost every  $t \in I$ ,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [g(x) - t]^+ dx < \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [h(x) - t]^+ dx. \quad (6)$$

For  $t$  outside  $I$ , inequality (2) holds.

(c) There exists an interval  $J = [\varphi, \psi]$ ,  $0 \leq \varphi < \psi \leq \pi$ , such that for almost every  $\theta \in J$ ,  $g^*(\theta) < h^*(\theta)$ . For  $\theta \in [0, \pi] \setminus J$ ,  $g^*(\theta) \leq h^*(\theta)$ .

**Proof** (a)  $\implies$  (b). Choose a twice differentiable  $\phi(x)$  with  $\phi''(x) > 0$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , and  $\phi(x) > \phi(0) = 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ . Write  $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$  where  $\phi_1(x) = \phi(x)$  for  $x < 0$  and vanishes elsewhere. It is easy to see that

$$\phi_1(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [t - x]^+ d\phi_1'(t), \phi_2(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [x - t]^+ d\phi_2'(t).$$

Interchanging the order of integration, we obtain

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi_2(h(x)) dx - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi_2(g(x)) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [I_h(t) - I_g(t)] d\phi_2'(t).$$

Interchanging the order of integration and using (4), we have the same equality with  $\phi_2$  and  $\phi'_2$  replaced by  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi'_1$  respectively. Thus

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(h(x))dx - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(g(x))dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [I_h(t) - I_g(t)]d\phi'(t).$$

The left-hand side is positive by the hypotheses (a). Hence (b) follows since  $d\phi'(t) > 0$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  and  $I_h(t) - I_g(t) \geq 0$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  by the above Baernstein's Theorem (use a strict nondecreasing  $\phi$ ).

(b) $\implies$ (a). Let  $c \leq a$  at which  $\phi$  is differentiable and set  $\mu(t) = \phi'(t-)$ . Representing  $\phi(x)$  in the form

$$\phi(x) = \phi(c) + (x - c)\phi'(c-) + \int_c^{\infty} [x - t]^+ d\mu(t),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(h(x)) - \phi(g(x)) &= \phi'(c)(h(x) - g(x)) + \int_c^{\infty} [h(x) - t]^+ d\mu(t) \\ &\quad - \int_c^{\infty} [g(x) - t]^+ d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Using (4) and interchanging the order of integration, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(h(x))dx - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(g(x))dx &= \int_c^{\infty} [I_h(t) - I_g(t)]d\mu(t) \\ &> \int_a^b [I_h(t) - I_g(t)]d\mu(t) \\ &\geq m[\phi'(b') - \phi'(a')] > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a'$  and  $b'$ ,  $a \leq a' < b' \leq b$ , are differentiable points of  $\phi$ , and  $m$  is the minimal value of  $I_h(t) - I_g(t)$  on the interval  $[a', b']$ .

(b) $\implies$ (c). Clearly  $b \leq \sup \text{ess } \bar{h}(\theta)$ , by the properties of a symmetric nonincreasing rearrangement function. Set

$$\varphi = \inf_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi} \{\bar{h}(\theta+) \leq b \leq \bar{h}(\theta-)\}, \psi = \sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi} \{\bar{h}(\theta+) \leq a \leq \bar{h}(\theta-)\}.$$

If  $\varphi = \psi$ , the  $\varphi > 0$  and  $I_g(t) < I_h(t)$  a.e. on  $I$ . Therefore, there exists a set  $F \subset [0, \varphi]$  with  $|F| > 0$  on which  $\bar{g}(x) < \bar{h}(x)$ . Let  $\sigma$  be such that  $0 < |\{[0, \sigma] \cap F\}| < |F|$ , and set  $J = [\sigma, \varphi]$ . Then, for  $\theta \in J$ ,  $g^*(\theta) < h^*(\theta)$ . If  $\varphi \neq \psi$ , we choose  $J = [\varphi, \psi]$ . By Lemma 1, for  $\theta \in J$ , there is a set  $G \subset [-\pi, \pi]$  of measure  $2\theta$  such that

$$g^*(\theta) = \int_G g(x)dx = \int_G [g(x) - t]dx + 2\theta t.$$

For  $t \in [h(\theta+), h(\theta-)]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G [g(x) - t]dx &\leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [g(x) - t]^+ dx < \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [h(x) - t]^+ dx \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [\bar{h}(x) - t]^+ dx = \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} [\bar{h}(x) - t]dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$g^*(\theta) < \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} [\bar{h}(x) - t] dx + 2\theta t = h^*(\theta) \text{ a.e. on } J.$$

