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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give a general model of random walks in time-random environment in any countable space. Moreover, when the environment is independently identically distributed, a recurrence-transience criterion and the law of large numbers are derived in the nearest-neighbor case on $Z^{1}$. At last, under regularity conditions, we prove that the RWIRE $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ on $Z^{1}$ satisfies a central limit theorem, which is similar to the corresponding results in the case of classical random walks.
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## 1. Introduction

The general model of random walks in space-random environment including a recurrencetransience criterion had been given in Ref. [6]. Moreover, when the environment is i.i.d., the strong law of large numbers was given in Refs. [1] and [4]. However, the limit theorem had also been investigated in Refs. [2] and [5] where the environment is stationary and ergodic. In this paper, we study some asymptotic behavior for random walks in time-random environment (RWIRE) in the nearest-neighbor case on $Z^{1}$ as the environment is i.i.d..

We begin with a general setup, that will be specialized later to the cases of interest to us. Now we let $N=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$. For each $i \in N$, let $M_{i}(\chi)$ denote the collection of probability measures on $\chi$ with support $V, V \subset \chi$, where $\chi$ is countable. Formally, an element of $M_{i}(\chi)$, called a transition law at time $i$, is a measurable function $\omega_{i}: \chi \rightarrow[0,1]$ satisfying:
(a) $\omega_{i}(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in V$;
(b) $\omega_{i}(x)=0, \forall x \notin V$;
(c) $\sum_{x \in V} \omega_{i}(x)=1$.

We equip $M_{i}(\chi)$ with the weak topology on probability measures which makes it become a Polish space. Furthermore, it induces a Polish structure on $\Omega=\prod_{i \in N} M_{i}(\chi)$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote
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the Borel $\sigma$-algebra on $\Omega$. Given a probability measure $P$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$. A random environment is an element $\omega$ of $\Omega$ distributed according to $P$. One defines naturally the shift $T$ on $\Omega$ by $(T \omega)_{i}=\omega_{i+1}, i \in N$.

For each $\omega \in \Omega$, we define the random walks in the environment $\omega$ as the space-homogeneous Markov chains $X=\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$ taking value in $\chi$ with transition probabilities

$$
P_{\omega}\left(X_{n+1}=y \mid X_{n}=x\right)=\omega_{n}(y-x)
$$

Fix an environment $\omega \in \Omega, X=\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$ is a time-nonhomogeneous Markov chain. We use $P_{\omega}^{x}$ to denote the law induced on $\left(\chi^{N}, \mathcal{B}\right)$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is the $\sigma$-algebra generated by cylinder functions and $P_{\omega}^{x}\left(X_{0}=x\right)=1$.

In the sequel, we refer to $P_{\omega}^{x}(\cdot)$ as the quenched law of the random walks $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$. Note that for each $x \in \chi$ and $G \in \mathcal{B}$, the map $\omega \mapsto P_{\omega}^{x}(G)$ is $\mathcal{F}$-measurable.

Hence $P^{x}:=P \otimes P_{\omega}^{x}$ on $\left(\Omega \times \chi^{N}, \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{B}\right)$ is the probability measure defined by

$$
P^{x}(F \times G)=\int_{F} P_{\omega}^{x}(G) P(\mathrm{~d} \omega), \quad F \in \mathcal{F}, G \in \mathcal{B}
$$

Example Let $\chi=Z^{1}$ and $V=\{-1,0,1\}$. Then according to above definition the RWIRE is called the nearest-neighbor RWIRE on $Z^{1}$.

## 2. Recurrence-transience criteria

In this section, suppose $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$ is the nearest-neighbor RWIRE on $Z^{1}$, starting at 0 . We let $\omega_{n}^{+}:=\omega_{n}(1), \omega_{n}^{-}:=\omega_{n}(-1)$ and $\omega_{n}^{0}:=\omega_{n}(0)$.

