

Graded FS-Rings *

CHEN Jian-hua

(Dept. of Math., Teachers' College, Yangzhou Univ., Jiangsu 225002)

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce the notation of graded FS-module of the graded module over a group G -graded ring and obtain some characterization involving graded maximal graded left ideal for a graded FS-ring and some equivalent conditions between the ring R and group ring RG , the graded ring R and group ring of graded ring $R[G]$.

Key words: graded ring; graded FS-ring; graded FS-module; group ring of (graded) ring.

Classification: AMS(1991) 16W50/CLC O153.3

Document code: A **Article ID:** 1000-341X(1999)03-0515-06

1. Notations and Preliminaries

In this paper, all rings have a unitary and all modules are unitary. If R is a ring, by an R -module we will mean a left R -module, and we will denote the category of R -modules by $R\text{-mod}$. If G is a group and $R = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} R_{\sigma}$ is a graded ring of type G , the category of graded left R -modules will be denoted by $R\text{-gr}$. If $M = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} M_{\sigma}$, $N = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} N_{\sigma} \in R\text{-gr}$, $\text{Hom}_{R\text{-gr}}(M, N)$ is the set morphisms in the category $R\text{-gr}$ from M to N , i.e. $\text{Hom}_{R\text{-gr}}(M, N) = \{f : M \rightarrow N \mid f \text{ is } R\text{-linear and } f(M_{\sigma}) \subseteq N_{\sigma}, \forall \sigma \in G\}$.

If $R = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} R_{\sigma}$ is a graded ring, we say that R is a strongly graded ring if $R_{\sigma}R_{\tau} = R_{\sigma\tau}$ for any $\sigma, \tau \in G$. It is well known [1] that R is a strongly graded ring if and only if $R_{\sigma}R_{\sigma^{-1}} = R_e$ for any $\sigma \in G$. (e is the identity of the group G). If R is graded by a finite group G , the smash product, $R \# G^*$, is a free right and left R -module with basis $\{P_{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in G\}$ and multiplication determined by $(rP_{\sigma})(sP_{\tau}) = rs_{\sigma\tau^{-1}}P_{\tau}$, where $s_{\sigma\tau^{-1}}$ is the $\sigma\tau^{-1}$ component of s .

Let R be a (graded) ring. The left and right annihilators of a subset X of R are written $l(X)$ and $r(X)$ respectively. The (graded) socle of a (graded) left R -module M is written $(\text{gr-Soc}(M)) \text{ Soc}(M)$.

We recall that a study of rings with flat left socle and be called left FS-rings by Liu Zhongkui^[2]. Some equivalent conditions of such rings are given in [2], In the same paper,

*Received date: 1996-09-30

Foundation item: Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (19671070)

Biography: CHEN Jianhua (1963-), male, born in Rugao county, Jiangsu province. M.Sc.

it was proved that R is a left FS-ring if and only if S is a left FS-ring, where S is an excellent extension of R .

In this paper we consider graded rings with graded flat graded left socle. We call such graded rings to be graded left FS-rings. Some equivalent conditions of such graded rings are given in section 2 in terms of either the graded maximal graded left ideals of R or graded left R -modules with graded flat graded socle. In section 3 we consider group rings of ring R and group rings of graded ring R with (graded) flat (graded) left socle. We show that if R is a ring, G is finite group and $|G|^{-1} \in R$, then R is a FS-ring if and only if RG is a graded FS-ring, if R is a graded ring, G is a finite group and $|G|^{-1} \in R$, then R is a (graded) FS-ring if and only if $R[G]$ is a (graded) FS-ring.

2. Properties and Characterizations

By analogy with left FS-modules and left FS-rings, we give the following definition.

Definition 1 A graded left R -module M is called a graded FS-module if every graded simple submodule is graded flat.

Definition 2 A graded ring R is called a graded left (right) FS-ring if ${}_R R$ (R_R) is a graded FS-module.

Lemma 2.1^[1] Let $R = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} R_\sigma$ be a strongly graded ring. Then the functor $R \otimes_{R_e} : R_e\text{-mod} \rightarrow R\text{-gr}$ given by $M \rightarrow R \otimes_{R_e} M$ where $M \in R_e\text{-mod}$ and $R \otimes_{R_e} M$ is a graded R -module by the grading $(R \otimes_{R_e} M)_\sigma = R_\sigma \otimes_{R_e} M$, is an equivalence, Its inverse is the functor $(\cdot)_e : R\text{-gr} \rightarrow R_e\text{-mod}$ given by $M \rightarrow M_e$ where $M \in R\text{-gr}$ and $M = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} M_\sigma$.

