## The Regularity of the Weak Solutions for the N-Dimensional Quasilinear Elliptic Equations with Discontinuous Coefficients

TAN Qi Jian<sup>1</sup>, LENG Zhong Jian<sup>2</sup>

(1. Department of Mathematics, Sichuan College of Education, Sichuan 610041, China;

2. College of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Sichuan 610064, China)

(E-mail: tanqjxxx@yahoo.com.cn)

**Abstract** The *n*-dimensional quasilinear elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients are studied. Using estimate and difference approach methods, we prove that the first derivatives of the weak solutions are continuous in the sense of Hölder up to the inner boundary on which the coefficients are discontinuous.

Keywords Quasilinear elliptic equation; discontinuous coefficients; weak solution; regularity.

Document code A MR(2000) Subject Classification 35J65; 35R05 Chinese Library Classification 0175.25

## 1. Introduction

The problems about elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients are also known as the diffraction problems. The coefficients of the equations are discontinuous on inner boundary. The papers<sup>[1-4]</sup> studied the existence and the regularity of the solutions for the linear equations with discontinuous coefficients. The regularity results are very important for the linear diffraction problems and some porus medium problems<sup>[5,6]</sup>. Therefore, the regularity results of the semi-linear and quasilinear equations are also useful and important for us. In 2005, the paper<sup>[7]</sup> considered the regularity of the solutions of a special semi-linear second order elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients that is derived from the electric field. In this paper, we are going to study the regularity of the weak solutions for the *n*-dimensional quasilinear elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients.

We first introduce some notations:

Notation 1.1 (a1)  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is the n-dimensional Euclidean space,  $n \geq 2$ , with points  $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ .  $p := (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)$ ,  $w_x := (w_{x_1}, w_{x_2}, \ldots, w_{x_n}) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , where  $w_{x_i} := \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i}$ . Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . It satisfies  $\overline{\Omega} = \overline{D^{(1)} \cup D^{(2)}}$ , where  $D^{(1)}$  and  $D^{(2)}$  are two subdomains which are separated by inner boundary  $\Gamma := \{x : x_n = 0\}$ .  $K_\rho$  is an arbitrary open ball in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  of radius  $\rho$  and  $K_{2\rho}$  is concentric with  $K_\rho$ .

Received date: 2006-09-20; Accepted date: 2007-05-24

Foundation item: the Foundation of Sichuan College of Education (No. 2006015).

(a2) Function 
$$a_i(x, w, p) = a_i^{(k)}(x, w, p)$$
 if  $x \in D^{(k)}$  and  $f(x, w, p) = f^{(k)}(x, w, p)$  if  $x \in D^{(k)}$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ .

(a3) Everywhere pairs of equal indices imply a summation from 1 to n. For example,  $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x_i}a_i(x,w,w_x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x_i}a_i(x,w,w_x)$ . "A := B" is the definition of A by means of the expression B.

This paper considers the regularity of the following n-dimensional quasilinear elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients:

**Problem 1.1** Find function w = w(x), such that

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x_i}a_i(x, w, w_x) + f(x, w, w_x) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad D^{(k)}, \ k = 1, 2,$$
(1.1)

$$[w]_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}N}\right]_{\Gamma} = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

$$w(x) = g(x)$$
 on  $\partial\Omega$ , (1.3)

where  $\frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}N} := a_i(x, w, w_x) \cos(\mathbf{n}, x_i)$ , **n** is the outward (from  $D^{(k)}$ ) unit normal to  $\Gamma$ , and  $[\cdot]_{\Gamma}$  represents the jump across the inner boundary  $\Gamma$ .

**Definition 1.1** By a bounded weak solution w(x) of problem 1.1 we will mean a function w(x)from  $W_2^1(\Omega)$  with  $M := \operatorname{vari} \max_{\Omega} |w| < \infty$  that satisfies the integral identity

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\{ a_i(x, w, w_x) \eta_{x_i} + f(x, w, w_x) \eta \right\} \mathrm{d}x = 0$$
(1.4)

for any function  $\eta(x) \in \overset{\circ}{W} \frac{1}{2}(\Omega)$  with  $\operatorname{varimax}_{\Omega} |\eta| < \infty$ .

Suppose that the following hypotheses are satisfied throughout this paper.

**Hypotheses 1.1** (H1) There exists at least one bounded weak solution for Problem 1.1. The solution is represented by w(x). The bound of the solution is denoted by M.

