By Radon-N ikodym theorem, there exists a non-negative measurable function g such that U (A) =  $\int_A g d\mu$  whenever A belongs to S. Then for each n N, It is satisfied that  $U_n(A) = U(A)$  $E_n(B) = \int_A E_n g d\mu$  We first show that  $\int_A E_n g d\mu = \int_B g d\mu$ 

If g is a characteristic function, that is  $g = \lambda_B$ , B = S. Then  $\int_A E_n g d\mu = \int_A g \lambda_E_n d\mu = \mu (A = B)$  $E_n = \mu_n (A = B) = \int_A \lambda_B d\mu_B = \int_A g d\mu_B$ 

It follows from the homogeneity and additivity of integral that the equality holds whenever g is a non-negative simple function. Hence  $\int_A E_n g d\mu = \int_A g \mu_n$  holds for any non-negative measurable function g.

Then by Theorem 5 and  $\int_A E_n g d\mu = \int_A g d\mu$ ,  $L(^*U_n)(B) = \int_B (^*g) d(L(^*\mu_n))$  for each  $B(L(^*S,^*\mu))$ , the definition of  $L(^*U)$  and Theorem 2 imply that

$$L(^{*}U(B)) = {}_{B}{}^{0}(^{*}g)d(L(^{*}\mu)).$$

We can easily obtain the following corollaries from theorem 5 and its extension

**Corollary 1** Let  $\mu$  and U be O-f in itemeasures on (X,S). Then  $U \ll \mu$  if and only if  $L (^*U) \ll L (^*\mu)$  and  $d(L (^*U))/d(L (^*\mu)) = {}^0(^*(dU/d\mu))dU/d\mu$  is the Radon-N ikodry derivative of Uw ith respect to  $\mu$ ).

Corollary 2 Let  $\mu$  and U be  $0^{-}f$  in item easures on (X,S). If  $g = d\mu/dU$ , then f or every m easurable f unction f: X R, f is L(U)-integrable if and only if f O(X)-integrable and  $\int f d(L(Y)) = \int f O(X) d(L(Y))$ .

### References

- [1] N. J. Cutland, N onstandard measure theorey and its application, BullLondon Math. Soc, 15 (1983), 526-589.
- [2] D. L. Cohn, Measure Theory, Birkhauser Boston, 1980
- [3] M. Davis, Applied N onstandard A nalysis, Wiley New York, 1977.

## Loeb 空间中的 Radon-Nikodym 导数

### 陈东立

(西安建筑科技大学数学教研室, 710055)

## 摘 要

设 v 及  $\mu$  为定义在可测空间 (X,S) 上的有限测度 本文首先证明了若  $v \ll \mu$  (即 v 关于  $\mu$  绝对连续),则有 L ( $^*S$ ,  $^*\mu$ )  $\subset$  L ( $^*S$ ,  $^*v$ ). 进而证明了  $v \ll \mu$  当且仅当 L ( $^*v$ )  $\ll$  L ( $^*\mu$ ) 并且 d (L ( $^*v$ )) d (L ( $^*\mu$ )) =  $^0$  ( $^*$  ( $dv/d\mu$ )) 即 Loeb 空间中的 Radon-N ikodym 定理 本文按一种自然的方式定义了  $\sigma$ -有限测度空间的 Loeb 空间,则以上结论可以推广到  $\sigma$ -有限的情况

本文在扩大或 ω-饱和的非标准模型中讨论

# Radon-Nikodym Derivative on Loeb Space

#### Chen Dong li

(Xi'an University of Architecture and Technology, 710055)

**Abstract** In this paper, we first show that if  $\ll v$  is absolutly continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , i.e.,  $v \ll u$ , then  $L(^*S,^*\mu) \subset L(^*S,^*v)$ . We also prove that  $v \ll \mu$  if and only if  $L(^*v) \ll L(^*\mu)$  and  $d(L(^*v))/d(L(^*\mu)) = {}^0(^*(d\mu/dv))$ . We shall define the Loeb space of  $\sigma$ -finite measure space by a natural way and prove that the results above can be extended to  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces

Keywords absolute continuity, Loeb space, Radon Nikodym Theorem, Radon Nikodym derivative

