

A Generalization of KKM Theorem *

Zhang Xian

(Dept. of Math., Jinbei University, 361021)

Abstract In this paper we introduce the concepts of Z -space and Z -KKM mapping, generalize famous F-KKM theorem and other versions of KKM theorem.

Keywords KKM-theorem, Z -space, Z -KKM mapping.

Classification AMS(1991) 54D05, 47H10/CCL O177.91

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 1961, Fan K.^[11] generalized the KKM-theorem^[10] to the infinite dimensional case. Since then many versions of F-KKM theorem were obtained [Refs. 2,3,4,6,9].

In section 1 we introduce the Z -space concept and, in section 2 we establish a general version of KKM-theorem, which is the unification and generalization of many versions of KKM-theorem, and obtain a new version of KKM-theorem: Theorem 2.5. In section 3 we discuss some spacial cases.

Throughout this paper \mathbf{N} denotes the set of natural number. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, set $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$. By $F([n])$ we denote the family of nonempty subsets of $[n]$.

Let X be a nonempty set, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, set

$$F_n(X) = \{A \subset X : A \text{ is a subset of } X \text{ with } n \text{ elements}\},$$

$$F(X) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(X).$$

Let $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F_n(X)$, for $I \in F([n])$, set $A_I = \{x_i : i \in I\}$.

For $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we denote, by $\Delta^{(n-1)} = e_1 \cdots e_n$, the standard $(n-1)$ -simplex. For $I \in F([n])$, $\Delta_I^{(n-1)} = \text{co}\{e_i : i \in I\}$ is a sub-simplex of $\Delta^{(n-1)}$.

Let X, Y be two topological spaces by $C(X, Y)$ we denote the family of continuous mappings from X to Y .

Definition 1.1 Let X be a topological space and $\{F_{A,B}\}$ be the family of non-empty subsets of X , labeled by all $A \in F(X)$ and $B \in F(A)$. $(X, \{F_{A,B}\})$ is said a Z -space,

*Received November 20, 1995.

if and only if for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and each $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F_n(X)$, there exists a $f_A \in C(\Delta^{(n-1)}, X)$ such that

$$f_A(\Delta_I^{(n-1)}) \subset F_{A, A_I}, \quad \text{for all } I \in F([n]).$$

Remark 1.1 H -space $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ [see 1] is a special example of Z -space. In fact for each $A \in F(X)$, and each $B \in F(A)$, let $F_{A, B} = \Gamma_B$. Then for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, and each $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F_n(X)$, by [1], there exists a continuous mapping $f_A : \Delta^{(n-1)} \rightarrow X$, such that $f_A(\Delta_I^{(n-1)}) \subset \Gamma_{A_I}$, for all $I \in F([n])$. Therefore

$$f_A(\Delta_I^{(n-1)}) \subset \Gamma_{A_I} = F_{A, A_I} \quad \text{for all } I \in F([n]).$$

Thus by [2] Hausdorff topological vector space, convex space [8], contractible space, and pseudo-convex space [2] are all the special cases of Z -space.

Let $(X, \{F_{A, B}\})$ be a Z -space. A subset $D \subset X$ is called Z -convex relative to subset $C \subset X$, If for each $B \in F(C)$ and each $A \in F(X)$ with $B \subset A$, it follows $F_{A, B} \subset D$. When $C = D$, then D is called Z -convex.

Let $(X, \{F_{A, B}\})$ be a Z space and D is a nonempty subset of X . Set

$$\text{co}(D) = \cap \{C : C \text{ is a } Z\text{-convex subset of } X \text{ and } D \subset C\},$$

$\text{co}(D)$ is called Z -convex hull of D . It is clear that $\text{co}(D)$ is a Z -convex subset of X and $D \subset \text{co}(D)$.

Definition 1.2 Let W be a nonempty set, Y a topological space and $G : W \rightarrow 2^Y$ a set-valued mapping. If for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and any $E = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\} \in F_n(W)$, there exist a Z -space $(X, \{F_{A, B}\})$, a set $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F_n(X)$, and a $s \in C(X, Y)$ such that

$$s(F_{A, A_I}) \subset G(E_I) \quad \text{for all } I \in F([n]), \quad (1)$$

then G is called a Z -KKM mapping.