(H2) Although functions  $a_i(x, w, p)$ , f(x, w, p) may be discontinuous for  $x \in \Omega$ , they have partial derivatives for all variables if x belongs to the same subdomain  $D^{(k)}$  and (w, p) belongs to  $[-M, M] \times \mathbf{R}$ , and they satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{split} \nu \sum_{i=1}^{n} \zeta_{i}^{2} &\leq \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{j}} a_{i}(x, w, p) \zeta_{i} \zeta_{j} \leq \mu \sum_{i=1}^{n} \zeta_{i}^{2}, \quad |a_{i}(x, w, p)| \leq \mu (1+|p|), \\ \left| \frac{\partial a_{i}(x, w, p)}{\partial x_{j}} \right| &\leq \psi_{0}(x) + \mu |p|, \quad \left| \frac{\partial a_{i}(x, w, p)}{\partial w} \right| \leq \psi_{1}(x) + \mu |p|, \\ |f(x, w, p)| + \left| \frac{\partial f(x, w, p)}{\partial x_{j}} \right| \leq \varphi_{1} + \mu |p|^{2}, \\ \left| \frac{\partial f(x, w, p)}{\partial w} \right| &\leq \varphi_{2}(x) + \mu |p|^{2}, \quad \left| \frac{\partial f(x, w, p)}{\partial p_{j}} \right| \leq \varphi_{3}(x) + \mu |p|, \end{split}$$

where  $k = 1, 2, \|\psi_0^2, \psi_1^2, \varphi_1^2, \varphi_2, \varphi_3^2\|_{L_{\frac{q}{2}}(\Omega)} + \|\psi_1\|_{L_4(\Omega)} \le \mu_1, \nu, \mu, \mu_1, q > 0 \text{ and } q > n.$ 

**Remark 1.1** The hypotheses about the inner boundary  $(\{x|x_n = 0\})$  and the coefficients are

suitable for application, because we can transform the general inner boundary into the form  $\{x \mid x_n = 0\}$  by a transformation (see [3, Chapter 3, Section 16], [5, 6]).

The main result of this paper is the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** Suppose Hypotheses 1.1 is satisfied. Then any bounded weak solution w(x) of Problem 1.1 belongs to the classes  $C^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega'})$  and  $W_2^2(\Omega' \cap D^{(k)})$ , where  $\Omega'$  is an arbitrary strictly interior subdomain of the domain  $\Omega$ , k = 1, 2, and  $\alpha > 0$  is determined only by  $\nu$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\mu_1$ , q and M. Furthermore, the solution w(x) has first derivatives that belong to the class  $C^{\beta}(\overline{\Omega' \cap D^{(k)}})$ and has the estimates

$$\int_{\Omega' \cap D^{(k)}} |w_{xx}|^2 \mathrm{d}x \le C,\tag{1.5}$$

$$\max_{K_{\rho}} |w_{x_i}| + \rho^{-\beta} osc\{w_{x_i}, K_{\rho} \cap \overline{D^{(k)}}\} \le C$$

$$(1.6)$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n, k = 1, 2, K_{\rho} \subset \Omega'$ , where C and  $\beta$  are positive constants depending only on  $\nu, \mu$ ,  $\mu_1, q, M$  and the distance from  $\Omega'$  to  $\partial\Omega$ . In addition, the solution w(x) satisfies the equation (1.1) for almost all  $x \in \Omega'$  and the inner boundary condition (1.2) for almost all  $x \in \Gamma \cap \Omega'$ .

## 2. The proof of the main theorem

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we need some lemmas. First, in addition to notation 1.1 we introduce another notation:

Notation 2.1 (A1) Let  $\Omega'$  be any given strictly interior subdomain of the domain  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_i$  be a series of subdomains, such that  $\Omega_3 = \Omega'$ ,  $\overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega$ ,  $\overline{\Omega}_2 \subset \Omega_1$  and  $\overline{\Omega}_3 \subset \Omega_2$ . Without loss of generality, assume that for i = 1, 2, the distance from  $\Omega_{i+1}$  to  $\partial \Omega_i$  equals to the distance from  $\Omega_1$  to  $\partial \Omega$  which is denoted by d.

(A2)  $C, C_1, C_2, \ldots, \alpha, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots$  are constants which depend on parameters  $\nu, \mu, \mu_1, q$  and M. It is convenient that we only list the other parameters except  $\nu, \mu, \mu_1, q, M$ .

(A3)  $G := 1 + \psi_0^2 + \varphi_1^2$  and  $G_1 := 1 + \psi_1^2 + \varphi_3^2 + \varphi_2$ .

The following two useful inequalities can be easily gotten from the hypotheses:

$$a_i(x, w, p)p_i \ge \frac{\nu}{2}|p|^2 - \mu_2,$$
(2.1)

$$\int_{K_{\rho}} (G+G_1) \mathrm{d}x \le \|G+G_1\|_{L_{\frac{q}{2}}(K_{\rho})} \left(\int_{K_{\rho}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \le C\rho^{n-2+2\alpha_0}, \, \alpha_0 = 1-\frac{n}{q}.$$
(2.2)

**Lemma 2.1** There exist constants  $\alpha$ ,  $\rho_0$ ,  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ ,  $\rho_0 > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega_1} |w_x|^2 \mathrm{d}x \le C(d); \quad \rho^{-\alpha} osc\{w, K_\rho\} \le C(d) \quad \text{if} \quad K_\rho \subset \Omega_1;$$
(2.3)

$$\int_{K_{\rho}} (1+|w_x|)^2 \zeta^2(x) \mathrm{d}x \le C\rho^{\alpha_1} \int_{K_{\rho}} |\zeta_x|^2 \mathrm{d}x \quad \text{if} \quad K_{\rho} \subset \Omega_1, \ \rho \le \rho_0, \tag{2.4}$$

where  $\zeta(x)$  is an arbitrary bounded function in  $\overset{\circ}{W} {}^{1}_{2}(K_{\rho})$ . Here and below,  $\alpha_{1}$  equals to  $\min(\alpha, 2\alpha_{0})$ .

