

On the Filtration Dimensions of a Standardly Stratified Algebra and Its Polynomial Algebra

KANG Na^{1,2}, YAO Hai-lou¹

(1. College of Applied Science, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100022, China;

2. College of Applied Science, Shijiazhuang University of Economics, Hebei 050031, China)

(E-mail: yaoh@bjut.edu.cn)

Abstract: This paper deals with \triangle -good filtration dimensions of a standardly stratified algebra and $\triangle[x]$ -good filtration dimensions of its polynomial algebra.

Key words: standardly stratified algebras; filtration dimensions; polynomial algebras; quasi-hereditary algebras.

MSC(2000): 16G20, 16G60

CLC number: O153.3

0. Introduction

In order to investigate semi-simple complex Lie algebras and high-weight module category of algebraic groups, L. Scott^[8] introduced the concept of quasi-hereditary algebras. As a generalization of quasi-hereditary algebras, properly standardly stratified algebras and standardly stratified algebras were introduced by Cline, Parshall, Scott^[9] and Dlab^[10]. Since then, many mathematicians have been interested in researching these algebras. For example, in 1989, Dlab and Ringel^[4] proved that the semiprimary ring with global dimension 2 is a quasi-hereditary algebra; In 1996, D. Zacharia^[3] calculated the Hochschild homological groups of quasi-hereditary algebras; In 2000, I. Ágoston and D. Happel^[5] investigated the relationship between standardly stratified algebras and tilting modules; In 2001, in order to calculate the global dimensions of GL_2 - and GL_3 -algebras, A.E. Parker^[2] introduced the concept of ∇ -(or \triangle -)good filtration dimension for a quasi-hereditary algebra. Recently, Zhu Bin and S. Caenepeel^[1] investigated these dimensions for standardly stratified algebras and properly stratified algebras. The aim of this paper is to study the filtration dimensions of a standardly stratified algebra and its polynomial algebra.

1. Preliminaries

Let R be a commutative Artinian ring and A a basic Artinian algebra over R . We will consider finitely generated left A -module. The composition of maps $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $g : M_2 \rightarrow$

Received date: 2004-07-07

Foundation item: the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10371036), the Natural Science Foundation of Beijing (1042001) and the Fundamental Research Foundation of Beijing University of Technology (KZ0601200382)

M_3 will be denoted by gf . The category of left A -modules will be denoted by $A\text{-mod}$. All subcategories will be considered full and closed under isomorphism.

Given a class θ of $A\text{-mod}$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}(\theta)$ the full subcategory of all A -modules which have a θ -filtration, that is, a filtration

$$0 = M_t \subseteq M_{t-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_0 = M$$

such that each factor M_{i-1}/M_i ($1 \leq i \leq t$) is isomorphic to an object of θ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. The modules in $\mathcal{F}(\theta)$ are called θ -good modules and the category $\mathcal{F}(\theta)$ is called the θ -good module category.

In the following, (A, \leq) will denote the algebra A together with a fixed ordering on a complete set $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of primitive orthogonal idempotents (given by the natural ordering of indices). For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $E(i)$ be the simple A -module which is the simple top of the indecomposable projective $P(i) = Ae_i$. The standard module $\Delta(i)$ is by definition the maximal factor module of $P(i)$ without composition factors $E(j)$ with $j > i$. $\overline{\Delta(i)}$ will be the notation for proper standard module, which is the maximal factor module of $\Delta(i)$ such that condition $[\overline{\Delta(i)} : E(i)] = 1$.

Dually, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have costandard modules $\nabla(i)$ and proper costandard modules $\overline{\nabla(i)}$.

Let Δ be the full subcategory consisting of all $\Delta(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\Delta_{<\lambda}$ the full subcategory of all $\Delta(\delta)$ with $\delta < \lambda$. In a similar way we introduce ∇ and $\nabla_{<\lambda}$ and so on.

The pair (A, \leq) is called a standardly stratified algebra if ${}_A A \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. (A, \leq) is called a proper standardly stratified algebra if ${}_A A \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ and ${}_A A \in \mathcal{F}(\overline{\Delta})$. Note that these properties generalize the concept of quasi-hereditary algebras where we require the additional condition that the standard modules are Schur modules.

