

## Principal Quasi-Baerness of Skew Power Series Rings

LIU Zhong-kui, FAN Wei-li

(Dept. of Math., Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou 730070, China )

(E-mail: liuzk@nwnu.edu.cn)

**Abstract:** Let  $R$  be a ring such that all left semicentral idempotents are central and  $\alpha$  a weakly rigid endomorphism of  $R$ . It is shown that the skew power series ring  $R[[x; \alpha]]$  is right p.q.Baer if and only if  $R$  is right p.q.Baer and any countable family of idempotents in  $R$  has a generalized join in  $I(R)$ , where  $I(R)$  is the set of all idempotents of  $R$ .

**Key words:** weakly rigid endomorphism; p.q.Baer ring; skew power series ring.

**MSC(2000):** 16W60

**CLC number:** O153.3

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $R$  denotes a ring with unity and  $C(R)$  the set of all central elements of  $R$ . For a nonempty subset  $Y$  of  $R$ ,  $r_R(Y)$  denotes the right annihilator of  $Y$  in  $R$ .

Recall that  $R$  is (quasi-) Baer if the right annihilator of every nonempty subset (every right ideal) of  $R$  is generated by an idempotent. In [9] Kaplansky introduced Baer rings to abstract various properties of  $AW^*$ -algebras and von Neumann algebras. Clark defined quasi-Baer rings in [7] and used them to characterize when a finite dimensional algebra with unity over an algebraically closed field is isomorphic to a twisted matrix units semigroup algebra. Further work on Baer rings and quasi-Baer rings appears in [1-4, 8]. As a generalization of quasi-Baer rings, G. F. Birkenmeier, J. Y. Kim and J. K. Park in [5] introduced the concept of principally quasi-Baer rings. A ring  $R$  is called right principally quasi-Baer (or simply right p.q.Baer) if the right annihilator of a principal right ideal of  $R$  is generated by an idempotent. Similarly, left p.q.Baer rings can be defined. A ring is called p.q.Baer if it is both right and left p.q.Baer. Observe that every biregular ring and every quasi-Baer ring are p.q.Baer rings. For more details and examples of right p.q.Baer rings<sup>[5,6]</sup>.

It was proved in [3, Theorem 1.8] that a ring  $R$  is quasi-Baer if and only if  $R[X]$  is quasi-Baer if and only if  $R[[X]]$  is quasi-Baer, where  $X$  is an arbitrary nonempty set of not necessarily commuting indeterminates. If  $R$  is a reduced ring, then  $R$  is Baer if and only if  $R[X]$  is Baer if and only if  $R[[X]]$  is Baer [3, Corollary 1.10]. If  $R$  is commutative and  $(S, \leq)$  is a strictly totally ordered monoid, then it is shown in [11, Theorem 7] that  $R$  is Baer if and only if  $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$ , the ring of generalized power series with coefficients in  $R$  and exponents in  $S$ , is Baer. It was proved in [6,

**Received date:** 2003-01-17

**Foundation item:** National Natural Science Foundation of China (10171082), TRAPOYT, the Cultivation Fund of the Key Scientific and Technical Innovation Project, Ministry of Education of China

Theorem 2.1] that a ring  $R$  is right p.q.Baer if and only if  $R[x]$  is right p.q.Baer. If  $R$  is an  $\alpha$ -rigid ring, then it was shown in [8, Corollary 15] that  $R$  is a right p.q.Baer ring if and only if  $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$  is a right p.q.Baer ring. For skew power series ring  $R[[x; \alpha]]$ , it was shown in [8, Theorem 21 and Corollary 22] that if  $\alpha$  is a rigid endomorphism of  $R$ , then  $R$  is a Baer (a quasi-Baer, resp.) ring if and only if  $R[[x; \alpha]]$  is a Baer (a quasi-Baer, resp.) ring. Also an example was given in [8] which shows that there exists a commutative von Neumann regular ring  $R$  (hence p.q.Baer) such that the ring  $R[[x; \alpha]]$  is not right p.q.Baer. Thus a natural question of characterization of the right p.q.Baerness of skew power series ring  $R[[x; \alpha]]$  is raised. In this paper, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for some rings under which the ring  $R[[x; \alpha]]$  is right p.q.Baer. We show that for a ring  $R$  with  $S_\ell(R) \subseteq C(R)$  and for a weakly rigid endomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $R$ ,  $R[[x; \alpha]]$  is right p.q.Baer if and only if  $R$  is right p.q.Baer and any countable family of idempotents in  $R$  has a generalized join in  $I(R)$ .

