

On the Equivalences of Matrix Norms Related to the l_p Norms*

Bai Zhongzhi

(State Key Laboratory of Scientific/Engineering Computing
Institute of Computational Mathematics and Scientific/Engineering Computing
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080)

Abstract This note established equivalence relations among the matrix norms related to the l_p norm and the l_p operator norm for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, which completes the comparison results in [1, 2].

Keywords l_p norm, l_p operator norm, mixed norm, equivalence

Classification AMS(1991) 65H10, 65W05/CCL O24L6

1 Introduction

For a positive number $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and a complex matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in C_{n \times n}$, we denote by

$$\|A\|_p = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

the l_p norm of the matrix A , and by $\|A\|_p = \max \{ \|Ax\|_p : x \in C^n, \|x\|_p = 1 \}$ the l_p operator norm of the matrix A , where $\|x\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ with $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$ (T denoting the transpose). For these two norms, Tashiro^[2] proved the following comparison result, which is a conjecture of Goldberg and Newman^[1]:

Theorem 1 Let p satisfy $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then for all $A \in C_{n \times n}$ it holds that

$$\|A\|_p \leq n^{\frac{1}{p}} \|A\|_1,$$

where the inequality is sharp.

* Received January 8, 1996. Project 19601036 supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

Tasci also confirmed that this theorem naturally leads to a simple comparison of the l_{pq} norm, defined as

$$\|A\|_{pq} = \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ij}|^p \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

and the l_q operator norm.

Theorem 2 Let p, q satisfy $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. Then for all $A \in C_{n \times n}$ it holds that

$$\|A\|_{pq} \leq \sigma_{pq}(n) \|A\|_q,$$

where

$$\sigma_{pq}(n) = \begin{cases} n^{\frac{1}{q}}, & \text{for } p \geq q \\ n^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{for } p \leq q \end{cases}$$

The main purpose of this note is to establish the reverse relations of the inequalities in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, so that the comparisons of l_p norms, l_p operator norms as well as the mixed norms are completed, and to generalize these results so that the relations between the l_p and l_q norms as well as between the l_p and l_q operator norms can be established

2 The main results

The main results of this note are Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 given below. In their proofs, we will use the known inequality

$$\|x\|_p \leq \lambda_{pq}(n) \|x\|_q, \quad \lambda_{pq}(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } p \geq q \\ n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}, & \text{for } p \leq q \end{cases}, \quad 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$$

or

$$\|x\|_q \leq \lambda_{qp}(n) \|x\|_p, \quad \lambda_{qp}(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } q \geq p \\ n^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}}, & \text{for } q \leq p \end{cases}, \quad 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty.$$

Note that $\sigma_{pq}(n) = n^{\frac{1}{q}} \lambda_{pq}(n) = n^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_{qp}(n) = \sigma_{qp}(n)$ hold for all $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$.

Theorem 3 Let p satisfy $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then for all $A \in C_{n \times n}$ it holds that

$$\|A\|_p \leq \beta_p(n) \|A\|_p,$$

where

$$\beta_p(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } p \leq 2 \\ n^{1 - \frac{2}{p}}, & \text{for } p \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

Proof Because of $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we see that there exists a unique positive number $q \geq 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Noticing that $\beta_p(n) = \lambda_{qp}(n)$ holds at this time. Considering the Hölder's in-

equality, we can get for any $x \in C^n$, $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_p &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i \right\|_p \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \|e_i\|_p \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|e_i\|_p^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \|x\|_q \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|A\|_p \|x\|_q \leq \beta_p(n) \|A\|_p \|x\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

where e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n are the standard basis of C^n . Therefore, the conclusion of Theorem 3 is true.

Theorem 3 naturally results in the following result which describes the relation between the operator norm and the mixed norm.