Let (A, \leq) be a standardly stratified algebra. A full subcategory \mathcal{T} of $A\text{-mod}$ is called contravariantly finite in $A\text{-mod}$ if for any A -module M there is a module $M_1 \in \mathcal{T}$ and a morphism $f : M_1 \rightarrow M$ such that the restriction of $\text{Hom}_A(-, f)$ to \mathcal{T} is surjective. Such a morphism f is called a right \mathcal{T} -approximation of M . A right \mathcal{T} -approximation $f : M_1 \rightarrow M$ of M is called a minimal if the restriction of f to any non-zero direct summand of M_1 is nonzero. The covariant finiteness of \mathcal{T} and the left \mathcal{T} -approximation of M can be defined dually. $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ are said to be functorially finite in $A\text{-mod}$, if they are at the same time covariantly and contravariantly finite in $A\text{-mod}$.

Lemma 1.1^[1] *Let (A, \leq) be a standardly stratified algebra, then the following statements hold:*

- (a) $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ is a functorially finite and resolving subcategory;
- (b) $\mathcal{F}(\overline{\nabla})$ is a covariantly finite and coresolving subcategory;
- (c) $\mathcal{F}(\Delta) = \{X | \text{Ext}^1(X, \mathcal{F}(\overline{\nabla})) = 0\}$;
- (d) $\mathcal{F}(\overline{\nabla}) = \{Y | \text{Ext}^1((\mathcal{F}(\Delta)), Y) = 0\}$.

It follows from Lemma 1.1 that there exists a finite $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ -resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow M_d \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0, \quad (1)$$

where $M_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ for all $X \in A\text{-mod}$.

Definition 1.1 Let (A, \leq) be a standardly stratified algebra, and let $\Delta\text{-gfd}(X)$ be the smallest number d for which we have an $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ -resolution (1) with $M_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$.

Lemma 1.2^[1] $\Delta\text{-gfd}(X) = d$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^i(X, \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)) = 0$ for all $i \geq d$ and all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, but there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\text{Ext}_R^d(X, \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)) \neq 0$.

We can introduce the definition of $\overline{\nabla}\text{-gfd}(X)$ by duality.

Definition 1.3 Let (A, \leq) be a standardly stratified algebra.

$$\Delta\text{-gfd}(A) = \sup\{\Delta\text{-gfd}(X) | X \in A\text{-mod}\}$$

is called the Δ -good filtration dimension of A .

$$\overline{\nabla}\text{-gfd}(A) = \sup\{\overline{\nabla}\text{-gfd}(X) | X \in A\text{-mod}\}$$

is called the $\overline{\nabla}$ -good filtration dimension of A .

2. On $\Delta\text{-gfd}(A)$

Firstly, we have the following lemmas which are easy to prove.

Lemma 2.1 Let (A, \leq) be a standardly stratified algebra, the following statements hold:

- (1) $C \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ if and only if $\Delta\text{-gfd}(C) = 0$;
- (2) $C \in \mathcal{F}(\overline{\nabla})$ if and only if $\overline{\nabla}\text{-gfd}(C) = 0$.

Lemma 2.2 Let (A, \leq) be a standardly stratified algebra and X, Y, Z be A -modules. If $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ is exact and $Y \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$, then

$$\text{Ext}^n(X, \overline{\nabla}(i)) \cong \text{Ext}^{n+1}(Z, \overline{\nabla}(i)) \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Proof We have $\text{Ext}^n(Y, \overline{\nabla}(i)) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$, since $Y \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. Thus we know the lemma holds from the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^n(X, \overline{\nabla}(i)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+1}(Z, \overline{\nabla}(i)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 2.1 Let (A, \leq) be a standardly stratified algebra and X, P, Y, X', P' be A -modules. If

$$0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{\eta} P \xrightarrow{\pi} Y \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow X' \xrightarrow{\eta'} P' \xrightarrow{\pi'} Y \longrightarrow 0$$

are exact sequence and π' is a right $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ -approximation and $P \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$, then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{\sigma} P \oplus X' \xrightarrow{\tau} Y \longrightarrow 0. \quad (*)$$

