

Similar to the proof of Lemma 1, we can show that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_U |f_{t,j}(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} t^{-1} dt \leq C 2^{j(-s+n/2)}$$

and then obtain

$$\sum_{j=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \sum_{k=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \int_0^\infty \int_{U_k} I_4(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} t^{-1} dt \leq C. \quad (34)$$

The estimates (30),(31),(33) and (34) give $\sigma \leq C$.

Similarly, we can prove $\sigma_3 \leq C$. These complete the proof for regular atoms. For any exceptional atom $a(\mathbf{x})$, let $a(\mathbf{x}) = A(\mathbf{x}) + c$, where $A(\mathbf{x}) = a(\mathbf{x}) - \int_G a(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$ is a regular atom and $c = \int_G a(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$. We easily check that $\|Tc\|_{B(G)} \leq C$. The estimate (15) is therefore proved.

A direct application of Theorem A is following theorem:

Theorem B Suppose T is a multiplier operator associated to a multiplier $\{m(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \hat{G}}$. If $m(\lambda) = \|\lambda + \beta\|^{ia}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then T is bounded in Besov spaces.

Similar theorems in other spaces can be found in [1],[3],[4],[6] and [7].

References

- [1] A.Baernstein and E.T.Sawyer, *Embedding and multiplier theorems on $H^p(\mathbb{R})$* , Memoirs of the American Math. Society, No.318(1985).
- [2] J.L.Clerc, *Bochner-Riesz means of H^p functions ($0 < p < 1$) on compact Lie groups*, Lecture Notes in Math, 1243(1987), 86- 107.
- [3] A.Calderon and A.Torchinsky, *Parabolic maximal functions associated with a distribution*, II, Advanced in Math., 24. 107- 171.
- [4] R.Coifman and G.Weiss, *Extension of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Math. Soc., 83(1977), 569-645. Lecture Notes in Math., 1465, 1991.
- [5] D.Fan, *H_0^1 Space and lusin area functions on compact Lie groups*, Chinese Annals of Math. Ser. A., Vol.13, No.3, 1992.
- [6] M.Frazier, B.Jawerth and G.Weiss, *Littlewood-paley theory and the study of function spaces*, AMS-CBMS Regional Conference Series, Vol.79.
- [7] N.J.Weiss, *L^p estimate for bi-invariant operators on compact Lie Group*, Amer. J. of Math., 94(1972), 103-118.

Besov 空间上的 Hörmander 乘子定理

范大山 许增福
(安徽大学数学系, 合肥 230039)

摘 要

本文在紧 Lie 群上建立了一个 Besov 空间上的 Hormander 乘子定理.

A Hörmander Multiplier Theorem on Besov Spaces *

Fan Dashan Xu Zengfu
(Dept. of Math., Anhui University)

Abstract We set up a Hörmander multiplier theorem in the Besov spaces on a compact Lie group.

Keywords H^p spaces, multiplier, Besov spaces, compact Lie groups.

Classification AMS(1991) 43A15, 43A77/CCL O174.3

Notations

Let G be a connected, simply connected, compact semisimple Lie group of dimension n , and \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G . Then \mathfrak{g} can be identified with $T_e(G)$, the tangent space of G at the identity element e of G . Therefore, we can choose an orthonormal basis X_1, \dots, X_n of \mathfrak{g} . Let d be the bi-invariant metric on G , denote $d(x, e)$ by $|x|$ for $x \in G$.

An exceptional atom $a(x)$ is an L^∞ function satisfying:

$$\|a\|_\infty \leq 1, \quad (1)$$

$$\|X_j a\|_\infty \leq 1, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (2)$$

A regular $(1, \infty)$ atom is a function $a(x)$ supported in some ball $B(y, \rho)$ which satisfies:

$$\|a\|_\infty \leq \rho^{-n}, \quad (3)$$

$$\|X_j a\|_\infty \leq \rho^{-1-n}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (4)$$

$$\int_G a(x) dx = 0. \quad (5)$$

The atomic Besov space $B_a(G)$, is the space of all $f \in L(G)$ having the form

$$f(x) = \sum c_k a_k(x) \quad (6)$$

with $\sum |c_k| < \infty$.