Theorem 2.2 Let $R = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} R_\sigma$ is a strongly G -graded ring, $M = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} M_\sigma \in R\text{-gr}$. Then M is a graded FS-module if and only if M is an FS- R_e -module. In particular, R is a graded FS-ring if and only if R_e is an FS-ring.

Proof Let M be an FS- R_e -module. If $N = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} N_\sigma$ is a graded simple submodule of M , then $N_\sigma = 0$ or N_σ is a simple R_e -module. Thus N_σ is a flat R_e -module by hypothesis, in particular, N_e is a flat R_e -module. By Lemma 2.1 N is a graded flat module, and so M is a graded flat module. Similarly the necessity can be proved by Lemma 2.1.

It is clear the graded PS-modules, (see[4]), are graded FS-modules, and graded left PS-rings are graded left FS-rings.

Example 1 Let R be a graded von-Neumann regular ring. Then R is a graded FS-ring.

Example 2 Let R be a FS-ring. Then the group ring RG is a graded FS-ring by theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.3 Let R be a strongly graded ring, $|G|^{-1} \in R$, then the following are equivalent.

- (1) R_e is an FS-ring.
- (2) R is a gr-FS-ring.
- (3) $R \# G^*$ is an FS-ring.

In order to give characterizations of graded left FS-rings, now we give following lemmas.

Lemma 2.4 Let R be a G -graded ring, $B \in \text{gr-}R$ and an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{i} F \xrightarrow{f} B \rightarrow 0$, in which F is gr-flat . then B is graded flat if and only if $K \cap IF = IK$ for each graded right ideal I of R .

Proof Because the functor \otimes_R is right exact, so we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} I \otimes_R K & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes i} & I \otimes_R F & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f} & I \otimes_R B & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \alpha_1 & & \downarrow \alpha_2 & & \downarrow \alpha_3 & & \\ 0 \rightarrow & IK & \xrightarrow{i} & IF & \xrightarrow{f} & IB & \end{array}$$

Where α_1, α_2 and α_3 are clear. As B is a graded flat and $0 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{i} R$, so $0 \rightarrow I \otimes_R B \rightarrow R \otimes_R B \simeq B$. It follows that α_3 is an isomorphism. so is α_2 . Since α_1 is surjective, therefore $0 \rightarrow IK \rightarrow IF \rightarrow IB \rightarrow 0$ is a exact sequence. and

$$IF/IK \cong IF + K/K \cong IF/IF \cap K.$$

It is easy to see $IK = IF \cap K$. The proof of sufficiency is similar to without grading.

A graded module F is called a graded free if F has a basis of homogeneous elements, or equivalently $F \cong \oplus_{\sigma \in S} R(\sigma)$, where S is a subset of G .

Lemma 2.5 Let R be a G -graded ring, $B \in \text{gr-}R$ and an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{i} F \xrightarrow{f} B \rightarrow 0$. In which F is graded free. Suppose $\{x_j | j \in \Gamma, x_j \in h(F)\}$ is a basic of F , If $v \in h(k)$, say $v = r_1 x_{j_1} + r_2 x_{j_2} + \cdots + r_t x_{j_t} (v_i \in h(R))$, $I(v)$ denote the graded right ideal generate by r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_t , then B is graded flat if and only if $v \in I(v)K$ for all $v \in K$.

Proof Let B be a graded flat module. Then $IF \cap K = IK$ by lemma 2.4. If $v = r_1 x_{j_1} + r_2 x_{j_2} + \cdots + r_t x_{j_t} \in K$ and $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_t\} \subseteq I(v)$, so $v \in I(v)F$, Since $I(v) = r_1 R + r_2 R + \cdots + r_t R$, $I(v)K = r_1 RK + r_2 RK + \cdots + r_t RK = r_1 K + r_2 K + \cdots + r_t K$, therefore there exist $y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_t \in h(K)$ such that $v = r_1 y_1 + r_2 y_2 + \cdots + r_t y_t$. Thus $v \in I(v)K$.

To the contrary, suppose $v \in I(v)K$ for all $v \in K$, let I be a graded right ideal of R . It is easy to see, $IK \subseteq K \cap IF$. If $v \in K \cap IF$, say $v = s_1 x_{j_1} + s_2 x_{j_2} + \cdots + s_n x_{j_n}$, where $s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_n \in I$ and $I(v) \subseteq I$, so $v \in IK$. Thus $K \cap IF = IK$. B is a graded flat module by Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.6 Let R be a G -graded ring, I be a graded left ideal of R . Then I is graded direct summand if and only if there exist $f \in R_e, f^2 = f$, such that $I = Rf$.

The next result gives several characterizations of graded left FS-rings in terms of graded left FS-modules, or graded maximal graded left ideals, or all graded simple graded left R -modules.

Theorem 2.7 The following are equivalent for a graded ring R by group G .