Remark 1.2 Definition 1.2 is the most general concept and it contains KKM mapping, H-KKM mapping [2], generalized KKM mapping [3, 4], and GH-KKM mapping [5] as its special cases.

Definition 1.3 Let X be a topological space, D a subset of X . D is said a compactly open (respectively compactly closed) subset of X , if, for any compact subset C of X , $D \cap C$ is a relatively open (respectively relatively closed) subset of C .

Obviously if D is a compactly open (compactly closed) subset of X , then $X \setminus D$ is a compactly closed (compactly open) subset of X . Also if f is a continuous mapping from topological space X to topological space Y and D is a compactly open (compactly closed) subset of Y , then $f^{-1}(D)$ is a compactly open (compactly closed) subset of X .

Lemma Let $(X, \{F_{A, B}\})$ be a Z -space, and M_1, \dots, M_n be n compactly closed subsets of X such that $\cup_{i=1}^n M_i = X$. Then for any $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F_n(X)$, there exists $I \in F([n])$ such that $F_{A, A_I} \cap (\cap_{i \in I} M_i) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof For $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F_n(X)$, by definition of Z -space, take a mapping $f_A \in C(\Delta^{(n-1)}, X)$ such that $f_A(\Delta_I^{(n-1)}) \subset F_{A, A_I}$ for all $I \in F([n])$. For $u \in \Delta^{(n-1)}$, set

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= \{i \in [n] : f_A(u) \in M_i\} \in F([n]), \\ S(u) &= \Delta_{I(u)}^{(n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $I(u) \neq \emptyset$, and then $S(u) \neq \emptyset$. By compactly closedness of M_i we can prove that $U = \Delta^{(n-1)} \setminus f_A^{-1}(\cup_{i \notin I(u)} M_i)$ is a open neighborhood of u in $\Delta^{(n-1)}$. If $u' \in U$, then $I(u') \subset I(u)$. So $S(u') \subset S(u)$. Therefore mapping $S : \Delta^{(n-1)} \rightarrow 2^{\Delta^{(n-1)}}$ is upper semi-continuous with nonempty compact convex value. From Kakutani's fixed point theorem there exists a $u_0 \in \Delta^{(n-1)}$ such that $u_0 \in S(u_0) = \Delta_{I(u_0)}^{(n-1)}$. From the definition of $I(u_0)$, $f_A(u_0) \in \cap_{i \in I(u_0)} M_i$. On the other hand, set $I = I(u_0)$, $f_A(u_0) \in f_A(\Delta_I^{(n-1)}) \subset F_{A, A_I}$. So

$$F_{A, A_I} \cap (\cap_{i \in I} M_i) \neq \emptyset.$$

2. The main results

Theorem 2.1 Let X be a nonempty set, Y a topological space. Suppose that $G : W \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a Z -KKM mapping, and one of the following conditions is hold:

- (i) for each $w \in W$, $G(w)$ is compactly open in Y .
- (ii) for each $w \in W$, $G(w)$ is compactly closed in Y .

Then the family of $\{G(w) : w \in W\}$ of sets has the finite intersection property. Moreover, if we add the following condition to condition (ii),

- (*) there exists a $w_0 \in W$ such that $G(w_0)$ is a compact set then $\cap_{w \in W} G(w) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof (i) Suppose that for each $w \in W$, $G(w)$ is compactly open.

By contradiction suppose that $\{G(w) : w \in W\}$ has not the finite intersection property. Then there exist $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $E = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\} \in F_n(W)$, such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n G(w_i) = \emptyset. \quad (2)$$

Because G is a Z -KKM mapping, there exist Z -space $(X, \{F_{A, B}\})$, $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F_n(X)$, and $s \in C(X, Y)$, such that

$$s(F_{A, A_I}) \subset G(E_I) \quad \text{for all } I \in F([n]).$$

From (2) we have $\cap_{i=1}^n s^{-1}(G(w_i)) = \emptyset$. Let $H_i = X \setminus s^{-1}(G(w_i))$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then H_i are compactly closed subset of X and $\cup_{i=1}^n H_i = X$. By Lemma there exists an $I' \in F([n])$, such that

$$F_{A, A_{I'}} \cap (\bigcap_{i \in I'} H_i) \neq \emptyset. \quad (3)$$

On the other hand since $s(F_{A, A_{I'}}) \subset G(E_{I'})$, $F_{A, A_{I'}} \cap (X \setminus s^{-1}(G(E_{I'}))) = \emptyset$, i.e.,

$$F_{A, A_{I'}} \cap (\bigcap_{i \in I'} H_i) = \emptyset. \quad (4)$$

This contradicts (3). Hence $\{G(w) : w \in W\}$ has the finite intersection property.

