Vol.25, No.3 Aug., 2005

Article ID: 1000-341X(2005)03-0436-05

Document code: A

## Some Properties of duo QF-1 Rings

## CHEN Zhi-xiong

(Dept. of Math., Putian University, Fujian 351100, China) (E-mail: ptczx@sohu.com)

Abstract: It is proved that a Noetherian duo right QF-1 ring is a QF-ring. And some results of linearly compact duo QF-1 rings are investigated.

Key words: QF rings; QF-1 rings; duo rings.

MSC(2000): 16L60, 16E20 CLC number: O153.3

Throughout this paper, rings are always associative with identity and modules are unitary. We freely use the terminology and notation of [1].

A ring R is called a right QF-1 ring in case every faithful right R-module is balanced, that is, there is a canonical ring isomorphism from R to Biend( $M_R$ ) for every faithful right R-module M. Many properties of QF-1 rings were presented by Thrall<sup>[2]</sup> and Camillo<sup>[3]</sup>. R is a right PF-ring in case every faithful right R-module is a generator. According to Faith<sup>[4]</sup>, each generator is balanced, thus a right PF-ring is always a right QF-1 ring. The converse is not true when R is non-commutative. But whether a commutative QF-1 ring is PF is still open. Dickson&Fuller<sup>[5]</sup> and Camillo<sup>[3]</sup> proved that a commutative artinian QF-1 ring is QF, respectively. Ringel<sup>[6]</sup> and Storrer<sup>[7]</sup> generalized it to the commutative Noetherian case.

In this paper, we prove that a Noetherian duo right QF-1 ring is QF, which generalizes Ringel's result<sup>[6]</sup>. At the same time, we investigate linearly compact duo QF-1 rings and duo self-injective QF-1 rings.

We denote  $r_R(X)$  the right annihilator of X in R, and J the Jacobson radical of R. Let Soc(M) be the socle of module M, E(M) the injective hull of M and Rad(M) the Jacobson radical of M.

A ring R is called duo in case each one-side ideal is two-sided. Obviously, Ra = aR for each a in a duo ring R.

Lemma 1 Let R be a duo Noetherian ring with simple essential socle. Then  $l_R(SocR)$  is nilpotent.

**Proof** Since SocR is simple,  $l_R(\operatorname{SocR})$  is a maximal ideal of R. Let  $N = l_R(\operatorname{SocR})$ ,  $N \supseteq N^2 \supseteq N^3 \supseteq \cdots$ , then  $r_R(N) \subseteq r_R(N^2) \subseteq r_R(N^3) \subseteq \cdots$ , and there is an n such that  $r_R(N^n) = r_R(N^{n+1})$  since R is Noetherian. If  $N^{n+1} \neq 0$ , let  $K = \{a \in N | N^n a \neq 0\}$ ,  $K \neq \emptyset$ , then

Received date: 2003-03-28

Foundation item: the Foundation of Fujian Educational Committee (JA04264) and the Foundation of Putian University (02101).

 $\{r_R(a)|a\in K\}$  has a maximal element. Suppose  $r_R(a)$  is the maximal element. For each nonzero  $b\in N, r_R(b)$  is an essential ideal, so  $r_R(b)\cap aR\neq 0$ . There is an  $r_0\in R$  such that  $ar_0\neq 0$  and  $bar_0=0$ , that is,  $r_0\notin r_R(a)$  but  $r_0\in r_R(ba)$ . We have  $ba\in N$  and  $r_R(a)\subset r_R(ba)$ . By the maximality of  $r_R(a)$ , we get  $N^nba=0$ . Since b is an arbitrary element in N,  $N^{n+1}a=0$ . But  $a\in r_R(N^{n+1})=r_R(N^n)$ , that is,  $N^na=0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $N^{n+1}=0$ .

**Lemma 2** Let R be a duo Noetherian ring with simple essential socle. Then R is a local QF-ring.

**Proof** By Lemma 1 and [1, Corollary 15.10], the maximal ideal  $l_R(\operatorname{Soc} R) \subseteq J$ , hence  $J = l_R(\operatorname{Soc} R)$  and R is local. By Lemma 1 and [1, Theorem 15.20] again, R is semiprimary, so R is an Artinian ring. Now by [1, Corollary 31.8] R is a QF-ring.