Assumption (I) (a) $P$ is i.i.d.; (b) $P\left\{\left(\omega_{0}^{+}+\omega_{0}^{-}\right)>0\right\}=1$.
Theorem 2.1 Assume Assumption (I). Then
(1) $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}<E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+} \Longrightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n}=+\infty$,
(2) $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}>E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+} \Longrightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n}=-\infty$,
(3) $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}=E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+} \Longrightarrow-\infty=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf X_{n}<\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup X_{n}=+\infty$
hold $P^{0}$-a.s., where $E_{P}$ is the expectation operator w.r.t. $P$.
Proof (1) Fix an environment $\omega$ with $\frac{E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}}{E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}<\infty$. For each $x \in Z^{1}, l \leq x \leq s$, define

$$
H_{l, s, \omega}^{x}=P_{\omega}^{x}\left(\left\{X_{n}\right\} \text { hits } l \text { before hitting } s\right)
$$

From the assumption $\frac{E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}}{E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}<\infty$, for each $x$, it follows that $H_{l, s, \omega}^{x}$ is well defined as $P_{\omega}^{x}\left(\left\{X_{n}\right\}\right.$ never hits $\left.[l, s]^{c}\right)=0$. The Markov property of $P_{\omega}^{x}$ implies

$$
\begin{cases}H_{l, s, \omega}^{x}=\omega_{0}^{+} H_{l, s, T \omega}^{x+1}+\omega_{0}^{0} H_{l, s, T \omega}^{x}+\omega_{0}^{-} H_{l, s, T \omega}^{x-1}, & x \in(l, s)  \tag{2.1}\\ H_{l, s, T^{k} \omega}^{l}=1, & k \geq 1 \\ H_{l, s, T^{k} \omega}^{s}=0, & k \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

Since $P$ is i.i.d., and $P_{\omega}^{x}$ is space-homogeneous for all $\omega \in \Omega$, it follows by taking expectation on
(2.1) w.r.t. $P$ that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}+E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}\right) E_{P} H_{l, s, \omega}^{x}=E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+} E_{P} H_{l, s, \omega}^{x+1}+E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-} E_{P} H_{l, s, \omega}^{x-1}, \quad x \in(l, s),  \tag{2.2}\\
E_{P} H_{0, s-l, \omega}^{0}=1 \\
E_{P} H_{l-s, 0, \omega}^{0}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Solving (2.2), we obtain:
(i) If $E_{P} \omega_{0} \times E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}>0$, then

$$
E_{P} H_{l, s, \omega}^{x}=\frac{\sum_{j=x+1}^{s-1}\left(\frac{E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}}{E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}\right)^{j}}{\sum_{j=l+1}^{s-1}\left(\frac{E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}}{E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}\right)^{j}}, \quad E_{P} H_{l, s, \omega}^{0}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{s-1}\left(\frac{E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}}{E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}\right)^{j}}{\sum_{j=l+1}^{s-1}\left(\frac{E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}}{E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}\right)^{j}} .
$$

There are three cases:
(a) When $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}<E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}$, we have $\lim _{l \rightarrow-\infty} \lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} E_{P} H_{l, s, \omega}^{0}=0$, but $0 \leq H_{0, s, \omega}^{1} \leq$ 1, so $P\left(\lim _{l \rightarrow-\infty} \lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} H_{l, s, \omega}^{0}=0\right)=1$. We also have $\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} E_{P} H_{-1, s, \omega}^{0}<1$, hence $P\left(\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} H_{-1, s, \omega}^{0}<1\right)>0$, which implies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n}=+\infty$ under $P^{0}$-a.s..
(b) When $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}>E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}$, similarly, we may get $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n}=-\infty$ under $P^{0}$-a.s..
(c) If $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}=E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}$, for any fixed $l, \lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} E_{P} H_{l, s, \omega}^{0}=1$, hence $P\left(\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} H_{l, s, \omega}^{0}=\right.$ $1)=1$. Moreover, for any fixed $s, \lim _{l \rightarrow-\infty} E_{P} H_{l, s, \omega}^{0}=0$, hence $P\left(\lim _{l \rightarrow-\infty} H_{l, s, \omega}^{0}=0\right)=1$, so $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}=E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+} \Longrightarrow-\infty=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf X_{n}<\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup X_{n}=+\infty$ under $P^{0}$-a.s..
(ii) If $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-} \times E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}=0$, there are two cases by assumption (I)(b):
(a) When $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}=0, E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}>0$, then by (2.2) and $P_{\omega}$ is space-homogeneous for all $\omega$

$$
E_{P}\left(H_{l, s, \omega}^{0}\right)=E_{P}\left(H_{l-1, s-1, \omega}^{0}\right)=\cdots=E_{P}\left(H_{l-s, 0, \omega}^{0}\right)=0
$$