## 2. Weakly rigid endomorphism

Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of  $R$ . According to [8] and [10],  $\alpha$  is called a rigid endomorphism if  $r\alpha(r) = 0$  implies  $r = 0$  for  $r \in R$ . A ring  $R$  is called to be  $\alpha$ -rigid if there exists a rigid endomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $R$ . Clearly, any rigid endomorphism is a monomorphism and any  $\alpha$ -rigid ring is reduced. Generalizing these concepts, we give the following definition.

**Definition 1** Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of  $R$ .  $\alpha$  is called a weakly rigid endomorphism if

- (1)  $\alpha$  is a monomorphism, and
- (2) if  $a, b \in R$  are such that  $ab = 0$  then  $a\alpha(b) = \alpha(a)b = 0$ .

**Example 2** (1) Clearly the identity map of  $R$  is weakly rigid.

(2) Let  $\alpha$  be a rigid endomorphism of  $R$ . It was shown in [8] that if  $ab = 0$  then  $a\alpha^n(b) = \alpha^n(a)b = 0$  for any positive integer  $n$ . Thus any rigid endomorphism is weakly rigid. But the converse is not true. For example, suppose that the ring  $R$  is not reduced, then the identity map of  $R$  is weakly rigid but not rigid.

(3) Let  $\beta$  be a weakly rigid endomorphism of ring  $R_0$  and  $S$  a ring. Set  $R_1 = R_0 \oplus S$ , the direct sum of rings  $R_0$  and  $S$ . Define an endomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $R_1$  via

$$\alpha(r, s) = (\beta(r), s).$$

Then it is easy to see that  $\alpha$  is a weakly rigid endomorphism of  $R_1$ . If  $\beta$  is not rigid, or  $S$  is a ring with a nonzero nilpotent element, then  $\alpha$  is not rigid.

**Proposition 3** Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of  $R$ . Then  $\alpha$  is rigid if and only if  $\alpha$  is weakly rigid and  $R$  is reduced.

**Proof** Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of the reduced ring  $R$ . If  $\alpha$  is weakly rigid and  $r \in R$  is such that  $r\alpha(r) = 0$ , then  $\alpha(r)\alpha(r) = 0$ . Thus  $\alpha(r) = 0$  since  $R$  is reduced. Hence  $r = 0$  since  $\alpha$  is a monomorphism. This means that  $\alpha$  is rigid. Conversely, if  $\alpha$  is rigid, then, by [8],  $R$  is reduced.

Thus the result follows.

### 3. The right p.q.Baerness of $R[[x; \alpha]]$

Recall from [3] an idempotent  $e \in R$  is left (resp. right) semicentral in  $R$  if  $ere = re$  (resp.  $ere = er$ ), for all  $r \in R$ . Equivalently,  $e^2 = e \in R$  is left (resp. right) semicentral if  $eR$  (resp.  $Re$ ) is an ideal of  $R$ . Since the right annihilator of a right ideal is an ideal, we see that the right annihilator of a principal right ideal is generated by a left semicentral idempotent in a right p.q.Baer ring. The set of all left semicentral idempotents of  $R$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{S}_\ell(R)$ . The following result is a generalization of [6, Proposition 1.5].

**Lemma 4** *Let  $\alpha$  be a weakly rigid endomorphism of  $R$ . If  $e(x) = e_0 + e_1x + \dots + e_nx^n + \dots \in R[[x; \alpha]]$  is a left semicentral idempotent of  $R[[x; \alpha]]$ , then*

- (1)  $e_0$  is a left semicentral idempotent of  $R$ .
- (2)  $e_0e_i = e_i, e_ie_0 = 0$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ .
- (3)  $e(x)R[[x; \alpha]] = e_0R[[x; \alpha]]$ .