Proof Since π' is a right $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ -approximation, we can define f and g such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{\eta} & P & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Y \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & g \downarrow & & f \downarrow & & I_y \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & P' & \longrightarrow & Y \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Define $\sigma : X \rightarrow P \oplus X'$, $x \rightarrow (-\eta(x), g(x))$ and $\tau : P \oplus X' \rightarrow P'$, $(p, x') \rightarrow f(p) + \eta'(x')$. It is routine to check that the sequence $(*)$ is exact. \square

Lemma 2.3^[2] Let (A, \leq) be a standardly stratified algebra and X, Y, Z belong to $A\text{-mod}$. If

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence, then the following statements hold:

- (1) If $\Delta - \text{gfd}(Y) > \Delta - \text{gfd}(X)$, then $\Delta - \text{gfd}(Z) = \Delta - \text{gfd}(Y)$;
- (2) If $\Delta - \text{gfd}(Y) < \Delta - \text{gfd}(X)$, then $\Delta - \text{gfd}(Z) = \Delta - \text{gfd}(X) + 1$;
- (3) If $\Delta - \text{gfd}(Y) = \Delta - \text{gfd}(X)$, then $\Delta - \text{gfd}(Z) \leq \Delta - \text{gfd}(X) + 1$.

Lemma 2.4 $\Delta - \text{gfd}(\bigcup_{(\lambda \in \Lambda)} X_\lambda) = \sup_{(\lambda \in \Lambda)} \{\Delta - \text{gfd}(X_\lambda)\}$.

Proof The conclusion follows from the following isomorphisms and formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^n(\bigcup X_\lambda, \nabla(i)) &\simeq \prod \text{Ext}^n(X_\lambda, \nabla(i)) \\ \text{Ext}^n(\bigcup X_\lambda, \nabla(i)) = 0 &\iff \text{Ext}^n(X_\lambda, \nabla(i)) = 0 \quad (\forall i, \forall \lambda) \\ \Delta - \text{gfd}(\bigcup_{(\lambda \in \Lambda)} X_\lambda) &= \sup_{(\lambda \in \Lambda)} \{\Delta - \text{gfd}(X_\lambda)\} \end{aligned}$$

By duality we have

Lemma 2.5 $\overline{\nabla} - \text{gfd}(\prod_{(\lambda \in \Lambda)} X_\lambda) = \sup_{(\lambda \in \Lambda)} \{\overline{\nabla} - \text{gfd}(X_\lambda)\}$.

Theorem 2.2 Suppose (A, \leq) is a standardly stratified algebra and for any A -module M there exists the following resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow M_r \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

such that $M_{i-1}/M_i \cong E(i)$ where $E(i)$ is some simple module, then we have that $\Delta - \text{gfd}(A) = \sup\{\Delta - \text{gfd}(E(i)) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, t\}$.

Proof Assume that $\sup\{\Delta - \text{gfd}(E(i)) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, t\} = n$. Let $l(M) = r$ where $l(M)$ is the composition length of M , then M has a resolution (2). We assume $r = 1$, then M is a simple module. Thus, $\Delta - \text{gfd}(M) \leq n$. If $r > 1$, then $X = M/M_0$. So, X is a simple module and

$l(X) = 1$, $l(M_0) = r - 1$. By induction hypothesis, $\triangle - \text{gfd}(M_0) \leq n$, we have $\triangle - \text{gfd}(X) \leq n$ and the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0.$$

From Lemma 2.3, we have $\triangle - \text{gfd}(M) \leq n$. Therefore, $\triangle - \text{gfd}(A) = \sup\{\triangle - \text{gfd}(E(i)) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, t\}$. \square

3. On $R[x]$ -modules

Let R be an algebra, A be a R -module, and x be a letter. We call the following form

$$a(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m, \quad (a_i \in A, a_m \neq 0)$$

a polynomial of degree m over R . A nonzero element $a_0 \neq 0$ in A is a polynomial of degree 0, while the zero element 0 in A is the zero-polynomial, but it is of non-degree. We define $a(x) = b(x)$ if and only if they have the same degree and the corresponding coefficients are the same, and the sum of $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ is defined canonically (i.e. amalgamation of the same terms). Thus, the set of all polynomials over A forms an additive group(commutative), denoted by $A[x]$. If

$$\beta(x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1x + \dots + \beta_nx^n, \quad (\beta_i \in R, \beta_n \neq 0)$$

and $a(x) \in A[x]$, we define

$$\beta(x)a(x) = b_0 + b_1(x) + b_2x + \dots,$$

where

$$b_s = \sum_{i+j=s} \beta_i a_j.$$

Then $R[x]$ is an algebra and is called a polynomial algebra of one variable. $A[x]$ is an $R[x]$ -module. Clearly, we define $x^n x^m = x^{n+m}$. Hence, x^n can be understood as the n -th power of x , which is subject to the index law.

