

CoHopficity of Self-Injective Rings *

HAO Zhi-feng¹, FENG Liang-gui²

- (1. Dept. of Appl. Math., South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510641, China;
2. Dept. of Sys. & Eng. Math., National Univ. of Defence Tech., Changsha 410073, China)

Abstract: It is shown that a self-injective ring R is coHopfian ring if and only if R has stable range one. This answers the open problem 5 of Varadarajan in [9] for self-injective ring R , i.e., $M_n(R)$ is coHopfian for coHopfian ring R . As a consequence of we answer problem of Goodeal in the affirmative in [3], for self-injective regular rings.

Key words: coHopfian rings; self-injective rings; stable range one.

Classification: AMS(1991) 16D70, 16P70/CLC O153.3

Document code: A **Article ID:** 1000-341X(2001)02-0203-04

1. Introduction

Let R be an associative ring with identity. The concept of coHopfian rings was introduced by Roiterg in algebraic topology^[6] and named by Varadarajan^[9]. A ring R is called coHopfian in $\text{Mod-}R$ if for every injective homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow R$ is an isomorphism. One question on coHopfian rings is proposed by K. Varadarajan^[9].

Question 1 Characterize the coHopfian ring R for which the matrix ring over R is also a coHopfian in $\text{mod-}M_n(R)$.

In this paper, we will answer the Varadarajan's question in the case when R is a self-injective ring. In particular, we prove that "directly finite regular" properly is preserved under the formation of matrix, provided that the ring is a self-injective regular ring. It give a answer to an open problem raised by Goodeal^[3] that whether "directly finite regular" prserved under the formation of matrix rings.

Throughout this paper, we use $\text{ann}(x)$ to denote the right annihilator of x in R . For more information on coHopfian rings and list of examples, see [9].

We begin with a basic lemma.

Lemma 2 Let R be a self-injective ring. Then $\text{ann}(a) \subset \text{ann}(b)$ if and only if $b = ax$.

*Received date: 1998-04-06

Foundation item: Supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (19901009)

Biography: HAO Zhi-feng (1968-), male, born in Suzhou city, Jiangsu province, Ph.D., Professor.

E-mail: mazfhao@scut.edu.cn

Proof (\Rightarrow): Trivial.

(\Leftarrow): Define a map $f : aR \rightarrow R$ by $f(ar) = br$, for $r \in R$. Since $\text{ann}(a) \subset \text{ann}(b)$, f is well-defined. Now we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & R & & \\ & & f \uparrow & \searrow g & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & aR & \xrightarrow{i} & R, \end{array}$$

where i is an inclusion morphism. Since R is injective module, there exists $g : R \rightarrow R$ such that $g \cdot i = f$. Denote $x = g(1)$. Then $b = f(a \cdot 1) = g \cdot i(a \cdot 1) = ag(1) = ax$ as required. \square
The following characterization of a coHopfian ring was given by Varadarajan^[9].

Lemma 3 Let R be a ring. R is coHopfian if and only if a is an invertible element for $\text{ann}(a) = 0$.

Recall that R is said to have stable range one if for any $a, b \in R$ satisfying $aR + bR = R$, there exists $y \in R$ such that $a + by$ is a unit.

The main result of this note is

Theorem 4 Let R be a self-injective ring. R is coHopfian in $\text{mod-}R$ ring if and only if R has stable range one.

Proof (\Rightarrow): Assume that $aR + bR = R$. Then $\text{ann}(a) \cap \text{ann}(b) = 0$. Denote $f : \text{ann}(b) \rightarrow R$ by $f(x) = xa$ for $x \in \text{ann}(b)$. Since $\text{ann}(a) \cap \text{ann}(b) = 0$, f is well-defined and a monomorphism. From the injectivity of R , there exists $\theta : R \rightarrow R$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \text{ann}(b) & \xrightarrow{f} & R & \xrightarrow{\pi} & R/\text{Im}f \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow 1 & & \uparrow \theta & & \uparrow \psi \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \text{ann}(b) & \xrightarrow{i} & R & \xrightarrow{\pi_b} & bR \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where i is an inclusion map and $\pi_b(r) = br$. We claim that ψ is a monomorphism. Suppose that $\psi(br_1) = \psi(br_2)$, i.e., $\theta(r_1) + \text{Im}f = \theta(r_2) + \text{Im}f$. Hence $\theta(r_1 - r_2) = f(x_0) \in \text{Im}f$, $x_0 \in \text{ann}(b)$. It follows that $r_1 - r_2 \in \text{ann}(b)$ and hence $br_1 - br_2 = 0$. Since R is a coHopfian in $\text{mod-}R$, then θ is isomorphism. Thus there exists a unit $y = \theta(1) \in R$ such that $f(r) = \theta i(r)$, i.e., $r \cdot a = r \cdot y$, for any $r \in \text{ann}(b)$. So that $r \cdot a \cdot y^{-1} = r$. Therefore we prove that $\text{ann}(b) \subset \text{ann}(ay^{-1} - 1)$. By Lemma 2, $ay^{-1} - 1 = bx$, i.e., $ay^{-1} - bx = 1$. Since y is a unit, we get $a - bxy$ is a unit of R .