**Proof** We complete the proof by adapting the proof of [6, Proposition 1.4].

Let  $r \in R$ . Since  $re(x) = e(x)re(x)$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} re_k x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i+j=k} e_i x^i re_j x^j \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i+j=k} e_i \alpha^i(re_j) \right) x^k.$$

Thus  $re_k = \sum_{i+j=k} e_i \alpha^i(re_j)$  for any  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ . From  $re_0 = e_0 re_0$  it follows that  $e_0 \in \mathcal{S}_\ell(R)$ , so part (1) is satisfied. If we multiply equation  $re_1 = e_1 \alpha(re_0) + e_0 re_1$  on the right by  $e_0$ , then  $re_1 e_0 = e_1 \alpha(re_0) e_0 + e_0 re_1 e_0 = e_1 \alpha(re_0) e_0 + re_1 e_0$ . Thus  $e_1 \alpha(re_0) e_0 = 0$ . Since  $\alpha$  is weakly rigid, we have  $e_1 \alpha(re_0) = e_1 \alpha(re_0 e_0) = e_1 \alpha(re_0) \alpha(e_0) = 0$ . Thus  $re_1 = e_0 re_1$ . Taking  $r = 1$ , we obtain  $e_0 e_1 = e_1$  and  $e_1 \alpha(e_0) = 0$ . Now assume that  $k$  is a positive integer such that

$$e_i \alpha^i(e_0) = 0, \quad e_0 e_i = e_i,$$

for all  $1 \leq i < k$ . Then from  $e_i \alpha^i(e_0) = 0$  and from the weak rigidness of  $\alpha$ , it follows that  $\alpha^i(e_i e_0) = \alpha^i(e_i) \alpha^i(e_0) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i < k$ . Since  $\alpha$  is a monomorphism, we have  $e_i e_0 = 0$ . Thus  $\alpha^j(e_i) e_0 = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i < k$  and all  $j$ . Now multiplying equation  $re_k = \sum_{i+j=k} e_i \alpha^i(re_j)$  on the right by  $e_0$ , we obtain  $re_k e_0 = \sum_{i+j=k} e_i \alpha^i(re_j) e_0 = e_k \alpha^k(re_0) e_0 + e_0 (re_k) e_0 = e_k \alpha^k(re_0) e_0 + (re_k) e_0$ . Thus  $e_k \alpha^k(re_0) e_0 = 0$ . Since  $\alpha$  is weakly rigid, we have  $e_k \alpha^k(re_0) = e_k \alpha^k(re_0) \alpha^k(e_0) = 0$ . Thus  $e_k \alpha^k(e_0) = 0$ . Also  $re_k = \sum_{\substack{i+j=k \\ i \neq k}} e_i \alpha^i(re_j)$ . Multiplying on the left by  $e_0$ , by hypothesis, we have

$$e_0 re_k = \sum_{\substack{i+j=k \\ i \neq k}} e_0 e_i \alpha^i(re_j) = \sum_{\substack{i+j=k \\ i \neq k}} e_i \alpha^i(re_j) = re_k.$$

Taking  $r = 1$  yields  $e_0 e_k = e_k$ . By induction, part (2) is satisfied. Now it is easy to see that  $e(x) e_0 = e_0$  and  $e_0 e(x) = e(x)$ . Hence  $e(x) R[[x; \alpha]] = e_0 R[[x; \alpha]]$ .

Let  $I(R)$  be the set of all idempotents of  $R$ . Let  $\{e_0, e_1, \dots\}$  be a countable family of idempotents of  $R$ . We say  $\{e_0, e_1, \dots\}$  has a generalized join in  $I(R)$  if there exists an idempotent  $e \in I(R)$  such that

- (1)  $e_i R(1 - e) = 0$ , and
- (2) if  $f \in I(R)$  is such that  $e_i R(1 - f) = 0$ , then  $e R(1 - f) = 0$ .

If  $\alpha$  is a rigid endomorphism of  $R$ , then it was shown in [8] that  $R$  is a Baer (a quasi-Baer, resp.) ring if and only if  $R[[x; \alpha]]$  is a Baer (a quasi-Baer, resp.) ring. Also an example was given in [8] to show that there exists a reduced right p.q.Baer ring  $R$  such that  $R[[x; \alpha]]$  is not a right p.q.Baer ring. Here we have

**Theorem 5** *Let  $R$  be a ring with  $\mathcal{S}_l(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{R})$  and  $\alpha$  a weakly rigid endomorphism of  $R$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R[[x; \alpha]]$  is right p.q.Baer;
- (2)  $R$  is right p.q.Baer and any countable family of idempotents in  $R$  has a generalized join in  $I(R)$ .