Theorem 4 Let p, q satisfy $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. Then for all $A \in C_{n \times n}$ it holds that

$$\|A\|_{pq} \geq \frac{n^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\beta_q(n) \sigma_{pq}(n)} \|A\|_p$$

Proof We first note that $\|x\|_q \leq \lambda_{pq}(n) \|x\|_p$ and $\|A\|_q = \|A\|_{qq} = \|(\|A e_1\|_q, \|A e_2\|_q, \dots, \|A e_n\|_q)\|_q$ from the definitions. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_{pq} &= \|(\|A e_1\|_p, \|A e_2\|_p, \dots, \|A e_n\|_p)\|_q \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{qp}(n)} \|(\|A e_1\|_q, \|A e_2\|_q, \dots, \|A e_n\|_q)\|_q \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_{qp}(n)} \|A\|_q \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{qp}(n) \beta_q(n)} \|A\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

we immediately know that the conclusion of Theorem 4 is correct.

Based on the above theorems, we can easily get the following corollaries.

Corollary 1 Let p satisfy $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then for all $A \in C_{n \times n}$ it holds that

$$\frac{1}{\beta_p(n)} \|A\|_p \leq \|A\|_p \leq n^{\frac{1}{p}} \|A\|_p.$$

where the inequalities are sharp.

Corollary 2 Let p, q satisfy $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. Then for all $A \in C_{n \times n}$ it holds that

$$\frac{n^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\beta_q(n) \sigma_{pq}(n)} \|A\|_p \leq \|A\|_{pq} \leq \sigma_{pq}(n) \|A\|_p.$$

3 Further generalizations

In this section, we will set up the comparison relations among l_p and l_q norms, l_p and l_q operator norms as well as the mixed norms. For this purpose, we first prove the following

theorem.

Theorem 5 Let p, q satisfy $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. Then for all $A \in C_{n \times n}$ it holds that

$$\frac{n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}}{\sigma_{pq}(n)} \|A\|_q \leq \|A\|_p \leq \frac{n^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\beta_p(n) \sigma_{pq}(n)} \|A\|_q$$

Proof We first verify the left-hand inequality. From the proof of the Theorem in [2] we can get $\|A\|_q \leq n^{\frac{1}{q}} \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |a_{ij}|_q$. Considering again $|x|_q \leq \lambda_{pq}(n) |x|_p (\forall x \in C^n)$ we have

$$\|A\|_q \leq n^{\frac{1}{q}} \lambda_{pq}(n) \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |a_{ij}|_p \leq n^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \sigma_{pq}(n) \max_{|x|_p=1} |Ax|_p = n^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \sigma_{pq}(n) \|A\|_p,$$

that is, the left-hand inequality of this theorem holds

Now, we turn to the right-hand inequality. From the proof of Theorem 4 and considering the inequality $|x|_p \leq \lambda_{pq}(n) |x|_q (\forall x \in C^n)$, we can get $\|A\|_p \leq \lambda_{pq}(n) \|A\|_q$. This inequality combining with Theorem 3 immediately gives the inequality what we are testing

Corollary 3 Let p, q satisfy $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. Then for all $A \in C_{n \times n}$ it holds that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{pq}(n)} \|A\|_q \leq \|A\|_p \leq \lambda_{pq}(n) \|A\|_q$$

Theorem 5 with either Corollary 1 or Corollary 2 can result in the conclusions in the following corollary, respectively.

Corollary 4 Let p, q satisfy $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. Then for all $A \in C_{n \times n}$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}}{\beta_q(n) \sigma_{pq}(n)} \|A\|_q &\leq \|A\|_p \leq \beta_p(n) \sigma_{pq}(n) \|A\|_q \\ \frac{n^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\beta_q(n) \sigma_{pq}(n)^2} \|A\|_p &\leq \|A\|_{pq} \leq n^{-\frac{1}{p}} \beta_q(n) \sigma_{pq}(n)^2 \|A\|_p; \\ \frac{n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}}{\beta_q(n) \sigma_{pq}(n)} \|A\|_q &\leq \|A\|_{pq} \leq \beta_q(n) \sigma_{pq}(n) \|A\|_q \end{aligned}$$

References

- Goldberg M, et al. *Equivalence constants for l_p norms of matrices*. Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 1987, **21**: 173-179
- Tasci D. *On the conjecture by Goldberg and Newman*. Linear Algebra Appl, 1995, **215**: 275-277