(\Leftarrow): Let $a \in R$ with $\text{ann}(a) = 0$. By Lemma 3, it is sufficient to show that a is invertible. We first show that there exists $b \in R$ such that $ab = 1$. For this purpose, Let $f : Ra \rightarrow R$ be defined by $f(ra) = r$. Since $\text{ann}(a) = 0$, f is well-defined. Now we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Ra & \xrightarrow{i} & R \\ f \downarrow & \swarrow g & \\ R & & \end{array},$$

where i is an inclusion map. By the assumption, R is an injective module, there exists $g : R \rightarrow R$ such that $g \cdot i = f$. Thus $g \cdot i(a) = f(a)$. This implies $a \cdot g(1) = 1$. Denote $g(1) = b$. Then $ab = 1$. Since R has stable range one, there exists $c \in R$ such that ca is unit. Thus a is invertible as required. This completes the proof of theorem. \square

The following lemma guarantee that stable one preserves under the formation of matrix rings.

Lemma 5^[10]. *Let R be a ring. R has stable range one if and only if $M_n(R)$ has stable range one.*

Proposition 6 *Let R be a self-injective ring. R is coHopfian in $\text{mod-}R$ if and only if $M_n(R)$ is coHopfian in $\text{mod-}M_n(R)$.*

Proof It is well-known that $M_n(R)$ is also a self-injective ring. By Theorem 4 and Lemma 5, we have R is coHopfian $\Leftrightarrow R$ has stable range one $\Leftrightarrow M_n(R)$ has stable range one $\Leftrightarrow M_n(R)$ is coHopfian. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 6 answers the question of Varadarajan for self-injective rings. In particular, since quasi-Frobenius rings are self-injective rings. We have

Corollary 7 *Let R be a quasi-Frobenius rings. R is coHopfian in $\text{mod-}R$ if and only if $M_n(R)$ is coHopfian in $\text{mod-}M_n(R)$.*

As a consequence of Proposition 6 and Corollary 7, we have

Remark 8 Let R be one of the following rings:

- (1) R is a Frobenius algebra^[4];
- (2) R is finite-dimensional semisimple algebra (such as $R = M_n(F)$, where F is a field)^[4];
- (3) $R = SG$, where S is a quasi-Frobenius ring (such as a field) and G is a finite group^[1];
- (4) $R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ^[7];
- (5) $R = F[x]/I$, where $F[x]$ is a polynomial ring over field F and I is a nonzero ideal^[7];
- (6) R is semilocal ring which R is a cogenerator of $\text{mod-}R$ ^[1];
- (7) $R = \text{End}_R(M)$, where M is quasi-injective right R -module^[2];
- (8) R is IF rings (i.e., every injective R -modules is flat)^[11].

Then R is coHopfian in $\text{mod-}R$ if and only if $M_n(R)$ is coHopfian in $\text{mod-}M_n(R)$.

Recall that a module M is said to be directly finite if $M \oplus N \cong M$ implies $N = 0$. It is an open problem of Goodeal^[3] that whether “directly finite regular” is Morita-invariant. Shepherdson^[8] showed that “directly finite” is not Morita-invariant.

Note that direct finiteness involved in the concepts of “finite projections” in operator algebras and “finite idempotents” in Baer rings^[5]. As an application of Theorem 4, we show that the problem of Goodeal is in the affirmative for matrix ring over directly finite regular self-injective rings.

Lemma 9 *Let R be a self-injective regular ring. R is coHopfian in $\text{mod-}R$ if and only if R is directly finite.*

Proof From Lemma 2 and [3] Lemma 5.1, we get the conclusion.

The following Proposition follows from Lemma 9 and Theorem 4.

Proposition 10 *Let R be a self-injective regular ring. If R is directly finite then $M_n(R)$ is also directly finite for all n .*

References:

- [1] FAITH C. *Algebra II, Rings Theory* [M]. Springer-Verlag, London, 1982.
- [2] GOODEAL K R. *Rings Theory, Nonsingular rings and Modules*, Marcel Dekkerc [M]. Inc., New York, 1976.
- [3] GOODEAL K R. *Von Nenumann Regular Rings* [M]. Pitman, London, 1979.
- [4] KASCH F. *Modules and Rings* [M]. Academic Press, London, 1982.
- [5] KAPLASKY I. *Ring of operators* [M]. New York, Benjamin, 1968.
- [6] ROITBERG J. *Residually finite Hopfian and Co-Hopfian spaces* [J]. *Contemp. Math.*, 1985, 37: 131-144.
- [7] ROTMAN J J. *An Introduction to Homolgal Algebra* [M]. Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [8] SHEPHERDSON J C. *Invrse and zero-divisors in matrix rings* [J]. *Proc. London Math.*, 1951, 1: 71-85.
- [9] VARADAJAN K. *Hopfian and coHopfian objects* [J]. *Publications Mathematitions*, 1992, 26: 293-317.
- [10] VASERTEIN L N. *Bass's first stable range condition* [J]. *J. of Pure and Appl. Algebra*, 1984, 34: 319-330.
- [11] ZHU Xiao-sheng. *IF rings and quasi-ZIF rings* [J]. *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, 1996, 39: 226-230.

自内射环的余 Hopf 性

郝志峰¹, 冯良贵²

(1. 华南理工大学应用数学系, 广东 广州 510641; 2. 国防科技大学七系, 湖南 长沙 410073)

摘 要: 本文证明了自内射环 R 是余 Hopf 的当且仅当 R 满足 stable range one. 于是得到了 Varadarajan 在 [9] 中的公开问题对于自内射环是成立的, 即 $M_n(R)$ 是余 Hopf 的当且仅当 R 是余 Hopf 的. 作为应用证明了 Goodeal 的一个公开问题对于自内射正则环有肯定的回答.