Science and Technology, 1989.

- [8] He Jianxun, Comparison theorems for stability of discontinuous system, J. Xiamen University (Natural Science), 21: 2(1982).
- [9] E Roxin, Stability in general control systems, J. Diff. Eq., 1(1965), 115-150
- [10] S. W. Seach, Existence of solutions and asymptotic equilibium of multivalued differential systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 89(1982), 648-663.
- [11] G. Sansone, R. Conti, Nonlinear Differential Equation, Pergamon Press, 1969.
- [12] T. Taniguchi, Global existence of solutions of differential inclusion, J. Math. Anal Appl, 166 (1992), 41-51.

微分包含的稳定性

(哈尔滨师范大学数学系, 150006)

摘要

本文通过引用生存定理,Lyapunov 第二方法和比较定理,首先证明了微分包含的整体存在定理,然后讨论了微分包含解的稳定性

Stability for D ifferential Inclusions

Song W en

(Dept of Math., Harbin Nomal University, Harbin 150006)

Abstract In this paper, we first prove some global existence theorems for differential inclusions by using viability theorem, Lyapunov's second method and comparison theorem, and then discuss the stability of solutions for differential inclusion.

Keywords differential inclusion, stability, global existence, viability theorem.

Classification AM S (1991) 49J 24/CCL O 176

1. Introduction

In recent years the study of differential inclusions has been devoloped considerably, with applications to mathematical economics^{[1],[2]}, nonsmooth dynamics and optimal control^[4], etc. A lso differential inclusion provides a mathematical tool for studing differential equation with a discontinuous right handside^[6].

Recently, Seach [10] and Taniguchi proved that the differential inclusion

$$x^{\circ} F(t,x), x(0) = x_0$$
 (*)

has global solution defined on $[t_0,]$ and they also considered asymptotic equilibrium of solution for differential inclusion (*). Roxi^[9] considered the weakly (strongly) stability for general control systems A long the same lines, T. F. Bridgland $Jr^{[3]}$ studied weak stability of solution for differential inclusion (*) under some strongly assumptions We also mention that [8] discussed stability for differential equato in with discontinuous right-hand sides

The purpose of this paper is to present global existence theorems and stability of solution for differential (*) by using viability theorem, Lyapunov's second method and comparison theorem.

2 Global existence of solution to differential inclusion

Let $K \subseteq R^n$ be a subset of R^n and $x \in K$. The contingent cone $T_K(x)$ is defined by $T_K(x) = \{v \mid \lim_{h \to 0} \inf d_K(x + hv) / h = 0\}.$

^{*} Received Mar. 14. 1994

A set-valued map $F: R^n$ R^m is called upper sem icontinuous at x Dom (F) if and only if for any neighborhood U of F(x) there exists n > 0 such that for every x > B $(x, n), F(x) \subseteq U$. It is said to be upper sem icontinuous if and only if it is upper sem icontinuous at any point of Dom (F).

Let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space and $F: \Omega$ R be a set-valued map with closed images. The map F is called measurable if the inverse image of each open set is measurable set.

A set-valued map $F: R \times R^n$ R^n is said to be linear growth if there is C(t) L^1 (R^+, R^n) such that

$$||F(t,x)|| = \sup ||v|||v|| F(t,x) \le C(t)(1+||x||) \operatorname{on} R^+ \times R^n.$$

Let $V: R^n R \{+\}$ be a nontrivial extended function and x belong to its domain. We shall say that the function D v(x) from R^n to R + defined by

$$D \ V (x) (u) = \lim_{h \to 0} \inf_{u \in K} (1/h) (V (x + hu) - V (x))$$

is the contingent epiderivative of V at x in the direction u

The function V is contingently epidifferentiable at x if and only if D V(x)(0) = 0 It is said episleek (at x) if its epigraph is sleek (at (x, V(x))) i.e., $T_{\varphi N}(x, V(x))$ is upper semicontinuous at (x, V(x)).

If V is L ip sctiz at a point of its domain, then D V(x)(u) is the lower D in inderivative

We define in a similar way the contingent hypoderivative D V(x) from $R^n R + of V$: $R^n R + at a point x of its domain by$

$$D \ V(x)(u) = - D \ (- V)(x)(u) = \lim_{h \to 0} \sup_{u} (1/h)(V(x + hu) - V(x)).$$

We consider the differential inclusion

$$x = F(t, x(t)), x(0) = x_0,$$
 (2.1)

and the comparison differential equation

$$u(t) = g(t, u), u(t_0) = u_0,$$
 (2.2)

where $F: R \times R^n$ R is a set-valued map, which satisfies that

- (a) for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in F(t, x)$ is measurable,
- (b) for almost $t = R^+$, x = F(t, x) is upper sem icontinuous; and $g: R \times R^+ = R^+$ satisfies the Caratheodory conditions

A function x (•) is called a solution of differential inclusion (2 1) or differential equation (2 2) if x (•) is absolutely continuous and satisfies (2 1) or (2 2) for almost all t respectively.

