the Lemma 2.2 of [5], $P_r(u) = P_r(v) = 1$. By Theorem 2.3 of [5], $0 \in P_{P_r}(x)$. Since Y is a P_r -homogeneous imbedded subspace, we have $P_r(x+u) = P_r(x+v)$. We may assume that $\lambda = P_r(x+u) > 0$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$P_r(x+u) = \lambda P_{\psi(\lambda)r}(x+u) = P_r(x+v) = \lambda P_{\psi(\lambda)r}(x+v).$$

So $P_{\psi(\lambda)r}(x+u) = \lambda P_{\psi(\lambda)r}(x+v) = 1$. By Lemma 2.1, one has $f(x+u) = \psi(\lambda)r = f(x+v)$. So Y is an f-homogeneous imbedded subspace of X.

Remark By Theorem 3.3, if the condition (F2) is replaced by (F1) in Lemma 2.3, 2.4 and Theorem 3.1 and 3.2, we have the same conclusions respectively.

References

- [1] D.Amir & Z.Ziegler, Relative Chebyshev Centers in Normed Linear Spaces, PartI, J.Approx.Theory, 29 (1980), 235-252.
- [2] P.Govindarajulu & D.V.Pai, On properties of sets related to f-projections, J. Math. Ann. Appl., 73 (1980), 457-465.
- [3] D.V.Pai & P.Govindarajulu, On set-valued f-projections and f-farthest point mappings, J. Approx. Theorey, 42 (1984), 4-13.
- [4] B.N.Sahney, K.L.Singh & J.H.M.Whitfield, Best approximations in locally convex spaces, J. Approx. Theorey, 38 (1983), 182-187.
- [5] Wenhua Song, A remark on the approximation in the locally convex spaces, Approximation, Optimization and Computig: Theory and Application, A.G.Law & C.L.Wang (eds.), pp. 179-180, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North-Holland), IMACS, 1990.

局部凸空间中的齐次嵌入子空间

(大连理工大学数学科学研究所,大连116024)

在本文中,将齐次嵌入子空间概念引入了局部凸空间中,并讨论了它们了逼 近性质.

f-homogeneous Imbedded Subspaces in Locally Convex Spaces

Song Wenhua

(Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024)

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the concept of the homogeneous imbedded subspace in locally convex spaces and study the approximation properties of these subspaces.

Key words: f-homogeneous imbedded subspace, f-proximinal subspace

1. Introduction

Let X and X' be a pair of linear spaces put in duality by a bilinear form \langle,\rangle . We assume that this bilinear form \langle,\rangle is separating, i.e., for each $x\in X$ and $x\neq 0$, there exists y in X' such that $\langle x,y\rangle\neq 0$ and, for each $y\in X'$ and $y\neq 0$, there exists an $x\in X$ such that $\langle x,y\rangle\neq 0$. A topology on X is said to be *compatible* if it is a separated locally convex topology for which continuous linear functions on X are precisely of the form

$$\langle \cdot, y \rangle : x \to \langle x, y \rangle$$
, for $y \in X'$.

Let f be a continuous convex function defined on X and satisfying f(0) = 0. Given a nonempty Y of X and $x \in X$, let

$$f_Y(x) = \inf\{f(x-y); y \in Y\};$$

 $P_f(x) = \{y \in Y; f_Y(x) = f(x-y)\};$

The set-valued mapping P_f is called f-metric projection supported on Y. Y is said to be f-proximinal (resp. f-Chebyshev) if $P_f(x)$ is nonempty (resp. $P_f(x)$ is a singleton) for each $x \in X$.

For r > 0, let $S_r = \{x \in X; f(x) \le r\}$ denote the sub-level subset of f, and $P_r(x) = \inf\{\lambda > 0; x \in \lambda S_r\}$ denote the Minkowski gauge of S_r . Then P_r is a non-negative continuous sublinear function.

In section 2, we obtain the element properties of homogeneous imbedded subspace.

In section 3, we investigate the f-approximation with respect to a homogeneous imbeded subspace and the f-Chebyshev subspaces.

Let X be locally convex and f a real function defined on X. Consider the conditions:

^{*}Received Dct. 20, 1992, Supported by the National Science Foundation of P.R. China.

- **(F1)** There exists a continuous bijection $\psi: R_+ \mapsto R_+$ such that, for any $x \in X$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, $f(\lambda x) = \psi(\lambda)f(x)$ and f is continuous and convex.
- (F2) f is a symmetric sublinear function.

