

On the Reverse Order Law $(AB)^D = B^D A^D$ *

Tian Hongjiong

(Dept. of Math., Shanghai Normal University, 200234)

Abstract By using the ranks of matrices, necessary and sufficient conditions are presented for the two term reverse order law $(AB)^D = B^D A^D$ to hold

Keywords: Drazin inverse, Moore-Penrose inverse, reverse order law.

Classification: AMS(1991) 65F20/CLC O 241.81

Document code: A **Article ID:** 1000-341X(1999)02-0355-04

1 Introduction

It is well-known that the Drazin inverse has been widely applied to the theory of finite Markov chains and singular differential and difference equations [2].

In a classic paper [3], Greville gave necessary and sufficient conditions for the two term reverse order law $(AB)^+ = B^+ A^+$ to hold for two complex matrices A and B . In general, the reverse order law does not hold for Drazin inverse, that is, $(AB)^D \neq B^D A^D$. Greville^[3] proved that $(AB)^D = B^D A^D$ holds under the condition $AB = BA$.

In this note, we wish to derive a general necessary and sufficient condition for the two term reverse order law $(AB)^D = B^D A^D$ to hold. We shall then examine some of the special cases that have been used in the literature.

Throughout this note, all matrices are complex with order n . We shall use $R(*)$, $N(*)$, and $\text{rank}(*)$ to denote the range, null-space, and rank of $(*)$. Let $\text{index}(A)$ be the smallest nonnegative integer l such that $N(A^l) = N(A^{l+1})$. If $\text{index}(A) = k$ and if A^D is such that

$$A^D A A^D = A^D, A A^D = A^D A \text{ and } A^{k+1} A^D = A^k, \quad (1.1)$$

then A^D is called the Drazin inverse of A .

Lemma 1.1 (1) $\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A & A Q \\ P A & B \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B - P A Q)$;

(2) $\text{rank}(P^D A Q^D) = \text{rank}(P^s A Q^t)$, $s \geq \text{index}(P)$, $t \geq \text{index}(Q)$;

(3) $\begin{bmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix}^D = \begin{bmatrix} P^D & 0 \\ 0 & Q^D \end{bmatrix}$, and $\text{index} \begin{bmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix} = \max\{\text{index}(P), \text{index}(Q)\}$.

* Received date: 1995-01-15

2 The two term reverse order law

Theorem 2.1 Let $\text{index}(A) = k_1$, $\text{index}(B) = k_2$, $\text{index}(AB) = k_3$. Then $(AB)^D = B^D A^D$ holds if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & A^k \\ 0 & M^k & B^{k_2} & 0 \\ M^k A^{k+1} & M^{2k+1} & 0 & M^k \\ B^{k_2} A^k & 0 & B^{2k_2+1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ = \text{rank}(A^{k_1}) + \text{rank}(B^{k_2}) + \text{rank}(M^{k_3}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $M = AB$ and $k = \max\{k_1, k_3\}$.

Proof Let $N \in C^{2n \times 2n}$ and let

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} B^D & B^D A^D \\ B^D & M^D \end{bmatrix}.$$

From Lemma 1.1(1), we have $\text{rank}(N) = \text{rank}(B^D) + \text{rank}(M^D - B^D A^D)$. Then

$$\text{rank}(M^D - B^D A^D) = \text{rank}(N) - \text{rank}(B^D) = \text{rank}(N) - \text{rank}(B^{k_2}). \quad (2.2)$$