Theorem $1 L et V : R^+ \times R^- R^- + be a nonnegative contingently epi-differentable low er son icontinuous ex tended function and <math>F : R^+ \times R^- R^-$ be a nontrivial upper son icontinuous set-valued map with compact convex in ages. We suppose that

(i) for every (t, x) dom (V), $\inf_{V \in F(t, x)} D V(t, x) (1, v) \leq g(t, V(t, x))$, where $g \in C(R^+ \times R^+ R^-)$;

(ii) for any given $[0,T] \subseteq R^+$, $\lim_{|x| \to V} (t,x) = +$ uniform by for t = [0,T]

If the differential equation (2 2) has a max in al solution $r(t, t_0, u_0)$ defined on $[t_0,)$, then for any x_0 such that $V(t_0, x_0) \le u_0$, there exists a solution x(t) of the differential inclusion (2.1) defined on $[t_0,)$ such that $V(t, x(t)) \le r(t)$, $t \ge t_0$

Proof We set $G(t, x, u) = F(t, x) \times g(t, u)$, $K(t) = \epsilon pV(t)$. Since F(t, x) is compact and DV(t, x) (v) is lower semicontinuous, (i) implies that there is V(t, x) such that (see Prop. 6.14 of [2])

$$(1, v, g(t, V(t, x)))$$
 $T_{\varphi(V)}(t, x, V(t, x)) = \varphi(DV(t, x)).$

Thus z_n : = $(t + h_n t_n, x_n + h_n v_n, V(t, x) + h_n s_n)$ $\epsilon p(V)$ with $h_n = 0_+$, $t_n = t$, $v_n = v$ and $s_n = g(t, V(t, x))$. If u > V(t, x), this implies that for large n

$$(t + h_n t_n, x_n + h_n v_n, u + h_n g(t, u))$$

$$= z_n + (0, 0, u - V(t, x) - h_n (s_n - g(t, u)) \qquad \text{φ}(V) + \{0\} \times \{0\} \times R^+ = \ \text{φ}(V), \text{ so that}$$

$$(1, v, g(t, u)) \qquad T_{\varphi(V)}(t, x, u),$$
i.e., $(1, G(t, x, u) - T_{Graph}(K)(t, x, u))$

By Theorem 3 25 of [1], for initial state (t_0, x_0, u_0) Graph (K), there exists a positive T

and a variable solution (x, u) on $[t_0, T]$ to differential inclusion

$$(\overset{\circ}{x}(t),\overset{\circ}{u}(t))$$
 $G(t,x(t),u(t))$

such that

$$(x(t), u(t))$$
 $K(t), t [t_0, T], i.e., V(t, x(t)) \le u(t), t [t_0, T],$

and

either
$$T = + or T < and \lim_{t \to T^-} \sup \left(\left| \left| x(t) \right| \right| + \left| \left| u(t) \right| \right| \right) = + .$$

Since the maximal solution $r(t, t_0, u_0)$ for differential equation (2 2) is defined on $[t_0,]$ and so $V(t, x) \le u(t) \le r(t, t_0, u_0)$. This and (ii) imply T = +. O therwise, the boundedness of r(t) on $[t_0, T)$ and (ii) imply that ||x(t)|| is bounded on $[t_0, T)$. This is a contradiction

Remark A similar result was discussed in [1] where F was assumed to be linear growth and was indepent of t

As a consequence, we have

Corollary 1 Let F be as in Theorem 1 and $g: R^+ \times R^+$ R be a continuous functions. A ssum e that (iii) $d(0, F(t, x)) \leq g(t, ||x|||), t \geq t_0$

If the differential equation (2.2) has a max in al solution $r(t, t_0, u_0)$ defined on $[t_0, ...]$, then for any x_0 , $|x_0| \le u_0$, there exists a solution x(t) of the differential inclusion (2.1) defined on $[t_0, ...]$ such that $|x(t)| \le r(t)$, $t \ge t_0$

Proof Let V
$$(t, x) = ||x||$$
 We have

$$\inf_{v} \inf_{F(t,x)} D V(t,x) (1,v) = \inf_{v} \inf_{F(t,x)} \sup_{x^* = \partial |x|} x^*, v \leq \inf_{v} |v| \leq g(t,|x|).$$

Since (see [4])

$$\partial | |x| | = \begin{cases} \{x^i / ||x||\}, & \text{if } x = 0, \\ x^* - R^n | |x^*| \le 1, & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof of the Corollary by using Theorem 1