Obviously, if there exists an $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ such that f(x) > 0, then ψ is a convex function and $\psi(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$.

2. Preliminary and notations

Lemma 2.1 (D.V.Pai and P.Govindarajulu [3]) Suppose f satisfies the condition (F1) and $0 = f(0) \le f(x)$. Then for any $\alpha, \beta > 0$,

$$S_{\alpha} = (1/\beta) S_{\psi(\beta)\alpha}, \quad P_{\alpha,Y} = \beta P_{\psi(\beta)\alpha,Y}.$$

By this Lemma, we have $P_{P_{\alpha},Y}(x) = P_{P_{\beta},Y}(x)$, for any $x \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

If X is a normed linear space and f is the norm on X, then the following definition is as that in [1].

Definition 2.2 Let X be a locally convex space and f a real function defined on X. Y is an f-proximinal subspace of X. Y is called to be an f-homogeneous imbedded subspace of X if, for any $x \in X$ and $u, v \in Y$, $0 \in P_f(x)$ and f(u) = f(v) imply that f(x+u) = f(x+v). Firstly, we consider some properties of homogeneous imbedded subspace of X.

Lemma 2.3 Let f be real function defined on X which satisfies the condition (F2) and Y an f-homogeneous imbedded subspace of X. Given $x \in X$, $u \in P_f(x)$ and $w \in Y$, if f(x-y) < f(x-w), then for every $y \in Y$, we have f(u-y) < f(u-w).

Proof Assume that the conclusion is fales. then there exists a $y \in Y$ such that $f(u-y) \ge f(u-w)$.

Case 1 f(u-y) = f(u-w). Since $u \in P_f(x)$, we have $0 \in P_f(x-u)$. Since Y is an f-homogeneous imbedded subspace of X and $u, w, y \in Y$, we have

$$f(x-y) = f[(x-u)+(u-y)]$$

= $f[(x-u)+(u-w)]$
= $f(x-w)$.

This is in contradiction with the assumption.

Case 2 f(u-y) > f(u-w). If f(u-w) = 0, then

$$f(x-w) = f[(x-u)+(u-w)]$$

= $f(x-u)+f(u-w)$
= $f_Y(x)$.

Since $w \in Y$, $w \in P_f(x)$. This is impossible since $y \in Y$ and f(x - y) < f(x - w).

Now, we assume that f(u-y) > f(u-w) > 0. Then there exists a $0 < t_0 < 1$ such that

$$f(u-w) = t_0 f(u-y) = f[t_0(u-y)].$$

Since Y is an f-homogeneous imbedded subspace of X and $u \in P_f(x)$, we have $f(x-u) \le f(x-w)$. Hence we have

$$f(x-w) = f[(x-u) + (u-w)]$$

$$= f[(x-u) + t_0(u-y)]$$

$$= f[t_0(x-y) + (1-t_0)(x-u)]$$

$$\leq t_0 f(x-y) + (1-t_0) f(x-u)$$

$$\leq t_0 f(x-y) + (1-t_0) f(x-w).$$

It implies that $t_0 f(x-w) \le t_0 f(x-w)$. This is impossible since $t_0 > 0$ and f(x-y) < f(x-w).

Lemma 2.4 Assume that X, f and Y satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.3. Given $x \in X$, then $0 \in P_f(x)$ if and only if, for any $u, v \in Y$, f(u) = f(v) implies f(x + u) = f(x + v).

Proof The necessiarity is the definition of homogeneous imbedded subspace of X.

Since Y is f-proximinal, so $P_f(x) \neq \emptyset$. Let $u \in P_f(x)$. Since f is symmetric, we have that f(u) = f(-u). By definition, we have f(x+u) = f(x-u). Since $f(x+u) = f_Y(x)$, $-u \in P_f(x)$. Hence

$$f(x) = f(x + \frac{u-u}{2}) \le [f(x+u) + f(x-u)]/2 = f_Y(x).$$

Thus $0 \in P_f(x)$.

Lemma 2.5 Let X be a locally convex space, f a real function defined on X which satisfies the condition (F2) and Y an f-proximinal subspace of X. Suppose that for $x \in X$ and $u, v \in Y$, when f(u) = f(v) = 1 and $0 \in P_f(x)$, one has f(x + u) = f(x + v). Then Y is a homogeneous imbedded subspace of X.

