

Characterization of Weak I Sequences

WANG Chun-hua¹, SONG Chuan-ning²

(1. Department of Applied Mathematics, Shanghai Fisheries University, Shanghai 200090, China;

2. Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, China)

(E-mail: chhwang@shfu.edu.cn)

Abstract: In [5], Zhou defined the notion of weak I sequences and characterized such sequences by Koszul cohomology and local cohomology methods. The aim of this paper is to characterize weak I sequences by means of Ext functor.

Key words: Noetherian local ring; Ext functor.

MSC(2000): 13D07; 13E05

CLC number: O154.2

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we use A to denote a commutative Noetherian local ring with unit, m the maximal ideal of A , and I a proper ideal of A . We denote by M a finite d -dimensional A -module. For an A -module N , we use $\text{Ext}^n(-, N)$ to denote the n -th right derived functor of $\text{Hom}(-, N)$. Moreover, we denote $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} (0 :_M I^n)$ by $\Gamma_I(M)$. Finally, we use Z^+ to denote the set of positive integers.

Recall that a sequence x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r contained in I is said to be a weak I sequence with respect to M , if for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and a fixed positive integer n

$$(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}})M : x_i^{n_i} \subseteq (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}})M : I^n$$

holds for n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r running through all positive integers^[1]. In particular, if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d is a weak m sequence with respect to M , then M is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay A -module, and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d must be a system of parameters for M ^[1]. It is well-known that a weak I sequence can be characterized by means of local cohomology and Koszul homology. In this paper, we make use of Ext functor to characterize the properties of weak I sequences and obtain the following results.

Theorem 1.1 *Let I be an ideal of A and M a finite A -module such that $\Gamma_I(M) \neq M$. If there exists an $N > 0$ such that $I^N \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, M) = 0$, for $n \in Z^+$ and $0 \leq i < k$, then there exists a weak I sequences x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k with respect to M .*

Received date: 2005-06-06; **Accepted date:** 2005-11-25

Foundation item: Youth Fund of Shanghai Fisheries University.

Theorem 1.2 *Let I be an ideal of A and M a finite A -module with $\Gamma_I(M) \neq M$. Set*

$$r = \inf\{i | I^N \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, M) \neq 0, N \text{ is an arbitrary fixed number and for all } n\}.$$

Then any maximal weak I sequence with respect to M has the same length r .

2. Basic properties of weak I sequences

In the section, we study some basic properties of weak I sequences by means of Ext functor. First of all, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *Let I be an ideal of the local ring A and M a finite A -module. If there exists a positive integer N such that $I^N \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $M = \Gamma_I(M)$.*

Proof We use induction on $\dim M = d$. For $d = 0$, the result is trivial. Now assume $d > 0$. Suppose that $M \neq \Gamma_I(M)$, we put $\bar{M} = M/\Gamma_I(M)$. Clearly, $\Gamma_I(\bar{M}) \neq \bar{M}$. Consider the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Gamma_I(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow \bar{M} \rightarrow 0$, then we have the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \Gamma_I(M)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \bar{M}) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

By the condition, we have $I^N \Gamma_I(M) = 0$, so $I^N \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \Gamma_I(M)) = 0$. Hence $I^N \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \Gamma_I(\bar{M})) = 0$ holds for all $i \geq 0$ and $n > 0$. On the other hand, there exists an \bar{M} -regular element $x \in I$. Choose a positive integer $s > 2N$ and consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \bar{M} \xrightarrow{x^s} \bar{M} \longrightarrow \bar{M}/x^s \bar{M} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then, we have the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \bar{M}) \xrightarrow{x^s} \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \bar{M}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \bar{M}/x^s \bar{M}) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

From this we obtain $I^{2N} \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \bar{M}/x^s \bar{M}) = 0$, for all $i \geq 0$ and $n > 0$. In particular, $I^{2N} \Gamma_I(\bar{M}/x^s \bar{M}) = 0$. Since $\dim \bar{M} = d - 1$, by induction we have $\Gamma_I(\bar{M}/x^s \bar{M}) = \bar{M}/x^s \bar{M}$. It follows that $I^{2N} \bar{M} \subseteq x^s \bar{M}$. Hence $I^{2N} \bar{M} \subseteq x^{s-2N} I^{2N} \bar{M}$. By Nakayama Lemma, we have $I^{2N} \bar{M} = 0$, so $\Gamma_I(M) = M$ and this is a contradiction.

Proposition 2.2 *Let I be an ideal of a local ring A and M be a finite A -module with $\Gamma_I(M) \neq M$. If a sequence x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s contained in I satisfies*

$$(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}})M : x_i^{n_i} \subseteq (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}})M : I^k, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s,$$

where k is a fixed positive integer and n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s run through all positive integers, then there exists a positive integer $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ which depends only on k such that $I^N \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, M) = 0$ for $i < s$ and all $n > 0$.