**Proof** First, applying the hypotheses and integral identity (1.4), we can get the two estimates of (2.3) from the similar proof to that of [3, Chapter 4, Lemma 1.1 and Theorem 1.1].

Next, we take  $\eta = [w(x) - w(x_0)]\zeta^2(x)$  in identity (1.4), where  $x_0$  is any point in  $K_{\rho}$ . Then, by [2, Chapter 2, Lemma 5.2] and inequalities (2.1), (2.2) we get from Cauchy's inequality that

$$\begin{split} \int_{K_{\rho}} |w_{x}|^{2} \zeta^{2}(x) \mathrm{d}x &\leq C \int_{K_{\rho}} \left\{ |w(x) - w(x_{0})| \left[ |w_{x}|^{2} \zeta^{2} + |\zeta_{x}|^{2} \right] + \left[ 1 + \varphi_{1} \right] \right] \zeta^{2} \right\} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C_{1} \rho^{\alpha} \int_{K_{\rho}} \left\{ |w_{x}|^{2} \zeta^{2}(x) + |\zeta_{x}|^{2} \right\} \mathrm{d}x + C \rho^{2\alpha_{0}} \int_{K_{\rho}} |\zeta_{x}|^{2} \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

If  $\rho_0$  satisfies the condition

$$\rho_0^{\alpha} C_1 = \frac{1}{2}$$

it follows that estimate (2.4) holds. The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 2.2** The bounded weak solution w belongs to the class  $W_1^2(\Omega_2 \cap D^{(k)})$ . Moreover, weak derivatives  $w_{x_jx_s}$  belong to the class  $L_2(\Omega_2 \cap D^{(k)})$  for  $1 \le i, j \le n, 1 \le s \le n-1$  and have the estimate

$$\int_{\Omega_2 \cap D^{(k)}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} w_{x_j x_s}^2 + |w_{x_n x_n}| \right\} \mathrm{d}x \le C(d).$$
(2.5)

**Proof** For an arbitrary sphere  $K_{\rho}$ ,  $K_{2\rho} \subset \Omega_1$ , let  $\xi = \xi(x)$  be a smooth function of compact support on  $K_{\rho}$  taking values in [0, 1], such that  $|\xi_x| \leq \frac{C}{\rho}$  in  $K_{\rho}$  and  $\xi = 1$  in  $K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}$ . Let

$$x + \Delta x_s := (x_1, ..., x_{s-1}, x_s + \Delta x_s, ..., x_n), \quad w_{(s)} := \frac{\Delta w}{\Delta x_s} = \frac{w(x + \Delta x_s) - w(x)}{\Delta x_s},$$

where  $s \leq n - 1$ . Then taking

$$\eta = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x_s} \left( \frac{\Delta w(x - \Delta x_s)}{\Delta x_s} \xi^2 (x - \Delta x_s) \right) = \left( w_{(s)}(x - \Delta x_s) \xi^2 (x - \Delta x_s) \right)_{(s)}$$

in the integral identity (1.4) and applying [2, Chapter 2, formula (4.9)] gives

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \left\{ -\left(a_i(x, w, w_x)\right)_{(s)} (w_{(s)}\xi^2)_{x_i} + (f)_{(s)} w_{(s)}\xi^2 \right\} \mathrm{d}x = 0.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(a_i(x,w,w_x)\right)_{(s)} = & w_{(s)x_j} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_i(x^t,w^t,w^t_x)}{\partial w^t_{x_j}} \mathrm{d}t + \\ & w_{(s)} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_i(x^t,w^t,w^t_x)}{\partial w^t} \mathrm{d}t + \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_i(x^t,w^t,w^t_x)}{\partial x^t_s} \mathrm{d}t, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x^t := (1-t)x + t(x + \Delta x_s)$ ,  $w^t(x) := (1-t)w(x) + tw(x + \Delta x_s)$ . And  $(f)_{(s)}$  has similar equality. Therefore, by Hypotheses 1.1 and Cauchy's inequality we deduce

$$\frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega_1} |w_{(s)x}|^2 \xi^2 \mathrm{d}x \le \varepsilon \int_{\Omega_1} |w_{(s)x}|^2 \xi^2 \mathrm{d}x + C(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega_1} \left\{ \left[ 1 + |w_{(s)}(x)|^2 \right] \xi^2 \int_0^1 |w_x^t|^2 \mathrm{d}t + w_{(s)}^2 \int_0^1 \left[ G_1(x^t)\xi^2(x) + |\xi_x(x)|^2 \right] \mathrm{d}t + \int_0^1 \left[ G(x^t)\xi^2(x) + |\xi_x(x)|^2 \right] \mathrm{d}t \right\} \mathrm{d}x.$$

