Article ID: 1000-341X(2007)01-0098-09

Document code: A

## Implicit Iteration Process with Errors for Common Fixed **Points of a Finite Family of Strictly Pseudocontractive** Maps

SU Yong-fu<sup>1</sup>, LI Su-hong<sup>1</sup>, SONG Yi-sheng<sup>1</sup>, ZHOU Hai-yun<sup>2</sup>

(1. Department of Mathematics, Tianjin Polytechnic University, Tianjin 300160, China;

2. Department of Mathematics, Shijiazhuang Mechanical Engineering College, Hebei 050003, China ) (E-mail: suyongfu@tjpu.edu.cn)

**Abstract**: Let E be a real Banach space and K be a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Let  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be N strictly pseudocontractive self-maps of K such that  $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ , where  $F(T_i) = \{x \in K : T_i x = x\}, \{\alpha_n\} \subset [0,1]$  be a real sequence, and  $\{u_n\} \subset K$  be a sequence satisfying the conditions:

(i)  $0 < a \leq \alpha_n \leq 1$ ;

(i)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n) = +\infty;$ (ii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||u_n|| < +\infty.$ Let  $x_0 \in K$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be defined by

$$x_n = \alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n) T_n x_n + u_{n-1}, \quad n \ge 1,$$

where  $T_n = T_{n \mod N}$ , then

- (i)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n p||$  exists for all  $p \in F$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F)$  exists, where  $d(x_n, F) = \inf_{p\in F} ||x_n p||$ ;
- (iii)  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \|x_n T_n x_n\| = 0.$

Another result is that if  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset [1-2^{-n}, 1]$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is convergent. This paper generalizes and improves the results of Osilike in 2004. The ideas and proof lines used in this paper are different from those of Osilike in 2004.

Key words: strictly pseudocontractive mappings; implicit iteration process with error; common fixed points; convergence theorems. MSC(2000): 47H05; 47H10; 47H15 **CLC number**: 0177.91

### 1. Introduction

Let E be a real Banach space and J denote the normalized duality mapping from E into  $2^{E^*}$  given by  $J(x) = \{f \in E^* : \langle x, f \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|f\|^2\}$ , where  $E^*$  denotes the dual space of E and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the generalized duality pairing. A mapping T with domain D(T) and range R(T) in E is called strictly pseudocontractive in the terminology of Browder and Petryshyn<sup>[1]</sup> if there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \le ||x - y||^2 - \lambda ||x - y - (Tx - Ty)||^2,$$
 (1)

Received date: 2005-04-01; Accepted date: 2005-07-19

Foundation item: the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10471033); Tianjin Construction of Course (100580204).

for all  $x, y \in D(T)$  and some  $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . If I denotes the identity operator, then (1) can be written in the form

$$\langle (I-T)x - (I-T)y, j(x-y) \rangle \ge \lambda ||(I-T)x - (I-T)y||^2.$$
 (2)

The class of strictly pseudocontractive mappings has been studied by various authors [1-7,10].

Let K be a nonempty convex subset of Banach space E, and  $T_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ , be a finite family of nonexpansive self-maps of K. In [9], Xu and Ori introduced the following implicit iteration process: For  $x_0 \in K$  and  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is generated by

$$x_n = \alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n) T_n x_n, n \ge 1,$$
(3)

where  $T_n = T_{n \mod N}$ .

In [10], Osilike considered the scheme (3) for finite family of strictly pseudocontractive self-maps  $T_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ , of K and proved some convergence theorems for finite family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings which extended the results of Xu and Ori<sup>[9]</sup>.

In this paper, we will continue to investigate the problems of approximation of common fixed points of a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings by implicit iteration process with errors. We generalize and improve the results of Osilike<sup>[10]</sup>. The ideas and proof lines used in this paper are different from those of Osilike<sup>[10]</sup>.

