

A Note on Hölder Continuity of the Gradient of the Solutions for $u_t = \operatorname{div}(\|\nabla u\|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ *

Dong Pin Ing

(Dalian Railway Institute, Dalian 116028)

Abstract In [1- 3], Hölder continuity for the spatial gradient of weak solutions of

$$u_t = \operatorname{div}(\|\nabla u\|^{p-2}\nabla u) \text{ in } \Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$$

was established, where $\nabla = \operatorname{grad}_x$, $\Omega \subset R^N$. We discuss here how the condition

$$p > \max\{1, \frac{2N}{N+2}\}$$

is determined by the behaviour of u . Theorem implies that for solution in $L_{loc}^N(\Omega_T)$, the condition for the gradient of solution being Hölder continuous needs only $p > 1$.

Keywords Hölder continuity of the gradient, weak solution

Classification AMS(1991) 35D99/CCL O 175.2

1 Introduction

This paper is a supplement of the results in [1- 3]. There Hölder continuity for the spatial gradient of weak solutions of

$$u_t = \operatorname{div}(\|\nabla u\|^{p-2}\nabla u) \text{ in } \Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T) \quad (1.1)$$

was established. Here $\nabla = \operatorname{grad}_x$, $\Omega \subset R^N$. They proved that if

$$p > \max\{1, \frac{2N}{N+2}\}, \quad (1.2)$$

then the gradient of any solution $u \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$ of (1.1) is Hölder continuous.

In this note, we discuss how the condition (1.2) is determined by the behaviour of u .

Definition A solution u of (1.1) is a function defined in $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$ such that

$$u \in L^1(0, T; L^q(\Omega)) \cap L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega)), \quad q \geq 1, \quad (1.3)$$

* Received December 5, 1995.



and for any $\varphi \in C_0^1(\Omega_T)$, u satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega_T} [u \varphi_t - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi] dx dt = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

Our result is as follows

Theorem Suppose that

$$p > \max\{1, \frac{2N}{N+q}\}, \quad (1.5)$$

where q is the constant in (1.3). Then the gradient of the solution of (1.1) is local Hölder continuous in Ω_T .

When $q=2$, the Theorem reduces to the results in [1-3].

Moreover, this Theorem implies that for local bounded solutions, the condition for the gradient of solution being Hölder continuous needs only $p > 1$.

2 Proof of Theorem

Lemma 2.1 Let $p > \max\{1, \frac{2N}{N+q}\}$. Then

$$u \in L^{\frac{s}{bc}}(\Omega_T) \text{ for any } 1 \leq s < +\infty. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof If $q=1$, then (2.1) follows from [4]. Let $q > 1$. In (1.4) take test function

$$\varphi = uv^\alpha \xi^\rho,$$

where $v = u^2$, $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$, $\xi \in C_0(\Omega_T)$, $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)} \int_{\Omega} v^{\alpha+1} \xi^\rho (x, t) dx + (1+2\alpha) \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot v^\alpha \xi^\rho dx ds \\ & \leq \frac{p}{2(\alpha+1)} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} v^{\alpha+1} \xi^{\rho-1} |\xi| dx ds + p \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \xi \xi^{\rho-1} u v^\alpha dx ds \end{aligned}$$

Dropping the third term on the left hand side and using Hölder inequality in the last term, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ess sup}_{t \in (0, T)} \int_{\Omega} (\xi |u|^{\frac{2\alpha+p}{p}})^{\frac{(2\alpha+2)p}{2\alpha+p}} dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (\xi |u|^{\frac{2\alpha+p}{p}})|^p dx dt \\ & \leq c \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \xi|^p |u|^{2\alpha+p} dx dt + c \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\xi| \xi^{\rho-1} |u|^{2\alpha+2} dx dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

For $\alpha = \frac{q}{2} - 1$, the right hand side of (2.2) is finite. Therefore by embedding inequality ([5], p62), we get $u \in L^{\frac{r}{bc}}(\Omega_T)$, $r = p - 2 + q(1 + \frac{p}{N})$ and $p - 2 + q(1 + \frac{p}{N}) > q$ by (1.5).

We can now repeat the process with $2(\alpha+1) = p - 2 + q(1 + \frac{p}{N})$ and get

$$u \in L^{\frac{s}{bc}}(\Omega_T), \quad s = p - 2 + 2(\alpha+1)(1 + \frac{p}{N}),$$

clearly $p - 2 + 2(\alpha+1)(1 + \frac{p}{N}) > p - 2 + q(1 + \frac{p}{N})$ by (1.5). By proceeding in this fashion, Lemma 2.1 follows.

Using Lemma 2.1 as in [2], we can prove the following lemma

Lemma 2.2 Let $p > \max\{1, \frac{2N}{N+q}\}$. Then $\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{s}{s-1}}(\Omega_T)} \leq C$, $1 \leq s < +\infty$.

Lemma 2.3 Let $p > \max\{1, \frac{2N}{N+q}\}$. Then $\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)} \leq C$.

Lemma 2.3 is obtained by a simple change of the proof of [3, Theorem 2.1]. For clarity, we give the details of the proof.

Proof of Lemma 2.3 For $P_0(x_0, t_0) \in \Omega_T$, set $B(x_0, R) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - x_0| < R\}$, $Q(p_0, R) = B(x_0, R) \times (t_0 - R^2, t_0)$ without loss of generality, we assume that $u_t, D_x^2 u$ are in suitable L^q spaces (refer to the explanation in [3]). Clearly it is sufficient to discuss the case $1 < p < 2$.