Choose  $\varepsilon = \nu/4$ . From definition of  $\xi(x)$  it follows that

$$\frac{\nu}{4} \int_{K_{\rho}} |w_{(s)x}|^{2} \xi^{2} \mathrm{d}x \leq C \int_{K_{\rho}} \left\{ \left[ \left( |w_{x}(x)|^{2} + |w_{x}(x + \Delta x_{s})|^{2} \right) \left( 1 + w_{(s)}^{2} \right) \xi^{2} \right] + \left[ \left( G_{1}(x) + G_{1}(x + \Delta x_{s}) \right) w_{(s)}^{2} \xi^{2} \right] + \left[ \left( G(x) + G(x + \Delta x_{s}) \right) \xi^{2} \right] + (w_{(s)}^{2} + 1) |\xi_{x}|^{2} \right\} \mathrm{d}x.$$

$$(2.6)$$

It is easy to know that the function  $w(x + \Delta x_s)$  has the similar property to inequality (2.4) of the function w(x). For the right of inequality (2.6), if applying (2.4) with  $\zeta(x) = (1 + w_{(s)}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi$ to the first item [ $\cdots$ ] and applying [2, Chapter 2, Lemma 5.2] to the second item [ $\cdots$ ] and the third item [ $\cdots$ ], we obtain

$$\int_{K_{\rho}} |w_{(s)x}|^2 \xi^2 \mathrm{d}x \le C_3 \int_{K_{\rho}} \left\{ \rho^{\alpha_1} \left[ |w_{(s)x}|^2 \xi^2 + (1+w_{(s)}^2) |\xi_x|^2 \right] + (w_{(s)}^2 + 1) |\xi_x|^2 \right\} \mathrm{d}x.$$

Hence, choosing  $\rho_1 := (4C_3)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha_1}}$ , from Lemma 2.1 we deduce that if  $\rho \leq \rho_1$ ,

$$\int_{K_{\rho}} |w_{(s)x}|^2 \xi^2 \mathrm{d}x \le C(d,\rho).$$
(2.7)

This estimate and [3, Chapter 2, Lemma 4.6] give the existence of weak derivatives  $w_{x_ix_s}$  in  $L_2(\Omega_2 \cap D^{(k)})$  for  $k = 1, 2, 1 \le i \le n$  and  $s \le n - 1$ . In addition, the integral identity (1.4) and the equation 1.1 imply that  $w_{x_nx_n}$  exists in  $L_1(\Omega_2 \cap D^{(k)})$  and has the estimate

$$|w_{x_n x_n}| \le C \Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} |w_{x_i x_s}| + (1 + \psi_0 + \varphi_1 + \psi_1 |w_x| + |w_x|^2) \Big\}.$$
(2.8)

Consequently, estimate (2.5) is concluded from inequalities (2.7) and (2.8). Function w belongs to  $W_1^2(\Omega_2 \cap D^{(k)}), k = 1, 2$ . The lemma is proved.

Here and below,  $\int_{K_{\rho}} |w_{xx}| dx$  represents  $\int_{K_{\rho} \cap D^{(1)}} |w_{xx}| dx + \int_{K_{\rho} \cap D^{(2)}} |w_{xx}| dx$ .

**Lemma 2.3** There exist positive constants  $\delta_0$ ,  $\rho_2$ , such that  $w_x$  belongs to the class  $L_4(K_{\frac{\rho}{2}})$  if  $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ ,  $\rho \leq \rho_2$  and  $K_{\rho} \subset \Omega_2$ . In addition, w belongs to the class  $W_2^2(\Omega_3 \cap D^{(k)})$ , k = 1, 2, and

$$|w_x||_{L_4(K_{\frac{\rho}{2}})} \le C(d,\rho)/\delta, \quad ||w_{xx}||_{L_2(\Omega_3 \cap D^{(k)})} \le C(d)/\delta.$$
(2.9)

If  $\xi(x)$  is a smooth function of compact support on  $K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}$  taking values between 0 and 1, then the following inequality is satisfied for any  $l \ge 0$ 

$$\int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \left\{ |w_{x}|^{2}h^{l+1}\xi^{2} + \psi_{1}^{2}h^{l+1}\xi^{2} + \delta\psi_{1}^{2}|w_{x}|^{2}h^{l}\xi^{2} + Gh^{l}\xi^{2} \right\} dx$$

$$\leq C(d) \cdot \rho^{\alpha_{1}} \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \left\{ (|w_{x}|^{4} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} w_{x_{j}x_{s}}^{2})h^{l}\xi^{2} + l^{2}|h_{x}|^{2}h^{l-1}\xi^{2} + (1 + |w_{x}|^{2})h^{l}|\xi_{x}|^{2} \right\} dx,$$
(2.10)

where function  $h := \min\{\sigma, N\}$ ,  $\sigma := 1 + \delta a_n^2 + \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} w_{x_s}^2$ , in which N,  $\delta$  are constants and N is large enough.

