

# The Growth of Laplace-Stieltjes Transform Convergent Only in the Right Half Plane\*

Jiang Shuzhen

(Changchun Teachers' College, Changchun 130032)

**Abstract** On the analytic functions defined by exponential series convergent only in the right half plane, Yu Jiarong<sup>[1,2]</sup> introduced the order (R) and the order (R-H) and studied some exponential series, Yu Jiuman<sup>[3]</sup> introduced the proximate zero order (R) of the functions and obtained some results. For the study of the growth of Laplace-Stieltjes transform, we introduce the order (R) and get some results similar to the case of exponential series.

**Keywords:** order (R), convergent

**Classification:** AMS(1991) 30D/CLC O 174.52

**Document code:** A **Article ID:** 1000-341X(1999)Supp-0177-06

Let Laplace-Stieltjes transform

$$F(s) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-sx} d\alpha(x), \quad (1)$$

where  $s = \sigma + it$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $t$  being real variables,  $\alpha(x)$  is of bounded variation on any interval  $0 \leq x \leq b < +\infty$ .

Take the sequences of real numbers

$$0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3 < \dots < \lambda_n, \quad (2)$$

satisfying the following conditions:

$$\overline{\lim}_n \frac{n}{\lambda_n} < +\infty, \quad (3)$$

$$\overline{\lim}_n (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n) < +\infty, \quad (4)$$

In this paper we suppose that

$$\overline{\lim}_n \log A_n^* / \lambda_n = 0 \quad (5)$$

---

\* Received date: 1997-06-11

**Biography:** Jiang Shuzhen (1955-), female, born in Tongyu county, Jilin province. Currently an associate professor at Changchun Teachers' College.

where  $A_n^* = \sup_{\substack{\lambda_n < x \leq \lambda_{n+1} \\ - < t < +}} \left| \int_{\lambda_n}^x e^{-iy} d\alpha(y) \right|$ . Then the abscissa of absolute convergence of (1) is 0 and the transform (1) defines a function  $F(S)$  analytic in the right half plane.

Let

$$M(\sigma, F) = \sup_{\substack{0 < x < + \\ - < t < +}} \left| \int_0^x e^{-(\sigma + iy)y} d\alpha(y) \right|,$$

$$m(\sigma, F) = \max_{1 \leq n < +} A_n^* e^{-\lambda_n \sigma}$$

$$\log^+ x = \begin{cases} \log x, & x \geq 1 \\ 0, & x < 1 \end{cases}$$

The quantity

$$\rho = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log^+ \log^+ M(\sigma, F)}{\log \frac{1}{\sigma}}$$

is called order (R) of  $F(S)$  in  $\sigma > 0$ , and if the limit

$$\rho = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log^+ \log^+ M(\sigma, F)}{\log \frac{1}{\sigma}} \text{ exists,}$$

we call the function  $F(S)$  that have order (R)  $\rho$  increasing orderly.

In order to study the order (R) of  $F(S)$ , we establish the following lemmas:

**Lemma 1<sup>[1]</sup>** Let  $\lambda$  and  $P$  are positive constants, then  $\mathcal{Q}(\sigma) = \sigma^p - \sigma\lambda (\sigma > 0)$ , obtain maximum  $(1 + p) \left(\frac{\lambda}{p}\right)^{\frac{p}{1+p}}$  in  $\sigma = \left(\frac{p}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}$ .

**Lemma 2<sup>[1]</sup>** Let  $s$  and  $P$  are positive constants, and  $0 < p < 1$ , then  $\mathcal{Q}(x) = x^p - \sigma x (x \geq 0)$ , obtain maximum  $(1 - p) \left(\frac{p}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-p}}$  in  $x = \left(\frac{p}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-p}}$ .