**Proof** (1) $\implies$ (2). Suppose that  $R[[x; \alpha]]$  is right p.q.Baer. Let  $a$  be an element of  $R$ . Then there exists a left semicentral idempotent  $e(x) = e_0 + e_1x + \dots + e_nx^n + \dots \in R[[x; \alpha]]$  such that  $r_{R[[x; \alpha]]}(aR[[x; \alpha]]) = e(x)R[[x; \alpha]]$ . From Lemma 4,  $e(x)R[[x; \alpha]] = e_0R[[x; \alpha]]$ . Thus  $r_{R[[x; \alpha]]}(aR[[x; \alpha]]) = e_0R[[x; \alpha]]$ . It is clearly that  $aRe_0 = 0$ . Thus  $e_0 \in r_R(aR)$ . Hence  $e_0R \subseteq r_R(aR)$ . Conversely, suppose that  $p \in r_R(aR)$ . Then for any  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i \in R[[x; \alpha]]$ ,  $af(x)p = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} aa_i x^i p = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} aa_i \alpha^i(p) x^i$ . Since  $aa_i p = 0$ , we have  $aa_i \alpha^i(p) = 0$  by the weak rigidness of  $\alpha$ . Thus  $af(x)p = 0$ , which implies that  $p \in r_{R[[x; \alpha]]}(aR[[x; \alpha]])$  and hence  $p = e_0 p \in e_0 R$ . Therefore  $r_R(aR) \subseteq e_0 R$ . This shows that  $r_R(aR) = e_0 R$ . Thus  $R$  is right p.q.Baer.

Now suppose that  $\{e_0, e_1, \dots\}$  is a countable set of idempotents of  $R$ . Set

$$\varphi(x) = e_0 + e_1x + e_2x^2 + \dots \in R[[x; \alpha]].$$

Since  $R[[x; \alpha]]$  is right p.q.Baer, there exists a left semicentral idempotent  $e(x) \in R[[x; \alpha]]$  such that  $r_{R[[x; \alpha]]}(\varphi(x)R[[x; \alpha]]) = e(x)R[[x; \alpha]]$ . Let  $e(x) = f_0 + f_1x + f_2x^2 + \dots$ . Then, by Lemma 4,  $f_0$  is an idempotent of  $R$  and  $e(x)R[[x; \alpha]] = f_0R[[x; \alpha]]$ . Thus  $r_{R[[x; \alpha]]}(\varphi(x)R[[x; \alpha]]) = f_0R[[x; \alpha]]$ . For any  $r \in R$ ,  $0 = \varphi(x)r f_0 = e_0r f_0 + e_1\alpha(r f_0)x + e_2\alpha^2(r f_0)x^2 + \dots$ . Thus  $e_i\alpha^i(r f_0) = 0$  for every  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ . Let  $g = 1 - f_0$ . Then  $e_i\alpha^i(r(1 - g)) = 0$  for any  $r \in R$ . Thus  $\alpha^i(e_i)\alpha^i(r(1 - g)) = 0$  by the weak rigidness of  $\alpha$ . Hence  $e_iR(1 - g) = 0$  since  $\alpha$  is a monomorphism. Suppose that  $h$  is an idempotent of  $R$  such that  $e_iR(1 - h) = 0$ . Then  $e_i r(1 - h) = 0$  for any  $r \in R$ . Since  $\alpha$  is weakly rigid, we have  $e_i r \alpha^k(1 - h) = 0$  for any  $r \in R$ . Thus, for any  $a \in R$  and for any  $\psi(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots \in R[[x; \alpha]]$ ,

$$\varphi(x)\psi(x)a(1 - h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i+j=k} e_i \alpha^i(a_j) \alpha^k(a(1 - h)) \right) x^k$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i+j=k} e_i(\alpha^i(a_j)\alpha^k(a))\alpha^k(1-h) \right) x^k = 0.$$

This means that  $a(1-h) \in r_{R[[x;\alpha]]}(\varphi(x)R[[x;\alpha]])$  for any  $a \in R$ . Thus  $a(1-h) = f_0a(1-h)$ , which implies that  $ga(1-h) = 0$  for any  $a \in R$ . Thus  $gR(1-h) = 0$ . Hence  $g$  is a generalized join of the set  $\{e_0, e_1, \dots\}$ .