**Proof** Since the functions h and  $\sigma$  coincide when  $\sigma \leq N$ , the function h and  $\sigma$  satisfy inequalities

$$h, \, \delta a_n^2, \, \sigma \le C(w_x^2 + 1); \quad |h_x|(\delta a_n^2 + w_{x_s}^2) \le |h_x|h, \, s \le n - 1.$$

$$(2.11)$$

Cauchy's inequality shows

$$\delta a_n^2 = \delta \Big( w_{x_j} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} a_n(x, w, p) \Big|_{p = tw_x} \mathrm{d}t + a_n(x, w, 0) \Big)^2 \ge \frac{\delta}{2} \nu^2 w_{x_n}^2 - \delta [C_4 \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} w_{x_s}^2 + 1].$$

Therefore, if  $0 < \delta \le \delta_0 := \min\{1, (C_4 + \frac{\nu^2}{4})^{-1}\}$ , then

$$\sigma \ge \max\{\frac{\delta\nu^2}{4}|w_x|^2, 1\}; \quad |h_x||w_x| \le \frac{2}{\nu\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}}|h_x|h^{\frac{1}{2}}; \quad (h+\delta|w_x|^2)\sigma^{-1} \le C.$$
(2.12)

In order to prove the lemma, first, let  $\theta(x)$  be an arbitrary bounded function in  $\overset{\circ}{W} {}^{1}_{2}(K_{\rho})$ . In view of (2.8), since h and  $\sigma$  coincide when  $\sigma \leq N$ , we deduce from the second inequality of (2.11) and (2.12) that

$$\begin{split} \int_{K_{\rho}} |(h^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta)_{x}|^{2} \mathrm{d}x &\leq C \int_{K_{\rho}} \left\{ h^{-1} |h_{x}|^{2} \theta^{2} + h|\theta_{x}|^{2} \right\} \mathrm{d}x \\ &= C \left\{ \int_{K_{\rho} \cap \{x | \sigma \leq N\}} h^{-1} |h_{x}|^{2} \theta^{2} \mathrm{d}x + \int_{K_{\rho}} h|\theta_{x}|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \right\} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \int_{K_{\rho} \cap \{x | \sigma \leq N\}} h^{2} \sigma^{-3} \left[ \delta^{2} a_{n}^{2} \left( G + \psi_{1}^{2} |w_{x}|^{2} + |w_{x}|^{4} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} w_{x_{j}x_{s}}^{2} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} |w_{x_{s}}^{2} |w_{x_{j}x_{s}}| \right] \theta^{2} \mathrm{d}x + \int_{K_{\rho}} h|\theta_{x}|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \right\} \\ &\leq C_{5,1} \int_{K_{\rho}} \left\{ \left( G + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} w_{x_{j}x_{s}}^{2} + \psi_{1}^{2} h + |w_{x}|^{2} h \right) \theta^{2} + h|\theta_{x}|^{2} \right\} \mathrm{d}x \\ &< +\infty. \end{split}$$

$$(2.13)$$

Then this inequality and inner boundary condition (1.2) give us  $h^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta \in \overset{\circ}{W} {}^{1}_{2}(K_{\rho})$ . Next, applying [2, Chapter 2, Lemma 5.2] to  $\int_{K_{\rho}} \psi_{1}^{2}h\theta^{2}dx$  and  $\int_{K_{\rho}} (1 + \psi_{0}^{2} + \varphi_{1}^{2})\theta^{2}dx$  and applying inequality (2.4) with  $\zeta = h^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta$  to  $\int_{K_{\rho}} |w_{x}|^{2}h\theta^{2}dx$ , from inequality (2.13) we have

$$\int_{K_{\rho}} (\psi_{1}^{2} + |w_{x}|^{2}) h\theta^{2} dx \leq C \left( \rho^{2\alpha_{0}} + \rho^{\alpha_{1}} \right) \int_{K_{\rho}} |(h^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta)_{x}|^{2} dx 
\leq C_{5,1} \rho^{\alpha_{1}} \int_{K_{\rho}} \left\{ \left( G + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} w_{x_{j}x_{s}}^{2} + \psi_{1}^{2}h + |w_{x}|^{2}h \right) \theta^{2} + h|\theta_{x}|^{2} \right\} dx,$$
(2.14)

$$\int_{K_{\rho}} G\theta^2 \mathrm{d}x \le C\rho^{2\alpha_0} \int_{K_{\rho}} |\theta_x|^2 \mathrm{d}x.$$
(2.15)

Let  $\rho_{2,1} := \min\{\rho_0, \rho_1, [2C_{5,1}]^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha_1}}\}$ . It follows that for  $\rho \le \rho_{2,1}$ ,

$$\int_{K_{\rho}} (\psi_1^2 + |w_x|^2) h\theta^2 \mathrm{d}x \le C\rho^{\alpha_1} \int_{K_{\rho}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} w_{x_j x_s}^2 \theta^2 + (1+h) |\theta_x|^2 \right\} \mathrm{d}x.$$
(2.16)

Next, let  $\theta$  be smooth function, such that  $|\theta_x| \leq \frac{C}{\rho}$  for all x and  $\theta = 1$  in  $K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}$ , and let  $N \to \infty$  in inequality (2.16). Lemma 2.2 and the first inequality of (2.11) and (2.12) imply that  $w_x$  belongs to the class  $L_4(K_{\frac{\rho}{2}})$  and the first estimate of (2.9) holds. Hence from inequalities (2.5) and (2.8) it follows that w belongs to the class  $W_2^2(\Omega_3 \cap D^{(k)})$  and the second estimate of (2.9) also holds.