Where each $a(x)$ is either a regular atom or an exceptional atom. The "norm" $\|f\|_{B_a}$ is the infimum of all expressions $(\sum |c_k|)$ for which we have such a representation of $f(x)$.

*Received Apr.24, 1993.

It is easy to see that the space B_a is a subspace of the Hardy space $H(G)$ which is defined in [4].

Let $\phi(\theta)$ be a radial function in $\Delta(\mathbb{R}^l)$ which satisfies:

$$\hat{\phi}(0) = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$\text{supp } \phi \subseteq \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^l, |\theta| < 1\}, \tag{8}$$

$$\int_0^\infty (\hat{\phi}(s))^2 s^{-1} ds = c \neq 0. \tag{9}$$

Define a C^∞ central function on G by

$$\phi_t(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \hat{\phi}(t\|\lambda + \beta\|) d_\lambda \chi_\lambda(x). \tag{10}$$

Then the S -functions of any function $f(x) \in L(G)$ is defined by

$$S_\Phi f(x) = \int_{\Gamma_x} |f * \phi_t(y)| t^{-n-1} dy dt, \tag{11}$$

where

$$\Gamma_x = \{(y, t), d(y, x) < t\}.$$

The Besov space $B(G) = \dot{B}_1^{0,1}(G)$ is the collection of all $f \in L(G)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{B(G)} = \|S_\Phi f\|_{L(G)} < \infty. \tag{12}$$

In our prior paper [5], it was proved that $\|f\|_{B_a} \simeq \|f\|_B$ hence $B(G) = B_a(G)$. Using this atomic characterization, we will prove here a Hörmander multiplier theorem on Besov space.

Throughout this paper, the letter C will denote (possibly different) constants that are independent of the essential variables in the argument, this independence will be clear from the context.

A Hörmander Multiplic Theorem

Given a bounded multi-sequence $\{m(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, $m(\lambda) \in C$, define the operator T on the space of finite linear combination of entry function on G by

$$(Tf)(\lambda) = m(\lambda) \hat{f}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

Recall that difference operators δ^j are defined on sequences by

$$\delta^1(a_n) = a_{n+1} - a_n, \quad \delta^{j+1}(a_n) = \delta^1(\delta^j(a_n)). \tag{13}$$

Given an l -tuple $J = (j_1, \dots, j_l)$ of non-negative integers, the partial difference operator δ^J is defined analogously on multi-sequences $\{m(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. The main result is the following Hörmander multiplier theorem:

Theorem A Let s be the smallest even integer such that $s > n/2$. Suppose that $m \in L^\infty$ such that for l -tuple J with $j_1 + j_2 + \cdots + j_l = |J| \leq s$ and all $R > 0$,

$$\sum_{R \leq |\lambda| \leq 2R} |\delta^J m(\lambda)|^2 \leq CR^{l-2|J|}. \quad (14)$$

Then T is a bounded operator on $B(G)$.

Proof By the atomic decomposition of Besov spaces, it is enough to prove that

$$\|Ta\|_{B(G)} \leq C \quad (15)$$

uniformly for all exceptional and regular atoms $a(x)$.

For a regular $(1, \infty)$ atom $a(x)$, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\text{supp } a \subseteq B(e, \rho)$. By definition of Besov spaces,

$$\|Ta\|_{B(G)} \simeq \|S_\Phi(Ta)\|_{L(G)} \simeq \int_G \int_0^\infty |Ta * \Phi_t(y)| dy t^{-1} dt,$$

where Φ is a function satisfying (7)–(9) and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^l} \theta^I \Phi(\theta) d\theta = 0 \text{ for all multi-indices } I \text{ with } |I| \leq n+1. \quad (16)$$

For this chosen function Φ , it is easy to see that for any $|I| \leq n$,

(i) $\frac{\partial^I}{\partial \theta} \hat{\Phi}(\theta) = O(|\theta|)$ as $|\theta| \rightarrow 0$, and (ii) $\frac{\partial^I}{\partial \theta} \hat{\Phi}(\theta) = O(|\theta|^{-n})$ as $|\theta| \rightarrow \infty$.