Since N can be written as

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} B^D & \bar{0} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & A^D \\ I & M^D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B^D & \bar{0} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix},$$

it follows, from Lemma 1.1(2), that

$$\text{rank}(N) = \text{rank}(N_1),$$

where

$$N_1 = \begin{bmatrix} B^{2k_2+1} & B^{k_2} A^D \\ B^{k_2} & M^D \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$N_1 = \begin{bmatrix} B^{2k_2+1} & \bar{0} \\ 0 & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B^{k_2} & \bar{0} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^D & \bar{0} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ B^{k_2} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} M^D \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Also, we can rewrite N_1 as the form

$$N_1 = G + PH^D Q,$$

where

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} B^{2k_2+1} & \bar{0} \\ 0 & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} \in C^{2n \times 2n}, \quad P = \begin{bmatrix} B^{k_2} & 0 & \bar{0} \\ 0 & I & I \end{bmatrix} \in C^{2n \times 3n}$$

and

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ B^{k_2} & \bar{0} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \in C^{3n \times 2n}, \quad H = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 & \bar{0} \\ 0 & I & \bar{0} \\ 0 & 0 & AB \end{bmatrix} \in C^{3n \times 3n}.$$

Using Lemma 1.1(1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(G + PH^DQ) &= \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} H^D & H^D \\ PH^D & -G \end{bmatrix} - \text{rank}(H^D) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} H^D & H^DQ \\ PH^D & -G \end{bmatrix} - \text{rank}(A^{k_1}) - n - \text{rank}(M^{k_3}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 1.1 and noting

$$\begin{bmatrix} H^D & H^DQ \\ PH^D & -G \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H^D & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H & Q \\ P & -G \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H^D & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix},$$

we can easily derive

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} H^D & H^DQ \\ PH^D & -G \end{bmatrix} &= \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} H^{2k+1} & H^kQ \\ PH^k & -G \end{bmatrix} \\ &= n + \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & A^k \\ 0 & -M^k & B^{k_2} & 0 \\ -M^kA^{k+1} & M^{2k+1} & 0 & M^k \\ B^{k_2}A^k & 0 & -B^{2k_2+1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $k = \max\{k_1, k_3\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(M^D - B^DA^D) &= \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & A^k \\ 0 & -M^k & B^{k_2} & 0 \\ -M^kA^{k+1} & M^{2k+1} & 0 & M^k \\ B^{k_2}A^k & 0 & -B^{2k_2+1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \\ &\quad \text{rank}(A^{k_1}) - \text{rank}(M^{k_3}) - \text{rank}(B^{k_2}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

this implies $M^D = B^DA^D$ holds if and only if (2.1) holds. This completes the proof of this theorem.

Remark 1 It is interesting that the condition (2.1) does not require the computation of Drazin inverses to check the validity of the two term reverse order law.

Remark 2 From Lemma 1.1(2), we can see that (2.1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & A^n \\ 0 & -M^n & B^n & 0 \\ -M^nA^{n+1} & M^{2n+1} & 0 & M^n \\ B^nA^n & 0 & -B^{2n+1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \text{rank}(B^{k_2}) + \text{rank}(A^{k_1}) + \text{rank}(M^{k_3}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Remark 3 One can easily extend this case to derive a sufficient and necessary condition for the triple reverse order law $(ABC)^D = C^DB^DA^D$ to hold

Example 1 Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{index}(A) = k_1 = 2,$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{index}(B) = k_2 = 2,$$

$$M = AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{index}(M) = k_3 = 2$$

From Theorem 2.1, we have $(AB)^D = B^D A^D$ even in this case $AB \neq BA$.

Corollary 2.2^[2] If $AB = BA$, then $(AB)^D = B^D A^D$.

References

- [1] Ben-Israel Greville T N E. *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications* [M]. Wiley-Interscience, 1974.
- [2] Campbell S L, Meyer C D. *Generalized Inverses of Linear Transformations* [M]. Pitman, London, 1979.
- [3] Greville T N E. *Note on the generalized inverse of a matrix product* [J]. SIAM Rev., 1966, 8: 518-521.
- [4] Hartwig R E. *The reverse order law revisited* [J]. Linear Algebra Appl., 1986, 76: 241-246.

关于 Drazin 逆逆序律的一个注记

田红炯

(上海师范大学数学系, 200234)

摘要

本文利用矩阵间秩的关系给出 Drazin 逆逆序律成立的一个充分必要条件.