

## A Short Proof of Ramanujan's ${}_1\psi_1$ Formula

CHEN Xiao-jing, LIU Qi-qun, MA Xin-rong  
(School of Mathematic Science, Suzhou University, Jiangsu 215000, China)  
(E-mail: xrma@public1.sz.js.cn)

**Abstract** In this paper, we give a short proof of the celebrated Ramanujan's  ${}_1\psi_1$  formula.

**Keywords**  $q$ -binomial theorem; Ramanujan's  ${}_1\psi_1$  formula; bilateral  $q$ -series.

**Document code** A

**MR(2000) Subject Classification** 05A10; 33D15

**Chinese Library Classification** O157.1

Among the classical hierarchy of basic hypergeometric summation formulas, one of fundamental identities is Ramanujan's  ${}_1\psi_1$  sum<sup>[2, II.29]</sup>, which reads

**Theorem 1** For  $|q| < 1$  and  $|b/a| < |x| < 1$ ,

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_k}{(b; q)_k} x^k = \frac{(ax; q)_{\infty} (q/ax; q)_{\infty} (q; q)_{\infty} (b/a; q)_{\infty}}{(x; q)_{\infty} (b/ax; q)_{\infty} (b; q)_{\infty} (q/a; q)_{\infty}}, \quad (1)$$

where the  $q$ -shifted factorial  $(a; q)_n$  is defined as

$$(a; q)_n = \begin{cases} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^k), & n > 0; \\ 1, & n = 0; \\ \frac{(-1/a)^{-n} q^{\binom{-n+1}{2}}}{(q/a; q)_{-n}}, & n < 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $(a; q)_{\infty} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k)$ .

As is well known to us, Ramanujan's  ${}_1\psi_1$  sum is usually considered as a bilateral generalization of the following  $q$ -binomial theorem<sup>[2, II.3]</sup>. We require it in our proof.

**Lemma 1** For  $|x| < 1$ ,  $|q| < 1$ , and a parameter  $a$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} x^k = \frac{(ax; q)_{\infty}}{(x; q)_{\infty}}. \quad (2)$$

Since Ramanujan's  ${}_1\psi_1$  sum was first brought before the mathematical public by Hardy<sup>[3]</sup> in 1940 and first proved by Hahn<sup>[4]</sup> and Jackson<sup>[5]</sup> respectively, to find any possible elegant and simple proof of this identity has still been a charming problem in the theory of  $q$ -series. As of today, many such proofs have been published, which can be divided, in the authors' point

---

**Received date:** 2006-06-01; **Accepted date:** 2006-12-10

**Foundation item:** the Natural Science Foundation for Universities of Jiangsu Province (No. 07KJB110099).

of view, into two kinds of methods: analytic and combinatorial. For analytic proofs, we refer the reader to Andrews<sup>[6]</sup>, Andrews and Askey<sup>[7]</sup>, Askey<sup>[8]</sup>, Adiga<sup>[9]</sup>, Fine<sup>[11, Eq. (18.3)]</sup>, Ismail<sup>[12]</sup>, Mimachi<sup>[13]</sup>, and Chan<sup>[14]</sup>, Schlosser<sup>[15]</sup>. A good survey of various proofs of this formula built on some classical summation formulae in  $q$ -series can be found in Chu and Wang's recent paper<sup>[1]</sup>; For combinatorial proofs, we refer to Corteel and Lovejoy<sup>[16]</sup>, Corteel<sup>[17]</sup>, Joichi and Stanton<sup>[18]</sup>, and Yee<sup>[19]</sup>. We especially recommend Kadell<sup>[20]</sup> for its probabilistic proof.

In what follows, we give another analytic proof of this identity, as the main result of this short paper. Since there is no evidence that it has been previously known in the literature, so we think it is new.

**Proof** Write that

$$F(a, x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_k}{(b; q)_k} x^k.$$

At first, it is easy to verify directly that

$$(1-x)F(a, x) = \frac{b-a}{q-a} F(aq^{-1}, qx)$$

or equivalently

$$F(a, x) = \frac{1-b/a}{(1-x)(1-q/a)} F(aq^{-1}, qx).$$

Iterate this relation  $n \geq 0$  times to arrive at

$$F(a, x) = \frac{(b/a; q)_n}{(x; q)_n (q/a; q)_n} F(aq^{-n}, q^n x). \quad (3)$$

Let  $n$  tend to  $\infty$  on both sides of this relation. By Tannery's theorem<sup>[10]</sup>, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(aq^{-n}, q^n x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} (ax)^k}{(b; q)_k}.$$