Theorem 2Let $V: R^+ \times R^- R^- R^- + be$ a nonnegative contingently epi-differentiable episleek low er sem icontinuous extended function. Let $F: R^+ \times R^- R^- be$ linear grow th set-valued map with compact convex images and $g: R^+ \times R^+ be$ linear grow th nonnegative function. We suppose that F is measurable in t, upper sem icontinuous in x and g satisfies Caratheodory conditions. A ssume also that (i) and (ii) hold. If the differential equation (2.2) has a maximal solution $r(t, t_0, u_0)$ defined on $[t_0, t_0, t_0]$, then for any $x_0, V(t_0, x_0) \le u_0$, there exists a solution x(t) of the differential inclusion (2.1) defined on $[t_0, t_0]$ such that $V(t, x_0) \le r(t)$, $t \ge t_0$

Proof We can prove the Theorem by using Theorem 1 of [5] and the similar method in proof of Theorem 1.

Remark Theorem 2 remains true for non-episleek V(t, x), if we replace epiderivative D V(t, x) (1, v) in (i) by Circatangent epiderivative D V(t, x) (1, v) (see [2] and [4]).

Theorem 3 Let F, g be as in Theorem 1 and let $V: R^+ \times R^n - R^- + be$ a nonnegative contingently hypodifferentable continuous function. A ssum e that F is linear grow th and that (ii) and

(iv) for every (t,x) dom (V), $\sup_{v \in F(t,x)} D \ V(t,x)(1,v) \leq g(t,V(t,x))$.

If the differential equation (2 2) has a max in al solution $r(t, t_0, u_0)$ defined on $[t_0, ...)$, then for any $x_0, V(t_0, x_0) \le u_0$, all solutions x(t) of the differential inclusion (2 1) defined on $[t_0, ...)$ such that $V(t, x(t)) \le r(t)$, $t \ge t_0$

Proof By Theorem 1 the differential inclusion (2 1) has a solution defined on $[t_0, t_0]$ such that $V(t, x(t)) \le r(t)$, $t \ge t_0$

Let x (*) be an arbitrary solution to the differential inclusion (2.1). We assert that its maximal existence interval is $[t_0, t_0]$ and $V(t, x(t)) \le r(t)$, $t \ge t_0$. Indeed, if its maximal existence interval is $[t_0, T)$, $T < t_0$, t_0 , t_0 , t_0 , the upper semicontinuty of t_0 implies

$$x(t+h) - x(t) \qquad hF(t,x) + \Theta B$$

for small h, where B denotes the unit ball of R^n . This and (iv) yield

$$D V (t, x (t)) = \lim_{h \to 0} \sup [V (t + h, x (t + h)) - V (t, x (t))] / h$$

$$\leq \sup_{v \in F(t, x (t))} D V (t, x (t)) (1, v) \leq g (t, V (t, x)).$$

Thus Theorem 1. 2. 6 of [7] (see also [11]) implies $V(t, x(t)) \le r(t)$, $t = [t_0, T)$. Since r(t) is bounded on $[t_0, T)$ and $\lim_{\|x\|} |V(t, x)| = +$ uniform aly on $[t_0, T)$, then $V(t, x(t)) \le r(t)$, $t = [t_0, T)$ implies that |x(t)| is bounded on $[t_0, T)$ and so there exists M > 0 such that $|x(t)| \le M$ for $t = [t_0, T)$. Hence $|F(t, x(t))| - \sup_{x \in T(t, x(t))} |v| \le M + 1$ of C(t), and then for any $t_0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le T$ we have

$$\left| \left| x \left(t_2 \right) - x \left(t_1 \right) \right| \right| \leq \left(M + 1 \right)^{-\frac{t_2}{t_1}} C(t) dt$$

and by the absolutely continuous of integral, x(t) has a limit when t T_- , we denote this limit by za

By Theorem 3 2 5 of [1] there exists $T_1 > T$ and a solution $x_1(t)$ starting at z_0 to differential inclusion x(t) = F(t, x(t)) on $[T, T_1)$.

Define

$$z(t) = \begin{cases} x(t), & \text{if } t = [t_0, T), \\ x_1(t), & \text{if } t = [T, T_1). \end{cases}$$

Then z(t) is a solution of the differential inclusion (2 1) defined on $[t_0, T_1)$. This is a contradiction.

