# On Pronormal Minimal Subgroups of Finite Groups \*

#### WANG Kun-ren

(College of Math. Software, Sichuan Normal University, Chengdu 610068, China)

Abstract: By using pronormal minimal subgroups and weak left Engel elements of prime order of the normalizers of Sylow subgroups of a finite group G, we obtain some sufficient conditions for G to be p-nilpotent, nilpotent and supersolvable respectively, which generalize some known results.

Key words: minimal subgroup; weak left Engel element; p-nilpotent; supersolvable.

Classification: AMS(2000) 20D10/CLC number: O152

**Document code:** A **Article ID:** 1000-341X(2004)02-0214-05

#### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, G is a finite group, p and q are two distinct primes,  $\pi(n)$  is the set of all distinct prime divisors of a positive integer n.  $G_p$  and  $G_{p'}$  are a Sylow p-subgroup and a Hall p'-subgroup of G respectively.  $H \propto K$  is the semidirect product of K by H. H sn G denotes that H is subnormal in G. The other notations are standard, mainly taken from [5] and [7].

A minimal subgroup of G is a subgroup of G of prime order. For a group of even order, it is also helpful to consider the cyclic subgroups of order 4. Itô [1,P.435] showed that if, for an odd prime p, every subgroup of G of order p lies in Z(G), then G is p-nilpotent; and if all elements of G of order 2 and 4 lie in Z(G), then G is 2-nilpotent. Buckley<sup>[2]</sup> showed that if all minimal subgroups of an odd order group are normal, then the group is supersolvable. These results have been extended by several authors (e.g., see [1] and [3]). Li Shirong<sup>[6]</sup> obtained "localized" and more general versions of the above conditions. Our main object is to generalize the main results of [6].

A subgroup H of G is called pronormal in G if H is conjugate to  $H^g$  in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$  for all  $g \in G$ . It is clear that H is normal in G if and only if it is both subnormal and pronormal in G.

An element x of G is called a weak left Engel element of G if there exists a positive integer n such that  $[x, y] \in O_{\pi'}(G)$  for all elements y of G of prime power order with

<sup>\*</sup>Received date: 2001-09-21

Biography: WANG Kun-ren (1946-), male, Professor.

(|x|, |y|) = 1, where  $\pi = \pi(|x|)$ . From this definition, the following facts are evident. If  $x \in H \leq G$  and x is a weak left Engel element of G, then x is a weak left Engel element of G. If G is normal in G and G is a weak left Engel element of G such that (|x|, |N|) = 1, then G is a weak left Engel element of G.

## 2. Preliminaries

We would like to cite the following results which we will use in the proof of our main results.

**Lemma 1** Suppose that  $p \in \pi(G)$  and N is normal in G. Then every cyclic subgroup  $P_0$  of  $N_p$  of order p or 4 (when p=2) is pronormal in  $N_G(N_p)$  if and only if  $P_0$  is pronormal in G.

**Proof** Only the necessity of the condition is in doubt. By hypothesis,  $P_0$  is pronormal in  $N_G(N_P)$ . And  $P_o$  sn  $N_G(N_p)$ . Hence  $P_0$  is normal in  $N_G(N_P)$  and so  $P_0^g$  is normal in  $N_G(N_p^g)$  for all  $g \in G$ , that is, every cyclic subgroup  $P_0^g$  of N of order p or 4 (if p=2) is normal in the normalizers of Sylow p-subgroups of N in G which contain  $P_0^g$ . Put  $H=\langle P_0,P_0^x\rangle$  for  $x\in G$ . Let  $P_1$  be a Sylow p-subgroup of  $P_0^g$  of  $P_0^g$ . By hypothesis  $P_0^g\leq P_1^g$  for some  $P_0^g$  is  $P_0^g$  for  $P_0^$ 

Lemma 2 Let G be a minimal non-p-nilpotent group. Then:(1)  $G = G_q \propto G_p$ ,  $G_q$  is not normal in G and  $G_q = \langle a \rangle$  for some  $a \in G$ ; (2)  $G_p$  has exponent p if p > 2 and exponent at most 4 if p = 2; (3) If  $x \in G_p \setminus \Phi(G_p)$  then  $[x, a] \in G_p \setminus \Phi(G_p)$ .