**Lemma 3<sup>[3]</sup>** Let  $\{a_n\}$  is sequence of complex numbers  $C$  is a positive constant

$$\overline{\lim}_n [\log^+ |a_n| / \log \lambda_n] \leq C.$$

If any  $\beta > 0$  and  $\mathcal{Y} > 0$  ( $0 < \beta < c$ ), there would exist  $Y > 0$  such that any  $y, y$  satisfying  $\log y \geq (1 + \mathcal{Y}) \log y$  and  $y > Y$ , exist  $n$  such that  $y \leq \lambda_n \leq y$ , and  $|a_n| \geq \lambda_n^\beta$ , then we would have increasing positive integers sequences  $\{n_j\}$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +} \log^+ |a_{n_j}| / \log \lambda_{n_j} = c, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow +} \log \lambda_{n_{j+1}} / \log \lambda_{n_j} = 1.$$

Now we apply the lemmas to prove the following theorems

**Theorem 1** Suppose the transform (1) satisfies (2), (3), (4) and (5), then  $F(S)$  is of order (R)  $\rho \Leftrightarrow$

$$\overline{\lim}_n (\log^+ \log^+ A_n^*) / \log \lambda_n = \frac{\rho}{\rho + 1}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\frac{\rho}{\rho + 1} m$  must be replaced by 1 in the case  $\rho = +$ .

**Proof** First we prove the necessity of the theorem in the case  $0 < \rho < +\infty$ .

Let  $I(x; \sigma + it) = \int_0^x e^{-(s+it)y} d\alpha(y)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\lambda_n}^x e^{-iy} d\alpha(y) &= \int_{\lambda_n}^x e^{\sigma y} d_y I(y; \sigma + it) \\ &= I(y; \sigma + it) e^{\sigma y} \Big|_{\lambda_n}^x - \sigma \int_{\lambda_n}^x e^{\sigma y} I(y; \sigma + it) dy \quad (x \geq \lambda_n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence when  $\sigma > 0$ ,

$$\left| \int_{\lambda_n}^x e^{-iy} d\alpha(y) \right| \leq 2M(\sigma, F) e^{\sigma x}.$$

By (4), there exists a constant  $\mu > 0$  such that  $0 < \lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n < \mu$ .

Evidently when

$$\lambda_n \leq x \leq \lambda_{n+1}, \quad x \leq \lambda_n + \mu$$

Hence we have

$$A_n^* \leq 2M(\sigma, F) e^{\sigma x} \leq 2M(\sigma, F) e^{(\lambda_n + \mu)\sigma}.$$

On the other hand, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\sigma_0 > 0$ , when  $0 < \sigma < \sigma_0$ ,

$$\log^+ M(\sigma, F) < \left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)^{\rho + \epsilon}.$$

Hence  $\log^+ A_n^* \leq \log 2 + \left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)^{\rho + \epsilon} + (\lambda_n + \mu)\sigma$ .

Take fixed  $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_0)$ , there exists an integer  $N$ , when  $n > N$ ,  $\lambda_n \sigma > \log 2$ .

By Lemma 1, when  $n > N$ ,

$$\log^+ A_n^* \leq (2\lambda_n + \mu)\sigma + \left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)^{\rho + \epsilon} \leq (1 + \rho + \epsilon) \left(\frac{2\lambda_n + \mu}{\rho + \epsilon}\right)^{\frac{\rho + \epsilon}{1 + \rho + \epsilon}}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_n \frac{\log^+ \log^+ A_n^*}{\log \lambda_n} &\leq \overline{\lim}_n \frac{\log(1 + \rho + \epsilon) + \frac{\rho + \epsilon}{1 + \rho + \epsilon} [\log(2\lambda_n + \mu) - \log(\rho + \epsilon)]}{\log \lambda_n} \\ &= \frac{\rho + \epsilon}{1 + \rho + \epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

It is proved that

$$\overline{\lim}_n \frac{\log^+ \log^+ A_n^*}{\log \lambda_n} \leq \frac{\rho}{\rho + 1} \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, suppose  $\overline{\lim}_n (\log^+ \log^+ A_n^*) / \log \lambda_n < \frac{\rho}{\rho + 1}$  then there exists  $\rho < \rho$  such that

$$\overline{\lim}_n [(\log^+ \log^+ A_n^*) / \log \lambda_n] < \frac{\rho}{\rho + 1},$$

hence there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$A_n^* < C \exp(\lambda_n^{\frac{\rho}{\rho + 1}}) \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Combining [4] and Lemma 2, when  $\sigma > 0$  and  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M(\sigma, F) &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^* e^{-\lambda_n \sigma} \leq c \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp(\lambda_n^{1+\rho} - \lambda_n \sigma) \\ &\leq c \sup_{n \geq 0} \exp[\lambda_n^{1+\rho} - \lambda_n(\sigma - \epsilon \sigma)] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_n \epsilon} \\ &\leq \exp\left[\frac{(\rho)^{\rho}}{(1+\rho)^{1+\rho}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{(1-\epsilon)\sigma}\right)^{\rho}\right] o\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon \sigma}\right) (\sigma \rightarrow 0^+). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} [\log^+ \log^+ M(\sigma, F)] / \log \frac{1}{\sigma} \leq \rho < \rho.$$

This is contradictory with the condition of the theorem. The necessity of this theorem is proved.