Proof Let $x \in X$ such that $0 \in P_f(x)$ and $u, v \in Y$ such that f(u) = f(v). If f(u) = f(v) = 0, then

$$f(x+u) \le f(x) + f(u) = f(x)$$
, and $f(x) = f(x+u-u) \le f(x+u)$.

Hence f(x) = f(x+u). Similarly, f(x) = f(x+v). So f(x+u) = f(x+v).

Now, we assume that f(u) = f(v) = r > 0. Then f(u/r) = f(v/r) = 1. obviously, $0 \in P_f(x/r)$. By assumption, we have

$$f(x-u)/r = f(\frac{x}{r} - \frac{u}{r})$$
$$= f(\frac{x}{r} - \frac{v}{r}) = f(x-v)/r.$$

So we have f(x + u) = f(x + v) and Y is a homogeneous imbedded subspace of X. \Box

3. The Main Theorems

Theorem 3.1 Let X be a locally convex space, f a real function defined on X which satisfies the condition (F2) and Y an f-homogeneous imbedded subspace of X. Then Y is f-Chebyshev subspace of X if and only if f(y) > 0 when $y \in Y \setminus \{0\}$, that is, the restriction $f|_Y$ of f on Y is a norm on Y.

Proof Let Y be an f-Chebyshev subspace of X. Suppose that there exists a $y_0 \in Y \setminus \{0\}$ such that $f(y_0) = 0$. Let $x \in X \setminus Y$. Since Y is f-proximinal, $P_f(x) \neq \emptyset$. Let $u \in P_f(x)$. Let $x_0 = x - u$. Then $0 \in P_f(x_0)$. Hence $f(x_0) = f_Y(x_0)$ and

$$f(x_0 + y_0) \le f(x_0) + f(y_0) = f(x_0) = f_Y(x_0).$$

This implies that $-y_0 \in P_f(x_0)$. This is in contradiction with Y being an f-Chebyshev subspace since $0, -y_0 \in P_f(x_0)$ and $y_0 \neq 0$. We complete the proof of necessarity.

To show the sufficience, suppose that Y is not an f-Chebyshev subspace. Then there exist $x \in X$ and y_1 , $y_2 \in P_f(x)$ such that $y_1 \neq y_2$. Let $x_0 = x - y_1$ and $y_0 = y_2 - y_1$. Then $y_0 \neq 0$ and $y_0 \in P_f(x_0)$. Thus we have

$$f(x_0) = f_Y(x_0) = f(x_0 - y_0).$$

Since f is symmetric, we have $f(y_0) = f(-y_0)$. Since $0 \in P_f(x_0 - y_0)$ and Y is f-homogeneous imbedded subspace of X, we have

$$f_Y(x_0) = f(x_0) = f[(x_0 - y_0) + y_0] = f(x_0 - 2y_0).$$

So $2y_0 \in P_f(x)$. If $ky_0 \in P_f(x_0)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ where $n \ge 3$, then $ny_0, (n-1)y_0 \in P_f(x_0)$. Hence $0 \in P_f(x_0 - ny_0)$. $f(y_0) = f(-y_0)$ implies that

$$f_Y(x_0) = f[x_0 - (n-1)y_0]$$

$$= f((x_0 - ny_0) + y_0]$$

$$= f[(x_0 - ny_0) + (-y_0)]$$

$$= f[x_0 - (n+1)y_0].$$

Therefor, $(n+1)y_0 \in P_f(x_0)$. By induction, we have $ny_0 \in P_f(x_0)$ for every integer n. Since

$$nf(y_0) = f(ny_0) = f[(-x_0 + ny_0) + x_0]$$

 $\leq f(x_0) + f(x - ny_0)$
 $= 2f_Y(x_0),$

we have $0 \le f(y_0) \le 2f_Y(x_0)/n \to 0$ when $n \to \infty$. So $f(y_0) = 0$. This is in contradiction with $y_0 \ne 0$ and the assumption.