(b) When $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}>0, E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}=0$, similarly, we have

$$
E_{P}\left(H_{l, s, \omega}^{0}\right)=E_{P}\left(H_{l+1, s+1, \omega}^{0}\right)=\cdots=E_{P}\left(H_{0, s-l, \omega}^{0}\right)=1
$$

So the case (a) (or (b)) of (ii) can be included in the case of (a) (or (b)) of (i). We complete the proof by (i) and (ii).

## 3. Strong law of large numbers

We introduce hitting times which will serve us later. Let $T_{0}=0$ and

$$
T_{n}=\inf \left\{k: X_{k}=n\right\}, n \geq 1 ; \inf \varphi=+\infty
$$

Set $\tau_{0}=0$ and $\tau_{n}=T_{n}-T_{n-1}, n \geq 1$. Similarly, set $T_{-n}=\inf \left\{k: X_{k}=-n\right\}, n \geq 1$ and $\tau_{-n}=T_{-n}-T_{-n+1}, n \geq 1$, with the convention that $\tau_{ \pm n}=+\infty$ if $T_{ \pm n}=\infty$.

Theorem 3.1 Assume Assumption (I). Then under $P^{0}$-a.s.
(1) $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}>E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-} \Longrightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{n}}{n}=\left(E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}-E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}\right)^{-1}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_{n}}{n}=\left(E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}-E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}\right)$;
(2) $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}<E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-} \Longrightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{-n}}{n}=\left(E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}-E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}\right)^{-1}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_{n}}{n}=\left(E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}-E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}\right)$;
(3) $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}=E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-} \Longrightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{n}}{n}=\infty=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{-n}}{n}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_{n}}{n}=0$.

For the proof of Theorem 3.1, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 Assume Assumption (I). Then $\left\{\tau_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ is i.i.d. and $\left\{\tau_{-n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ is i.i.d. under the law $P^{0}$-a.s..

Proof When $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+} \geq E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}$, we have $P^{0}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup X_{n}=+\infty\right)=1$, by the definition of $\tau_{n}$, $P^{0}\left(\tau_{n}<\infty\right)=1$ for all $n \geq 1$. To prove $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}$ is i.i.d., it suffices to show for any positive integer $k$ and $m$,

$$
P^{0}\left(\tau_{2}=k \mid \tau_{1}=m\right)=P^{0}\left(\tau_{2}=k\right)=P^{0}\left(\tau_{1}=k\right)
$$

For any fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, by the Markov property and the space-homogeneity of $P_{\omega}^{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\omega}^{0}\left(\tau_{2}=k\right)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P_{\omega}^{0}\left(\tau_{2}=k \mid \tau_{1}=m\right) P_{\omega}^{0}\left(\tau_{1}=m\right)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P_{T^{m} \omega}^{0}\left(\tau_{1}=k\right) P_{\omega}^{0}\left(\tau_{1}=m\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P$ is i.i.d., it follows by taking expectation on (3.1) w.r.t. $P$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{0}\left(\tau_{2}=k\right)=P \otimes P_{\omega}^{0}\left(\tau_{1}=k\right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P \otimes P_{\omega}^{0}\left(\tau_{1}=m\right)=P^{0}\left(\tau_{1}=k\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{\omega}^{0}\left(\tau_{2}=k \mid \tau_{1}=m\right)=P_{\omega}^{0}\left(X_{m+k}=2, X_{m+s} \neq 2, s=1,2, \ldots, k-1 \mid\right. \\
& \left.X_{m}=1, X_{n} \neq 1, n=1,2, \ldots, m-1\right)=P_{T^{m} \omega}^{0}\left(\tau_{1}=k\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence by taking expectation on (3.3) w.r.t. $P$, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{0}\left(\tau_{2}=k \mid \tau_{1}=m\right)=P^{0}\left(\tau_{1}=k\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $\left\{\tau_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ is i.i.d. under $P^{0}$-a.s. by (3.2) and (3.4) when $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+} \leq E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}$. Similarly, we may show $\left\{\tau_{-n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ is i.i.d. under $P^{0}$-a.s..