Take radial functions $\eta, \psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^l)$ with

$$0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \eta(H) = 1 \text{ for } 1/2 \leq |H| \leq 2, \text{supp } \eta \subset \{1/4 \leq |H| \leq 4\}; \quad (17)$$

$$0 \leq \psi \leq 1, \text{supp } \psi \subset \{1/2 \leq |H| \leq 2\}, \sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty \psi(2^{-j}H) = 1. \quad (18)$$

Thus $\psi\eta = \psi$ and for any atom $a(x)$,

$$(Ta * \Phi_t)(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^m f_{t,j} * b_j(x) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty f_{t,j} * b_j(x), \quad (19)$$

where

$$f_{t,j}(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} d_\lambda m(\lambda) \eta\left(\frac{|\lambda + \beta|}{2^j}\right) \hat{\Phi}(t|\lambda + \beta) \chi_\lambda(x),$$

$$b_j(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} d_\lambda \psi\left(\frac{|\lambda + \beta|}{2^j}\right) Tr(\hat{a}(\lambda) U_\lambda(x))$$

and thus $f_{t,j} = b_j = 0$ if $j < 0$. For these $f_{t,j}$'s and b_j 's we have the following lemmas:

Lemma 1 Let s be the smallest even integer such $s > n/2$. Then

$$\int_0^\infty \|f_{t,j}\|_1 t^{-1} dt \leq C, \quad (20)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \int_G |f_{t,j}(x)|^2 [1 + (2^j|x|)^2]^s dx t^{-1} dt \leq C 2^{jn}, \quad (21)$$

where $|x| = d(x, e)$ is the distance between x and e .

Proof First we introduce a function $r(x)$ which was defined in [7]. Let $[w]$ be the order of the Weyl group. For $x \in G, x$ conjugate to a point $\exp(\xi^{-1}\tau), \tau \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, we set $r(x) = (\sum_{w \in W} e^{i(w(\beta), \tau)}) - |W|$. Then $r(x) \sim |x|$ (see Lemma 9 of [7]). Now by Hölder's inequality

$$\|f_{t,j}\|_1 \leq \left\{ \int_G |f_{t,j}(x)|^2 [1 + (2^j|x|)^2]^s dx \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_G [1 + (2^j|x|)^2]^{-s} dx \right\}^{1/2} = I_{t,1} \times I_2.$$

By Lemma 9 of [7],

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{t,1})^2 &= \sum_{k=0}^s C_k \int_G (2^j|x|)^{2k} |f_{t,j}(x)|^2 dx \leq \sum_{k=0}^s C_k (2^j)^{2k} \int_G r(x)^k |f_{t,j}(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^s C_k (2^j)^{2k} \|r^{k/2} f_{t,j}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^s C_k 2^{2kj} \sum_{|N|+|I|+|M|+|L|=k} \sum_{2^{j-1} < |\lambda+\beta| < 2^{j+1}} [\delta^I(m(\lambda))] \\ &\quad \cdot \delta^L(|\lambda|^{(n-l)/2}) \delta^M\left(\eta\left(\frac{|\lambda+\beta|}{2^j}\right)\right) \delta^N(\hat{\Phi}(t|\lambda+\beta|))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now $\int_0^\infty (I_{t,1}^2) t^{-1} dt = \int_0^{2^{-j}} (I_{t,1})^2 t^{-1} dt + \int_{2^{-j}}^\infty (I_{t,1})^2 t^{-1} dt = A + B$.