(ii) Suppose that for each $w \in W$, $G(w)$ is compactly closed.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $E = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\} \in F_n(W)$, Because G is a Z-KKM mapping, there exist Z -space $(X, \{F_{A,B}\})$, $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F_n(X)$, and $s \in C(X, Y)$, such that $s(F_{A,A_I}) \subset G(E_I)$ for all $I \in F([n])$. From the definition of Z -space there exists a $f_A \in C(\Delta^{(n-1)}, X)$ such that

$$f_A(\Delta_I^{(n-1)}) \subset F_{A,A_I} \quad \text{for all } I \in F([n]),$$

so $s(f_A(\Delta_I^{(n-1)})) \subset G(E_I)$ for all $I \in F([n])$, and

$$\Delta_I^{(n-1)} \subset f_A^{-1}(s^{-1}(G(E_I))) = \bigcup_{i \in I} f_A^{-1}(s^{-1}(G(w_i))) \quad \text{for all } I \in F([n]).$$

Since $G(w_i)$ is compactly closed $f_A^{-1}(s^{-1}(G(w_i)))$ is closed in $\Delta^{(n-1)}$. By well-known KKM-theorem there exists a $\bar{u} \in \Delta^{(n-1)}$, such that $\bar{u} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n f_A^{-1}(s^{-1}(G(w_i)))$, that is $s(f_A(\bar{u})) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n G(w_i)$. Therefore $\{G(w) : w \in W\}$ has the finite intersection property. Moreover when $G(w_0)$ is compact, for each $w \in W$, $G(w) \cap G(w_0)$ is nonempty compact. Hence

$$\bigcap_{w \in W} G(w) = \bigcap_{w \in W} (G(w) \cap G(w_0)) \neq \emptyset.$$

Remark 2.1 Theorem 2.1 unifies and generalizes results those in [2–6, 8, 9].

Theorem 2.2 Let W be a nonempty set, Y a topological space. Suppose that $G : W \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a Z-KKM mapping. If

- (i) for each $w \in W$, $G(w)$ is compactly closed in Y ,
- (ii) there exists $W_0 \subset W$, such that $\bigcap_{w \in W_0} G(w)$ is compact,
- (iii) for any $E_0 \in F(W)$, there exists a nonempty compact subset Y_0 of Y such that for each $E = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\} \in F(W_0 \cup E_0)$,

$$s(F_{A,A_I}) \subset Y_0 \quad \text{for all } I \in F([n]),$$

where $(X, \{F_{A,B}\})$, $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $s : X \rightarrow Y$ as in Definition 1.2.

Then $\bigcap_{w \in W} G(w) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof Given $E_0 \in F(W)$, from (iii) take nonempty compact $Y_0 \subset Y$. Define $\tilde{G} : W_0 \cup E_0 \rightarrow 2^Y$ by $\tilde{G}(w) = G(w) \cap Y_0$ for all $w \in W_0 \cup E_0$. For each $E = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\} \in F_n(W_0 \cup E_0) \subset F_n(W)$, by assumption that G is a Z-KKM mapping and (iii) there exist a Z -space $(X, \{F_{A,B}\})$, $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F_n(X)$ and $s \in C(X, Y)$ such that

$$s(F_{A,A_I}) \subset Y_0 \cap G(E_I) = \tilde{G}(E_I) \quad \text{for all } I \in F([n]).$$

