

$\pi_1 \epsilon_1 = 1_M$, where ϵ_1 is the natural injection from M to $M \oplus R$. This means that f is split. Thus M is projective.

Therefore every cyclic left R -module is projective. So R is Artinian semisimple, and we are done.

Acknowledgement *This research was supported by NSF of Gansu, China.*

References

- [1] Faith, C., *Annihilator ideals, associated primes and Kasch-McCoy Commutative rings*, Comm. in Alg., **19(7)**(1991), 1867–1892.
- [2] Huynh, D.Van and Smith, P.F., *Some rings characterised by their modules*, Comm. in Alg., **18(6)**(1990), 1971–1988.
- [3] Osofsky, B.L. and Smith, P.F., *Cyclic modules whose quotients have all complement submodules direct summands*, J. Alg., **139**(1991), 342–354.
- [4] Camillo, V. and Yousif, M.F., *CS-modules with ACC or DCC on essential submodules*, Comm. in Alg., **19(2)**(1991), 655–662.
- [5] Li, M.S. and Zelmanowitz, J.M., *On the generalizations of injectivity*, Comm. in Alg., **16(13)**(1988), 483–491.
- [6] Kasch, F., *Modules and Rings*, Academic Press, London, 1982.
- [7] Muller, B.J. and Tariq Rizvi, S., *On injective and quasi-continuous modules*, J. Pure and Appl. Alg., **28**(1983), 197–210.
- [8] Ara, P. and Park, J.K., *On continuous semiprimary rings*, Comm. in Alg., **19(7)**(1991), 1945–1957.
- [9] Chatters, A.W. and Hajarnavis, C. R., *Rings in which every complement right ideal is a direct summand*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 2, **28**(1977), 61–80.

Artin 半单环的特征

刘仲奎

(西北师范大学数学系, 兰州 730070)

摘 要

本文证明了如下结果: 环 R 是 Artin 半单的当且仅当存在一个基数 c , 使得任意左 R -模是一个连续模和一个 c -限制的 ES-模的直和, 也当且仅当存在一个基数 c , 使得任意左 R -模是一个拟投射模和一个 c -限制的 ES-模的直和.

关键词 Artin 半单环, 连续模, c -限制 ES-模.

A Characterization of Artinian Semisimple Rings *

Liu Zhongkui

(Dept. of Math., Northwest Normal University Lanzhou, 730070)

Abstract It is proved that R is Artinian semisimple if and only if there exists a cardinal c such that every left R -module is the direct sum of a continuous module and a c -limited ES -module if and only if there exists a cardinal c such that every left R -module is the direct sum of a quasi-projective module and a c -limited ES -module.

Keywords Artinian semisimple ring, continuous module, c -limited ES -module.

In the following, R will always stand for a ring with an identity. It is well-known that R is Artinian semisimple if and only if every left R -module is injective if and only if every left R -module is projective. In this note, we prove that R is Artinian semisimple if and only if there exists a cardinal c such that every left R -module is the direct sum of a continuous module and a c -limited ES -module if and only if there exists a cardinal c such that every left R -module is the direct sum of a quasi-projective module and a c -limited ES -module.

Let M be a left R -module. Recall that M is called CS -module provided every submodule of M is essential in a direct summand of M , or equivalently, every maximal essential extension of a submodule of M is a direct summand of M (see [3] or [4]). M is called continuous if M is a CS -module and every submodule isomorphic to a direct summand is a direct summand (see [5] or [9]). A submodule N of a module M is said to be closed in M if N has no proper essential extensions in M .

Lemma 1([5]) *Quasi-injective R -modules are continuous but not conversely.*

Lemma 2([5]) *The following are equivalent for a left R -module M .*

(i) M is continuous.

(ii) If $A \oplus C < M$ and $f : A \oplus C \rightarrow M$ is a homomorphism with $\text{Im}f$ closed in M and $\text{Ker}f = C$, then there exists $g \in \text{End}_R M$ extending f .

A left R -module M is called an ES -module if the socle $\text{Soc}(M)$ is an essential submodule of M (see C.Faith [1]). For example, if M is finitely cogenerated then M is an ES -module (see [6]). If R is a PF -ring (that is, R is an injective cogenerator in $R\text{-Mod}$), then ${}_R R$ is an ES -module. For other examples and results of ES -modules we refer the reader to [1].