If K is a nonempty convex subset of Banach space E and  $T: K \to K$  is a strictly pseudocontractive mapping, then for every  $u, v \in K$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , the operator  $S_{\alpha}: K \to K$  defined by

$$S_{\alpha}x = \alpha u + (1 - \alpha)Tx + v$$

satisfies

$$\langle S_{\alpha}x - S_{\alpha}y, j(x-y) \rangle = (1-\alpha)\langle Tx - Ty, j(x-y) \rangle \le (1-\alpha) \|x-y\|^2,$$

for all  $x, y \in K$ , thus  $S_{\alpha}$  is a strongly pseudocontractive mapping. Since  $S_{\alpha}$  is also Lipschitz, it follows from [1,10] that  $S_{\alpha}$  has a unique fixed point  $x_{\alpha} \in K$ . Thus there exists a unique  $x_{\alpha} \in K$ such that  $x_{\alpha} = \alpha u + (1 - \alpha)Tx_{\alpha} + v$ . This implies that the following implicit iteration scheme with errors

$$x_n = \alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n) T_n x_n + u_n \tag{4}$$

can be employed for the approximation of common fixed points of a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings, where  $\{u_n\} \subset K$  is a sequence, and (3) is special form of (4) when  $u_n = 0$ .

**Lemma OAA**<sup>[8]</sup> Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be three sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the inequality

$$a_{n+1} \le (1+\delta_n)a_n + b_n, \quad n \ge 1.$$

If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n < +\infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n < +\infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n$  exists.

#### 2. Main results

**Theorem 1** Let E be a real Banach space and K be a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Let  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be N strictly pseudocontractive self-maps of K such that  $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ , where  $F(T_i) = \{x \in K : T_i x = x\}$ , and let  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, 1]$  be a real sequence and  $\{u_n\} \subset K$  be a sequence satisfying the conditions:

- (i)  $0 < a \le \alpha_n \le 1;$
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 \alpha_n) = +\infty;$ (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||u_n|| < +\infty.$

Let  $x_0 \in K$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be defined by (4). Then

- (i)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n p||$  exists for all  $p \in F$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F)$  exists, where  $d(x_n, F) = \inf_{p\in F} ||x_n p||$ .
- (*iii*)  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} ||x_n T_n x_n|| = 0.$

**Proof** For any  $p \in F$ , we have that

$$\|x_{n} - p\|^{2} = \langle x_{n} - p, j(x_{n} - p) \rangle$$

$$= \alpha_{n} \langle x_{n-1} - p, j(x_{n} - p) \rangle + (1 - \alpha_{n}) \langle T_{n}x_{n} - p, j(x_{n} - p) \rangle + \langle u_{n}, j(x_{n} - p) \rangle$$

$$\leq \alpha_{n} \|x_{n-1} - p\| \|x_{n} - p\| + (1 - \alpha_{n}) \|x_{n} - p\|^{2} +$$

$$\|u_{n-1}\| \|x_{n} - p\| - (1 - \alpha_{n})\lambda \|x_{n} - T_{n}x_{n}\|^{2}$$

$$\|x_{n} - p\| \leq \|x_{n-1} - p\| + \frac{1}{\alpha_{n}} \|u_{n}\| - \frac{(1 - \alpha_{n})\lambda}{\alpha_{n} \|x_{n} - p\|} \|x_{n} - T_{n}x_{n}\|^{2}$$

$$\|x_{n} - p\| \leq \|x_{n-1} - p\| + \frac{1}{\alpha_{n}} \|u_{n-1}\|.$$
(5)

From condition (i), we have

$$||x_n - p|| \le ||x_{n-1} - p|| + \frac{1}{a} ||u_{n-1}||.$$
(6)

Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_n\| < +\infty$ , by Lemma OAA, we obtain that the limit  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists. The proof of conclusion (i) is completed.

It follows from Inequality (6) that

$$0 \le d(x_n, F) \le d(x_{n-1}, F) + \frac{1}{\alpha} ||u_{n-1}||,$$

by Lemma OOA, we obtain conclusion (ii).

It follows from conclusion (i) that,  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, then there exists a constant M > 0, such that for any  $n \ge 1$ , we have  $||x_n - p|| \le M$ . Therefore, it follows from Inequality (5) and condition (i) that

$$\|x_n - p\| \le \|x_{n-1} - p\| + \frac{1}{a} \|u_n\| - \frac{1}{M} (1 - \alpha_n) \lambda \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2$$
$$\frac{\lambda}{M} \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - \alpha_j) \|x_j - T_j x_j\|^2 \le \|x_0 - p\| - \|x_n - p\| + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^n \|u_j\|$$

$$\frac{\lambda}{M} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 \le \|x_0 - p\| + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_n\|$$

From condition (iii), we know that

$$\frac{\lambda}{M} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 < +\infty.$$
(7)

By condition (ii), we know

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - T_n x_n\| = 0.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

In Theorem 1, let  $\{u_n\} = \{0\}$  and the condition (i) be substituted by the condition  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (1 - \alpha_n)^2 < +\infty$ , then the result of Theorem 1 is the theorem of Osilike-1<sup>[10]</sup>.