Lemma 3.2 Assume Assumption (I). Then
(1) $E_{P^{0}}\left(\tau_{1}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}-E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}\right)^{-1}, & E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}>E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}, \\ +\infty, & E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}=E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-} ;\end{cases}$
(2) $E_{P^{0}}\left(\tau_{-1}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}-E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}\right)^{-1}, & E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}>E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}, \\ +\infty, & E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}=E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-} .\end{cases}$

Proof We prove only (1) since the proof of (2) is similar. Decompose, with $X_{0}=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{1}=\chi_{\left\{X_{1}=1\right\}}+\chi_{\left\{X_{1}=0\right\}}\left(1+\tau_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\chi_{\left\{X_{1}=-1\right\}}\left(1+\tau_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\tau_{1}^{\prime}$ is the first hitting time of 1 after time 1 (possible infinite), $1+\tau_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ is the first hitting time of 0 after time 1 , and $1+\tau_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is the first hitting time of 1 after time $1+\tau_{0}^{\prime \prime}$.

Consider first the case $E_{P^{0}} \tau_{1}<\infty$. Then $E_{\omega}^{0} \tau_{1}<\infty$ under $P$-a.s.. Taking expectation on (3.5), one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\omega}^{0} \tau_{1}= & P_{\omega}^{0}\left(X_{1}=1\right)+P_{\omega}^{0}\left(X_{1}=0\right)\left(1+E_{T \omega}^{0} \tau_{1}\right)+ \\
& P_{\omega}^{0}\left(X_{1}=-1\right)\left(1+E_{T \omega}^{0} \tau_{1}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P_{T \omega}^{0}\left(\tau_{1}=m\right) E_{T^{m+1} \omega}^{0} \tau_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1+\left(1-\omega_{0}^{+}\right) E_{T \omega}^{0} \tau_{1}+\omega_{0}^{-} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P_{T \omega}^{0}\left(\tau_{1}=m\right) E_{T^{m+1} \omega}^{0} \tau_{1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking expectation on (3.6) w.r.t. $P$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{P^{0}} \tau_{1}=1+\left(1-E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}\right) E_{P^{0}} \tau_{1}+E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-} E_{P^{0}} \tau_{1} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence when $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}>E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}$, we have $E_{P^{0}} \tau_{1}=\left(E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}-E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}\right)^{-1}<\infty$.
Note next that if $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+} \geq E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}$, we have by Theorem 2.1 that $E_{P}\left(\tau_{1} \chi_{\tau_{1}<\infty}\right)=E_{P} \tau_{1}$. Hence $E_{P^{0}} \tau_{1}=\infty$ implies $E_{p} \omega_{0}^{+}=E_{p} \omega_{0}^{-}$; on the other hand, if $E_{p} \omega_{0}^{+}=E_{p} \omega_{0}^{-}$, by (3.7) we also have $E_{P^{0}} \tau_{1}=\infty$. We finish the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 An application of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 yields that in case (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{T_{n}}{n}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_{i}}{n} \longrightarrow E_{P^{0}} \tau_{1}<\infty \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we use $-n$ instead of $n$, we also get that in case (2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{T_{-n}}{n}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_{-i}}{n} \longrightarrow E_{P^{0}} \tau_{-1}<\infty \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

However when $E_{p} \omega_{0}^{+}=E_{p} \omega_{0}^{-}, P^{0}\left(-\infty=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf X_{n}<\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup X_{n}=+\infty\right)=1$, for $n$ large enough, $T_{n}=+\infty=T_{-n}$, so in case (3),

$$
P^{0}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{n}}{n}=+\infty=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{-n}}{n}\right)=1
$$

Now we prove the second limit of the case (1). Let $K_{n}$ be the unique (random) integers such that $T_{K_{n}} \leq n<T_{K_{n}+1}$. Note that $X_{n} \leq K_{n}+1$, while $X_{n} \geq K_{n}-\left(n-T_{K_{n}}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{K_{n}}{n}-\left(1-\frac{T_{K_{n}}}{n}\right) \leq \frac{X_{n}}{n}<\frac{K_{n}+1}{n} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P^{0}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n}=+\infty\right)=1$, from the definition of $K_{n}, P^{0}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{K_{n}}}{n}=1\right)=1$. But the definition $K_{n}$ also implies

$$
P^{0}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_{n}}{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{T_{n}}\right)=1
$$

Thus it follows from (3.8) and (3.10) that

$$
P^{0}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_{n}}{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{T_{n}}=E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}-E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}\right)=1
$$

Similarly, we may prove the second limit of (2) and (3).