*Received Dec. 24, 1992.

Let c be any cardinal. Following [2], a left R -module M will be called c -limited provided every direct sum of non-zero submodules of M contains at most c direct summands (see [2]). For Example, if M has finite uniform dimension then M is \aleph_0 -limited. For any right R -module M , it is clear that M is c -limited where $c = |M|$. If M is c -limited, then it is easy to see that for every cardinal c' with $c' > c$, M is c' -limited. For other examples of c -limited left R -modules we refer the reader to [2].

In [2], it is proved that R is Artinian semisimple if and only if there exists a cardinal c such that every left R -module is the direct sum of an injective module and a c -limited module if and only if there exists a cardinal c such that every left R -module is the direct sum of a projective module and a c -limited module. Here we have:

Theorem 3 *The following are equivalent for a ring R .*

- (i) R is Artinian semisimple.
- (ii) There exists a cardinal c such that every left R -module is the direct sum of a continuous module and a c -limited ES -module.
- (iii) There exists a cardinal c such that every left R -module is the direct sum of a quasi-projective module and a c -limited ES -module.

Proof The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) and (i) \Rightarrow (iii) are clear.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that there exists a cardinal c such that every left R -module is the direct sum of a continuous module and a c -limited ES -module. Obviously, we can assume that c is an infinite cardinal.

Suppose that M is a left R -module. It is enough to show that M is injective. Denote the injective envelope of M by $E(M)$. Set $N = M \oplus E(M)$.

Let $\{S_y \mid y \in J\}$ denote a collection of representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple left R -modules and let $S = \bigoplus_{y \in J} S_y$. Let K be an index set with $|K| \geq c$, and, for each z in K , let $T_z = S$, define

$$T = \bigoplus_{z \in K} T_z.$$

Let I be an index set with $|I| > |E(T)|$, where $E(T)$ is the injective envelope of left R -module T . For each x in I let $N_x = N$, and

$$F = \bigoplus_{x \in I} N_x.$$

By assumption, there exists a continuous left R -module A and a c -limited ES -module B such that $F = A \oplus B$. Note that $\text{Soc}(B)$ is a direct sum of at most c simple submodules of B , it is clear that there exists a monomorphism $f : \text{Soc}(B) \rightarrow T$. Thus we obtain a homomorphism $g : B \rightarrow E(T)$ such that $g|_{\text{Soc}(B)} = f$. Since B is an ES -module, $\text{Soc}(B)$ is an essential submodule of B , which implies that g is a monomorphism. Thus we have $|B| \leq |E(T)|$.

For each $b \in B$, there exists a finite subset $I(b)$ of I such that $b \in \bigoplus_{x \in I(b)} N_x$. Let $I' = \bigcup_{b \in B} I(b)$. If $|B| < \infty$, then $|I'| < \infty$. Thus $|I'| \leq |E(T)|$. Now suppose that $|B|$ is an infinite cardinal. Then $|I'| \leq |B| \leq |E(T)|$. Set $I'' = I - I'$. From the construction of I it follows that $|I| > |E(T)|$, and thus $I'' \neq \emptyset$. Now let

$$G = \bigoplus_{x \in I'} N_x, \quad H = \bigoplus_{x \in I''} N_x.$$

Then we have $F = G \oplus H = A \oplus B$, and $B \leq G$. Thus it follows by modularity that $G = (A \cap G) \oplus B$. So $F = A \oplus B = (A \cap G) \oplus B \oplus H$, which implies that $A \simeq (A \cap G) \oplus H$. Since A is continuous, it follows that H is continuous, too, by Theorem 13 of [7]. Thus $N = M \oplus E(M)$, a direct summand of H , is continuous.