We can easily prove a sufficiency of the theorem similar to proof of the necessity.

**Theorem 2** Let transform (1) satisfies (2), (3), (4) and (5). Then function  $F(S)$  that have order (R)  $\rho$  is regularized increasing  $\Leftrightarrow$

(i)  $\overline{\lim}_n (\log^+ \log^+ A_n^*) / \log \lambda_n = \frac{\rho}{1+\rho},$

(ii) There exists a increasing positive integers sequences  $\{n_j\}$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} (\log^+ \log^+ A_{n_j}^*) / \log \lambda_{n_j} = \frac{\rho}{1+\rho}, \quad \lim_j \lambda_{n_{j+1}} / \lambda_{n_j} = 1.$$

Where  $\frac{\rho}{1+\rho}$  must be replaced by 1 in the case  $\rho = +\infty$ .

**Proof** First we prove a sufficiency of the theorem in the case  $0 < \rho < +\infty$ .

For any  $\epsilon > 0$  and sufficiently large  $j$ ,

$$A_{n_j}^* e^{-\lambda_{n_j} \sigma} > \exp(\lambda_{n_j}^{\frac{\rho-\epsilon}{1+\rho-\epsilon}} - \lambda_{n_j} \sigma).$$

Take  $\sigma_j$  satisfies

$$\lambda_{n_j} = \left[ \frac{\rho - \epsilon}{(\rho + 1 - \epsilon) \sigma_j} \right]^{\rho + 1 - \epsilon},$$

when  $\sigma_{j+1} < \sigma \leq \sigma_j$ , evidently have

$$1 = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} (-\log \sigma_j + 1) / (-\log \sigma_j) = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} (-\log \sigma) / (-\log \sigma_j),$$

$$M(\sigma, F) \geq \frac{1}{2} A_{n_j}^* e^{-\lambda_{n_j} \sigma} \geq \frac{1}{2} A_{n_j}^* e^{-\lambda_{n_j} \sigma_j} > \frac{1}{2} \exp(\lambda_{n_j}^{\frac{\rho-\epsilon}{1+\rho-\epsilon}} - \lambda_{n_j} \sigma_j),$$

By Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} M(\sigma, F) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \exp\left[\left(1 - \frac{\rho - \epsilon}{1 + \rho - \epsilon}\right) \left(\frac{(\rho - \epsilon) / (1 + \rho - \epsilon)}{\sigma_j}\right)^{\frac{\rho - \epsilon / (1 + \rho - \epsilon)}{1 - (\rho - \epsilon) / (1 + \rho - \epsilon)}}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \exp\left[\left(\frac{1}{1 + \rho - \epsilon}\right) \left(\frac{\rho - \epsilon}{(1 + \rho - \epsilon) \sigma_j}\right)^{\rho - \epsilon}\right] \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} [\log^+ \log^+ M(\sigma, F) / \log \frac{1}{\sigma}] \\
 & \geq \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \log^+ \left\{ \log \frac{1}{2} + \left[ \frac{1}{1 + \rho - \epsilon} \left( \frac{\rho - \epsilon}{(1 + \rho - \epsilon)\sigma_j} \right)^{\rho - \epsilon} \right] \right\} / \log \frac{1}{\sigma} \\
 & \geq \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log^+ \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + \rho - \epsilon} \left( \frac{\rho - \epsilon}{(1 + \rho - \epsilon)\sigma_j} \right)^{\rho - \epsilon} \right]}{\log \frac{1}{\sigma}} \\
 & = \rho - \epsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above inequality and the theorem 1 we have

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} [\log^+ \log^+ M(\sigma, F) / \log \frac{1}{\sigma}] = \rho$$

Now we prove the necessity of the theorem.