The following two lemmas are very important in this paper. The idea of their proofs, given below for completion, comes from [6, Lemmas 3 and 4].

**Lemma 3** Let R be a local duo right QF-1 ring. If J is finitely generated, then R has non-zero socle.

**Proof** Suppose SocR=0, we have  $r_R(J)=0$ , then J is faithful. Since J is finitely generated, let  $J_R=x_1R+x_2R+\cdots+x_kR$ . Then R-homomorphism  $\varphi:R\to R^k$  defined by  $r\mapsto (x_1r,x_2r,\cdots,x_kr)$  is a monomorphism. And  $\operatorname{Im}\varphi\subseteq J^k=\operatorname{Rad}(R^k)$ . Set  $M_1=R,M_2=M_1^k, \varphi_1=\varphi:M_1\to M_2$  and  $M_{n+1}=M_n^k, \varphi_n=\varphi_{n-1}^k:M_n\to M_{n+1}$ . Then all  $\varphi_n$ 's are monic and  $\operatorname{Im}\varphi_n\subseteq\operatorname{Rad}(M_{n+1})$ . Let M be the direct limit of the diagram

$$M_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} M_3 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} \cdots$$

Since all  $\varphi_n$ 's are monic, we may assume that each  $M_n \subseteq M$  and  $\varphi_n$  is the inclusion map. So  $M = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$  and the socle of M is zero since the socle of each  $M_n$  is zero. Assume X is a maximal submodule of M. Then  $M_n \not\subseteq X$  for some n. If  $m \in M_n \setminus X$ , then  $(X \cap M_{n+1}) + mR = M_{n+1} \cap (X + mR) = M_{n+1} \cap M = M_{n+1}$ . Since  $m = \varphi_n(m) \in \text{Rad}(M_{n+1}), mR$  is superfluous in  $M_{n+1}$ . Then  $X \cap M_{n+1} = M_{n+1}, M_n \subseteq M_{n+1} \subseteq X$ , a contradiction. So M has no maximal submodules. But M is faithful, according to [3, Lemma 2], this contradicts to the QF-1 ring assumption of R.

**Lemma 4** Let R be a local duo right QF-1 ring with non-zero socle. Then SocR is simple and essential.

**Proof** Let S be a minimal ideal of R. We show that each nonzero ideal contains S.

Assume A is a proper ideal of R such that  $S \cap A = 0$ . Take  $0 \neq s \in S$  and  $0 \neq a \in A$ . We consider the module  $M_R = R^2/(s, a)R$ . Since  $R^2$  is projective, for every  $\gamma \in \operatorname{End}(M)$ , the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^2 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\gamma}} & R^2 \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ M & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & M \end{array}$$

commutes and  $\bar{\gamma}$  is lifted by  $\gamma$ , consequently  $\bar{\gamma}$  takes (s,a)R into (s,a)R, where  $\pi: R^2 \to M$  is the natural epimorphism. And the operation of  $\bar{\gamma}$  on  $R^2$  is just that of some matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{array}\right), \quad r_{ij} \in R.$$

So (s,a)  $\begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{pmatrix} = (sr_{11} + ar_{21}, sr_{12} + ar_{22}) = (rs,ra)$  for some  $r \in R$ . We note that Ra = aR for each a in a duo ring R, then  $ar_{21} = rs - sr_{11} \in Ra \cap Rs = 0$ . So  $r_{21}$  is not invertible, but R is local,  $r_{21} \in J$ . Similarly,  $sr_{12} = ra - ar_{22} \in Ra \cap Rs = 0$  implies that  $r_{12} \in J$ . Define an additive homomorphism f of  $R^2$  into itself by  $(r_1, r_2) \to (0, sr_2)$ . Since  $s \in S \subseteq SocR$  and  $(s,a)R \subseteq J^2$ , f maps (s,a)R into 0 and therefore induces an additive endomorphism f of M. Let  $\begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in End(M_R) = T$  and  $\overline{(r_1,r_2)} \in M$ . Then  $sr_2r_{21} = 0 = sr_1r_{12}$  since  $r_{12}, r_{21} \in J$ . Hence