Theorem 3.2 Let X and f satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.1 and Y an f-proximinal and closed subspace of X. Then Y is an f-homogeneous imbedded subspace of X if and

only if, given $x \in X$, $y \in P_f(x)$ satisfing $f(y) \neq 0$, for every $u \in Y$, when f(u) = 1, one has

$$f(x-\frac{y}{f(y)})\leq f(x-u).$$

Proof (\Rightarrow). Assume that there exists a $u_0 \in Y$ such that $f(u_0) = 1$ and $f(x - u_0) < f(x - \frac{y}{f(y)})$. Since $u_0, y/f(y) \in Y$ and $y \in P_f(x)$, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$f(y - u_0) < f(y - \frac{y}{f(y)})$$

= $|1 - \frac{1}{f(y)}|f(y)$
= $|f(y) - 1|$. (1)

Since $f(u_0) = 1$, we have

$$f(y) = f[(y - u_0) + u_0] \le f(y - u_0) + f(u_0) \le f(y - u_0) + 1.$$
 (2)

Similarly, we have

$$1 = f[(u_0 - y) + y] \le f(u_0 - y) + f(y) = f(y - u_0) + f(y). \tag{3}$$

By (2) and (3), we have $|f(y)-1| \le f(y-u_0)$. This is in contradiction with (1).

 (\Leftarrow) . Assume that Y is not a homogeneous imbedded subspace of X.

By Lemma 2.4, there exist $x \in X$ and $u, v \in Y$ such that $0 \in P_f(x)$, f(u) = f(v) = 1 and $f(x+u) \neq f(x+v)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that f(x+v) < f(x+u). Since $\lim_{t\to 0} f(v+tu) = f(v) = 1$, so f(v+tu) > 0 when $0 < t < \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{f(x+u) - f(x+v), \delta\}/3$. Then we have

$$f(v+\varepsilon u)>0, \tag{4}$$

$$f(x+u) > f(x+v) + 2\varepsilon. \tag{5}$$

Since $f(v + \varepsilon u) \le f(v) + \varepsilon f(u) = 1 + \varepsilon$, that is, $f(v + \varepsilon u) - 1 \le \varepsilon$. Since

$$1 = f(v) = f[(v + \varepsilon u) - \varepsilon u] \le f(v + \varepsilon u) + \varepsilon f(u) = f(v + \varepsilon u) + \varepsilon.$$

Hence we get

$$|f(v+\varepsilon u)-1|\leq \varepsilon. \tag{6}$$

This implies that

$$f(x-u) = f[x-(\varepsilon+f(v+\varepsilon u))u+(\varepsilon+f(v+\varepsilon u)-1)u]$$

$$= f[x-(\varepsilon+f(v+\varepsilon u))u]+|\varepsilon+f(v+\varepsilon v)-1|$$

$$\leq f[x-(\varepsilon+f(v+\varepsilon u))u]+\varepsilon+|f(v+\varepsilon v)-1|$$

$$\leq f[x-(\varepsilon+f(v+\varepsilon u))u]+2\varepsilon,$$

that is,

$$f(x-u)-2\varepsilon \leq f[x-(\varepsilon+f(v+\varepsilon u))u]. \tag{7}$$

By (4), let $x_0 = (x + \varepsilon u)/f(v + \varepsilon u)$ and $y = \varepsilon u/f(v + \varepsilon u)$. Since $0 \in P_f(x)$, we have $0 \in f(x/f(v + \varepsilon u))$. So $y \in P_f(x_0)$. Obviously, f(y) > 0 and y/f(y) = u. By (7), we have

$$f(x_0 - y/f(y)) = f(x_0 - u)$$

$$= f[(x - \varepsilon u)/f(v + \varepsilon u) - u]$$

$$= (1/f(v + \varepsilon u))f(x + \varepsilon u - f(v + \varepsilon u)u)$$

$$\geq (f(x - u) - 2\varepsilon)/f(v + \varepsilon u)$$

$$> f(x - v)/f(v + \varepsilon u)$$

$$= f[(x + \varepsilon u) - (v + \varepsilon u)]/f(v + \varepsilon u)$$

$$\geq f[(x + \varepsilon u)/f(v + \varepsilon u) - (v + \varepsilon u)/f(v + \varepsilon u)]$$

$$= f[x_0 - (v + \varepsilon u)/f(v + \varepsilon u)].$$

Since $f[(v + \varepsilon u)/f(v + \varepsilon u)] = 1$. This is in contradiction with the assumption. Thus Y is an f-homogeneous imbedded subspace of X.

Theorem 3.3 Let X be a locally convex space and f a real function defined X which satisfies the condition (F1) and f(0) = 0. Then Y is an f-homogeneous imbedded subspace of X if and only if, for every r > 0, Y is a P_r -homogeneous imbedded subspace of X.