(2) $\implies$ (1). Suppose that  $\varphi(x) = r_0 + r_1x + r_2x^2 + \dots \in R[[x;\alpha]]$ . Then there exist idempotents  $e_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ , such that  $r_R(r_iR) = e_iR$ . By the hypothesis, the set  $\{1 - e_i | i = 0, 1, \dots\}$  has a generalized join  $f$ . Thus  $(1 - e_i)R(1 - f) = 0$ . For any  $\lambda(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots \in R[[x;\alpha]]$ ,

$$\varphi(x)\lambda(x)(1-f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i+j=k} r_i\alpha^i(a_j)\alpha^k(1-f) \right) x^k.$$

Since  $(1 - e_i)a_j(1 - f) = 0$ , we have  $(1 - e_i)a_j\alpha^j(1 - f) = 0$  by weak rigidness of  $\alpha$ . Thus  $a_j\alpha^j(1 - f) = e_ia_j\alpha^j(1 - f)$ . Again by weak rigidness of  $\alpha$  and  $r_ie_i = 0$ , it follows that  $r_i\alpha^i(e_i) = 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x)\lambda(x)(1-f) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i+j=k} r_i\alpha^i(a_j\alpha^j(1-f)) \right) x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i+j=k} r_i\alpha^i(e_ia_j\alpha^j(1-f)) \right) x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i+j=k} r_i\alpha^i(e_i)\alpha^i(a_j\alpha^j(1-f)) \right) x^k = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $(1-f)R[[x;\alpha]] \leq r_{R[[x;\alpha]]}(\varphi(x)R[[x;\alpha]])$ .

Suppose that  $\psi(x) = p_0 + p_1x + p_2x^2 + \dots \in r_{R[[x;\alpha]]}(\varphi(x)R)$ . Then from  $\varphi(x)R\psi(x) = 0$  it follows that

$$\sum_{i+j=k} r_i\alpha^i(ap_j) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $a$  is an arbitrary element of  $R$ . Thus, since  $r_0ap_0 = 0$ , one has  $p_0 \in r_R(r_0R) = e_0R$ . Let  $a' \in R$  and take  $a = a'e_0$  in  $r_1\alpha(ap_0) + r_0ap_1 = 0$ . Then  $r_1\alpha(a'e_0p_0) + r_0a'e_0p_1 = 0$ . But  $r_0a'e_0p_1 = 0$ . So  $r_1\alpha(a'e_0p_0) = 0$ . Since  $e_0p_0 = p_0$ , we have  $r_1\alpha(a'p_0) = 0$ . Since  $\alpha$  is weakly rigid, it follows that  $\alpha(r_1)\alpha(a'p_0) = 0$ . Thus  $r_1a'p_0 = 0$ , which implies that  $p_0 \in r_R(r_1R) = e_1R$ . Also  $r_0ap_1 = 0$  for any  $a \in R$ . This means that  $p_1 \in r_R(r_0R) = e_0R$ .

Now assume that

$$p_i \in e_jR, \quad i+j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1.$$

Let  $a' \in R$  and take  $a = a'e_0$  in  $\sum_{i+j=k} r_i\alpha^i(ap_j) = 0$ . Then, since  $r_0a'e_0p_k = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_1\alpha(a'p_{k-1}) + \dots + r_{k-1}\alpha^{k-1}(a'p_1) + r_k\alpha^k(a'p_0) \\ = r_1\alpha(a'e_0p_{k-1}) + \dots + r_{k-1}\alpha^{k-1}(a'e_0p_1) + r_k\alpha^k(a'e_0p_0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $b \in R$  and take  $a' = be_1$ . Then, since  $r_1 be_1 p_{k-1} = 0$ , we have  $r_1 \alpha(be_1 p_{k-1}) = 0$  by the weak rigidness of  $\alpha$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} r_2 \alpha^2(bp_{k-2}) + \dots + r_{k-1} \alpha^{k-1}(bp_1) + r_k \alpha^k(bp_0) \\ = r_2 \alpha^2(be_1 p_{k-2}) + \dots + r_{k-1} \alpha^{k-1}(be_1 p_1) + r_k \alpha^k(be_1 p_0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this manner, we have  $r_k \alpha^k(cp_0) = r_k \alpha^k(ce_{k-1} p_0) = 0$ , where  $c$  is an arbitrary element of  $R$ . This implies that

$$r_{k-1} \alpha^{k-1}(cp_1) = 0, \dots, r_1 \alpha(cp_{k-1}) = 0, r_0 cp_k = 0.$$