By Theorem 1, i) evidently hold

Hypotheses ii) is not hold, by Lemma 3 there exists  $\beta(\rho > \beta)$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  such that

$$A_n^* < \exp \left( \frac{\rho - \beta}{\lambda_n^{1 + \rho - \beta}} \right) \quad n_j \leq n \leq n_{j+1},$$

where  $n_j$  and  $n_{j+1}$  are sufficiently large integers,  $n_{j+1} > n_j + 1$ ,  $\log \lambda_{n_j} > (1 + \mathcal{Y}) \log \lambda_{n_{j+1}}$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ).

By Lemma 2

$$A_n^* e^{-\lambda_n \sigma} < \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + \rho - \beta} [(\rho - \beta) / (1 + \rho - \beta) s]^{\rho - \beta} \right\}, \quad n_j \leq n \leq n_{j+1} \quad (8)$$

Take  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$(\rho + \epsilon) / (1 + \frac{\mathcal{Y}}{2}) < \rho - \eta$$

where  $0 < \eta < \rho$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $n_0$ , when  $n > n_0$ ,

$$A_n^* e^{-\lambda_n \sigma} < \exp \left[ \lambda_n^{\frac{\rho + \epsilon}{1 + \rho + \epsilon}} - \lambda_n \sigma \right]$$

Let  $n_j > n_0$ , take  $s_j$  such that

$$(\lambda_{n_j})^{1 + \frac{\mathcal{Y}}{2}} = [(\rho + \epsilon) / (1 + \rho + \epsilon) \sigma_j]^{1 + \rho + \epsilon}$$

By Lemma 2, for sufficiently large  $j$ , when  $n_0 \leq n \leq n_{j+1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_n^* e^{-\lambda_n \sigma_j} & \leq \exp \left[ \frac{\rho + \epsilon}{1 + \rho + \epsilon} \sigma_j \right]^{1 + \frac{\mathcal{Y}}{2}} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\rho + \epsilon}{1 + \rho + \epsilon} \sigma_j \right)^{\frac{1}{1 + \rho + \epsilon}} \right] \\
 & < \exp [k (1/\sigma_j)^{\rho - \eta}],
 \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $k$  is a positive number

For sufficiently large  $j$ , when  $n \geq n_j^*$ , take  $\bar{\lambda}_j = \lambda_j^{1+\gamma} < \lambda_j$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^* e^{-\lambda_j s_j} &\leq \exp\left[\left(\bar{\lambda}_j\right)^{\frac{\rho+\epsilon}{1+\rho+\epsilon}} - \bar{\lambda}_j \sigma_j\right] \\ &= \exp\left\{\left[\frac{\rho+\epsilon}{1+\rho+\epsilon} s_j\right]^{\frac{\rho+\epsilon(1+\gamma)}{1+\gamma/2}} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\rho+\epsilon}{1+\rho+\epsilon} \sigma_j\right)^{\frac{1+\gamma}{1+\gamma/2}} \sigma_j\right]\right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Combining (8), (9) and (10), we see that for sufficiently large  $j$

$$m(\sigma, F) \leq \exp[k_1(1/\sigma_j)^{\rho-\eta}],$$

where  $k_1$  is a positive number,  $\eta = \min\{\beta, \eta\}$ .

Similar to the way proved Theorem 1, we can easily prove, for any sufficiently large  $j$ ,

$$M(\sigma_j, F) < \exp[k_2(1/\sigma_j)^{\rho-\eta}],$$

where  $k_2$  is a positive number

This is contradictory with the condition of the theorem.

The proof is completed

When  $\rho = +\infty$ , the proof is similar to the case  $0 < \rho < +\infty$ .

## References

- [1] Yu Jiarong *Some properties of random dirichlet series* [J] Acta Mathematica Sinica, 1978, **21**(2): 97-118
- [2] Yu Jiuman *The proximation zero order (R) of the analytic functions in the right half-plane* [J] Jour. of Math. Res & Expo., 1983, **3**(1): 37-40
- [3] Widder D V. *The Laplace Transform* [M] Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1946

# 在右半平面收敛的Laplace-Stieltjes变换的增长性

姜淑珍

(长春师范学院, 130032)

## 摘要

本文研究了在右平面收敛的Laplace-Stieltjes变换的增长性,得到了与指数级数类似的结果