Let $\beta(x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1x + \dots + \beta_nx^n$, where $\beta_i \in A$. Let $\beta' \in R$, then we have

$$\beta(x)\beta' = \beta_0\beta' + \beta_1\beta'x + \dots + \beta_n\beta'x^n.$$

Thus, $R[x]$ is a right R -module (of course, it is also a left R -module).

One can define a formal power series algebra $R[[x]]$ and a formal power series module $A[[x]]$ where X is a letter. $R[[x]]$ is a right R -module (of course, it is also a left R -module).

Lemms 3.1^[7] (1) As a right R -module, the polynomial algebra $R[x]$ is flat;

(2) $A[x] \cong R[x] \otimes_R A$.

Similarly, we have

Lemma 3.2 Let R be a perfect and coherent commutative algebra, then

- (1) As R -modules, the formal power series algebra $R[[x]]$ is flat;
- (2) $A[[x]] \cong R[[x]] \otimes_R A$.

Lemma 3.3 Let R be a perfect and coherent commutative algebra, $R[[x]]$ be a formal power series algebra where x is a letter, and M be an $R[[x]]$ -module, then we have:

- (a) If M is an injective $R[[x]]$ -module, then M is an injective R -module ;
- (b) If M is a flat R -module, then $R[[x]] \otimes_R M$ is a flat $R[[x]]$ -module ;
- (c) If M is a flat $R[[x]]$ -module, then M is a flat R -module;
- (d) Assume that M is an $R[[x]]$ -module and that M is an injective R -module, then $\text{Hom}_R(R[[x]], M)$ is an injective $R[[x]]$ -module.

Lemma 3.4 Let R be a commutative algebra, $R[x]$ be a polynomial algebra where x is a letter, and M be an $R[x]$ -module. We have:

- (a) If M is an injective $R[x]$ -module, then M is an injective R -module;
- (b) If M is a flat R -module, then $R[x] \otimes_R M$ is a flat R -module;
- (c) If M is a flat $R[x]$ -module, then M is a flat R -module;
- (d) Assume that M is $R[x]$ -module and that M is an injective R -module, then $\text{Hom}_R(R[x], M)$ is an injective $R[x]$ -module.

Lemma 3.5 $\frac{A[x]}{B[x]} = \frac{A}{B}[x]$.

Proof We define a homomorphism f from $\frac{A[x]}{B[x]}$ to $\frac{A}{B}[x]$ as follows.

$$f : \overline{a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0} \mapsto \overline{a_n} x^n + \overline{a_{n-1}} x^{n-1} + \cdots + \overline{a_1} x + \overline{a_0}.$$

It is easy to show that f is well-defined and is an isomorphism. □

Similarly, one can have the following

Lemma 3.6 $\frac{A[[x]]}{B[[x]]} = \frac{A}{B}[[x]]$.

Lemma 3.7 Let (R, \leq) be a standardly stratified algebra. We define

- (1) $\triangle(i)[x] = R[x] \otimes_R \triangle(i)$;
- (2) $\overline{\triangle}(i)[x] = R[x] \otimes_R \overline{\triangle}(i)$;
- (3) $\nabla(i)[x] = R[x] \otimes_R \nabla(i)$;
- (4) $\overline{\nabla}(i)[x] = R[x] \otimes_R \overline{\nabla}(i)$,

then the following conditions hold:

- (a) If $M \in \mathcal{F}_R(\triangle)$, then $M[x] \in \mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\triangle[x])$;
- (b) If $M \in \mathcal{F}_R(\overline{\triangle})$, then $M[x] \in \mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\overline{\triangle}[x])$;
- (c) If $M \in \mathcal{F}_R(\nabla)$, then $M[x] \in \mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\nabla[x])$;
- (d) If $M \in \mathcal{F}_R(\overline{\nabla})$ then $M[x] \in \mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\overline{\nabla}[x])$.