**Proof** (1) and (2) see [5,P.434].

(3) Let  $x \in G_p \setminus \Phi(G_p)$ . Then  $[x, a] \in G_p$  since  $G_p$  is normal in G. We will prove that  $[x, a] \notin \Phi(G_p)$  in two cases below.

Case (i):  $G_p$  is cyclic. Then  $G_p = \langle x \rangle$ . If  $p = \min \pi(G)$ , then, by [7,10.1.9], G is p-nilpotent, a contradiction. Hence  $p \neq \min \pi(G)$ , then |x| = p and  $\Phi(G_p) = 1$ . If [x, a] = 1 then  $G = G_q \times G_p$ , contradicting that  $G_q$  is not normal in G. Hence  $[x, a] \neq 1$ , so  $[x, a] \notin \Phi(G_p)$ .

Case (ii):  $G_p$  is not cyclic. Conjugation induces a representation of  $G_q$  by linear transformations of the vector space  $V = G_p/\Phi(G_p)$ . Suppose that  $[x,a] \in \Phi(G_p)$ . Then  $\langle x\Phi(G_p)\rangle$  is a  $G_q$ -invariant subspace of V, so, by Maschke's theorem, there exists a  $G_q$ -invariant subspace  $U = H/\Phi(G_p)$  such that  $V = \langle x\Phi(G_p)\rangle \oplus U$ . But  $G_p$  is not cyclic, so  $HG_q$  and  $\langle x\rangle\Phi(G_p)G_q$  are proper subgroups of G, so are p-nilpotent. In particular H,  $\langle x\rangle\Phi(G_p)\leq N_G(G_q)$ , so  $G_q$  is normal in G, a contradiction. Hence  $[x,a]\notin\Phi(G_p)$ .

Note By Satz III.5.2 of [5], a minimal nonilpotent group is a minimal non-p-nilpotent group.

**Lemma 3** (1) If  $p = \min \pi(G)$  and every cyclic subgroup of  $G_p$  of order p or 4 (when p = 2) is pronormal in G, then G is p-nilpotent. (2) If  $p \neq \min \pi(G)$  and, for each element x of  $G_p$  of order p, x is a weak left Engel element of G, then G is p-nilponent.

**Proof** Assume false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. It is easy to check that every proper subgroup of G either is p-nilpotent or inherits the hypothesis and so G is a minimal non-p-nilpotent group. By Lemma 2,  $G = G_q \propto G_p$ ,  $G_q = \langle a \rangle$  for some  $a \in G$ ,  $G_p$  has exponent p if p > 2 and exponent at most 4 if p = 2. Let  $x \in G_p \setminus \Phi(G_p)$ . We discuss in two cases below.

Case:  $p = \min \pi(G)$ . Then |x| is p or 4 (possibly p = 2). By hypothesis,  $\langle x \rangle$  is pronormal in G. And  $\langle x \rangle$  sn G. Thus  $\langle x \rangle$  is normal in G and so  $\langle x \rangle G_q = G_q \langle x \rangle$ . If  $G = G_q \langle x \rangle$ , by [7,10.1.9], G is p-nilpotent, a contradiction. Thus  $G_q \langle x \rangle < G$  and so  $G_q \langle x \rangle = G_q \times \langle x \rangle$ . This means that [x, a] = 1. By Lemma 2,  $[x, a] \in G_p \setminus \Phi(G_p)$ , a contradiction.

Case:  $p \neq \min \pi(G)$ . Then |x| = p and x is a weak left Engel element of G by hypothesis. Thus there exists a positive integer n such that  $[x, n] \in O_{p'}(G) \cap G_p = 1$ . By lemma 2 again,  $[x, a] \in G_p \setminus \Phi(G_p)$ . Repeating this argument yields that  $[x, n] \neq 1$ . This contradiction completes the proof.

### 2. Main results

Theorem 1 Let N be a normal subgroup of G such that G/N is p-nilpotent. Then: (1) If  $p = min\pi(G)$  and every cyclic subgroup of  $N_p$  of order p or 4 (when p = 2) is pronormal in  $N_G(N_p)$ , then G is p-nilpotent. (2) If  $p \neq min\pi(G)$  and every element x of  $N_p$  of order p is a weak left Engel element of  $N_G(N_p)$  and  $\langle x \rangle$  is pronormal in  $N_p$ , then G is p-nilpotent.