Classification AM S (1991) 28E05/CCL O 174 12

#### 1 Loeb Spaces

Let  $(X, S, \mu)$  be a totally finite measure space, then  $({}^*X, {}^*S, {}^*\mu)$  is an internal, finitly additive measure space by transfer principle. The Loeb space with respect to  $(X, S, \mu)$  can be defined as follows: Let  ${}^*\mu$  and  ${}^*\mu$  be maps from  $P({}^*X)$  to  $R^+$  {0} such that  ${}^*\mu$ (A) =  $\inf \{{}^0({}^*\mu)(B) : B$   ${}^*S$  and  $A \subseteq B$ } and  ${}^*\mu$ (A) =  $\sup \{{}^0({}^*\mu)(C) : C$   ${}^*S$  and  $C \subseteq A$ } for each subset A of  ${}^*X$ .

Define L ( ${}^*S$ ,  ${}^*\mu$ ) = { $A \subset {}^*X : {}^0\overline{\mu}(A) = {}^*\underline{\mu}(A)$ } and L ( ${}^*\mu$ ): L ( ${}^*S$ ,  ${}^*\mu$ ) R {0}, such that L ( ${}^*\mu$ ) ( $A = {}^*\overline{\mu}(A) = {}^*\underline{\mu}(A)$  for each A that belongs to L ( ${}^*S$ ,  ${}^*\mu$ ). It is known that ( ${}^*X$ , L ( ${}^*S$ ,  ${}^*\mu$ ), L ( ${}^*\mu$ )) is a complete standard measure space which is called the Loeb space with respect to measure space (X, S,  $\mu$ ).

If  $(X, S, \mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, we can define the Loeb space with respect to  $(X, S, \mu)$  in the following natrual way: Let  $\{E_n\}_n$  be an increasing sequence of sets which terms are in S such that  $X = E_n$  and  $\mu(E_n) < +$ . For each n belongs to N, define a finite measure  $\mu_n$  on (X, S) by letting  $\mu_n(A) = \mu(A E_n)$  for each A belongs to S.

Let  $L({}^*S, {}^*\mu) = L({}^*S, {}^*\mu_n)$  and  $L({}^*\mu) : L({}^*S, {}^*\mu) = R^+ \{0\}$ , such that  $L({}^*\mu) (A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} L({}^*\mu_n) (A)$  for each  $A(L({}^*S, {}^*\mu))$ . It is obvious that  $({}^*X, L({}^*S, {}^*\mu), L({}^*\mu))$  is a complete measure space. In fact  $\sigma({}^*S) \subset L({}^*S, {}^*\mu)$ . We call  $({}^*X, L({}^*S, {}^*\mu), L({}^*\mu))$ . the Loeb space with repect to the  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, S, \mu)$ .

**Theorem 1** Let  $(X, S, \mu)$  be a finite measure space and f be a  $\mu$ -integrable function, then  $(\dot{f})$  is L  $(\dot{\mu})$ -integrable and  $\dot{f}$  fd  $\mu$ =  $\int (\dot{f}) d(L(\dot{\mu}))$ .

**Proof** W ithout loss of generality, assume that f is a non-negative function.

<sup>\*</sup> Received Sep. 6, 1994

Since f is  $\mu$ -integrable, we have  $\mu(X(f=+))=0$ . Then  $X(f=+)=X(f\geq n)$  and  $\mu(X)<+$  implies that  $\lim \mu(f\geq n)=\mu(X(f=+))=0$ . Hence for every positive  $\epsilon$  there exists  $n_0$  N such that  $\int_{(f\geq n)}f\,d\mu<\epsilon$  for each n N which satisfied  $n\geq n_0$  by the absolute continuty of integral. It follows from the transfer principle that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(f\geq n)^{-k}f\,d^{-k}\mu<\epsilon$ 

Let H be an arbitrary infinite natrual number. Then  ${}^{\star}X$  ( ${}^{\star}f \ge H$ )  $\subset {}^{\star}X$  ( ${}^{\star}f \ge n$ ) for each n N implies that  $\int ({}^{\star}f) d {}^{\star}\mu = 0$  that is,  ${}^{\star}f$  is S-integrable. Applying Theorem 3.9 in [1] to  ${}^{\star}f$ , we have that  ${}^{0}({}^{\star}f)$  is L ( ${}^{\star}\mu$ )-integrable and  ${}^{0}\int {}^{\star}f d {}^{\star}\mu = \int {}^{0}({}^{\star}f) d (L ({}^{\star}\mu)$ .