Proof By the Theorem 2.3 of [5], Y is f-proximinal if and only if Y is P_r -proximinal for every r > 0.

Assume that Y is an f-homogeneous imbedded subspace of X. Given r > 0, let $x \in X$ and $u, v \in Y$ such that $0 \in P_{P_r}(x)$ and $P_r(u) = P_r(v)$. If $P_r(u) = 0$, evidently, f(u) = f(v) = 0. So we have f(x + u) = f(x + v). Assume that $P_r(u) = \lambda \neq 0$. By the Lemma 2.1,

$$P_{r}(u) = \lambda P_{\psi(\lambda)r}(u) = \lambda P_{\psi(\lambda)r}(v). \tag{8}$$

By the difinition of λ , we have $P_{\psi(\lambda)r}(u) = P_{\psi(\lambda)r}(v) = 1$. By the Lemme 2.2 of [5], $f(u) = f(v) = \psi(\lambda)r$. By the Theorem 2.3 of [5], $0 \in P_f(x)$. Since Y is a f-homogeneous imbedded subspace, we have f(x+u) = f(x+v). If f(x+u) = 0, obviously, $P_r(x+u) = P_r(x+v) = 0$. Suppose that $\alpha = f(x+u) > 0$. By the Lemma 2.2 of [5], we have $P_{\alpha}(x+u) = P_{\alpha}(x+v) = 1$. Let $\beta = \psi^{-1}(r/\alpha)$. Then $\beta > 0$ and $\psi(\beta)\alpha = r$. By Lemma 2.1,

$$P_r(x+u) = P_{\psi(\beta)\alpha}(x+u)$$

$$= \beta^{-1}P_{\alpha}(x-+u)$$

$$= \beta^{-1}P_{\alpha}(x+v)$$

$$= P_{\psi(\beta)\alpha}(x+v)$$

$$= P_r(x+v).$$

Thus Y is a P_r -homogeneous imbedded subspace.

Assume that, for every r > 0, Y is a P_r -homogeneous imbedded subspace. Let $x \in X$ and $u, v \in Y$ such that $0 \in P_f(x)$ and f(u) = f(v). Obviously, if f(u) = 0, then $P_r(u) = 0$ for every r > 0. So $P_r(x + u) = P_r(x + v)$ for every r > 0. Assume that r = f(u) > 0. By

the Lemma 2.2 of [5], $P_r(u) = P_r(v) = 1$. By Theorem 2.3 of [5], $0 \in P_{P_r}(x)$. Since Y is a P_r -homogeneous imbedded subspace, we have $P_r(x+u) = P_r(x+v)$. We may assume that $\lambda = P_r(x+u) > 0$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$P_r(x+u) = \lambda P_{\psi(\lambda)r}(x+u) = P_r(x+v) = \lambda P_{\psi(\lambda)r}(x+v).$$

So $P_{\psi(\lambda)r}(x+u) = \lambda P_{\psi(\lambda)r}(x+v) = 1$. By Lemma 2.1, one has $f(x+u) = \psi(\lambda)r = f(x+v)$. So Y is an f-homogeneous imbedded subspace of X.

Remark By Theorem 3.3, if the condition (F2) is replaced by (F1) in Lemma 2.3, 2.4 and Theorem 3.1 and 3.2, we have the same conclusions respectively.

References

- [1] D.Amir & Z.Ziegler, Relative Chebyshev Centers in Normed Linear Spaces, PartI, J.Approx.Theory, 29 (1980), 235-252.
- [2] P.Govindarajulu & D.V.Pai, On properties of sets related to f-projections, J. Math. Ann. Appl., 73 (1980), 457-465.
- [3] D.V.Pai & P.Govindarajulu, On set-valued f-projections and f-farthest point mappings, J. Approx. Theorey, 42 (1984), 4-13.
- [4] B.N.Sahney, K.L.Singh & J.H.M.Whitfield, Best approximations in locally convex spaces, J. Approx. Theorey, 38 (1983), 182-187.
- [5] Wenhua Song, A remark on the approximation in the locally convex spaces, Approximation, Optimization and Computig: Theory and Application, A.G.Law & C.L.Wang (eds.), pp. 179-180, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North-Holland), IMACS, 1990.

局部凸空间中的齐次嵌入子空间

(大连理工大学数学科学研究所,大连116024)

在本文中,将齐次嵌入子空间概念引入了局部凸空间中,并讨论了它们了逼 近性质.