Proof (a) If $M \in \mathcal{F}_R(\triangle)$, then there exists a filtration chain

$$0 = M_n \subseteq M_{n-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_0 = M$$

such that $\frac{M_i}{M_{i+1}} \cong \Delta(j)$ for some j in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Since $R[x]$ is a flat R -module, we have

$$0 = R[x] \otimes_R M_n \subseteq R[x] \otimes_R M_{n-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq R[x] \otimes_R M_0 = R[x] \otimes_R M,$$

i.e.

$$0 = M_n[x] \subseteq M_{n-1}[x] \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_1[x] \subseteq M_0[x] = M[x]$$

as required. By Lemma 3.5, we have $\frac{M_i[x]}{M_{i+1}[x]} \cong \frac{M_i}{M_{i+1}}[x] \cong \Delta(j)[x]$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). So, $M[x] \in \mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\Delta[x])$. The proofs of (b), (c) and (d) are similar to the proof of (a). \square

Lemma 3.8 Let R be a perfect and coherent commutative algebra and (R, \leq) be a standardly stratified algebra. Define

- (1) $\Delta(i)[[x]] = R[[x]] \otimes_R \Delta(i)$; (2) $\overline{\Delta}(i)[[x]] = R[[x]] \otimes_R \overline{\Delta}(i)$;
 (3) $\nabla(i)[[x]] = R[[x]] \otimes_R \nabla(i)$; (4) $\overline{\nabla}(i)[[x]] = R[[x]] \otimes_R \overline{\nabla}(i)$,

then we have

- (a) If $M \in \mathcal{F}_R(\Delta)$, then $M[[x]] \in \mathcal{F}_{R[[x]]}(\Delta[[x]])$;
 (b) If $M \in \mathcal{F}_R(\overline{\Delta})$, then $M[[x]] \in \mathcal{F}_{R[[x]]}(\overline{\Delta}[[x]])$;
 (c) If $M \in \mathcal{F}_R(\nabla)$, then $M[[x]] \in \mathcal{F}_{R[[x]]}(\nabla[[x]])$;
 (d) If $M \in \mathcal{F}_R(\overline{\nabla})$, then $M[[x]] \in \mathcal{F}_{R[[x]]}(\overline{\nabla}[[x]])$.

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.7.

Lemma 3.9 If $B[x] \in \mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\Delta[x])$, then $B \in \mathcal{F}_R(\Delta)$.

Proof If $B[x] \in \mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\Delta[x])$, then $B[x] \in \mathcal{F}_R(\Delta)$. Since $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ is closed under direct summands, we have $B \in \mathcal{F}_R(\Delta)$. \square

Lemma 3.10 $\mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\Delta[x])$ is contravariantly finite in the subcategory consisting of modules which are of the form of $A[x]$.

Proof Since $\mathcal{F}_R(\Delta)$ is contravariantly finite, there exists a morphism $f : C \longrightarrow A$ such that it is a right $\mathcal{F}_R(\Delta)$ -approximation of A for all R -module A . Thus, there is an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(B, C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, f)} \mathrm{Hom}_R(B, A) \longrightarrow 0,$$

for all $B \in \mathcal{F}_R(\Delta)$. In the following we prove that $1_{R[x]} \otimes f : R[x] \otimes_R C \simeq C[x] \longrightarrow R[x] \otimes_R A \simeq A[x]$ is a right $\mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\Delta[x])$ -approximation of $A[x]$, that is to say, we need to prove that there exists an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R[x]}(B[x], C[x]) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_{R[x]}(\cdot, 1_{R[x]} \otimes f)} \mathrm{Hom}_{R[x]}(B[x], A[x]) \longrightarrow 0,$$

for all $B[x] \in \mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\Delta[x])$. Since we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R[x]}(B[x], A[x]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{R[x]}(R[x] \otimes_R B, A[x])$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_R(B, \operatorname{Hom}_{R[x]}(R[x], A[x])) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_R(B, A[x]), \end{aligned}$$