$$\begin{split} [f\overline{(r_1,r_2)}] \left( \begin{array}{cc} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{array} \right) &= \overline{(0,sr_2)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{array} \right) = \overline{(sr_2r_{21},sr_2r_{22})} = \overline{(0,sr_1r_{12}+sr_2r_{22})} \\ &= f\overline{(r_1r_{11}+r_2r_{21},r_1r_{12}+r_2r_{22})} = f \left[ \overline{(r_1,r_2)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{array} \right) \right]. \end{split}$$

That is,  $f \in \operatorname{End}(TM) = \operatorname{Biend}(M_R)$ . Next we show M is faithful. If Mr = 0 then  $(1,1)r \in (s,a)R, r \in sR \cap aR = 0$ . Thus r = 0 and M is faithful. Since R is right QF-1,  $M_R$  is balanced. There is an  $r_0 \in R$  such that  $f(m) = mr_0$  for each  $m \in M$ . Since  $f(0,1) = (0,s) \neq 0$ ,  $r_0 \neq 0$ . And  $0 = f(1,0) = r_0(1,0) = (r_0,0)$ , hence  $(r_0,0) \in (s,a)R$ . Let  $r_0 = r_1s$  and  $0 = r_1a$ . Since R is local,  $r_1 \in J$ , then  $r_0 = r_1s = 0$ , a contradiction.

**Theorem 5** Let R be a duo Noetherian ring. If R is a right QF-1 ring, then R is QF.

**Proof** From the proof of [6, Lemma 2], we note that a duo Noetherian ring also has the property of no non-zero maps between the injective hulls of two non-isomorphic simple R-modules. By [3, Theorem 7], we may assume R is local. Now by Lemmas 3, 4 and 2, R is QF.

Now we investigate linearly compact rings. A module M is called linearly compact [8, Section 3] in case any finitely solvable congruence  $m \equiv m_i \pmod{M_i}$  is solvable, where  $M_i$ 's are submodules of M and  $m_i \in M$ . A ring R is right (left) linearly compact if the regular module  $R_R$  (R) is linearly compact. Since each one-sided ideal in a duo ring is an ideal, a duo ring is right linearly compact iff it is left linearly compact, so we simply speak of a linearly compact duo ring. We recall that a ring R is right PF if  $R_R$  is an injective cogenerator.

Theorem 6 Let R be a linearly compact duo right QF-1 ring. If J is finitely generated, then R is a PF-ring.

**Proof** Since a linearly compact ring is semiperfect and each idempotent lies in the center of a duo ring, a linearly compact duo ring is a finite product of local linearly compact duo rings. We suppose R is a local ring. Using Lemma 3, R has a nontrivial socle. And SocR is simple and essential by Lemma 4. Now by [9, Lemma 3.2] R is a (two-sided) PF-ring.

**Theorem 7** Let R be a linearly compact duo right QF-1 ring. If  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J^n$  is finitely generated, then R is a QF-ring.

**Proof** Let R be local. Since R is linearly compact,  $J/J^2$  is finitely generated semisimple. Assume  $J = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i R + J^2$ , then

$$J^{2} = J \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}R + J^{2}) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}R + J^{3},$$

so

$$J = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i R + J^2 \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i R + J^3 \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i R + J^2 = J.$$

We have  $J = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i R + J^3$ . By the similar method,  $J = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i R + J^n$  for each n. By [8, Corollary 3.9],

$$J = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i R + J^n) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i R + \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J^n.$$

J is finitely generated since  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J^n$  is finitely generated. Thus R is a PF-ring by Theorem 6. And now it follows from [8, Corollary 17.5 and Lemma 17.1] that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J^n = 0$  and hence R is a Noetherian ring. Hence R is QF.