**Proof** Assume that the theorem is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. It is easy to check that (N, N) satisfies the hypothesis for (G, N). If  $N \neq G$  then N is p-nilpotent by the minimality of G. So  $N_{p'}$  is normal in G. It is clear that  $(G/N_{p'}, N/N_{p'})$  satisfies the hypothesis for (G, N). If  $N_{p'} \neq 1$  then  $G/N_{p'}$  is p-nilpotent and so G is p-nilpotent, a contradiction. It forces that  $N_{p'}=1$  so that  $N=N_p$ . By hypothesis G/N is p-nilpotent. Let M be the inverse image of the normal p-complement of G/N in G. Evidently (M, N) satisfies the hypothesis for (G, N). If  $M \neq G$  then M is p-nilpotent as G is a minimal counterexample. Note that the normal p-complement of M is also the normal p-complement of G. Hence G is p-nilpotent. From this contradiction, we deduce that N = G and  $N_p = G_p$ .

Assume that  $p = \min \pi(G)$ . By hypothesis every cyclic subgroup of  $G_p$  of order p or 4 (if p = 2) is pronormal in  $N_G(G_p)$ . It follows from Lemma 1 that every cyclic subgroup of  $G_p$  of order p or 4 is pronormal in G. Thus G is p-nilpotent by Lemma 3, a contradiction. Hence G is p-nilpotent and the claim (1) follows.

Now assume that  $p \neq \min \pi(G)$ . By Lemma 3,  $N_G(G_p)$  is p-nilpotent. Since G is not p-nilpotent, we may assume that H is a minimal non-p-nilpotent subgroup of G. By Lemma 2,  $H = H_q \propto H_p$  and  $H_p$  as exponent p. We may without loss of generality assume that  $H_p \leq G_p$ . By hypothesis, every minimal subgroup of  $G_p$  is pronormal in  $G_p$  and so normal in  $G_p$ . This implies that  $H_p \leq Z(G_p)$ . So  $G_p \leq C_G(H_p)$  is normal in  $N_G(H_p)$ .

Put  $K = N_G(H_p)$ . By the Frattini argument,  $K = C_G(H_p)N_K(G_p)$ . Since  $N_G(G_p)$  is p-nilpotent and so is  $N_K(G_p)$ , thus  $N_K(G_p) = G_p \times C$ , where C is the normal p-complement of  $N_K(G_p)$ . Note that  $H_p \leq Z(G_p)$ , hence  $G_p \times C \leq C_G(H_p) \leq K$  and so  $K = C_G(H_p)$ . But  $H_q \leq N_H(H_p) \leq K$ . Thus  $H_q \leq C_G(H_p)$ , that is,  $H = H_q \times H_p$ , contradicting that  $H_q$  is not normal in H. Therefore G is p-nilpotent and the claim (2) is true.

Theorem 2 Let N be a normal subgroup of G such that G/N is nilpotent. If, for each  $p \in \pi(N)$  and each element x of  $N_p$  of order p or 4(possibly p = 2), when  $p = \min \pi(G)$ ,  $\langle x \rangle$  is pronormal in  $N_G(N_p)$ , and when  $p \neq \min \pi(G)$ , x is a weak left Engel element of  $N_G(N_p)$ , then G is nilpotent.

Proof Assume false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. If N=G, then  $N_p=G_p$  for each  $p\in\pi(G)$ . Let  $q=\min\pi(G)$ . By Lemma 1, every cyclic subgroup of  $G_q$  with order q or 4 (if q=2) is pronormal in G. By Lemma 3, G is q-nilpotent and so  $G_{q'}$  is the normal q-complement of G. Since G is not nilpotent, G has a minimal nonnilpotent subgroup, K say. Thus K is q-nilpotent. By Lemma 2,  $K=K_q\propto K_p$ ,  $K_q=\langle a\rangle$  for some  $a\in K$  and  $K_p$  has exponent p. If G is a  $\{p,q\}$ -group, then  $G_p$  is normal in G as G is q-nilpotent and so  $K_p\leq G_p$ . Let  $x\in K_p\setminus\Phi(K_p)$ . Then x is a weak left Engle element of  $G=N_G(G_p)$ . Hence there exists a positive integer n such that  $[x,na]\in O_{p'}(K)\cap K_p=1$ . By Lemma 2,  $[x,a]\in K_p\setminus\Phi(K_p)$  and so  $[s,a]\neq 1$ . Repeating this argument yields that  $[x,na]\neq 1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $|\pi(G)|\geq 3$ . Since G is q-nilpotent with  $q=\min\pi(G)$ , G is solvable by Feit-Thompson theorem. Then G contains a Hall  $\{q,p\}$ -subgroup  $G_qG_p$  such that  $K\leq G_qG_p$ . It is easy to check that  $G_qG_p$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2 and so  $G_qG_p$  is nilpotent by the minimality of G, contradicting that K is not nilpotent. This forces that  $K \in G$ .