Proof We use induction on $\dim M = d$. For $d = 0$, the result is trivial. Now suppose that $d > 0$ and the result holds for those A -modules M_1 with $\dim M_1 < d$. Set $\bar{M} = M/\Gamma_I(M)$. By the

condition $\Gamma_I(M) \neq M$, so $\Gamma_I(\bar{M}) \neq \bar{M}$. Note that there exists an \bar{M} -regular element $x_1 \in I$. Choose a positive integer t such that $t > 2k$ and consider the following short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \bar{M} \xrightarrow{x_1^t} \bar{M} \longrightarrow \bar{M}/x_1^t \bar{M} \longrightarrow 0$, so we can deduce the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \bar{M}) \xrightarrow{x_1^t} \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \bar{M}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \bar{M}/x_1^t \bar{M}) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Clearly, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_s satisfies

$$(x_2^{n_2}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}})M : x_i^{n_i} \subseteq (x_2^{n_2}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}})M : I^{2k}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq s,$$

where n_2, \dots, n_s run through all positive integers. Observe that $\dim \bar{M}/x_1^t \bar{M} = d - 1$, so by induction, we can choose an integer $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $I^N \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \bar{M}/x_1^t \bar{M}) = 0$, $i < s - 1$, for all $n, t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Now for an arbitrary element $a \in \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \bar{M})$, there exists an integer $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $x_1^t a = 0$. Hence from the long exact sequence we can see that $I^N a = 0$. This implies $I^N \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, M) = 0$ for $i < s$, and the proof is complete.

3. The length of a maximal weak I sequence

In this section, we will prove the main results Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 of this paper. These results include the condition of the existence of a weak I sequence in terms of Ext functor and characterization of the length of a maximal weak I sequence by means of the Ext functor. Now we first prove an important lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Let A be a Noetherian local ring and I an ideal of A . Let M be a finite A -module with $\Gamma_I(M) \neq M$. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r be a weak I sequence with respect to M . If there exists an integer s such that*

$$I^s \text{Ext}_A^0(A/I^n, M/(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r})M) = 0$$

holds for all $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then there exists an element $x_{r+1} \in I$ such that x_1, \dots, x_{r+1} is a weak I sequence.

Proof It suffices to construct an element x_{r+1} such that for any $n_1, \dots, n_r, n_{r+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$$(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r})M : x_{r+1}^{n_{r+1}} \subseteq (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r})M : I^s \quad (3.1)$$

holds.

Put $N = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i$ and choose an element $x_{r+1} \in I$ such that (3.1) holds for $N = r$. This is possible because $I^s \text{Ext}_A^0(A/I^n, M/(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r})M) = 0$. Now we use induction on N to prove that x_{r+1} satisfies (3.1) for all $N \geq r$. Suppose the conclusion holds for those N' with $r \leq N' < N$. Note that x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r} is a weak I sequence with respect to M , where x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r} is a permutation of x_1, \dots, x_r ^[5]. Without loss of generality we may assume $n_1 > 1$. For any $a \in ((x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r})M : x_{r+1}^{n_{r+1}})$, we may express

$$x_{r+1}^{n_{r+1}} a = x_1^{n_1} a_1 + a'_1, \quad (3.2)$$

where $a_1 \in M, a'_1 \in (x_2^{n_2}, \dots, x_r^{n_r})M$. By the induction hypothesis, for any $y \in I^s$, we may write

$$ya = x_1^{n_1-1}a_2 + a'_2, \quad (3.3)$$

where $a_2 \in M, a'_2 \in (x_2^{n_2}, \dots, x_r^{n_r})M$. From (3.2) and (3.3) we assert that

$$x_1^{n_1-1}(yx_1a - x_{r+1}^{n_{r+1}}a_2) \in (x_2^{n_2}, \dots, x_r^{n_r})M.$$

On the other hand, x_2, \dots, x_r, x_1 is also a weak I sequence with respect to M . Hence we can find an integer $s' \in Z^+$ such that $y'(yx_1a - x_{r+1}^{n_{r+1}}a_2) \in (x_2^{n_2}, \dots, x_r^{n_r})M$ holds for any $y' \in I^{s'}$. This implies $x_{r+1}^{n_{r+1}}y'a_2 \in (x_1, x_2^{n_2}, \dots, x_r^{n_r})M$. By the induction hypothesis, we have $y'y''a_2 \in (x_1, x_2^{n_2}, \dots, x_r^{n_r})M$ for any $y'' \in I^s$. Hence from (3.3) we obtain $yy'y''a \in (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r})M$. By the arbitrary choice of y, y' and y'' , we see that $I^{2s+s'}a \in (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r})M$. But by the assumption, $I^s \text{Ext}_A^0(A/I^n, M/(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r})M) = 0$. Therefore, $a \in (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r})M : I^s$ and this proves the lemma.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 We use induction on $\dim M = d$. Since $\Gamma_I(M) \neq M$, we have $d > 0$. For $d = 1$, we prove that $k \leq 1$ and the result is trivial. In fact, if on the contrary $k > 1$. By the condition we have $I^N \text{Ext}_A^1(A/I^n, M) = 0$ for all $n \in Z^+$. Choose an element $x \in I$ such that x is $\bar{M}(\bar{M} = M/\Gamma_I(M))$ -regular. Consider the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Gamma_I(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow \bar{M} \rightarrow 0$ and the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \Gamma_I(M)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \bar{M}) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Since $I^N \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \Gamma_I(M)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $I^N \text{Ext}_A^j(A/I^n, M) = 0$ for $j \leq 1$, we have $I^N \text{Ext}_A^j(A/I^n, \bar{M}) = 0$ for $j \leq 1$.