**Theorem 2** Let  $(X, S, \mu)$  be a Off in item easure space and f be an  $L (^*\mu)$   $^*m$  easurable function f ram  $^*X$  to R. Then f is  $L (^*\mu)$  -integrable if and only if f is  $L (^*\mu_n)$  -integrable and sup  $\{\int |f| d(L (^*\mu_n))\} < +$ . If f is  $L (^*\mu)$  -integrable then  $\int f d(L (^*\mu)) = \lim \int f d(L (^*\mu_n))$ .

**Proof** Let f be  $L(^*\mu_n)$ -integrable and  $\sup \int |f| d(L(^*\mu_n)) < +$ . If f is a characteristic function, the proof is trivial. It follows from the homogeneity and additivity of integral that the sufficence is true for non-negative simple functions

W ithout loss of generality, suppose that f is non-negative. Then there is an increasing non-negative sequence  $\{\mathcal{Q}_i\}_{R=N}$  of simple functions such that  $\lim \mathcal{Q}_i(x) = f(x)$  for each x in X.

Since  $\lim \mathcal{Q}(x) d(L(^*\mu_n)) = \mathcal{Q}(L(^*\mu))$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\lim \mathcal{Q}(L(^*\mu_n)) = \lim \mathcal{Q}(L(^*\mu)) = \int f d(L(^*\mu)).$ 

It is not difficult to verify that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{Q}_d(L(^*\mu_n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{Q}_d(L(^*\mu_n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} d(L^*\mu_n).$$

Hence  $\lim \int f d(L(^*\mu)) = \int f d(L(^*\mu))$ . Similarly, we can prove the necessarity.

### 2 Absolute Continuity and Radon-Nikodym Theorem

Let  $\mu$  and v be measures on a measurable space (X, S). Then v is absolutly continuous with respect to  $\mu$  if each set A that belongs to S and satisfies  $\mu(A) = 0$  also satisfies v(A) = 0, which is denoted by  $v \ll \mu$ 

**Theorem 3** Let  $\mu$  and U be finite measures on the measureable space (X, S). Then  $U \ll \mu$  if and only if for each set A that belongs to  $\dot{S}$  and satisfies  $\dot{\mu}(A) = 0$  also satisfies  $\dot{U}(A) = 0$ 

**Proof** First suppose  $v \le \mu$  Then for each positive  $\varepsilon$  there is a positive  $\delta$  such that each s measurable set s that satisfies s s also satisfies s s (by [2], Lemma 4 2 1).

U sing transfer principle,  ${}^{\star}\mu(A) < \delta$  implies  ${}^{\star}U(A) < \epsilon$  for each A that belongs to  ${}^{\star}S$ . Hence  ${}^{\star}\mu(A) = 0$  implies  ${}^{\star}U(A) < \epsilon$  for each positive  $\epsilon$ , that is,  ${}^{\star}U(A) = 0$ 

Next suppose that  ${}^{\star}\mu(A)$  0 implies  ${}^{\star}U(A)$  0 for each A  ${}^{\star}S$ . Then the following assertion is true: For each positive  $\epsilon$ , there exists  $\delta$   ${}^{\star}R$  such that A  ${}^{\star}S$  and  ${}^{\star}\mu(A) < \delta$  implies  ${}^{\star}U(A) < \epsilon$  (Take  $\delta$  to be infinitesimal). It follows from transfer principle that there exists  $\delta$  R such that A S and  $\mu(A) < \delta$  implies  $U(A) < \epsilon$ , that is  $U \ll \mu$ 

We have to use the following basic nonstandard tools to prove Theorem 4

Denumerable comprehension: For every internal set A and every function f; N = A, there is

an internal function g; \*N A extending f.

O verflow principle: Let A be internal,  $A \subset N$ . If  $n \in A$  for all finite  $n \ge n_0$ , then there is an infinite  $H \cap N$  with  $n \in A$  for all  $n_0 \le n \le H$ .

**Theorem 4** Let  $\mu$  and U be f in ite m easures on the m easurable space (X, S). If  $U \ll \mu$ , then  $L (^*S, ^*\mu) \subset L (^*S, ^*U)$ .