Next let  $\theta$  be  $h^{\frac{l}{2}}\xi$ , where  $\xi(x)$  is a smooth function of compact support on  $K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}$  taking values between 0 and 1. The similar proof to that of estimate (2.13) shows  $\theta(x) \in \overset{\circ}{W} \frac{1}{2}(K_{\frac{\rho}{2}})$ , which implies that estimate (2.16) is also true.

Since  $w_x \in L_4(K_{\frac{\rho}{2}})$ , the similar proof to that of estimate (2.13) also shows

$$\begin{split} &\int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}} \cap D^{(k)}} \left( \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} h^{\frac{l}{2}} \xi \right)_{x}^{2} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}} \cap D^{(k)}} \left( \psi_{0}^{2} + \psi_{1}^{4} + |w_{x}|^{4} + |w_{xx}|^{2} \right) h^{l} \xi^{2} \mathrm{d}x + \\ &\int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}} \cap D^{(k)} \cap \{x | \sigma \leq N\}} \sigma |(h^{\frac{l}{2}})_{x}|^{2} \xi^{2} \mathrm{d}x + \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}} \cap D^{(k)}} \sigma h^{l} |\xi_{x}|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C N^{l} \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}} \cap D^{(k)}} \left( \psi_{0}^{2} + \psi_{1}^{4} + |w_{x}|^{4} + |w_{xx}|^{2} \right) \mathrm{d}x + \\ &C N^{l} \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}} \cap D^{(k)}} l^{2} h^{-1} |h_{x}|^{2} \xi^{2} \mathrm{d}x + C N^{l} \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}} \cap D^{(k)}} \left( 1 + |w_{x}|^{2} \right) |\xi_{x}|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \\ &< +\infty. \end{split}$$

Then inner boundary condition (1.2) gives that  $\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta$  belongs to the class  $\mathring{W}_{2}(K_{\frac{\rho}{2}})$ . Then by [2, Chapter 2, Lemma 5.2] and the similar proof to inequality (2.14), we have

$$\int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \delta\psi_{1}^{2} |w_{x}|^{2} \theta^{2} \leq C \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \psi_{1}^{2} \sigma \theta^{2} \leq C \rho^{\alpha_{1}} \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} |(\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta)_{x}|^{2} dx$$

$$\leq C_{5,2} \rho^{\alpha_{1}} \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \left\{ \delta\psi_{1}^{2} |w_{x}|^{2} \theta^{2} + \left[G + |w_{x}|^{4} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} w_{x_{j}x_{s}}^{2}\right] \theta^{2} + (1 + |w_{x}|^{2}) |\theta_{x}|^{2} \right\} dx.$$
(2.17)

Finally, inequalities (2.17) and (2.14)–(2.16) yield that when  $\rho \leq \rho_2 := \min\{\rho_{2,1}, [2C_{5,2}]^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha_1}}\},$ we have

$$\int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \left[ (\psi_1^2 + |w_x|^2)h + \delta\psi_1^2 |w_x|^2 \right] \theta^2 dx 
\leq C \rho^{\alpha_1} \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \left\{ \left( |w_x|^4 + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} w_{x_j x_s}^2 \right) \theta^2 + (1+\sigma) |\theta_x|^2 \right\} dx$$
(2.18)

with  $\theta = h^{\frac{l}{2}} \xi$ , which gives inequality (2.10). The lemma is proved.

On the basis of Lemma 2.3, we are going to estimate  $|w_x|_{L_p}$ .

**Lemma 2.4** There exist positive constants  $\rho_3$ ,  $\delta$ , such that if  $0 < \rho \le \rho_3$ ,  $K_{\rho} \subset \Omega_2$ , then

$$\int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{4}}} (|w_{xx}|^2 \sigma^r + |w_x|^{2r+4}) \mathrm{d}x \le C(r, \delta, 1/\rho),$$
(2.19)

where  $r := \left[\frac{q^2+qn}{2(q-n)} - 2\right] + 1$ ,  $\sigma = 1 + \delta a_n^2 + \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} w_{x_s}^2$ .