Differentiating (1.1) with respect to x_j , we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{x_j} - \operatorname{div}(\|\nabla u\|^{p-2} \nabla u_{x_j} + (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \|\nabla u\|^{p-2}) \nabla u) = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

Set $\varphi = u_{x_j} v^\alpha \xi^2$, where $v = \|\nabla u\|^2$, $\alpha \geq 0$, ξ is the usual C^1 cut-off function with respect to $Q(P_0, R)$, $Q(P_0, (1-\sigma)R)$ ($0 < \sigma < 1$). Multiplying (2.3) by φ and integrating over $Q(P_0, R)$, we get (see p345 in [3])

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)} \operatorname{esssup}_{t_0 - R^2 < t < t_0} \int_{B_R} \xi^2 v^{x+1} dx + \frac{(1+2\alpha)(p-1)}{4} \int_{Q(R)} \xi^2 v^{\frac{p+2\alpha-4}{2}} |\nabla v|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq c \int_{Q(R)} \xi v^{\frac{p+2\alpha-2}{2}} |\nabla \xi \cdot \nabla v| dx dt + \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)} \int_{Q(R)} |\xi| v^{\alpha+1} dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where c is independent of α . Using Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q(R-\sigma R)} v^{\frac{p-2}{2} + (\alpha+1)(1+\frac{\alpha}{N})} dx dt \\ & \leq \operatorname{esssup}_{t_0 - (1-\sigma)^2 R^2 < t < t_0} \left(\int_{B(R-\sigma R)} v^{\alpha+1} dx \right)^{2/N} \times \int_{Q(R-\sigma R)} (v^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}} + |\nabla v|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{4}})^2 dx dt \\ & \leq c \left\{ \int_{Q(R)} v^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}} |\nabla \xi|^2 dx dt + \int_{Q(R)} |\xi| v^{\alpha+1} dx dt \right\}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{N}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Set $k = 1 + \frac{2}{N}$ and $R_l = R(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{l+1}})$, $\alpha+1 = \frac{N(2-p)}{4} + k^l$, $l = 1, 2, \dots$, $\xi_l \in C_0^1(Q(R_l))$, $0 \leq \xi_l \leq 1$, $\xi_l = 1$ on $Q(R_{l+1})$. Then it follows from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{Q(R_{l+1})} v^{\frac{N(2-p)}{4} + k^{l+1}} dx dt \right)^{1/k} \\ & \leq c \frac{4^l}{R^2} \left\{ \int_{Q(R_l)} v^{\frac{N(2-p)}{4} + k^l} dx dt + \int_{Q(R_l)} v^{\frac{N(2-p)}{4} + k^l} dx dt \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that for any $l > l_0$

$$\int_{Q(R_l)} v^{\frac{N(2-p)}{4} + k^l} dx dt \geq 1,$$

where l_0 is a natural number such that $\frac{N(2-p)}{4} + k^{l_0} > 0$

Hence we get from (2.5)

$$\left(\int_{Q(R_{l+1})} v^{\frac{N(2-p)}{4} + k^{l+1}} dx dt \right)^{1/k} \leq c \frac{4^l}{R^2} \int_{Q(R_l)} v^{\frac{N(2-p)}{4} + k^l} dx dt$$

The standard Moser iteration procedure yields

$$\left(\int_{Q(R_{l+1})} v^{\frac{N(2-p)}{4} + k^{l+1}} dx dt \right)^{1/k^{l+1}} \leq \left(\frac{c}{R^{N+2}} \int_{Q(R)} v^{\frac{N(2-p)}{4} + k^l} dx dt \right)^{k^{-l_0}}.$$

Letting $l \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\text{esssup}_{Q(R/2)} v \leq \left(\frac{c}{R^{N+2}} \int_{Q(R)} |\nabla u|^{\frac{N(p-2)}{2} + 2k^l} dx dt \right)^{k^{-l_0}},$$

hence by Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 is proved.

Using Lemma 2.3 as in [3], we can prove the Theorem.

References

- [1] E. DiBenedetto and A. Friedman, *Hölder estimates for nonlinear degenerate parabolic systems*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **357**(1985), 1-22
- [2] E. DiBenedetto and A. Friedman, *Addendum to "Hölder estimates for nonlinear degenerate parabolic systems"*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **363**(1985), 217-220
- [3] Chen Yazhe, *Hölder continuity of the gradient of the solutions of certain degenerate parabolic equations*, Chin. Ann. Math., **8**(1987), B(3), 343-356
- [4] Zhao Junning, *The Cauchy problem for $u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ when $\frac{2N}{N+1} < p < 2$* , Nonlinear Analysis T.M.A., **24:5**(1995), 615-630

关于 $u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ 方程解的梯度 Holder 连续性的一个注记

董丕明

(大连铁道学院计算机系, 116028)

摘要

对文献[1-3]中的结果: $u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ 在 $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$ 上弱解的空间梯度是 Holder 连续的做一个补充 在这个注记里, 讨论了条件 $p > \max\{1, \frac{2N}{N+2}\}$ 是怎样由 u 的性质所决定的 属于 $L^{\frac{N}{p-2}}(\Omega_T)$ 空间解的梯度是 Holder 连续的条件仅仅是 $p > 1$.