**Proof** Let A belong to  $L(^*S, ^*\mu)$ . Because  $L(^*S, ^*\mu)$  is the  $L(^*\mu)$ -completion of  $\sigma(^*S)$ , there exists  $B = \sigma(^*S)$  and  $C = \sigma(^*S)$  such that  $B \subseteq A \subseteq C$  and  $L(^*\mu)(B - C) = 0$ 

By the definition of L (\*U), there is an increasing sequence  $\{P_n\}_n$  N of sets who se term s in \*S with  $| ^*U(P_n) - L$  (\*U) (B - C)  $| \le 1/n$ . U sing denumerable comprehension and O verflow, there is an infinite  $H_1$  \*N such that  $| ^*U(P_n) - L$  (\*U) (B - C)  $| \le 1/n$  and  $P_n \subset P_{H_1}$  for each  $n \le H_1$ . Then for each  $H_1$  \*N - N,  $H_2$  H<sub>1</sub> implies that \* $U(P_H)$  L (\*U) (B - C).

Since  $P_n \subseteq B$  - C for each n N, then  $\mu(P_n)$  0 for each n N. By infinitesimal prolongation theorem, there exists an infinite  $H_2$  such that  $\mu(P_m)$  0 for all  $m \le H_2$ 

Let  $H = \min\{H_1, H_2\}$ , then  ${}^*\mu(P_H) = 0$  and  ${}^*\nu(P_H) = L({}^*\nu)(B-C)$ . Since  $\nu$  is absolutly continuous  $\mu$ , it follows from Theorem 3 that  ${}^*\nu(P_H) = 0$ , that is  $L({}^*\nu)(B-C) = 0$ , hence  $A = L({}^*S, {}^*\nu)$ .

The following theorem is the main result of this paper. We call it the Radon-Nikdoym theorem in Loeb space

**Theorem 5** Let U and  $\mu$  be finite measures on the measurable space (X, S) and  $U \ll \mu$  Then there exists a non-negative S-measurable function g such that

$$L(^*U)(B) = {}_{B}(^*g)d(L(^*\mu))$$

for each B L ( $^*S$ ,  $^*\mu$ ).

**Proof** Because  $v \ll \mu$ , it follows from Radon-Nikoym theorem that there exists a non-negative S-measurable function g such that  $v(A) = \int g d\mu$  holds for each A that belongs to S. Transfer principle implies  $v(A) = \int g d\mu$  for each A belongs to S.

Take an arbitrary set B in L ( ${}^*S$ ,  ${}^*\mu$ ). By Theorem 4, B belongs to L ( ${}^*S$ ,  ${}^*\upsilon$ ). It follows from the definition of L ( ${}^*\upsilon$ ) (B) that L ( ${}^*\upsilon$ ) (B) =  $\sup\{{}^0({}^*\upsilon)$  (A): A  ${}^*S$ ,  $A \subseteq B$ } =  $\sup\{\int_A {}^0({}^*g) d(L({}^*\mu)) : A$   ${}^*S$ ,  $A \subseteq B$ }.

On the other hand, by the definition of L ( $^*\mu$ ) (B) there exists an increasing sequence  $\{B_n\}_n$  N of sets in  $^*S$ , such that  $B_n \subset B$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} L$  ( $^*\mu$ ) ( $B_n$ ) = L ( $^*\mu$ ) (B). Then it follows from the absolute continuity of integral that for each positive E there exists a set A in  $^*S$ , such that  $A \subset B$  and  $\int_B {}^0(^*g) d(L(^*\mu)) \le \int_A {}^0(^*g) d(L(^*\mu)) + E$  Hence  $L(^*U)$  (B) =  $\sup\{\int_A {}^0(^*g) d(L(^*\mu)) : A {}^*S, A \subset B\} = \int_B {}^0(^*g) d(L(^*\mu)).$ 

Theorem 5 can be extended to the case in which  $\mu$  and U are  $\sigma$ -finite measures on (X, S).

Let U and  $\mu$  be O-finite measures on the measurable space (X, S) with  $U \le \mu$  and  $\{E_n\}_n$  be an increasing sequence of sets who se terms in S, such that  $X = E_n$  and  $\mu(E_n) < + U(E_n) < +$  for each n N. It is clear that  $U \le \mu$  implies  $U_n \le \mu_n$  for each n N.