From the weak rigidness of  $\alpha$ , it follows that  $\alpha^i(r_i cp_{k-i}) = \alpha^i(r_i) \alpha^i(cp_{k-i}) = 0$  for any  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . Thus  $r_i cp_{k-i} = 0$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . Thus  $p_{k-i} \in r_R(r_i R) = e_i R$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . Therefore, by induction, we have  $p_i \in e_j R$ , for any  $i, j = 0, 1, \dots$ , and so

$$p_i = e_j p_i, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots$$

Suppose that  $r_R(p_i R) = f_i R$ , where  $f_i$  is a left semicentral idempotent of  $R$ . Since  $e_j$  is left semicentral, by the hypothesis,  $e_j$  is central. Thus we have  $p_i r = e_j p_i r = p_i r e_j$ , which implies that  $1 - e_j \in f_i R$ . Thus  $1 - e_j = f_i(1 - e_j)$  for any  $i, j$ . So  $(1 - e_j)R(1 - f_i) = 0$ . Since  $f$  is a generalized join of  $\{1 - e_i | i = 0, 1, \dots\}$ , it follows that  $fR(1 - f_i) = 0$  for any  $i$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} p_i &= p_i - p_i f_i = p_i(1 - f_i) = (1 - f_i)p_i \\ &= (1 - f)(1 - f_i)p_i \in (1 - f)R. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\psi(x) \in (1 - f)R[[x; \alpha]]$ . Now it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - f)R[[x; \alpha]] &\leq r_{R[[x; \alpha]]}(\varphi(x)R[[x; \alpha]]) \leq r_{R[[x; \alpha]]}(\varphi(x)R) \\ &\leq (1 - f)R[[x; \alpha]], \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $r_{R[[x; \alpha]]}(\varphi(x)R[[x; \alpha]]) = (1 - f)R[[x; \alpha]]$ . Hence  $R[[x; \alpha]]$  is right p.q.Baer.

Let  $R$  be an abelian ring (i.e., every idempotent of  $R$  is central). Then  $I(R)$  is a Boolean algebra where  $e \leq f$  means  $ef = e$ , and where the join, meet and complement are given by  $e \vee f = e + f - ef$ ,  $e \wedge f = ef$ , and  $e' = 1 - e$  respectively.

**Corollary 6** *Let  $R$  be an abelian ring and  $\alpha$  a weakly rigid endomorphism of  $R$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R[[x; \alpha]]$  is right p.q.Baer;
- (2)  $R$  is right p.q.Baer and any countable family of idempotents in  $R$  has a join in  $I(R)$ .

## References:

- [1] BIRKENMEIER G F. *Decompositions of Baer-like rings* [J]. Acta Math. Hungar, 1992, 59: 319-326.

- [2] BIRKENMEIER G F. *Baer rings and quasi-continuous rings have a MDSN* [J]. Pacific J. Math., 1981, **97**: 283–292.
- [3] BIRKENMEIER G F, KIM J Y, PARK J K. *Polynomial extensions of Baer and quasi-Baer rings* [J]. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 2001, **159**: 25–42.
- [4] BIRKENMEIER G F, KIM J Y, PARK J K. *On quasi-Baer rings* [J]. Contemp. Math., 2000, **259**: 67–92.
- [5] BIRKENMEIER G F, KIM J Y, PARK J K. *Principally quasi-Baer rings* [J]. Comm. Algebra, 2001, **29**(2): 639–660.
- [6] BIRKENMEIER G F, KIM J Y, PARK J K. *On polynomial extensions of principally quasi-Baer rings* [J]. Kyungpook Math. J., 2000, **40**: 247–254.
- [7] CLARK W E. *Twisted matrix units semigroup algebras* [J]. Duke Math. J., 1967, **34**: 417–423.
- [8] HONG C Y, KIM N K, KWAK T K. *Ore extensions of Baer and P.P.-rings* [J]. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 2000, **151**: 215–226.
- [9] KAPLANSKY I. *Rings of Operators* [M]. Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- [10] KREMPE J. *Some examples of reduced rings* [J]. Algebra Colloq., 1996, **3**: 289–300.
- [11] LIU Zhong-kui. *Baer rings of generalized power series* [J]. Glasg. Math. J., 2002, **44**(3): 463–469.

## 斜幂级数环的主拟 Baer 性

刘仲奎, 范维丽

(西北师范大学数学系, 甘肃 兰州 730070)

**摘要:** 设  $R$  是环, 并且  $R$  的左半中心幂等元都是中心幂等元,  $\alpha$  是  $R$  的一个弱刚性自同态. 本文证明了斜幂级数环  $R[[x, \alpha]]$  是右主拟 Baer 环当且仅当  $R$  是右主拟 Baer 环, 并且  $R$  的任意可数幂等元集在  $I(R)$  中有广义交, 其中  $I(R)$  是  $R$  的幂等元集.

**关键词:** 弱刚性自同态; 主拟 Baer 环; 斜幂级数环.