- (1) R is a graded left FS-ring.
- (2) $\text{Gr-Soc}({}_R R)$ is graded flat.
- (3) R has a faithful graded left FS-module.

(4) If L is a maximal graded left ideal of R then either $r(L) = 0$ or $a \in aL$ for every $a \in L \cap h(R)$.

(5) If L is a graded essential maximal graded left ideal of R then either $r(L) = 0$ or $a \in aL$ for every $a \in L \cap h(R)$.

(6) Every graded simple graded left R -module ${}_R M$ is either graded flat or $\text{Hom}_{R\text{-gr}}(M, R) = 0$.

Proof The equivalence of (1) and (4) is trivial.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) By proposition 1.2.18 ([1]), a graded left R module is graded flat if and only if M is flat. We have $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} M_\alpha$ is graded flat if and only if M_α is flat for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) It is clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) By analogy with the proof of Theorem of [4], let ${}_R M$ be a faithful graded FS-module and let L be a maximal graded left ideal. If $r(L) \neq 0$ write $T = r(L)$ so that $LT = 0$. On the other hand $RT \neq 0$, so $RTM \neq 0$ by hypothesis, say $Rm_\sigma \neq 0$ where $m_\sigma \in TM \cap h(M)$ and $\deg(m_\sigma) = \sigma$. Thus $L \subseteq L(m_\sigma) \neq R$, so $L = l(m_\sigma)$. Definition:

$$g : R \rightarrow Rm_\sigma$$

$$r_\sigma \rightarrow r_\tau m_\sigma$$

then $g \in \text{Hom}(R, Rm_\sigma)_\sigma$, i.e. $g \in \text{Hom}_{R\text{-gr}}(R, Rm_\sigma(\sigma^{-1}))$ and $R/L \cong Rm_\sigma(\sigma^{-1})$. Then R/L is graded flat by hypothesis and Lemma 2.5. Thus $a \in aL$ for every $a \in L \cap h(R)$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5) It is clear.

(5) \Rightarrow (1) Let $a \in R_\sigma$ and Ra be a minimum graded left ideal of R . Definition:

$$g : R \rightarrow Ra$$

$$r_\tau \rightarrow r_\tau a$$

then $g \in \text{Hom}(R, Ra)_\sigma$, that is $g \in \text{Hom}_{R\text{-gr}}(R, Ra(\sigma^{-1}))$, so $Ra \cong R/L$ in $\text{gr-}R$, where $L = L(a)$ is a maximal graded left ideal of R . If L is not graded essential then $L = Rf$ for some $f^2 = f \in R_e$ by Lemma 2.6, thus $Ra(\sigma^{-1}) \cong R(1 - f)$, which implies that $Ra(\sigma^{-1})$ is graded projective. Now, suppose that L is a graded essential maximal graded left ideal. Assume that $r(L) \neq 0$. Then $a \in aL$ for every $a \in L \cap h(R)$, Thus $R/L \cong Ra(\sigma^{-1})$ is a graded flat left R -module. Now suppose that $r(L) = 0$. Set $h \in \text{Hom}_{R\text{-gr}}(Ra, R)$ if $(a)h = b \in R_\sigma$, then $Lb = L((a)h) = (La)h = (0)h = 0$, it follows that $a = 0$. So we have proved that every graded minimum graded left ideal of R is flat.

Corollary 2.8 Suppose that R is a graded left FS-module. Then, (1) for every graded essential maximal graded left ideal L of R , either $l(L) = 0$ or $r(L) = 0$.

(2) for every maximal graded left ideal L of R , either $l(L) = 0$ or $r(L) = fR$ where $f^2 = f \in R_e$.

Proof (1) Let L be a graded essential maximal graded left ideal. Assume that $l(L) \neq 0$, the $L \cap l(L) \neq 0$. Choose $0 \neq a \in L \cap l(L)$, if $v(L) \neq 0$, then, by Theorem 2.7 $b \in bL$ for every $b \in L$. Thus $a \in aL$, which implies $a = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore we have $r(L) = 0$.

(2) By Lemma 2.6.

3. Group Rings RG and $R[G]$

In this section we consider group rings with (graded) flat left socle. Let R be a ring and G be a finite group, as is known to all, group ring RG is strongly graded ring of type G . First of all, we have the following.

Theorem 3.1 *Let R be a graded ring by finite group G , $|G|^{-1} \in R$, then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) R is an FS-ring.
- (2) RG is an FS-ring.
- (3) RG is a gr-FS-ring.

Proof (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) By Corollary 3.5^[2].

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3) By Theorem 2.2.

By Remark 3.3 [2] and [4] we have following.