This shows that \tilde{G} is a Z-KKM mapping. From (i) and Y_0 is compact we have $\tilde{G}(w)$ is compact. By Theorem 2.1

$$\bigcap_{w \in W_0 \cup E_0} \tilde{G}(w) \neq \emptyset. \quad (5)$$

Let $K = \cap_{w \in W_0} G(w)$, by (ii) K is compact. And, from (5),

$$\bigcap_{w \in E_0} (K \cap G(w)) \supset \bigcap_{w \in W_0 \cup E_0} G(w) \supset \bigcap_{w \in W_0 \cup E_0} \tilde{G}(w) \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus we have proved that family $\{K \cap G(w) : w \in W\}$ has the finite intersection property. By (i) and (ii) $K \cap G(w)$ is compact. Therefore

$$\bigcap_{w \in W} G(w) = \bigcap_{w \in W} (K \cap G(w)) \neq \emptyset.$$

Theorem 2.3 Let W be a nonempty set, Y a topological space. Suppose that $H : W \rightarrow Y$ satisfies

- (i) for each $w \in W$, $H(w)$ is compactly open,
- (ii) there exists a $w_0 \in W$, $Y \setminus H(w_0)$ is compact,
- (iii) $H(W) = Y$.

Then there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\} \in F_n(W)$ such that for any Z -space $(X, \{F_{A,B}\})$, any $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F_n(X)$ and any $s \in C(X, Y)$, there exist $I \in F([n])$ and $x_0 \in F_{A,A_I}$ with $s(x_0) \in \cap_{w \in E_I} H(w)$.

Proof Suppose that the conclusion is false. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $E = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\} \in F_n(W)$, there exist Z -space $(X, \{F_{A,B}\})$, $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F_n(X)$ and $s \in C(X, Y)$, such that

$$s(F_{A,A_I}) \cap \left(\bigcap_{w \in E_I} H(w) \right) = \emptyset \quad \text{for any } I \in F([n]),$$

or

$$s(F_{A,A_I}) \subset \bigcup_{w \in E_I} (Y \setminus H(w)) \quad \text{for any } I \in F([n]). \quad (6)$$

Define mapping $G : W \rightarrow Y$ by $G(w) = Y \setminus H(w)$, $w \in W$. From (6) G is a Z -KKM mapping. By (i) $G(w)$ is compactly closed for any $w \in W$ and by (ii) $G(w_0)$ is compact. Follows Theorem 2.1

$$Y \setminus \bigcup_{w \in W} H(w) = \bigcap_{w \in W} G(w) \neq \emptyset.$$

This contradicts (iii).

Theorem 2.4 Let Y be a topological space, W a nonempty set. Suppose that mapping $T : W \rightarrow 2^Y$ satisfies

- (i) for each $y \in Y$, $T(y) \neq \emptyset$,
- (ii) for each $w \in W$, $T^{-1}(w)$ is compactly open,
- (iii) there exists a $w_0 \in W$, such that $Y \setminus T^{-1}(w_0)$ is compact.

Then there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\} \in F_n(W)$, such that for each Z -space $(X, \{F_{A,B}\})$, each $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F_n(X)$ and each $s \in C(X, Y)$, there exist $I \in F([n])$ and $y \in Y$, such that $E_I \subset T(y)$ and $y \in s(F_{A,A_I})$.

Proof Suppose that the conclusion is false. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $E = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\} \in$

$F_n(W)$, there exist Z -space $(X, \{F_{A,B}\})$, $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F_n(x)$ and $s \in C(X, Y)$, such that for any $I \in F([n])$,

$$s(F_{A,A_I}) \cap \left(\bigcap_{w \in E_I} T^{-1}(w) \right) = \emptyset,$$

or

$$s(F_{A,A_I}) \subset \bigcup_{w \in E_I} (Y \setminus T^{-1}(w)).$$

Let $G : W \rightarrow 2^Y$ with $G(w) = Y \setminus T^{-1}(w)$. Then G is a Z -KKM mapping. By (ii) and (iii) $G(w)$ is compactly closed and $G(w_0)$ is compact. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that $\bigcap_{w \in W} G(w) \neq \emptyset$. So there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that

$$y_0 \in \bigcap_{w \in W} G(w) = Y \setminus \bigcup_{w \in W} T^{-1}(w).$$

Hence $y_0 \notin T^{-1}(W) = Y$, which is a contradiction.

Remark 2.2 In Theorem 2.4, if $Y = W = (X, \{F_{A,B}\})$ is a Z -space, and for each $x \in X$, $T(x)$ is Z -convex. Then Theorem 2.4 implies that there exist $A \in F_n(X)$, $I \in F([n])$ and $x_0 \in X$ such that $A_I \subset T(x_0)$ and $x_0 \in F_{A,A_I}$. Since $T(x_0)$ is Z -convex $x_0 \in F_{A,A_I} \subset T(x_0)$. That is x_0 is a fixed point of T . We extend the Browder's fixed point Theorem.