and $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[x]}(B[x], C[x]) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_R(B, C[x])$, we only need to prove that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(B, C[x]) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_R(\cdot, 1_{R[x]} \otimes f)} \operatorname{Hom}_R(B, A[x]) \longrightarrow 0$$

i.e., for all R -module morphism $\xi : B \longrightarrow A[x]$, we need to prove that there exists an R -module morphism $\eta : B \longrightarrow C[x]$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C[x] & \xrightarrow{1_{R[x]} \otimes f} & A[x] \\ & \eta \searrow & \nearrow \xi \\ & B & \end{array}$$

is commutative. As we have

$$C[x] \simeq R[x] \otimes_R C \simeq \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{\infty} R \otimes_R C \simeq \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{\infty} C$$

and

$$A[x] \simeq R[x] \otimes_R A \simeq \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{\infty} R \otimes_R A \simeq \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{\infty} A,$$

the R -module morphism $1_{R[x]} \otimes f : R[x] \otimes_R C \longrightarrow R[x] \otimes_R A$ can be regarded as R -module morphism $\bigsqcup f : \bigsqcup C \longrightarrow \bigsqcup A$. Taking an injection $g : C \longrightarrow \bigsqcup C$ and a projection $h : \bigsqcup A \longrightarrow A$, we have $h(\bigsqcup f)g = f$. Since $f : C \longrightarrow A$ is a right $\mathcal{F}_R(\Delta)$ -approximation of A and $B \in \mathcal{F}_R(\Delta)$, there is a morphism τ such that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \xrightarrow{g} & \bigsqcup C & \xrightarrow{\bigsqcup f} & \bigsqcup A & \xrightarrow{h} & A \\ & & \tau \searrow & & \nearrow \xi \\ & & B & & \end{array}$$

So, it is enough to take $\eta = g\tau$. Therefore, $1_{R[x]} \otimes f : R[x] \otimes_R C \simeq C[x] \longrightarrow R[x] \otimes_R A = A[x]$ is a right $\mathcal{F}_{R[[x]]}(\Delta[x])$ -approximation of $A[x]$. $\mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\Delta[x])$ is contravariantly finite in the subcategory consisting of modules which have the form of $A[x]$. \square

Similarly, we have

Lemma 3.11 $\mathcal{F}_{R[[x]]}(\overline{\nabla}[[x]])$ is covariantly finite in the subcategory consisting of modules which are of the form of $A[[x]]$.

4. On $\Delta[x]$ - gfd of $R[x]$

For all $A[x]$, it follows from Lemma 3.10 that there is a finite $\mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\Delta[x])$ -resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow M_d[x] \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_0[x] \longrightarrow A[x] \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3)$$

with $M_i[x] \in \mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\Delta[x])$ for all $A[x]$.

Definition 4.1 $\Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x])$ is the smallest number d for which we have $\mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\Delta[x])$ -resolution in (3) with $M_i[x] \in \mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\Delta[x])$.

$$\Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(R[x]) = -\sup\{\Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x]) \mid A \in \text{mod } R\}.$$

Similarly, we can introduce the notions $\overline{\nabla}[[x]] - \text{gfd}(A[[x]])$ and $\overline{\nabla}[[x]] - \text{gfd}(R[[x]])$.

Theorem 4.1 Let A be an R -module, then $\Delta - \text{gfd}(A) = \Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x])$.

Proof Since A has a finite $\mathcal{F}_R(\Delta)$ -resolution

$$\cdots \longrightarrow M_n \longrightarrow M_{n-1} \cdots \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M_0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0,$$

$A[x]$ has an $\mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\Delta[x])$ -resolution as follows

$$\cdots \longrightarrow R[x] \otimes_R M_n \longrightarrow R[x] \otimes_R M_{n-1} \cdots \longrightarrow R[x] \otimes_R M_1 \longrightarrow R[x] \otimes_R M_0 \longrightarrow R[x] \otimes_R A \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus, $\Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x]) \leq \Delta - \text{gfd}(A)$. Suppose $\Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x]) = n$, then $A[x]$ has an $\mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\Delta[x])$ -resolution as follows

$$0 \longrightarrow Q_n \longrightarrow Q_{n-1} \cdots \longrightarrow Q_1 \longrightarrow Q_0 \longrightarrow A[x] \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $Q_i \in \mathcal{F}_{R[x]}(\Delta[x])$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). As an R -module, $Q_i \in \mathcal{F}_R(\Delta)$. Since $A[x]$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of countably many A and $\Delta - \text{gfd}(\bigsqcup A) = \Delta[x] = n$, we have $\Delta - \text{gfd}(A) \leq n = \Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x])$. Therefore, $\Delta - \text{gfd}(A) = \Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x])$. \square