**Theorem 2** Let *E* be a real Banach space and *K* be a nonempty closed convex subset of *E*. Let  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be *N* strictly pseudocontractive self-maps of *K* such that  $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ , where  $F(T_i) = \{x \in K : T_i x = x\}, \{\alpha_n\} \subset [0,1]$  be a real sequence, and  $\{u_n\} \subset K$  be a sequence satisfying the conditions:

- (i)  $0 < a \le \alpha_n \le \beta < 1;$
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_n\| < +\infty.$

Let  $x_0 \in K$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be defined by (4). Then

- (i)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n p||$  exists for all  $p \in F$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F)$  exists, where  $d(x_n, F) = \inf_{p\in F} ||x_n p||$ ;
- (*iii*)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n T_n x_n|| = 0.$

**Proof** It follows from Condition (i) and Inequality (7) that

$$\frac{\lambda}{M} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-\beta) \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 \le \frac{\lambda}{M} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-\alpha_n) \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 < +\infty.$$
(8)

Thus from Inequality (8) we have that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - T_n x_n|| = 0$ . The proofs of conclusions (i) and (ii) are the same as Theorem 1. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 3** Let *E* be a real Banach space and *K* be a nonempty closed convex subset of *E*. Let  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be *N* strictly pseudocontractive self-maps of *K* such that  $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ , where  $F(T_i) = \{x \in K : T_i x = x\}$ , and let  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a real sequence satisfying the conditions:

- (i)  $0 < \alpha < \alpha_n < 1;$
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-\alpha_n) = +\infty;$
- (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \|u_n\| < +\infty.$

Let  $x_0 \in K$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be defined by (4). Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a common fixed point  $p \in F$  if and only if  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ .

**Proof** Suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a common fixed point  $p \in F$ . In view of the fact that  $0 \le d(x_n, F) \le ||x_n - p||$ , we see that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0.$$

Conversely, assume that  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ , by Theorem 1, then we have also  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ . Hence there must exist  $p_n \in F$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - p_n|| = 0$ . It follows from Inequality (6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+m} - x_n\| &\leq \|x_{n+m} - p_n\| + \|x_n - p_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_{n+m-1} - p_n\| + \frac{1}{\alpha} \|u_{n+m-2}\| + \|x_n - p_n\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=n}^{n+m-2} \|u_i\| + 2\|x_n - p_n\| \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = q$ , then it follows from  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - p_n\| = 0$  that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_n = q$ . Since strictly pseudocontractive mappings are Lipschitz mappings, we have

$$\|q - T_l q\| \le \|q - p_n\| + \|p_n - T_l q\| \le \|T_l p_n - T_l q\|$$
  
$$\le \|q - p_n\| + L\|p_n - q\| \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

for all  $l = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ , that is  $q \in F$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

**Theorem 4** Let  $T_n$ , K,  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{x_n\}$  be as in Theorem 2. If  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $q \in K$ , then q must be a common fixed point of  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^N$ .

**Proof** If  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $q \in K$ , then

$$||T_n x_n - T_n q|| \le L ||x_n - q|| \to 0$$
, as  $n \to \infty$ .

Thus it follows from  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - T_n x_n|| = 0$  that  $||q - T_n q|| \to 0$ ,  $(n \to \infty)$ . That is, for any  $l = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ , we have  $q = T_l q$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

**Lemma** Let  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n$  be real numbers, then

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i)^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2^i a_i^2 + 2^{n-1} a_n^2$$

**Proof** If n = 2, then  $(a_1 + a_2)^2 \le 2a_1^2 + 2a_2^2$ . If n = 3, then  $(a_1 + a_1 + a_3)^2 \le 2a_1^2 + 2(a_2 + a_3)^2 \le 2a_1^2 + 2(a_2^2 + 2a_3^2) \le 2a_1^2 + 2^2a_2^2 + 2^2a_3^2$ , which leads to

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i)^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2^i a_i^2 + 2^{n-1} a_n^2, \quad \forall n \ge 2.$$

The proof is done.