Repeating the argument in the precedent paragraph, we can show that N is nilpotent. Since G is not nilpotent, G contains a minimal nonnilpotent subgroup, H, say. By Lemma 2,  $H = H_q \propto H_p$ ,  $H_q = \langle a \rangle$  for some  $a \in H$  and  $H_p$  has exponent p or 4 (if p = 2).  $H/H \cap N$  is nilpotent as  $H/H \cap N \simeq HN/N \leq G/N$ . But  $H_q$  is not normal in H. This forces that  $H_p \leq N$ . By Sylow's theorem we may assume that  $H_p \leq N_p$ . By the Frattini argument,  $G = NN_G(N_p)$ . Note that  $H \nleq N$ . This forces that  $H_q \leq N_G(N_p)$ . Let  $x \in H_p \setminus \Phi(H_p)$ .

If  $p = \min \pi(G)$ , then |x| = p or 4 (possibly p = 2). By hypothesis,  $\langle x \rangle$  is pronormal in  $N_G(N_p)$ . But  $\langle x \rangle$  sn  $N_G(N_p)$ . Thus  $\langle x \rangle$  is normal in  $N_G(N_p)$  and so  $\langle x \rangle H_q = H_q \langle x \rangle$ . By [7,10.1.9],  $H_q \langle x \rangle$  is p-nilpotent and so nilpotent. This holds for each generator of  $H_p$ . Hence H is nilpotent, a contradiction.

So we may assume that  $p \neq \min \pi(G)$ . Then |x| = p. By hypothesis, x is a weak left Engel element of  $N_G(N_p)$ . Repeating the argument in the first paragraph we can derive a final contradiction. The theorem follows.

**Theorem 3** Let N be a normal subgroup of G such that G/N is supersolvable. If every cyclic subgroup of  $N_p$  of order p or 4 (when p=2) is pronormal in  $N_G(N_p)$  for each  $p \in \pi(N)$ , then G is supersolvable.

**Proof** For each  $p \in \pi(N)$ , by hypothesis, every cyclic subgroup K of  $N_p$  with order p or 4(if p=2) is pronormal in  $N_G(N_p)$ . Moreover, K sn  $N_G(N_p)$ . Hence K is normal in

 $N_G(N_p)$ . Recall that N is normal in G. Now we see that all G-chief factors which are contained in N are cyclic by [8, Theorem IX.6.8]. Therefore, G is supersolvable.

# References:

- [1] Asaad M. On supersolvability of finite groups I [J]. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar, 1981, 38: 57–59.
- [2] Buckley J. Finite groups whose minimal subgroups are normal [J]. Math. Z., 1970, 116: 15-17.
- [3] Derr J B, Deskins W E, Mukherjee N P. The influence of minimal p-subgroups on the structure of finite groups [J]. Arch. Math., 1985, 45: 1-4.
- [4] Doerk K. Minimal nicht überaufl ösbare endliche gruppen [J]. Math. Z., 1966, 91: 198-205.
- [5] HUPPERT B. Endliche Gruppen I [M]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [6] LI Shi-rong. On minimal subgroups of finite groups [J]. Comm. Algebra, 1994, 22(6): 1913–1918.
- [7] Robinson D J S. A Course in The Theory of Groups [M]. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [8] XU Ming-yao. The Introduction of Finite Groups [M]. Science Press, Beijing, 1999. (in Chinese)

# 关于有限群的 Pronormal 极小子群

王坤仁

(四川师范大学数学与软件学院,四川 成都 610068)

摘 要: 利用有限群 G 的 pronormal 极小子群和 Sylow 子群正规化子中的素数阶弱左 Engel 元素得到了 G 成为 p- 幂零群、幂零群和超可解群的一些充分条件,这些结果推广了已知结论.

关键词: 极小子群; 弱左 Engel 元素; p- 幂零; 超可解.