Using (i) to estimate the term A , we have

$$A \leq C \sum_{k=0}^s C_k (2^j)^{2k+n-l-2(|N|+|L|+|M|-l+|I|)} \leq C 2^{jn}.$$

Applying (ii) to estimate the term B , we have

$$\begin{aligned} B &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^s C_k 2^{2jk} \sum_{|I|+|M|+|L|+|N|=k} ((2^j)^{(n-l)/2-|L|-|M|-|N|-1})^2 \\ &\quad \times \sum_{2^{j-1} \leq |\lambda+\beta| \leq 2^{j+1}} (\delta^I(m(\lambda)))^2 \int_{2^{-j}}^\infty t^{-3} dt \leq C 2^{jn}. \end{aligned}$$

These prove (21). Similarly, $\int_0^\infty I_{t,1} t^{-1} dt \leq C 2^{jn/2}$. But it is easy to check that $(I_2)^2 \leq C 2^{-jn}$. So Lemma 1 is proved.

Lemma 2 Let $a(x)$ be a regular $(1, \infty)$ atom with $\text{supp } a \subset B(e, \rho)$ and $r \in N$ be any fixed integer. Suppose that $i_0 \in N$ such that 2^{i_0} is sufficiently large. Then for $j \geq i_0$,

$$|b_j(x)| \leq \begin{cases} C\rho^n 2^{j(n+1-r)}(d(x, e)^{-r} + \Delta^{(j)}(x)^{-1}), & \text{if } d(x, e) > 2^{-j} + \rho, \\ C\rho^{n+1} 2^{j(n+1)}, & \text{if } d(x, e) < 2^{-j} - \rho, \\ C\rho^{j(n-r)}[d(x, e)^{-r} + M(\Delta^{(j-1)})(x)], & \text{whenever } 2^j \rho > 1 \text{ and } d(x, e) > 2\rho, \end{cases}$$

where,

$$\Delta^{(j)}(x) = \Delta^{(j)}(\exp H) = \prod_{\alpha \in A} \sup(2^{-j}, \sin \alpha(H)/2) \quad (22)$$

and $M(\Delta^{(j-1)})$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of $(\Delta^{(j)})^{-1}$.

Proof Observe that $b_j = a * \Psi_j$ where

$$\Psi_j(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} d_\lambda \psi\left(\frac{|\lambda + \beta|}{2^j}\right) \chi_\lambda(x). \quad (23)$$

A similar argument to the proof of (6.2) in [2] proves this Lemma.

Now we are ready to prove the inequality (15) for any $(1, \infty)$ atom. Take a positive integer $j_0 = j_0(\rho)$ such that $2^{j_0} \rho \leq \varepsilon_0/4 < 2^{j_0+1} \rho$. Here ε_0 is a positive number lying in the interval $(0, 1)$ such that $\exp^{-1} L_{x^{-1}}$ is an analytic diffeomorphism of $B(x, \varepsilon_0)$ onto $B(0, \varepsilon_0)$, a ball centered at the origin of $g(L_x$ is the left translation by x). Put

$$U_0 = \{x \in G : d(x, e) \leq 2\rho\},$$

$$U_k = \{x \in G : 2^k \rho \leq d(x, e) < 2^{k+1} \rho\},$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, j_0$ and $U = \{x \in G : d(x, e) > 2^{j_0-2} \rho\}$. By definition,

$$\|Ta\|_B \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{j_0(\rho)} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{U_k} |Ta * \Phi_t(x)| dx + \int_U |Ta * \Phi_t(x)| dx \right) t^{-1} dt \right).$$

Fix an $i_0 \in N$ so that 2^{i_0} is sufficiently large. Then we need only show:

$$\sigma = \sum_{k=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \int_0^\infty \int_{U_k} |Ta * \Phi_t(x)| dx t^{-1} dt \leq C, \quad (24)$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \int_U |Ta * \Phi_t(x)| dx t^{-1} dt \leq C \quad (25)$$

with C independent of a . We will only prove (22), the proof of (23) can be completed in a similar way. By (19) we have

$$\sigma \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i,$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \sum_{k=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \sum_{j=0}^{i_0-1} \int_0^\infty \int_{U_k} |f_{t,j} * b_j(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} t^{-1} dt, \quad (26)$$

$$\sigma_2 = \sum_{j=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \sum_{k=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \int_0^\infty \int_{U_k} |f_{t,j} * b_j(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} t^{-1} dt, \quad (27)$$

$$\sigma_3 = \sum_{j=j_0+1}^\infty \sum_{k=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \int_0^\infty \int_{U_k} |f_j * b_j(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} t^{-1} dt. \quad (28)$$