Similarly, we have

Theorem 4.2 Let R be a perfect and coherent commutative algebra and (R, \leq) be a standardly stratified algebra. If A is an R -module, then $\overline{\nabla}[[x]] - \text{gfd}(A[[x]]) = \overline{\nabla} - \text{gfd}(A)$.

Theorem 4.3 $\Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x]) = d$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_{R[x]}^i(A[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) = 0$ for all $i \geq d$ and all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, but there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\text{Ext}_{R[x]}^d(A[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \neq 0$.

Proof As an R -module, $\overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x] \simeq \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)$. So, we have that $\Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x]) = d \iff \Delta - \text{gfd}(A) = d \iff \text{Ext}_R^i(A, \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)) = 0$ for all $i \geq d$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, but there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\text{Ext}_R^d(A, \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)) \neq 0$. $\iff \text{Ext}_R^i(A, \bigsqcup \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)) = 0$ for all $i \geq d$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, but there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\text{Ext}_R^d(A, \bigsqcup \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)) \neq 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{R[x]}^i(R[x]_R \otimes A, \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) &\simeq \text{Ext}_R^i(A, \text{Hom}_{R[x]}(R[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x])) \\ &\simeq \text{Ext}_R^i(A, \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^i(A, \bigsqcup \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)) \simeq \bigsqcup \text{Ext}_R^i(A, \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)) \end{aligned}$$

$\Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x]) = d$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_{R[x]}^i(A[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) = 0$ for all $i \geq d$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, but there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\text{Ext}_{R[x]}^d(A[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \neq 0$. \square

Similarly, we can obtain

Theorem 4.4 *Let R be a perfect and coherent commutative algebra and (R, \leq) be a standardly stratified algebra. If A is an R -module, then $\overline{\nabla}[[x]] - \text{gfd}(A[[x]]) = d$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_{R[[x]]}^i(\Delta(\lambda)[[x]], A[[x]]) = 0$ for all $i \geq d$ and all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, but there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that*

$$\text{Ext}_{R[[x]]}^d(\Delta(\lambda)[[x]], A[[x]]) \neq 0.$$

Theorem 4.5 *Let A, B, C be R -module. If*

$$0 \rightarrow A[x] \rightarrow B[x] \rightarrow C[x] \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, then we have

(1) *If $\Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(B[x]) > \Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x])$, then*

$$\Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(C[x]) = \Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(B[x]);$$

(2) *If $\Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(B[x]) < \Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x])$, then*

$$\Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(C[x]) = \Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x]) + 1;$$

(3) *If $\Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(B[x]) = \Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x])$, then*

$$\Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(C[x]) \leq \Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x]) + 1.$$

Proof There is a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R[x]}^n(C[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R[x]}^n(B[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R[x]}^n(A[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \\ \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R[x]}^{n+1}(C[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R[x]}^{n+1}(B[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R[x]}^{n+1}(A[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \rightarrow \cdots, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for all $\overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]$ and n . Suppose $\Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(B[x]) = m$, $\Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x]) = n$. We have

1) If $m > n$, then $\text{Ext}_{R[x]}^m(A[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) = 0$, but there exists a λ such that

$$\text{Ext}_{R[x]}^m(B[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \neq 0.$$

By the long exact sequence (4) we have that $\text{Ext}_{R[x]}^m(C[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \neq 0$,

$$\text{Ext}_{R[x]}^{m+j}(B[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \simeq \text{Ext}_{R[x]}^{m+j}(C[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]), \quad j > 0, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

Therefore, we have $\Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(C[x]) = \Delta[x] - \text{gfd}(B[x])$ from Theorem 4.3.