Theorem 2.5 Let $(X, \{F_{A,B}\})$ be a Z -space. Suppose that $G : X \rightarrow 2^X$ satisfies

- (i) for each $x \in X$, $G(x)$ is compactly closed,
- (ii) for each $x \in X$, $x \in G(x)$,
- (iii) for each finite subset A of X , $G(\text{co}(A)) = G(A)$,
- (iv) there exists a $x_0 \in X$, $G(x_0)$ is compact.

Then $\bigcap_{x \in X} G(x) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof Suppose that $\bigcap_{x \in X} G(x) = \emptyset$. Let $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$, for $x \in X$, $T(x) = X \setminus G^{-1}(x)$. For any $x \in X$, we have: (1). there exists a $u \in X$ such that $x \notin G(u)$. So $u \in X \setminus G^{-1}(x) = T(x)$, hence $T(x) \neq \emptyset$; (2). since

$$u \in T^{-1}(x) \Leftrightarrow x \in T(u) = X \setminus G^{-1}(u) \Leftrightarrow x \notin G^{-1}(u) \Leftrightarrow u \in X \setminus G(x)$$

by (i), $T^{-1}(x)$ is compactly open; (3). for any finite subset A of $T(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} A \subset X \setminus G^{-1}(x) &\Leftrightarrow A \cap G^{-1}(x) = \emptyset \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \notin G(A) = G(\text{co}(A)) \quad (\text{by (iii)}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{co}(A) \cap G^{-1}(x) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \text{co}(A) \subset X \setminus G^{-1}(x) = T(x). \end{aligned}$$

So $T(x)$ is Z -convex. Finally since

$$x \in X \setminus T^{-1}(x_0) \Leftrightarrow x_0 \notin T(x) = X \setminus G^{-1}(x) \Leftrightarrow x_0 \in G^{-1}(x) \Leftrightarrow x \in G(x_0)$$

by (iv), $X \setminus T^{-1}(x_0)$ is compact. It follows from Theorem 2.4 and Remark 2.2, there exists $u \in T(u) = X \setminus G^{-1}(u)$. Hence $u \notin G(u)$, this contradicts (ii).

Remark 2.3 Theorem 2.5 is a new version of KKM-theorem.

3. Some spacial cases

Definition 3.1 Let X be a nonempty set, $(Y, \{F_{A,B}\})$ a Z -space and mapping $G : X \rightarrow 2^Y$. If for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$, any $E = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F_n(X)$, there exists $A = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \in F_n(Y)$ such that $F_{A,A_I} \subset G(E_I)$ for all $I \in F([n])$. Then G is said a Z1-KKM mapping.

Definition 3.2 Let $(X, \{F_{A,B}\})$ be a Z -space, Y a topological space and mapping $G : D(\subset X) \rightarrow 2^Y$. If for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and any $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F_n(D)$, there exist $B = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\} \in F_n(X)$ and $s \in C(X, Y)$ such that

$$s(F_{B,B_I}) \subset G(A_I) \quad \text{for all } I \in F([n]).$$

Then G is said a Z2-KKM mapping.

From Theorem 2.1 one have the following two theorems immediately.

Theorem 3.1 Let X be a nonempty set, $(Y, \{F_{A,B}\})$ a Z -space. Suppose that $G : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a Z1-KKM mapping and for each $x \in X$, $G(x)$ is a compactly open (compactly closed) subset of Y . Then the family $\{G(x) : x \in X\}$ has the finite intersection property.

Theorem 3.2 Let $(X, \{F_{A,B}\})$ be a Z -space, Y a topological space. Suppose that $G : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a Z2-KKM mapping and for each $x \in X$, $G(x)$ is a compactly open (compactly closed) subset of Y . Then the family $\{G(x) : x \in X\}$ has the finite intersection property.

From Theorem 2.3 we have

Theorem 3.3 Let $(X, \{F_{A,B}\})$ be a Z -space, Y a topological space. Suppose that $H : D(\subset X) \rightarrow 2^Y$ satisfying

- (i) for any $x \in D$, $H(x)$ is compactly open,
- (ii) $H(D) = Y$,
- (iii) there exists a $x_0 \in D$ with $Y \setminus H(x_0)$ is compact.