We may assume that $\rho \leq 1$. Observe that $\|X^J \Psi_j\|_\infty \leq C$ for $j \leq i_0$. As a result we have $\|b_j\|_\infty \leq C$ and then, by Lemma 1, $\sigma_1 \leq C$. For σ_2 we observe that $i_0 \leq j \leq j_0(\rho)$. Hence $2^j \rho < 1$. Let \tilde{U}_k be union of U_{k-1}, U_k and U_{k+1} . Then for $\mathbf{x} \in U_k$

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{t,j} * b_j(\mathbf{x})| &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \int_{U_l} |f_{t,j}(\mathbf{y}) b_j(\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{y} + \int_{\tilde{U}_k} |f_{t,j}(\mathbf{y}) b_j(\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{y} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=k+2}^{j_0(\rho)} \int_{U_l} |f_{t,j}(\mathbf{y}) b_j(\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{y} + \int_U |f_{t,j}(\mathbf{y}) b_j(\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{y} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^4 I_i(\mathbf{x}, t). \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{U_k} I_1(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} \leq \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \int_{U_l} |f_{t,j}(\mathbf{y})| \left(\int_{U_k} |b_j(\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} \right) d\mathbf{y}. \quad (29)$$

Notice that $\mathbf{y} \in U_l (l \leq k-2)$ and $\mathbf{x} \in U_k$ imply that $d(\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x}, e) > \rho 2^k$. We break the estimate of (29) into the following two cases:

Case 1 $2^k \rho > 2^{-j}$. In this case by Lemma 2 we have

$$\int_{U_k} |b_j(\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} \leq C(2^j \rho) 2^{j(n-r)} (2^k \rho)^{-r+n}$$

Put $k_0 = \lfloor \ln(2^j \rho) / \ln 2 \rfloor$. Then $2^{k_0} \sim (2^j \rho)^{-1}$ and

$$\sum_{\substack{k=i_0 \\ 2^k \rho > 2^{-j}}^{j_0}} (2^k \rho)^{n-r} \leq C \sum_{k=k_0}^{j_0(\rho)} (2^k \rho)^{n-r} \leq C 2^{j(r-n)}$$

Thus by Lemma 1,

$$\sum_{j=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \sum_{\substack{k=i \\ 2^k \rho > 2^{-j}}^{j_0(\rho)}} \int_0^\infty \int_{U_k} I_1(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} t^{-1} dt \leq C \sum_{j=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} (2^j \rho) \leq C.$$

Case 2 $2^k \rho \leq 2^{-j}$. In this case, by Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U_k} |b_j(y^{-1}x)| dx &= \int_{U_k \cap \{d(y^{-1}x, c) \leq 2^{-j+1}\}} + \int_{U_k \cap \{d(y^{-1}x, c) > 2^{-j+1}\}} \\ &\leq C \rho 2^{j(n+1)} (2^{-j})^n + C \rho (2^j)^{n+1-r} (2^{-j})^{-r+n} \leq C(2^j \rho). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{\substack{k=i_0 \\ 2^k \rho \leq 2^{-j}}^{j_0(\rho)}} 1 \leq \sum_{k=i_0}^{k_0} (2^k \rho)^{-1/2} \sqrt{2}^{-j} \leq C \rho^{-1/2} \sqrt{2}^{-j}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{j=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \sum_{\substack{k=i_0 \\ 2^k \rho \leq 2^{-j}}^{j_0(\rho)}} \int_0^\infty \int_{U_k} I_1(x, t) dx t^{-1} dt \leq C$$

and

$$\sum_{j=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \sum_{k=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \int_0^\infty \int_{U_k} I_1(x, t) dx t^{-1} dt \leq C. \quad (30)$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{j=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \sum_{k=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \int_0^\infty \int_{U_k} I_3(x, t) dx t^{-1} dt \leq C, \quad (31)$$

$$\int_{U_k} I_2(x, t) dt \leq \int_{\tilde{U}_k} |f_{t,j}(y)| \left(\int_{U_k} |b_j(y^{-1}x)| dx \right) dy.$$