**Theorem 5** Let *E* be a real Banach space and *K* be a nonempty closed convex subset of *E*. Let  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be *N* strictly pseudocontractive self-maps of *K* such that  $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ , where  $F(T_i) = \{x \in K : T_i x = x\}$ , and let  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset [1 - 2^{-n}, 1]$  be a real sequence. Let  $x_0 \in K$  and let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be defined by

$$x_n = \alpha_n x_{n-1} + \beta_n T_n x_n + \gamma_n u_n, \ \alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1.$$
(9)

Here  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\beta_n\}$ ,  $\{\gamma_n\} \subset [0,1]$  are real sequences and  $\{u_n\} \in K$  is bounded. Then  $\{x_n\}$  is convergent.

**Note** It is easy to prove that the implicit iteration processes (4) and (9) are equivalent.

**Proof** It is now well known that

$$\|x+y\|^{2} \le \|x\|^{2} + 2\langle y, j(x+y) \rangle, \tag{10}$$

for all  $x, y \in E$  and for all  $j(x+y) \in J(x+y)$ . Let  $p \in F$ , it follows from Inequality (10) that

$$\|x_{n} - p\|^{2} = \|\alpha_{n}(x_{n-1} - p) + \beta_{n}(T_{n}x_{n} - p) + \gamma_{n}(u_{n} - p)\|^{2}$$

$$\leq [\|\alpha_{n}(x_{n-1} - p) + \beta_{n}(T_{n}x_{n} - p)\| + \|\gamma_{n}(u_{n} - p)\|]^{2}$$

$$\leq \alpha_{n}^{2}\|x_{n-1} - p\|^{2} + 2\beta_{n}\langle T_{n}x_{n} - p, j(x - y)\rangle +$$

$$2\gamma_{n}\|u_{n} - p\|\|\alpha_{n}(x_{n-1} - p) + \beta_{n}(T_{n}x_{n} - p)\| + \gamma_{n}^{2}\|u_{n} - p\|^{2}.$$
(11)

Since  $T_i: K \to K, I = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$  is strictly pseudocontractive, we have

$$\langle T_i x - T_i y, j(x-y) \rangle \le ||x-y||^2 - \lambda_i ||x-T_i x - (y-T_i y)||^2 (\lambda_i \in (0,1)).$$

Let  $\lambda = \min_{1 \le i \le N} \{\lambda_i\}$ , then

$$\langle T_i x - T_i y, j(x-y) \rangle \le ||x-y||^2 - \lambda ||x-T_i x - (y-T_i y)||^2 (\lambda \in (0,1))$$

Thus, it follows from (11) that

$$\|x_n - p\|^2 \le \alpha_n^2 \|x_{n-1} - p\|^2 + 2\beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 - 2\lambda\beta_n \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 + 2\gamma_n \|u_n - p\| \|\alpha_n (x_{n-1} - p) + \beta_n (T_n x_n - p)\| + \gamma_n^2 \|u_n - p\|^2.$$
(12)

Now we prove that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. For  $x_0 = y_0 \in K$ ,  $\{y_n\}$  is defined by

$$y_n = \alpha_n y_{n-1} + (\beta_n + \gamma_n) T_n y_n.$$

It follows from [10] that  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded. Since  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{u_n\}$  are bounded, we have

$$||y_n - x_n|| \le \alpha_n ||y_{n-1} - x_{n-1}|| + \beta_n ||T_n y_n - T_n x_n|| + \gamma_n ||T_n y_n - u_n||$$
  
$$\le \alpha_n ||y_{n-1} - x_{n-1}|| + L\beta_n ||y_n - x_n|| + \gamma_n M,$$

which leads to

$$||y_n - x_n|| \le \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - L\beta_n} ||y_{n-1} - x_{n-1}|| + \frac{\gamma_n M}{1 - L\beta_n}$$
  
$$\le [1 + \sigma_n] ||y_{n-1} - x_{n-1}|| + \gamma_n M_1.$$

Using the assumptions of theorem and Lemma OAA, we know that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||y_n - x_n||$  exists. Since  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded, it follows that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Therefore, it follows from (12) that

$$\|x_n - p\|^2 \le \alpha_n^2 \|x_{n-1} - p\|^2 + 2\beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 - 2\lambda\beta_n \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 + 2\gamma_n M_2 + \gamma_n^2 M_2, \quad (13)$$