**Proof** Just as above, let h also be min $\{\sigma, N\}$  and  $\xi(x)$  be a smooth function of compact support on  $K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}$  taking values between 0 and 1. We shall show that there exists  $\rho_{3,1} > 0$ , such that for  $\rho \leq \rho_{3,1}$ ,

$$\int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{x_{j}x_{s}}^{2} h^{l} \xi^{2} + l |h_{x}|^{2} h^{l-1} \xi^{2} \right\} \mathrm{d}x$$

$$\leq \frac{C(d,r)}{\delta^{2}} \int_{\frac{\rho}{2}} \left\{ |w_{x}|^{4} h^{l} \xi^{2} + (|w_{x}|^{2} + 1) h^{l} |\xi_{x}|^{2} \right\} \mathrm{d}x. \tag{2.20}$$

Suppose  $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ ,  $s \leq n-1$  and  $\eta_s(x)$  is a smooth function of compact support on  $K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}$  taking values in [0, 1]. Then integral identity (1.4) and integral by parts yield the equality

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \int_{K_{\frac{p}{2}}} \left\{ \left[ -\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} a_i(x, w, p) w_{x_j x_s} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s} a_i(x, w, p) - \frac{\partial}{\partial w} a_i(x, w, p) w_{x_s} \right] \Big|_{p=w_x} \eta_{sx_i} + f \eta_{sx_s} \right\} dx = 0.$$
(2.21)

It is right to choose  $\eta_s = w_{x_s} h^l \xi^2 (l \ge 0)$  (see [3, page 213]). Since

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial p_j} \Big|_{p=w_x} lw_{x_j x_s} w_{x_s} h^{l-1} h_{x_i} \xi^2 \mathrm{d}x = \frac{l}{2} \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial p_j} \Big[ h_{x_j} - 2\delta a_n \frac{\mathrm{d}a_n}{\mathrm{d}x_j} \Big] h_{x_i} h^{l-1} \xi^2 \mathrm{d}x,$$

from inequalities (2.8), (2.11) we can get that

$$\begin{split} I &:= \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \left\{ \nu \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{x_{j}x_{s}}^{2} h^{l} \xi^{2} + \frac{l}{2} |h_{x}|^{2} h^{l-1} \xi^{2} \right\} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{j}} a_{i} \Big|_{p=w_{x}} \left[ -2\delta a_{n} \left( \frac{\partial a_{n}}{\partial p_{k}} w_{x_{k}x_{j}} + \frac{\partial a_{n}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial a_{n}}{\partial w} w_{x_{j}} \right) \Big|_{p=w_{x}} h_{x_{i}} h^{l-1} \xi^{2} - 2 \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} w_{x_{s}} w_{x_{j}x_{s}} h^{l} \xi \xi_{x_{j}} \right] + f \left( w_{x_{s}x_{s}} h^{l} \xi^{2} + l w_{x_{s}} h^{l-1} h_{x_{s}} \xi^{2} + 2 w_{x_{s}} h^{l} \xi \xi_{x_{s}} \right) \right\} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |w_{x_{j}x_{s}}| \left[ |w_{x}| h^{l} \xi |\xi_{x}| + l \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} |h_{x}| h^{l-\frac{1}{2}} \xi^{2} + (\varphi_{1} + |w_{x}|^{2}) h^{l} \xi^{2} \right] + l \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ (\psi_{0} + \varphi_{1} + |w_{x}|^{2}) |h_{x}| h^{l-\frac{1}{2}} \xi^{2} + l \psi_{1} |h_{x}| h^{l} \xi^{2} \right] + (\varphi_{1} + |w_{x}|^{2}) (l|w_{x}| |h_{x}| h^{l-1} \xi^{2} + |w_{x}| h^{l} \xi |\xi_{x}|) \right\} \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$
(2.22)

Besides, from the second inequality of (2.12) it follows

$$l(\varphi_1 + |w_x|^2)|w_x||h_x|h^{l-1}\xi^2 \le \frac{2}{\nu\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}}l\varphi_1|h_x|h^{l-\frac{1}{2}}\xi^2 + \frac{4l}{\nu^2\delta}|w_x||h_x|h^l\xi^2.$$
(2.23)

$$I \leq \left\{ \varepsilon_{1} \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{x_{j}x_{s}}^{2} h^{l} \xi^{2} \mathrm{d}x + l \left[ \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} C_{6}(\varepsilon_{1}) + \varepsilon_{2} + \varepsilon_{3} \right] \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} |h_{x}|^{2} h^{l-1} \xi^{2} \mathrm{d}x + \frac{C(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}, r)}{\delta^{2}} \left\{ \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \left[ G + \psi_{1}^{2} h + |w_{x}|^{4} \right] h^{l} \xi^{2} + \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} |w_{x}|^{2} h^{l} |\xi_{x}|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \right\},$$
(2.24)

where  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{\nu}{8}$ ,  $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = \frac{1}{8}$ ,  $\delta = \min\{\delta_0, [8C_6(\frac{\nu}{8})]^{-2}\}$ . Together with inequality (2.10), it gives

$$I \leq \frac{C_7(d,r) \cdot \rho^{\alpha_1}}{\delta^2} I + \frac{C(d,r)}{\delta^2} \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \left\{ |w_x|^4 h^l \xi^2 + (1+|w_x|^2) |h^l| \xi_x|^2 \right\} \mathrm{d}x$$

Consequently, setting  $\rho_{3,1} := \min\{\rho_2, [\frac{\delta^2}{2C_7(d,r)}]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}}\}$ , we see that inequality (2.20) holds for  $\rho \leq \rho_{3,1}$ .