## 4. The central limit Theorem

In this section, we study the limiting distribution of the RWIRW $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$, we use following notations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu=\left(E_{P^{0}} \tau_{1}\right)^{-1}, \sigma^{2}=\frac{E_{P^{0}}\left(\tau_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(E_{P^{0}} \tau_{1}\right)^{2}}{\left(E_{P^{0}} \tau_{1}\right)^{3}}, D_{P^{0}} \tau_{1}=E_{P^{0}}\left(\tau_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(E_{P^{0}} \tau_{1}\right)^{2} \\
\mu_{-1}=\left(E_{P^{0}} \tau_{-1}\right)^{-1}, \sigma_{-1}^{2}=\frac{E_{P^{0}}\left(\tau_{-1}\right)^{2}-\left(E_{P^{0}} \tau_{-1}\right)^{2}}{\left(E_{P^{0}} \tau_{-1}\right)^{3}}, D_{P^{0}} \tau_{-1}=E_{P^{0}}\left(\tau_{-1}\right)^{2}-\left(E_{P^{0}} \tau_{-1}\right)^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 4.1 Assume Assumption (I), under $P^{0}$-a.s.,
(1) If $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}>E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}$and $D_{P^{0}} \tau_{1}<\infty$, then

$$
\frac{X_{n}-n \mu}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \longrightarrow N(0,1) ; \frac{T_{n}-n \mu^{-1}}{\sqrt{n D_{P^{0}} \tau_{1}}} \longrightarrow N(0,1), n \rightarrow \infty
$$

(2) If $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}=E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}$, then

$$
\frac{X_{n}}{\sqrt{2 n E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}} \longrightarrow N(0,1), n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

(3) If $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}<E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}$and $D_{P^{0}} \tau_{-1}<\infty$, then

$$
\frac{X_{n}-n \mu_{-1}}{\sigma_{-1} \sqrt{n}} \longrightarrow N(0,1) ; \frac{T_{n}-n \mu_{-1}^{-1}}{\sqrt{n D_{P^{0}} \tau_{-1}}} \longrightarrow N(0,1), n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Proof (1) For any positive integers $n, L$ and $M$, by the definition of $T_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{T_{L} \geq n\right\} & \subset\left\{X_{n} \leq L\right\} \subset\left(\left\{T_{L+M} \geq n\right\} \cup\left\{\left(\inf _{s \geq T_{L+M}} X_{s} \leq L\right) \cap\left(T_{L+M}<n\right)\right\}\right) \\
& \subset\left(\left\{T_{L+M} \geq n\right\} \cup\left\{\inf _{s \geq T_{L+M}} X_{s}-(L+M) \leq-M\right\}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $P$ is i.i.d., it follows by the Markov property and the space-homogeneity of $P_{\omega}^{0}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{0}\left(\inf _{s \geq T_{L+M}} X_{s}-(L+M) \leq-M\right)=P^{0}\left(\inf _{s \geq 0} X_{s} \leq-M\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 2.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} P^{0}\left(\inf _{s \geq 0} X_{s} \leq-M\right)=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence for any positive integers $l$ and for any $\delta>0$, there exists $M$ large enough such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{0}\left(T_{L} \geq n\right) \leq P^{0}\left(X_{n} \leq L\right) \leq P^{0}\left(T_{L+M} \geq n\right)+\delta \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for any given real $x$, take

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=L(n, x)=n \mu+x \sigma \sqrt{n}+o(\sqrt{n}), \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have $L(n, x) \longrightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{0}\left(X_{n} \leq L(n, x)\right) \approx P^{0}\left(\frac{X_{n}-n \mu}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \leq x\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
P^{0}\left(T_{L(n, x)} \geq n\right)=P^{0}\left(\frac{T_{L(n, x)}-L(n, x) \mu^{-1}}{\sqrt{L(n, x) D_{P^{0}} \tau_{1}}} \geq \frac{n-L(n, x) \mu^{-1}}{\sqrt{L(n, x) D_{P^{0}} \tau_{1}}}\right)
$$