2) If $m < n$, then $\text{Ext}_{R[x]}^n(B[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) = 0$, but there exists a λ such that

$$\text{Ext}_{R[x]}^n(A[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \neq 0.$$

By the long exact sequence (4) we have that $\text{Ext}_{R[x]}^{n+1}(C[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \neq 0$,

$$\text{Ext}_{R[x]}^{n+j}(C[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \simeq \text{Ext}_{R[x]}^{n+j-1}(A[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \quad j > 0, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

Therefore, we have $\triangle[x] - \text{gfd}(C[x]) = \triangle[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x]) + 1$ from Theorem 4.3.

3) If $m = n$, then $\text{Ext}_{R[x]}^{n+1}(B[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \simeq \text{Ext}_{R[x]}^{n+1}(A[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) = 0$, but there is a $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\text{Ext}_{R[x]}^n(A[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \neq 0$.

By the long exact sequence (4) we have that $\text{Ext}_{R[x]}^{n+2}(C[x], \overline{\nabla}(\lambda)[x]) \neq 0$.

Therefore, we have $\triangle[x] - \text{gfd}(C[x]) \leq \triangle[x] - \text{gfd}(A[x]) + 1$ from Theorem 4.3. \square

Similarly, we have

Theorem 4.6 *Let A, B, C be R -modules, if*

$$0 \rightarrow A[[x]] \rightarrow B[[x]] \rightarrow C[[x]] \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, we have

(1) *If $\overline{\nabla}[[x]] - \text{gfd}(B[[x]]) > \overline{\nabla}[[x]] - \text{gfd}(C[[x]])$, then*

$$\overline{\nabla}[[x]] - \text{gfd}(A[[x]]) = \overline{\nabla}[[x]] - \text{gfd}(C[[x]]);$$

(2) *If $\overline{\nabla}[[x]] - \text{gfd}(B[[x]]) < \overline{\nabla}[[x]] - \text{gfd}(C[[x]])$, then*

$$\overline{\nabla}[[x]] - \text{gfd}(A[[x]]) = \overline{\nabla}[[x]] - \text{gfd}(C[[x]]) + 1;$$

(3) *If $\overline{\nabla}[[x]] - \text{gfd}(B[[x]]) = \overline{\nabla}[[x]] - \text{gfd}(C[[x]])$, then*

$$\overline{\nabla} - \text{gfd}(A[[x]]) \leq \overline{\nabla} - \text{gfd}(C[[x]]) + 1.$$

References:

- [1] ZHU Bin, CAENEPEEL S. *On good filtration dimensions for standardly stratified algebras* [J]. Comm. Algebra, 32 (2004), no. 4, 1603–1614.
- [2] PARKER A E. *The global dimension of Schur algebras for GL_2 and GL_3* [J]. J. Algebra, 2001, **241**: 340–378.
- [3] ZACHARIA D. *On the Hochschild homology of quasi-hereditary algebras* [C]. Canadian Mathematical Society Conference Proceeding, 1996, **18**: 681–684.
- [4] DLAB V, RINGEL C M. *Quasi-hereditary algebras* [J]. Illinois J. Math., 1989, **33**(2): 280–291.
- [5] ÁGOSTON I, HAPPEL D. *Standardly stratified algebras and tilting* [J]. J. Algebra, 2000, **226**: 144–160.
- [6] DLAB V, RINGEL C M. *Auslander algebras as quasi-hereditary algebras* [J]. J. London Math. Soc., 1989, **39**: 457–466.
- [7] ZHOU B. *Homological Algebra* [M]. Beijing: Science Press, 1999. (in Chinese)
- [8] SCOTT L. *Simulating algebraic geometry with algebra I: The algebraic theory of derived categories* [J]. Proc. Symp. Pure Math., 1987, **47**: 271–281.
- [9] DLAB V, *Properly stratified algebras* [J]. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 2000, **331**(3): 191–196.

关于标准分层代数与它的多项式代数上的滤链维数

康娜^{1,2}, 姚海楼¹

(1. 北京工业大学应用数理学院, 北京 100022; 2. 石家庄经济学院数理学院, 河北 石家庄 050031)

摘要: 在本文中, 我们研究了标准分层代数的 \triangle -好模滤链维数与它的多项式代数的 $\triangle[x]$ -好模滤链维数, 并得到了一些有趣的结果.

关键词: 标准分层代数; 滤链维数; 多项式代数; 拟遗传代数.