Corollary 3.2 *Let R be a graded ring by finite group G , $|G|^{-1} \in R$, then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) R is a PS-ring.
- (2) RG is a PS-ring.
- (3) RG is a graded PS-ring.

According to C. Nastasescu^[6], if $R = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} R_{\sigma}$ is a graded ring of type G , he denote by $R[G]$ the left free R -module with the basis $\{\sigma | \sigma \in G\}$, i.e. $R[G] = \{\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g g | \lambda_g \in R\}$. For the elements $\lambda_{\sigma}\tau$ and $\lambda_{\sigma'}\tau'$ where $\lambda_{\sigma} \in R_{\sigma}, \lambda_{\sigma'} \in R_{\sigma'}$, he define their product by

$$(\lambda_{\sigma}\tau)(\lambda_{\sigma'}\tau') = \lambda_{\sigma}\lambda_{\sigma'}(\sigma'^{-1}\tau\sigma'\tau').$$

$R[G]$ is called the group rings of graded rings. If define for every $\sigma \in G, (R[G])_{\sigma} = \sum_{\lambda\mu=\sigma} R_{\lambda}\mu = \sum_{\tau \in G} R_{\sigma\tau^{-1}}\tau = \bigoplus_{\tau \in G} R_{\sigma\tau^{-1}}\tau$ the $R[G]$ is a G -graded ring, and $(R[G])_e = \sum_{\sigma \in G} R_{\sigma^{-1}}\sigma$. The following lemma appeared in [6].

Lemma 3.3 *With the above notations, we have*

- (1) $R[G]$ is a strongly graded ring with the grading $\{(R[G])_{\sigma}, \sigma \in G\}$.
- (2) $\varphi : R \rightarrow (R[G])_e, \varphi(\sum_{\sigma \in G} \lambda_{\sigma}\sigma) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \lambda_{\sigma}\sigma^{-1}$, where $\lambda_{\sigma} \in R$, is a ring isomorphism.
- (3) If I is a graded left ideal of R , then $I[G]$ is a graded left ideal of $R[G]$ and $I[G] \cap (R[G])_e = \varphi(I)$.

Theorem 3.4 *Let R be a graded ring by finite group G , $|G|^{-1} \in R$. Then*

- (1) R is an FS-ring if and only if $R[G]$ is an FS-ring.
- (2) R is an FS-ring if and only if $R[G]$ is an gr-FS-ring.
- (3) If R is strongly graded, R is a gr-FS-ring if and only if $R[G]$ is a gr-FS-ring.

Proof (1) In fact $R[G]$ is a crossed product (see[1]). By [2] corollary 3.5 it is clear.

(2) By lemma 3.3, $\varphi : R \rightarrow (R[G])_e, \varphi(\sum_{\sigma \in G} \lambda_{\sigma}\sigma) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \lambda_{\sigma}\sigma^{-1}$ is a ring isomorphism. On the other hand, it is easy to see that every $g \in G$ commute with any element of $(R[G])_e$

and therefore $R[G]$ is the group ring $(R[G])_e$ by the group G in the classical sense. Thus the result follows from Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 3.3.

(3) Since $(R[G])_e = \oplus_{\sigma \in G} (R_\sigma \sigma^{-1})$, $(R_\tau = \tau^{-1}) \subseteq R_{\sigma\tau}(\sigma\tau)^{-1}$, so $(R[G])_e$ is a graded ring of type G . Thus φ is a graded ring isomorphism. If R is strongly graded, then R is an FS-ring if and only if R is a gr-FS-ring.

Acknowledgements Author is grateful to profosser Fan Hongjin and professor Cai Chuanren for their help.

References

- [1] Nastasescu C and Van Oystaeyen F. *Graded Ring Theory* [M]. North-Holland, Amster-dam, 1982.
- [2] Liu Zhongkui. *Rings with flat left socle* [J]. Comm. Algebra, 1995, **23**(5): 1645–1656.
- [3] Nicholson W K, Watters J F. *Rings with projective socle* [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1988, **3**(102): 433–450.
- [4] Chen Qinghua. *Graded PS-Rings* [J]. J. of Fujian Normal University(Natural Science), 1994, **10**(2): 1–5.
- [5] Lin Zibing. *Homological Algebra* [M]. Northeast Normal Universuty, 1991.
- [6] Nastasescu C. *Group rings of graded rings* [J]. Applications, J.of pure and Applied algebra, North-Holland, 1984, **33**: 313–335.

分次 FS- 环

陈 建 华

(扬州大学师范学院数学系, 江苏 225002)

摘 要: 本文引进群分次环上分次模的分次 FS- 模的概念, 利用分次极大分次左理想给出分次 FS- 环的几个刻画, 得到了环 R 和群环 RG , 分次环 R 和分次环的群环 $R[G]$ 间的几个等价条件.