By the definition of $L(n, x)$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n-L(n, x) \mu^{-1}}{\sqrt{L(n, x) D_{P^{0}} \tau_{1}}}=-x
$$

By the Central Limit Theorem of i.i.d. random variable sequence and the given condition we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P^{0}\left(T_{L(n, x)} \geq n\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P^{0}\left(T_{L(n, x)+M} \geq n\right)=1-\Phi(-x)=\Phi(x)
$$

where $\Phi(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} \exp \left(\frac{-t^{2}}{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t$. So by (4.4) and (4.6), the case (1) is proved. Similarly, we can prove the case (3).
(2) When $E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}=E_{P} \omega_{0}^{-}$, suppose $X_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}, Y_{i} \in\{-1,0,1\}$, where

$$
E_{\omega}\left(Y_{i}=1\right)=\omega_{i}^{+}, E_{\omega}\left(Y_{i}=-1\right)=\omega_{i}^{-}, E_{\omega}\left(Y_{i}=0\right)=\omega_{i}^{0}
$$

Since $P$ is i.i.d., by the given condition we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{P^{0}} X_{n} & =E_{P}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\omega_{i}^{+}-\omega_{i}^{-}\right)\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(E_{P} \omega_{i}^{+}-E_{P} \omega_{i}^{-}\right)=0 \\
E_{P^{0}} X_{n}^{2} & =E_{P}\left(E_{\omega}^{0}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{i} Y_{j}\right)\right)=E_{P}\left(E_{\omega}^{0}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}^{2}+2 \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} Y_{i} Y_{j}\right)\right) \\
& =E_{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\omega_{i}^{+}+\omega_{i}^{-}\right)+2 \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(\omega_{i}^{+}-\omega_{i}^{-}\right)\left(\omega_{j}^{+}-\omega_{j}^{-}\right)\right)=2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{P} \omega_{i}^{+}=2 n E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that the characteristic function of $\frac{X_{n}}{\sqrt{2 n E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}}$is $\varphi_{n}(t)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{n}(t) & =E_{P^{0}} \exp \left(i t \frac{X_{n}}{\sqrt{2 n E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}}\right)=E_{P}\left(E_{\omega}^{0} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp \left(i t \frac{Y_{j}}{\sqrt{2 n E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}}\right)\right) \\
& =E_{P}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\exp \left(\frac{i t}{\sqrt{2 n E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}}\right) \omega_{j}^{+}+\exp \left(\frac{-i t}{\sqrt{2 n E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}}\right) \omega_{j}^{-}+\omega_{j}^{0}\right)\right] \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left[\exp \left(\frac{i t}{\sqrt{2 n E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}}\right) E_{P} \omega_{j}^{+}+\exp \left(\frac{-i t}{\sqrt{2 n E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}}\right) E_{P} \omega_{j}^{-}+E_{P} \omega_{j}^{0}\right] \\
& =\left[E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}\left(\exp \left(\frac{i t}{\sqrt{2 n E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}}\right)+\exp \left(\frac{-i t}{\sqrt{2 n E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}}\right)\right)+E_{P} \omega_{0}^{0}\right]^{n} \\
& =\left[E _ { P } \omega _ { 0 } ^ { + } \left(1+\frac{i t}{\sqrt{2 n E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}}-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{t^{2}}{2 n E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}+o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)+1-\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\frac{i t}{\sqrt{2 n E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}}-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{t^{2}}{2 n E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}+o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)+E_{P} \omega_{0}^{0}\right]^{n} \\
& =\left[E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}\left(2-\frac{t^{2}}{2 n E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}+o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)+E_{P} \omega_{0}^{0}\right]^{n} \\
& =\left[1-\frac{t^{2}}{2 n}+o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right]^{n} \longrightarrow \exp \left(\frac{-t^{2}}{2}\right), n \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows by the Continuous Theorem and (4.7) that $\frac{X_{n}}{\sqrt{2 n E_{P} \omega_{0}^{+}}} \rightarrow N(0,1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
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