where  $M_2$  is a constant. Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 1$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \beta_n = 0$ , there exists a positive integer N such that  $\beta_n < (1-\lambda)/2$ ,  $\forall n \ge N$ . Thus  $1 - 2\beta_n \ge \lambda$ ,  $\forall n \ge N$ . Hence it follows from (13) that for all  $n \ge N$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n} - p\|^{2} &\leq \frac{\alpha_{n}^{2}}{1 - 2\beta_{n}} \|x_{n-1} - p\|^{2} - \frac{2\lambda\beta_{n}}{1 - 2\beta_{n}} \|x_{n} - T_{n}x_{n}\|^{2} + \frac{2\gamma_{n}M_{2} + \gamma_{n}^{2}M_{2}}{1 - 2\beta_{n}} \\ &= [1 + \frac{\alpha_{n}^{2} + 2\beta_{n} - 1}{1 - 2\beta_{n}}] \|x_{n-1} - p\|^{2} - \frac{2\lambda\beta_{n}}{1 - 2\beta_{n}} [\alpha_{n}\|x_{n-1} - T_{n}x_{n}\| + \gamma_{n}\|u_{n} - T_{n}x_{n}\|]^{2} + \frac{2\gamma_{n}M_{2} + \gamma_{n}^{2}M_{2}}{\lambda} \\ &\leq [1 + \frac{2\beta_{n}}{\lambda}] \|x_{n-1} - p\|^{2} - \lambda\beta_{n}\|x_{n-1} - T_{n}x_{n}\|^{2} + \frac{2\gamma_{n}M_{2} + \gamma_{n}^{2}M_{2}}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$
(14)

Since  $\alpha_n \in [1-2^{-n}, 1]$  and  $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1$ , we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\beta_n}{\lambda} < \infty$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\gamma_n M_2 + \gamma_n^2 M_2}{\lambda} < \infty$ . It follows from Lemma OAA that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - p||$  exists. Therefore,  $\{||x_n - p||\}$  is bounded. Thus, there exists a positive integer number R such that  $||x_n - p||^2 \le R, \forall n \ge 1$ . From (14) we have

$$\lambda \sum_{i=N+1}^{n} \beta_i \|x_{i-1} - T_i x_i\|^2 \le \|x_N - p\|^2 + R \sum_{i=N+1}^{n} \sigma_i + \sum_{i=N+1}^{n} \frac{2\gamma_i M_2 + \gamma_i^2 M_2}{\lambda}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \|x_{n-1} - T_n x_n\|^2 < \infty.$$
(15)

From implicit iteration process (9), we obtain that

$$\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \le \beta_n \|T_n x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \gamma_n \|u_n - x_{n-1}\|.$$

$$||x_n - x_{n-1}||^2 \le \beta_n^2 ||T_n x_n - x_{n-1}||^2 + 2\beta_n \gamma_n ||T_n x_n - x_{n-1}|| ||u_n - x_{n-1}|| + \gamma_n^2 ||u_n - x_{n-1}||^2.$$
(16)

Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, it follows from (16) that

$$||x_n - x_{n-1}||^2 \le \beta_n^2 ||T_n x_n - x_{n-1}||^2 + \gamma_n M_3 + \gamma_n^2 M_3.$$
(17)

Since

$$||x_{n+m} - x_{n-1}|| \le \sum_{i=n-1}^{n+m-1} ||x_{i+1} - x_i||,$$

it follows from Lemma OAA that

$$\|x_{n+m} - x_{n-1}\|^2 \le \sum_{i=n-1}^{n+m-2} 2^i \|x_{i+1} - x_i\|^2 + 2^{n+m-1} \|x_{n+m} - x_{n+m-1}\|^2.$$
(18)

Combining (17) and (18), we obtain that

$$\|x_{n+m} - x_{n-1}\|^{2} \leq \sum_{i=n-1}^{n+m-2} 2^{i} \beta_{i+1}^{2} \|x_{i+1} - x_{i}\|^{2} + \sum_{i=n-1}^{n+m-2} (\gamma_{i+1}M_{3} + \gamma_{i+1}^{2}M_{3}) + 2^{n+m-1} \beta_{n+m}^{2} \|T_{n+m}x_{n+m} - x_{n+m-1}\|^{2} + \gamma_{n+m}M_{3} + \gamma_{n+m}^{2}M_{3}.$$
(19)

