

Subalgebras of Nilpotent Matrices *

LIU Jin-wang, ZHOU Fei-yue, LIU Xiao-qi

(Dept. of Math., Xiangtan Teachers' College, Hunan 411201, China)

Abstract: In this note we describe explicitly the subalgebras of nilpotent matrices and obtain some interesting results.

Key words: nilpotent matrix; loop; subalgebra.

Classification: AMS(1991) 15A/CLC O151.21

Document code: A **Article ID:** 1000-341X(2001)02-0212-03

Linear spaces of nilpotent matrices have already been studied by several authors (e.g.[1],[2],[3]). In this paper we give a detailed description of an interesting class of subalgebras of nilpotent matrices.

Let K be an arbitrary field, n a positive integer and $M(n, k)$ the vector space of all $n \times n$ -matrices over K . For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, let E_{ij} be the matrix having coefficient 1 in the i -th row and j -th column and 0 elsewhere. Then

$$M(n, k) = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} K E_{ij}.$$

Let T be a subset of $\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ and $V_T := \bigoplus_{(i, j) \in T} K E_{ij}$. Let R_T be the subalgebra generated by $\{E_{ij}, (i, j) \in T\}$. If $(i_1, i_2), (i_2, i_3), \dots, (i_m, i_1) \in T$ ($m \geq 1$), we say that there is a loop in T .

Proposition 1 *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) Every matrix in V_T is nilpotent.
- (2) There is no loop in T .

Proof (1) \implies (2) Suppose that T has a loop, then there are i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m such that $E_{i_1 i_2}, E_{i_2 i_3}, \dots, E_{i_m i_1} \in V_T$. By (1) the matrix

$$A = E_{i_1 i_2} + E_{i_2 i_3} + \dots + E_{i_m i_1} \in V$$

is nilpotent. But $A^m = E_{i_1 i_2} + E_{i_2 i_3} + \dots + E_{i_m i_1} = A$, contradiction.

*Received date: 1998-05-13

Biography: LIU Jin-wang (1964-), male, professor.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose that $T = \{(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2), \dots, (i_t, j_t)\} \cdot \forall A \in V$, we have

$$A = k_1 E_{i_1 j_1} + k_2 E_{i_2 j_2} + \dots + E_{i_t j_t}, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_t \in K.$$

$$A^{t+1} = \sum P_{k_1 l_1 k_2 l_2 \dots k_{t+1} l_{t+1}} E_{k_1 l_1} E_{k_2 l_2} \dots E_{k_{t+1} l_{t+1}},$$

$P_{k_1 l_1 k_2 l_2 \dots k_{t+1} l_{t+1}} \in K$. Here $(k_1, l_1), (k_2, l_2), \dots, (k_{t+1}, l_{t+1}) \in T$. Since $\#(T) = t$, so there exist $1 \leq i < j \leq t+1$ such that $l_i = l_j$. If $E_{k_1 l_1} E_{k_2 l_2} \dots E_{k_{t+1} l_{t+1}} \neq 0$, then

$$l_1 = k_2, l_2 = k_3, \dots, l_i = k_{i+1}, \dots, l_t = k_{t+1}.$$

By the above discussion we have $i < j, l_i = k_{i+1} = l_j$. So $(k_{i+1}, l_{i+1}), (k_{i+2}, l_{i+2}), \dots, (k_j, l_j)$ is a loop in T . Since T there is no loop, so $A^{t+1} = 0$. Therefore, every matrix in V is nilpotent.

Let $A = (A_{ij})_{n \times n}$ be a matrix in $M(n, k)$. Define: $T_A = \{(i, j) \mid A_{ij} \neq 0\}$

Deduction If there is no loop in T_A , then A is nilpotent.

Proposition 2 If there is no loop in T_A , then T_{A^2} there is no loop.

Proof Since $A = \sum_{(i,j) \in T_A} A_{ij} E_{ij}$, if T_{A^2} there is a loop $(k_1, l_1), (l_1, l_2), \dots, (l_q, k_1)$, by $E_{k_i l_i} = E_{k_i p_i} E_{p_i l_i}$, then T_A there is a loop

$$(k_1, p_1), (p_1, l_1), (l_1, p_2), (p_2, l_2), \dots, (l_q, p_q), (p_q, k_1).$$

Contradiction.

Deduction If there is no loop in T_A , then $T_{A^{2^s}}$ there is no loop, for any $s > 0$.

For $T = \{(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2), \dots, (i_t, j_t)\}$, define

$$C_T = E_{i_1 j_1} + E_{i_2 j_2} + \dots + E_{i_t j_t}.$$

Proposition 3 For any $A_1, A_2 \in V_T, T_{(A_1 \cdot A_2)} \subseteq T_{C_T^2}$.

Generally, for any $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_s \in V_T, T_{(A_1 \cdot A_2 \dots A_s)} \subseteq T_{C_T^s}$.

Proposition 4 If there is no loop in T , then $A_1 \cdot A_2 \dots A_{2^s}$ is nilpotent for any $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{2^s} \in V_T$.

Proof Since there is no loop in T , so there is no loop in $T = T_{C_T}$, there is no loop in $T_{C_T^2}$, there is no loop in $T_{(A_1 \cdot A_2 \dots A_{2^s})}$, $A_1 \cdot A_2 \dots A_{2^s}$ is nilpotent.

Proposition 5 If there is no loop in T , then

$$A_1 \cdot A_2 \dots A_{t+1} = 0$$

for any $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{t+1} \in V_T, t = \#(T)$.

Proof By proof of proposition 1, $C_T^{t+1} = 0$. Since $T_{A_1 \cdot A_2 \dots A_{t+1}} \subseteq T_{C_T^{t+1}} = \emptyset$, so

$$A_1 \cdot A_2 \dots A_{t+1} = 0.$$

Proposition 6 R_T is a nilpotent subalgebra if and only if there is no loop in T .

Proof If R_T is nilpotent, then V_T is nilpotent, by proposition 1, there is no loop in T .

If there no loop in T . $\forall A_l \in R_T$, we have

$$A_l = \overline{A}_{11}^l \cdot \overline{A}_{12}^l \cdots \overline{A}_{1r_1}^l + \overline{A}_{21}^l \cdot \overline{A}_{22}^l \cdots \overline{A}_{2r_2}^l + \cdots + \overline{A}_{s1}^l \cdot \overline{A}_{s2}^l \cdots \overline{A}_{sr_s}^l.$$

Here $\overline{A}_{ij}^l \in V_T, i = 1, 2, \dots, s, 1 \leq j \leq \max\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_s\}$.

By proposition 5, $A_1 A_2 \dots A_{t+1} = 0$, here $t = \|(T)$. So R_T is nilpotent.

References:

- [1] MATHES B, OMLADIC M, RADJAVI H. *Linear spaces of Nilpotent Matrices* [J]. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 1991, 149: 215–225.
- [2] RADJAVI H. *Engel-Jacobson Theorem Revisted* [J]. *Journal of Algebra* III, 1987, 427–430.
- [3] Maria Alessandra Fasoli and Franz pauer. *On certain Linear Spaces of Nilpotent matrices* [J]. *Communications in Algebra*, 1996, 24(10): 3149–3154.

幂零矩阵子代数

刘金旺, 周飞跃, 刘晓奇

(湘潭师范学院数学系, 湖南 湘潭 411201)

摘要: 本文较详细地描述了幂零矩阵子代数, 并且获得了一些有趣的结果.