Then there exist $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $B = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\} \in F_n(D)$, such that for any $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F_n(X)$ and any $s \in C(X, Y)$, there exist $I \in F([n])$ and $x_0 \in F_{A,A_I}$ such that $s(x_0) \in \bigcap_{x \in B_I} H(x)$.

Theorem 3.4 Let $(X, \{F_{A,B}\})$ be a Z -space, Y a topological space, E, F be nonempty subsets of $X \times Y$. Suppose

- (i) mapping $G : X \rightarrow 2^Y$, $G(x) = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \notin E\}$, is not Z2-KKM and
- (ii) for any $y \in Y$, $\{x \in X : (x, y) \in F\}$ is Z -convex relative to $\{x \in X : (x, y) \in E\}$.

Then there exist $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F_n(X)$ such that for every $s \in C(X, Y)$ there exist $I \in F([n])$ and $x_0 \in F_{A,A_I}$ with $(x_0, s(x_0)) \in F$.

Proof From (i) there exist $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ such that for any $s \in C(X, Y)$, there exists $I \in F([n])$ such that $s(F_{A,A_I}) \not\subset G(A_I)$. So there exists a $x_0 \in F_{A,A_I}$ with $s(x_0) \notin G(x)$, $\forall x \in A_I$, or $(x, s(x_0)) \in E$, $\forall x \in A_I$. So $A_I \subset \{x \in X : (x, s(x_0)) \in E\}$. By (ii)

$$F_{A,A_I} \subset \{x \in X : (x, s(x_0)) \in F\}.$$

Hence $(x_0, s(x_0)) \in F$.

Theorem 3.5 Let $(X, \{F_{A,B}\})$ be a Z -space, Y a topological space and E a nonempty subset of $X \times Y$. Suppose that

- (i) mapping $G : X \rightarrow 2^Y$, $G(x) = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \notin E\}$ is $Z2$ -KKM, and
- (ii) for any $x \in X$, $\{y \in Y : (x, y) \in E\}$ is a compact oped subset of Y , and
- (iii) there exists a $x_0 \in X$, such that $\{y \in Y : (x_0, y) \notin E\}$ is compact.

Then there exists a $y_0 \in Y$, such that $\{x \in X : (x, y_0) \in E\} = \emptyset$.

Proof This result follows from Theorem 3.2.

References

- 1 Bardaro C and Ceppitelli R. Applications of the generalized Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz theorem to variational inequalities. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1989, **137**: 46~58
- 2 Bardaro C and Ceppitelli R. Some further generalizations of Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz theorem and minimax inequalities. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1988, **132**: 484~490
- 3 Shih-sen Chang and Ying Zhang. Generalized KKM theorem and variational inequalities. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1991, **159**: 208~223
- 4 Shih-sen Chang and Yi-hai Ma. Generalized KKM theorem on H -space with applications. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1992, **163**: 406~421
- 5 Shih-sen Chang and Li Yang. Sediton theorems on H -spaces with applications. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1993, **178**: 214~231
- 6 Horvath C. Some results on multivalued mappings and inequalities without convexity. in "Nonlinear and Convex Analysis.", Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 1987, **107**
- 7 Horvath C. Points fixes et coïncidences pour les applications multivoques sans convexité. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 1983, **296**: 403~406
- 8 Lassonde M. On the use of KKM multifuncations in fixed point theory and related topics. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1983, **97**: 151~201
- 9 Park S. Generalizations of Ky Fan's matching theorem and their applications. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1989, **141**: 164~176
- 10 Knaster B. Kuratowski K and Mazurkiewicz S. Ein beweis des fixpunktsatzes für n -dimensionale simplexe. Fund. Math., 1929, **14**: 132~137
- 11 Fan K. A generalization of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem. Math. Ann., 1961, **266**: 519~537

KKM 定理的一个推广

张 宪

(集美大学师范学院数学系, 厦门 361021)

摘 要

本文引入了 Z -空间概念, 定义了一类新的映射: Z -KKM 映射, 推广了著名的 FKKM 定理及其它各种形式的 KKM 定理.