Recall that a ring R is right (FPF)PF if every (finitely generated) faithful right R-module is a generator. We now discuss right duo right self-injective right QF-1 rings. XIN  $\operatorname{Lin}^{[12]}$  shows that a left self-injective left duo and left QF-1 ring is a left PF-ring, if J is nil and  $J/J^2$  is finite generated. Here we give another condition for this result. From [10] a right self-injective ring is duo iff it is right duo. We simply assume R is a duo right self-injective ring.

**Lemma 8** If R is duo right self-injective, then R is a right FPF-ring.

**Proof** Let  $M = m_1 R + m_2 R + \cdots + m_n R$  be a finitely generated faithful right R-module. Then

$$0 = r_R(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i R) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n r_R(m_i R) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n r_R(m_i).$$

If  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n r_R(m_i) \neq 0$ , then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n r_R(m_i)$  is a non-zero two-sided ideal since R is a duo ring. We have

$$M \cdot \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} r_R(m_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (m_i R \cdot \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} r_R(m_i)) = 0.$$

But M is faithful, a contradiction. Therefore  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n r_R(m_i) = 0$ . Define  $\varphi : R \to M^n, r \mapsto (m_1 r, m_2 r, \dots, m_n r)$ ,  $\varphi$  is monic. Since R is right self-injective,  $M^n = R \oplus X$ . Then M is a generator, so R is right FPF.

**Lemma 9** Let R be a local duo right self-injective ring. If E(R/J) is finitely generated as right R-module, then R is a right PF-ring.

**Proof** Since R is local, E(R/J) is a minimal (injective) cogenerator, which is a faithful right

R-module. By Lemma 8 E(R/J) is a generator. Since  $R_R$  is finitely generated projective,  $E(R/J) \to R_R \to 0$  spilts for some n. That is,  $R_R$  is a direct summand of  $E(R/J)^n$ , which is finitely cogenerated. Hence  $R_R$  is finitely cogenerated. Now by [11, Proposition 24.32(d)], R is a right PF-ring.

Corollary 10 Let R be a local duo right self-injective ring. If there is a finitely generated cogenerator as right R-module, then R is a right PF-ring.

Proposition 11 Let R be a duo right self-injective and right QF-1 ring. If there is a finitely generated cogenerator as right R-module, then R is a right PF-ring.

**Proof** By [12, Lemma 3] and [3, Theorem 7], we may assume R is local. By Corollary 10, R is a right PF-ring.

Acknowledgement The author would like to thank his advisor Professors XUE Wei-min and XIN Lin for helpful suggestions.

## References:

- ANDERSON F W, FULLER K R. Rings and Categories of Modules [M]. 2nd ed., New York: Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [2] THRALL R M. Some generalizations of QF-1 algebras [J]. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1948, 64: 173-183.
- [3] CAMILLO V P. Balanced rings and a prolbem of Thrail [J]. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1970, 149: 143-153.
- [4] FAITH C. A general Wedderburn theorem [J]. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 1967, 73: 65-67.
- [5] DICKSON S E, FULLER K R. Commutative QF-1 Artinian rings are QF [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1970, 24: 667-670.
- [6] RINGEL C M. Commutative QF-1 rings [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1974, 42: 365-368.
- [7] STORRER H. Epimorphic extensions of non-commutative rings [J]. Comment. Math. Helv., 1973, 48: 72-86.
- [8] XUE Wei-min. Rings with Morita Duality [M]. Lecture Notes Mathematics 1523, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [9] XUE Wei-min. On a generalization of Azumaya's exact rings [J]. J. Algebra, 1995, 172: 78-89.
- [10] MOHAMED S. q-Rings with chain conditions [J]. J. London Math. Soc., 1970, 2: 455-460.
- [11] FAITH C. Algebra II: Ring Theory [M]. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [12] XIN Lin. Some properties of QF-1 ring [J]. J. Fujian Normal Univ. (Natural Science), 1995, 11: 25-29. (in Chinese)

## 关于 duo QF-1 环的若干性质

陈智雄 (莆田学院数学系,福建 莆田 351100)

摘要: 证明了 Noetherian duo 右 QF-1 环是 QF 环,并给出了线性紧 duo 右 QF-1 环的几个结论

**关键词**: QF 环; QF-1 环; duo 环.