Since  $\beta_n \leq 2^{-n}$ , from (19) we obtain

$$\|x_{n+m} - x_{n-1}\|^{2} \leq \sum_{i=n}^{n+m-1} \beta_{i} \|x_{i-1} - T_{i}x_{i}\|^{2} + \sum_{i=n-1}^{n+m-2} (\gamma_{i+1}M_{3} + \gamma_{i+1}^{2}M_{3}) + 2^{n+m-1}\beta_{n+m}^{2} \|T_{n+m}x_{n+m} - x_{n+m-1}\|^{2} + \gamma_{n+m}M_{3} + \gamma_{n+m}^{2}M_{3}.$$
(20)

It follows from (15) and  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\beta_n = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\gamma_n = 0$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(\gamma_n M_3 + \gamma_n^2 M_3) < \infty$  that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_{n+m} - x_{n-1}\| = 0.$$

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, and  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $p \in E$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

**Theorem 6** Let the assumptions of Theorem 5 hold and  $\{x_n\}$  be defined by (9). Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a common fixed point  $p \in F$  if and only if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ .

**Proof** Suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a common fixed point  $p \in F$ . In view of fact that  $0 \le d(x_n, F) \le ||x_n - p||$ , we see that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ . By Theorem 2.1, we have  $x_n \to p$ . Hence d(p, F) = 0. It is easy to prove that the set of fixed points of strictly pseudocontractive mappings is closed, so F is closed and  $p \in F$ , that is,  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a common fixed point  $p \in F$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

#### **References:**

- BROWDER F E, PETRYSHYN W V. Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1967, 20: 197–228.
- [2] HICKS T L, KUBICEK J R. On the Mann iterative process in Hilbert spaces [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1977, 59: 4179–4208.
- [3] MARUSTER S. The solution by iteration of nonlinear equations [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1977, 66: 69-73.
- [4] OSILIKE M O, UDOMENE A. Demiclosedness principle and convergence results for strictly pseudocontractive mappings of Browder-Petryshyn type [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2001, 256: 431–445.
- [5] OSILIKE M O. Strong and weak convergence of the Ishikawa iteration methods for a class of nonlinear equations [J]. Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 2000, 37: 117–127.
- [6] RHOADES B E. Comments on two fixed point iteration methods [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1997, 56: 741–750.
- [7] RHOADES B E. Fixed point iterations using infinite matrices [J]. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1974, 196: 741-750.
- [8] OSILIKE M O, ANIAGBOSOR S C, AKUCHU B G. Fixed points of asymptotically demicontractive mappings in arbitrary Banach spaces [J]. Pan. Amer. Math. J., 2002, 12: 77–88.
- [9] XU Hong-kun, ORI R G. An implicit iteration process for nonexpansive mappings [J]. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim., 2001, 22: 767–773.
- [10] OSILIKE M O. Implicit iteration process for common fixed points of a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive maps [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2004, 294: 73–81.

# 具误差隐格式迭代逼近严格伪压缩映像族公共不动点

苏永福1,李素红1,宋义生1,周海云2 (1. 天津工业大学理学院数学系, 天津 300160; 2. 石家庄军械工程学院数学系, 河北 石家庄 050003)

摘要: 设 K 是实 Banach 空间 E 中非空闭凸集,  $\{T_i\}_i = 1^N \in \mathbb{N}$  个具公共不动点集 F 的严 格伪压缩映像,  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0,1]$ 是实数列,  $\{u_n\} \subset K$ 是序列, 且满足下面条件 (i)  $0 < \alpha \le \alpha_n \le 1$ ; (ii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n) = +\infty$ ; (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||u_n|| < +\infty$ .

设 $x_0 \in K$ ,  $\{x_n\}$  由下式定义

 $x_n = \alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n) T_n x_n + u_{n-1}, \quad n \ge 1,$ 

其中  $T_n = T_n \mod N$ ,则有下面结论

(i)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - p||$ 存在,对所有  $p \in F$ ;

(ii)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, F)$ 存在,当  $d(x_n, F) = \inf_{p \in F} ||x_n - p||;$ 

(iii)  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - T_n x_n\| = 0.$ 

文中另一个结果是,如果  $\{x_n\} \subset [1-2^{-n},1], 则 \{x_n\}$  收敛. 文中结果改进与扩展了 Osilike(2004) 最近的结果,证明方法也不同.

关键词: 严格伪压缩映像; 具误差隐格式迭代; 公共不动点; 收敛定理.