Observe that the above bilateral power series is absolutely convergent in the domain  $|b/a| < |x| < \infty$  and its limitation is analytic in variable  $x$ . Define

$$H(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} x^k}{(b; q)_k}.$$

Then from (3) it follows immediately that for  $x : |b/a| < |x| < 1$ ,

$$F(a, x) = \frac{(b/a; q)_\infty}{(x; q)_\infty (q/a; q)_\infty} H(ax). \quad (4)$$

Finally, set  $a = 1$  in (4) to get

$$H(x) = \frac{(x; q)_\infty (q; q)_\infty}{(b; q)_\infty} F(1, x). \quad (5)$$

By the  $q$ -binomial theorem, we have

$$F(1, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q/b; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} (b/x)^k = \frac{(q/x; q)_\infty}{(b/x; q)_\infty}. \quad (6)$$

As a consequence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(a, x) &= \frac{(b/a; q)_{\infty}}{(x; q)_{\infty}(q/a; q)_{\infty}} H(ax) \\ &= \frac{(b/a; q)_{\infty}}{(x; q)_{\infty}(q/a; q)_{\infty}} \frac{(ax; q)_{\infty}(q; q)_{\infty}}{(b; q)_{\infty}} \frac{(q/ax; q)_{\infty}}{(b/ax; q)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark** In the above argument, we omit, for the sake of brevity, the parameter(s)  $b$  or  $a$  and  $b$  of the functions  $F(a, x)$  and  $H(x)$ , although both of them, certainly, depend on  $b$  or  $a$  and  $b$ . Also, the  $q$ -binomial theorem can also be proved with the same argument, whereas it is regarded as a known fact in this paper, as described by Lemma 1.

## References

- [1] CHU Wen-chang, WANG Xiao-xia. *Proofs of Ramanujan's  ${}_1\psi_1$ -summation formula* [J]. J. Math. Res. Exposition, to appear.
- [2] GASPER G, RAHMAN M. *Basic Hypergeometric Series* [M]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [3] HARDY G H. *Ramanujan* [M]. reprinted, American Mathematical Society, Providence: 1999.
- [4] HAHN W. *L Beiträge zur Theorie der Heineschen Reihen* [J]. Math. Nachr., 1949, **2**: 340–379.
- [5] JACKSON M. *On Lerch's transcendant and the basic bilateral hypergeometric series  ${}_2\psi_2$*  [J]. J. London Math. Soc., 1950, **25**: 189–196.
- [6] ANDREWS G E. *On Ramanujan's summation  ${}_1\psi_1(a; b; z)$*  [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1969, **22**: 552–553.
- [7] ANDREWS G E, ASKEY R. *A simple proof of Ramanujan's summation of the  ${}_1\psi_1$*  [J]. Aequationes Math., 1978, **18**(3): 333–337.
- [8] ASKEY R. *The very well poised  ${}_6\psi_6$ . II* [J] Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1984, **90**: 575–579.
- [9] ADIGA C, BERNDT B C, BHARGAVA S. et al. *Chapter 16 of Ramanujan's second notebook: theta-functions and  $q$ -series* [J]. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 1985, **53**: 315.
- [10] BOAS R P. *Tannery's theorem* [J]. Math. Mag., 1965, **38**: 66.
- [11] FINE N J. *Basic Hypergeometric Series and Applications*[M]. American Mathematical Society, Providence: 1988.
- [12] ISMAIL M E H. *A simple proof of Ramanujan's  ${}_1\psi_1$  sum* [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1977, **63**: 185–186.
- [13] MIMACHI K. *A proof of Ramanujan's identity by use of loop integral* [J]. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 1988, **19**: 1490–1493.
- [14] CHAN S H. *A short proof of Ramanujan's famous  ${}_1\psi_1$  summation formula* [J]. J. Approx. Theory, 2005, **132**: 149–153.
- [15] SCHLOSSER M. *A simple proof of Bailey's very-well-poised  ${}_6\psi_6$  summation* [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2002, **130**: 1113–1123.
- [16] CORTEEL S, LOVEJOY J. *Frobenius Partitions and the Combinatorics of Ramanujan's  ${}_1\psi_1$  Summation* [J]. J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A, 2002, **97**(7): 177–183.
- [17] CORTEEL S. *Particle seas and basic hypergeometric series* [J]. Adv. Appl. Math., 2003, **31**: 199–214.
- [18] JOICHI J T, STANTON D. *Bijjective proofs of basic hypergeometric series identities* [J]. Pacific J. Math., 1987, **127**: 103–120.
- [19] YEE A J. *Combinatorial proofs of Ramanujan's  ${}_1\psi_1$  summation and the  $q$ -Gauss summation* [J]. J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A., 2004, **105**: 63–77.
- [20] KADELL K W J. *A probabilistic proof of Ramanujan's  ${}_1\psi_1$  sum* [J]. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 1987, **18**: 1539–1548.