(c) $\implies$ (b). If  $\bar{g}(\varphi) \neq \bar{g}(\psi+)$ , set  $a = \bar{g}(\psi+)$ ,  $b = \bar{g}(\varphi)$  and set  $I = [a, b]$ . If  $\bar{g}(\varphi) = \bar{g}(\psi+)$  and  $\bar{g}(\varphi) \geq \bar{h}(\varphi)$ , then  $\varphi > 0$  and for  $\theta \in J$ ,  $g^*(\theta) < h^*(\theta)$ . In this case, there exists a point  $\sigma$  at which  $g(x)$  is continuous and  $\bar{g}(\sigma) < \bar{h}(\sigma)$ . Hence  $g^*(\theta) < h^*(\theta)$  for  $\sigma \leq \theta \leq \varphi$ . Set  $a = \bar{g}(\varphi)$ ,  $b = \bar{h}(\sigma)$  and set  $I = [a, b]$ . In both cases, for  $t \in I$  and for  $\theta$  satisfying  $\bar{g}(\theta+) \leq t \leq \bar{g}(\theta)$ , the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [g(x) - t]^+ dx &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [g(x) - t]^+ dx = \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} [\bar{g}(x) - t] dx = g^*(\theta) - 2\theta t \\ &< h^*(\theta) - 2\theta t = \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} [\bar{h}(x) - t] dx \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [\bar{h}(x) - t]^+ dx \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [h(x) - t]^+ dx \end{aligned}$$

hold a.e. on  $I$ .

If  $\bar{g}(\varphi) = \bar{g}(\psi+)$  and  $\bar{g}(\varphi) < \bar{h}(\varphi)$ , set  $a = \bar{g}(\varphi)$ ,  $b = \bar{h}(\varphi)$  and set  $I = [a, b]$ . Then for  $t \in I$  and  $\theta$  satisfying  $\bar{g}(\theta+) \leq t \leq \bar{g}(\theta)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [g(x) - t]^+ dx &= g^*(\theta) - 2\theta t \leq h^*(\theta) - 2\theta t = \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} [\bar{h}(x) - t] dx \\ &= \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} [h(x) - t]^+ dx \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [\bar{h}(x) - t]^+ dx = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [h(x) - t]^+ dx. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

**Theorem 2** If  $g \in L^1[-\pi, \pi]$ , then  $g^*(\theta)$  is concave on  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ , and hence it is twice differentiable a.e. in  $(0, \pi)$  with  $(g^*)''(\theta) \leq 0$ .

**Proof** For  $0 \leq \varphi < \psi \leq \pi$ , let  $a = \varphi$ ,  $b = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi + \psi)$ ,  $c = \psi$  and applying Lemma 2, we find

$$\begin{aligned} g^*(\psi) - g^*\left(\frac{\varphi + \psi}{2}\right) &= \int_{-\psi}^{\psi} \bar{g}(x) dx - \int_{-b}^b \bar{g}(x) dx \\ &= 2 \int_b^{\psi} \bar{g}(x) dx - \int_{\varphi}^b \bar{g}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-b}^b \bar{g}(x) dx - \int_{-\varphi}^{\varphi} \bar{g}(x) dx \\ &= g^*\left(\frac{\varphi + \psi}{2}\right) - g^*(\varphi) \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

**Theorem 3** Let  $g, h \in L^1[-\pi, \pi]$ . Then for  $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ , the equality

$$(\alpha g + \beta h)^*(\theta) = \alpha g^*(\theta) + \beta h^*(\theta) \quad (7)$$

holds on  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$  if and only if the equality

$$(\alpha g(x) + \beta h(x))^- = \alpha \bar{g}(x) + \beta \bar{h}(x) \quad (8)$$

holds a.e. on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ .

**Proof** (7) $\implies$ (8). If  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\beta = 0$ , it is trivial. Now let  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ . By Lemma 1, for  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\theta (\alpha g(x) + \beta h(x))^- dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\theta}^\theta (\alpha g(x) + \beta h(x))^- dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\alpha g + \beta h)^*(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} [\alpha g^*(\theta) + \beta h^*(\theta)] \\ &= \int_0^\theta [\alpha \bar{g}(x) + \beta \bar{h}(x)] dx. \end{aligned}$$

The leftest and the rightest sides are differentiable in  $0 < \theta < \pi$ , except for a countable set. Hence (8) holds a.e. on  $[0, \pi]$ . It also holds on  $[-\pi, 0]$  by the symmetry of symmetric nonincreasing rearrangement function.