On the other hand, consider the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \bar{M} \xrightarrow{x^t} \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{M}/x^t\bar{M} \rightarrow 0$ and the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \bar{M}) \xrightarrow{x^t} \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \bar{M}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, \bar{M}/x^t\bar{M}) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

We conclude that $I^{2N}\bar{M}/x^t\bar{M} = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Choosing $t > 2N$, we have $I^{2N}\bar{M} \subseteq x^{t-2N}I^{2N}\bar{M}$. By Nakayama Lemma, $I^{2N}\bar{M} = 0$. This is a contradiction and thus the result holds for $d = 1$.

Suppose that $d > 1$ and the conclusion holds for integers less than d . As above we can reduce the proof to the case $\text{depth } M \geq 1$ and $I^N \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, M/x^tM) = 0$ for $i < k$ and all $n \in Z^+$. By Lemma 3.1 we have x_2 such that x_1, x_2 is a weak I sequence with respect to M and there exists an integer N' with $I^{N'} \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, M/((x_1^{n_1}, x_2^{n_2})M)) = 0$ for all n_1, n_2 and $i < k-1$. Repeat the process, one can construct a weak I sequence x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k with respect to M .

The proof of Theorem 1.2 Suppose x_1, \dots, x_t is a maximal weak I sequence with respect to M such that for $1 \leq i \leq t$,

$$(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}})M : x_i^{n_i} \subseteq (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_i^{n_i})M : I^s$$

holds, where s is a fixed positive number and n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t run through all positive number. By Proposition 2.2, we have $t \leq r$. Now suppose that $t < r$ and consider the short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow (0 : x_1^{n_1}) \rightarrow M \rightarrow x_1^{n_1} M \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow x_1^{n_1} M \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/x_1^{n_1} M \rightarrow 0$$

and the long exact sequences

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, (0 : x_1^{n_1})) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, x_1^{n_1} M) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, x_1^{n_1} M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, M/x_1^{n_1} M) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Since $I^s \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, (0 : x_1^{n_1})) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $I^N \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, M) = 0$ for all $i < r$, $I^{s+N} \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, x_1^{n_1} M) = 0$ for $i < r$. It follows that $I^{s+2N} \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, M/x_1^{n_1} M) = 0$ for $i < r-1$. Repeating the process, one can prove that there exists a positive number N' such that

$$I^{N'} \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, M/(x_1^{n_1} M, \dots, x_t^{n_t} M)) = 0$$

holds for $i < r-t$ and all $n, n_1, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Note that $r-t > 0$. Thus

$$I^{N'} \text{Ext}_A^0(A/I^n, M/(x_1^{n_1} M, \dots, x_t^{n_t} M)) = 0$$

holds for all $n, n_1, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. By Lemma 3.1, there exists $x_{t+1} \in I$ such that x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{t+1} is a weak I sequence with respect to M . This is a contradiction and thus $r = t$.

References:

- [1] STÜKRAD J, VOGEL W. Eine verallgemeinerung der Cohen-Macaulay-Ringe und anwendungen auf ein problem der multiplizitätstheorie [J]. J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 1973, **13**: 513–528. (in German)
- [2] STÜKRAD J, VOGEL W. Toward a theory of Buchsbaum singularities [J]. Amer. J. Math., Oxford, 1970, **21**: 727–746.
- [3] TRUNG N V. On the associated graded ring of a Buchsbaum ring [J]. Math. Nachr., 1982, **107**: 209–220.
- [4] TRUNG N V. Toward a theory of generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules [J]. Nagoya Math. J., 1986, **102**: 1–49.
- [5] ZHOU Cai-jun. Toward a theory of weak I sequences [J]. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B, 1997, **18**(3): 283–292.
- [6] MATSUMURA H. Commutative Ring Theory [M]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.

弱 I 序列的刻画

王春华¹, 宋传宁²

(1. 上海水产大学应用数学系, 上海 200090; 2. 上海师范大学数学系, 上海 200234)

摘要: 在文献 [5] 中, 周才军定义了弱 I 序列, 并利用 Koszul 上同调和局部上同调的方法刻画了这种序列. 本文利用 Ext 函子刻画了弱 I 序列.

关键词: Noetherian 局部环; Ext 函子.