3 Stability of differential inclusion

In this section we assume that

(v) 0
$$F(t, 0)$$
, $g(t, 0) = 0$ and $V(t, 0) = 0$, $t R^+$

hold Consider differential inclusion

$$x^{\circ}(t) = F(t,x), \tag{3.1}$$

and differential equation

$$u(t) = g(t, u).$$
 (3.2)

The trivial solution x = 0 of $(3 \ 1)$ is stable (weakly stable), if for each $\epsilon > 0$, $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$, there exists $\delta = \delta(t_0, \epsilon) > 0$ such that for each $x_0, |x_0| < \delta$, all (there exists a) solution $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ of $(3 \ 1)$ satisfying $|x_0| < \epsilon$, $t \ge t_0$

If δ is independent of t_0 , we say that the solution x = 0 of $(3\ 1)$ is uniformly stable (uniformly weakly stable). Other stability notions (equistable, asymptotic stable, equiasymptotic stable) can be similarly defined (see [7], [11]).

The trivial solution u=0 of $(3\ 2)$ is stable, if for each $\epsilon>0$, t_0 R^+ , there exists $\delta=\delta(t_0,\epsilon)>0$ such that for each u_0 $|u_0|<\delta$, there exists a maximal solution $r(t,t_0,u_0)$ of $(3\ 2)$ satisfying $|r(t,t_0,u_0)|<\epsilon$, $t\geq t_0$

O ther stability of u = 0 to the differential equation (3 2) can be similarly defined (see [7] and [11]).

Theorem 4 L et F and g be as in Theorem 1 (or Theorem 2) and let $V: R^+ \times R^- = R^+$ be a contingently epidiff erentable continuous function. A ssum e that (i), (ii) hold and

(vi) there exists a continuous strict increasing function $\Phi_{on\ R}^+$ satisfying $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi(|x|) \le V(t,x)$, $(t,x) = R^+ \times R^-$.

Then the following conclusion holds

1.° If the trivial solution u = 0 of (3, 2) is stable (or asymptotic stable), then the trivial solution x = 0 of (3, 1) is weakly stable (or asymptotic weakly stable).

Furtherm ore, assume that

(vii) there exists a continuous strict increasing function Ψ on R^+ satisfying $\Psi(0) = 0$ and $V(t, x) \leq \Psi(|x||)$, $(t, x) = R^+ \times R^n$.

2.° If the trivial solution u = 0 of $(3\ 2)$ is uniform by stable (or uniform by asymptotic stable), then the trivial solution x = 0 of $(3\ 1)$ is uniform by weakly stable (or uniform by asymptotic weakly stable) respectively.

Proof For any given (t_0, x_0) $R^+ \times R^n$, by Theorem 1 (or Theorem 3), there exists a solution x (t, t_0, x_0) of the differential inclusiton (3 1) and a solution $u(t, t_0, V(t_0, x_0))$ of the differential equation (3 2) such that

$$V(t, x(t, t_0, x_0)) \le u(t, t_0, V(t_0, x_0)) \le r(t, t_0, V(t_0, x_0)), \tag{3.3}$$

and (vi) implies

$$\Phi(||x(t, t_0, x_0)||) \le V(t, x(t, t_0, x_0)). \tag{3.4}$$

If the trivial solution u=0 is stable, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, $t_0 = R^+$, there exists $\delta^* = \delta^*$ (t_0, ϵ) > 0 such that for each $u_0, 0 \le u_0 < \delta^*$, we have

$$0 \le r(t, t_0, u_0) < \Phi(\epsilon), \ t \ge t_0$$
 (3.5)

From the continuity of V, there exists $\delta(t_0, \epsilon) > 0$ such that for each $||x_0|| < \delta(t_0, \epsilon)$ we have $0 \le V(t_0, x_0) < \delta^*(t_0, \epsilon)$ and so $0 \le r(t, t_0, V(t_0, x_0)) < \Phi(\epsilon)$. This and (3.3), (3.4) imply that for $||x_0|| < \delta(t_0, \epsilon)$ we have $||x_0|| < \epsilon$ Therefore the solution x = 0 of differential inclusion (3.1) is weakly stable

It is easy to show that the other conclusions hold by the standard argument

If we replace the assumptions on F and g of Theorem 4 by assuming that F and g satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3, we can prove the corresponding results for strongly stability properties

References

- [1] J. P. Aubin, Viability Theory, Birkhaser, Basel, 1991.
- [2] J. P. Aubin, H. Frankowska, Set-valued Analysis, Birkhaser, Basel, 1990
- [3] T. F. Bridgland, Jr, Contribution on the study of generalized differential equations II, Math. System Theory, 3: 1(1969), 17-50
- [4] F. H Clarke, Optim ization and N onsn ooth A nalysis, John W iley Sons Inc, 1983.
- [5] K. Deim ling, Extrem al solution of multivalued differential equations II, Results in Math, 15(1989), 197-201.
- [6] A. F. Filippov, D iff erential Equations w ith D iscontinuous R ighthandside, Klumer A cadem ic Publishers, 1988
- [7] Guo Dajun, Xun Jingxian, Ordinary Differential Equations in Abstract Spaces (in Chinese), Shandong Publidher