(8) $\implies$ (7). For  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ , by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha g + \beta h)^*(\theta) &= \int_{-\theta}^\theta (\alpha g(x) + \beta h(x))^- dx \\ &= \int_{-\theta}^\theta [\alpha g(x) + \beta h(x)] dx = \alpha g^*(\theta) + \beta h^*(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

which proves (7) and hence the Theorem.

**Remark** If  $g$  and  $h$  are continuous on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , then the words "a.e." in Theorems 1 and 3 can be omitted.

**Theorem 4** If  $u$  and  $v$  are subharmonic functions in  $|z| < 1$  and  $u$  is subordinate to  $v$ , then the equality

$$u^*(re^{i\theta}) = v^*(re^{i\theta}), \theta \in (0, \pi), \quad (9)$$

holds for some  $r \in (0, 1)$  if and only if  $\bar{u}(re^{i\theta}) = \bar{v}(re^{i\theta})$  holds on  $[-\pi, \pi]$  and either  $v$  is harmonic or  $u(z) = v(\lambda z), |\lambda| = 1$ .

**Proof** The sufficiency is easy. To prove the necessity, we apply Lemma 3 to conclude that the equality

$$\bar{u}(re^{i\theta}) = \bar{v}(re^{i\theta}) \quad (10)$$

holds a.e. on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ .

Since  $u$  is subordinate to  $v, u(z) = v(w(z))$  where  $w(z)$  is analytic in  $|z| < 1$  and satisfies  $|w(z)| \leq |z|$ . Suppose that  $w(z) \neq \lambda z, |\lambda| = 1$ . Then  $|w(z)| < |z|$ . If  $v$  is not harmonic, let  $G(z)$  be harmonic in  $|z| < r, r < 1$ , and satisfies  $G(re^{i\theta}) = v(re^{i\theta})$ . By the maximum principle of subharmonic functions,  $v(w(z)) < G(w(z))$  on  $|z| \leq r$ . Thus

$$\int_{-\pi}^\pi \bar{u}(re^{i\theta}) d\theta = \int_{-\pi}^\pi u(re^{i\theta}) d\theta = \int_{-\pi}^\pi v(w(re^{i\theta})) d\theta$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(w(re^{i\theta}))d\theta = 2\pi G(0) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(re^{i\theta})d\theta \\
&= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} v(re^{i\theta})d\theta = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \bar{v}(re^{i\theta})d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (10). Hence either  $u(z) = v(\lambda z)$ ,  $|\lambda| = 1$ , or  $v$  is harmonic in  $|z| < r$ . The proof is complete.

## References

- [1] A. Baernstein, *Integral means, univalent functions, and circular symmetrization*, Acta Math., **133**(1974), 139-169.
- [2] A. Baernstein, *Proof of Edrel's spread conjecture*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **26**(1973), 418-434.
- [3] A. Baernstein, *A generalization of the  $\cos\pi\rho$  theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **193**(1974), 181-197.
- [4] A. Baernstein, *On the harmonic measure of slit domains*, Complex Variables Theory Appl., **9**(1987), 131-142.
- [5] A. Baernstein and J.E. Brown, *Integral means of monotone slit mappings*, Comment. Math. Helv., **57**(1982), 331-348.
- [6] A. Baernstein and G. Schober, *Estimates for inverse coefficients of univalent functions from integral means*, Israel J. Math., **36**(1980), 75-82.
- [7] O.A. Busovskaya, *Integral means of the derivatives of the star functions*, Ukr. Math. J., **9**(1983), 20-23.
- [8] Y.J. Leung, *Integral means of the derivatives of some univalent functions*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **11**(1979), 289-294.

## 关于 Baernstein 星函数

官贵福 刘礼泉

(黑龙江大学数学系, 哈尔滨 150080)

### 摘 要

若函数  $g(\theta)$  在区间  $[-\pi, \pi]$  上是可积的. 称  $g$  在测度为  $2\theta$  的各子集上的积分的最大值  $g^*(\theta)$  为  $g$  的星函数. 本文考察了星函数的某些性质.