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{h} & E(M) \\ \epsilon_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_2 \\ M \oplus E(M) & \xleftarrow{h'} & M \oplus E(M) \end{array}$$

where ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are the natural injections and h is the inclusion map. It is clear that $\text{Im} \epsilon_1 = (M, 0)$. Suppose that there exists a submodule L of $M \oplus E(M)$ which is an essential extension of $(M, 0)$. Set $L_1 = \{(0, n) \mid \text{there exists } m \in M \text{ such that } (m, n) \in L\}$. Since $(0, n) = (m, n) - (m, 0) \in L$, L_1 is a submodule of L . If $L_1 \neq 0$, then $L_1 \cap (M, 0) \neq 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus $L_1 = 0$, which implies that $L = (M, 0)$. This means that $(M, 0)$ is closed in $M \oplus E(M)$. Thus, by Lemma 2, there exists an endomorphism h' of $M \oplus E(M)$ such that $h' \epsilon_2 h = \epsilon_1$. Now if $\pi_1 : M \oplus E(M) \rightarrow M$ is the natural projection, then we have $\pi_1 h' \epsilon_2 h = \pi_1 \epsilon_1 = 1_M$. This means that $h : M \rightarrow E(M)$ is split. Thus M is injective, and we are done.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that there exists a cardinal c such that every left R -module is the direct sum of a quasi-projective module and a c -limited ES -module. Let M be a cyclic left R -module. Then we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } f \xrightarrow{f} R \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Set $N = M \oplus R$. Let I be an index set. For each x in I let $N_x = N$, and set

$$F = \bigoplus_{x \in I} N_x.$$

By analogy with the proof of (ii) \Rightarrow (i), set $S = \bigoplus S_y$ and $T = \bigoplus T_z$. Also we can make $|I| > |E(T)|$. By assumption, there exists a quasi-projective left R -module A and a c -limited ES -module B such that $F = A \oplus B$. Since every direct summand of a quasi-projective left R -module is quasi-projective, by analogy with the proof of (ii) \Rightarrow (i), we obtain that $N = M \oplus R$ is quasi-projective.

Now consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \oplus R & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & M \\ g \downarrow & & \uparrow f \\ M \oplus R & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & R \end{array}$$

where π_1 and π_2 are the natural projections. By the quasi-projectivity of $M \oplus R$, there exists a homomorphism $g \in \text{End}(M \oplus R)$ such that $\pi_1 = f \pi_2 g$. Thus we have $f \pi_2 g \epsilon_1 =$

$\pi_1 \epsilon_1 = 1_M$, where ϵ_1 is the natural injection from M to $M \oplus R$. This means that f is split. Thus M is projective.

Therefore every cyclic left R -module is projective. So R is Artinian semisimple, and we are done.

Acknowledgement This research was supported by NSF of Gansu, China.

References

- [1] Faith, C., *Annihilator ideals, associated primes and Kasch-McCoy Commutative rings*, Comm. in Alg., **19(7)**(1991), 1867–1892.
- [2] Huynh, D.Van and Smith, P.F., *Some rings characterised by their modules*, Comm. in Alg., **18(6)**(1990), 1971–1988.
- [3] Osofsky, B.L. and Smith, P.F., *Cyclic modules whose quotients have all complement submodules direct summands*, J. Alg., **139**(1991), 342–354.
- [4] Camillo, V. and Yousif, M.F., *CS-modules with ACC or DCC on essential submodules*, Comm. in Alg., **19(2)**(1991), 655–662.
- [5] Li, M.S. and Zelmanowitz, J.M., *On the generalizations of injectivity*, Comm. in Alg., **16(13)**(1988), 483–491.
- [6] Kasch, F., *Modules and Rings*, Academic Press, London, 1982.
- [7] Muller, B.J. and Tariq Rizvi, S., *On injective and quasi-continuous modules*, J. Pure and Appl. Alg., **28**(1983), 197–210.
- [8] Ara, P. and Park, J.K., *On continuous semiprimary rings*, Comm. in Alg., **19(7)**(1991), 1945–1957.
- [9] Chatters, A.W. and Hajarnavis, C. R., *Rings in which every complement right ideal is a direct summand*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 2, **28**(1977), 61–80.

Artin 半单环的特征

刘仲奎

(西北师范大学数学系, 兰州 730070)

摘 要

本文证明了如下结果: 环 R 是 Artin 半单的当且仅当存在一个基数 c , 使得任意左 R -模是一个连续模和一个 c -限制的 ES-模的直和, 也当且仅当存在一个基数 c , 使得任意左 R -模是一个拟投射模和一个 c -限制的 ES-模的直和.

关键词 Artin 半单环, 连续模, c -限制 ES-模.