Also by Lemma 2 we have $\int_{U_k} |b_j(y^{-1}x)| dx \leq C(2^j \rho)$ and by Lemma 1, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\tilde{U}_k} |f_{t,j}(y)| dy t^{-1} dt \leq C 2^{j(-s+n/2)} (2^k \rho)^{-s+n/2} \quad (32)$$

Thus for $2^k \rho > 2^{-j}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \sum_{\substack{k=i_0 \\ 2^k \rho > 2^{-j}}^{j_0(\rho)}} \int_0^\infty \int_{U_k} I_2(x, t) dx t^{-1} dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} (2^j \rho) 2^{j(n-s-n/2)} \sum_{k=k_0}^{j_0(\rho)} (2^k \rho)^{(s-n-n/2)} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

For $2^k \rho \leq 2^{-j}$ the same estimate can be obtained by using the fact $\int_0^\infty \|f_{t,j}\|_1 t^{-1} dt \leq C$ instead of (32). Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \sum_{k=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \int_0^\infty \int_{U_k} I_2(x, t) dx t^{-1} dt \leq C. \quad (33)$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 1, we can show that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_U |f_{t,j}(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} t^{-1} dt \leq C 2^{j(-s+n/2)}$$

and then obtain

$$\sum_{j=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \sum_{k=i_0}^{j_0(\rho)} \int_0^\infty \int_{U_k} I_4(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} t^{-1} dt \leq C. \quad (34)$$

The estimates (30),(31),(33) and (34) give $\sigma \leq C$.

Similarly, we can prove $\sigma_3 \leq C$. These complete the proof for regular atoms. For any exceptional atom $a(\mathbf{x})$, let $a(\mathbf{x}) = A(\mathbf{x}) + c$, where $A(\mathbf{x}) = a(\mathbf{x}) - \int_G a(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$ is a regular atom and $c = \int_G a(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$. We easily check that $\|Tc\|_{B(G)} \leq C$. The estimate (15) is therefore proved.

A direct application of Theorem A is following theorem:

Theorem B Suppose T is a multiplier operator associated to a multiplier $\{m(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \hat{G}}$. If $m(\lambda) = \|\lambda + \beta\|^{ia}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then T is bounded in Besov spaces.

Similar theorems in other spaces can be found in [1],[3],[4],[6] and [7].

References

- [1] A.Baernstein and E.T.Sawyer, *Embedding and multiplier theorems on $H^p(\mathbb{R})$* , Memoirs of the American Math. Society, No.318(1985).
- [2] J.L.Clerc, *Bochner-Riesz means of H^p functions ($0 < p < 1$) on compact Lie groups*, Lecture Notes in Math, 1243(1987), 86- 107.
- [3] A.Calderon and A.Torchinsky, *Parabolic maximal functions associated with a distribution*, II, Advanced in Math., 24. 107- 171.
- [4] R.Coifman and G.Weiss, *Extension of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Math. Soc., 83(1977), 569-645. Lecture Notes in Math., 1465, 1991.
- [5] D.Fan, *H_0^1 Space and lusin area functions on compact Lie groups*, Chinese Annals of Math. Ser. A., Vol.13, No.3, 1992.
- [6] M.Frazier, B.Jawerth and G.Weiss, *Littlewood-paley theory and the study of function spaces*, AMS-CBMS Regional Conference Series, Vol.79.
- [7] N.J.Weiss, *L^p estimate for bi-invariant operators on compact Lie Group*, Amer. J. of Math., 94(1972), 103-118.

Besov 空间上的 Hörmander 乘子定理

范大山 许增福
(安徽大学数学系, 合肥 230039)

摘 要

本文在紧 Lie 群上建立了一个 Besov 空间上的 Hormander 乘子定理.