Next, we are going to estimate  $\int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} |w_x|^4 h^l \xi^2$ . If  $l \ge 0$  and  $x_0$  is any point in  $K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}$ , we conclude from inequalities (2.1), (2.12) and Young's inequality that

$$J := \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} a_i \cdot (w(x) - w(x_0))_{x_i} \sigma h^l \xi^2 \mathrm{d}x \ge \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \left\{ \frac{\delta \nu^3}{16} |w_x|^4 - \frac{C}{\delta} \right\} h^l \xi^2 \mathrm{d}x.$$
(2.25)

On the other hand, using (2.11), (2.12), integral by part and the similar proof to that of (2.24) gives

$$J = -\int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} (w(x) - w(x_0)) \Big\{ \frac{\mathrm{d}a_i}{\mathrm{d}x_i} \sigma w h^l \xi^2 + a_i \sigma_{x_i} h^l \xi^2 + a_i \sigma l h_{x_i} h^{l-1} \xi^2 + 2a_i \sigma h^l \xi \xi_{x_i} \Big\} \mathrm{d}x$$
  
$$\leq C(d, r) \rho^{\alpha} \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \Big\{ |w_x|^4 h^l \xi^2 + \Big( G + \psi_1^2 |w_x|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} w_{x_j x_s}^2 \Big) h^l \xi^2 + l h_x |^2 h^{l-1} \xi^2 + (1 + |w_x|^2) h^l |\xi_x|^2 \Big\} \mathrm{d}x.$$
(2.26)

Then, substituting estimates (2.10), (2.20) into inequality (2.26), together with (2.25), we know that there exists  $0 < \rho_3 \le \rho_{3,2}$ , such that for  $\rho \le \rho_3$ ,

$$\int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} |w_x|^4 h^l \xi^2 \mathrm{d}x \le C(\delta) \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} h^l \xi^2 \mathrm{d}x + C(d, r, \delta) \cdot \rho^\alpha \int_{K_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} (1 + |w_x|^2) |h^l| \xi_x|^2 \mathrm{d}x.$$
(2.27)

Finally, to prove estimate (2.19), let  $\rho_l^* := \frac{\rho}{2} - \frac{l}{4(r+1)}\rho$  for  $l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, r$  and  $\xi_l(x)$  be smooth function of compact support on  $K_{\rho_l^*}$  taking values between 0 and 1, such that  $|(\xi_l)_x| \leq \frac{C}{\rho}$ in  $K_{\rho_l^*}$  and  $\xi_l = 1$  in  $K_{\rho_{l+1}^*}$ . We replace  $K_{\rho}$  and  $\xi$  by  $K_{\rho_l^*}$  and  $\xi_l$  respectively in inequalities (2.20) and (2.27). By considering in succession the inequality (2.20) and (2.27) for  $l = 1, 2, \ldots, r$  and letting  $N \to \infty$ , we can get the estimate (2.19).

The Proof of Theorem 1.1 Lemma 2.1 gives us the Hölder estimate of w and Lemma 2.3 gives us that w(x) has weak derivatives  $w_{x_ix_j}$  in  $L_2((\Omega' \cap D^{(k)})$  for  $1 \le i, j \le n$ , which have the estimate (1.5). Furthermore, we can get that w satisfies the equation (1.1) for almost all  $x \in \Omega'$  and the inner boundary condition (1.2) for almost all  $x \in \Gamma \cap \Omega'$  (see [2, Chapter 3, Section 13]). To complete the proof, we need to estimate the bound and Hölder bound of the derivative  $w_x$ .

In the identity (2.21), we set  $\eta_s = \xi^2 \max\{w_{x_s}(x) - \tau, 0\}$ , where  $s \leq n - 1$ ,  $\tau$  is an arbitrary number and  $\xi(x)$  is a smooth function of compact support on  $K_{\rho}$  taking values in [0,1]. If we substitute the function  $\eta_s$  into (2.21) and make the elementary estimates, as we have done several times before, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{A_{\tau,\rho}} |w_{x_sx}|^2 \xi^2 \mathrm{d}x \le C \int_{A_{\tau,\rho}} \left\{ \left[ G + \psi_1^2 |w_x|^2 + |w_x|^4 \right] \xi^2 + (w_{x_s} - \tau)^2 |\xi_x|^2 \right\} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\le ||G||_{L_{\frac{q}{2}}(K_{\rho})} mes^{1-\frac{2}{q}}(A_{\tau,\rho}) + ||\psi_1^2||_{L_{\frac{q}{2}}(K_{\rho})} \left\| |w_x|^2 \right\|_{L_{qq'/(2(q-q'))}} mes^{1-\frac{2}{q'}}(A_{\tau,\rho}) + \\ & \left\| |w_x|^4 \right\|_{L_{s'}(K_{\rho})} mes^{1-\frac{1}{s'}}(A_{\tau,\rho}) + C \int_{A_{\tau,\rho}} (w_{x_s} - \tau)^2 |\xi_x|^2 \mathrm{d}x \\ &\le C \int_{A_{\tau,\rho}} (w_{x_s} - \tau)^2 |\xi_x|^2 + Cmes^{1-\frac{2}{q''}}(A_{\tau,\rho}), \end{split}$$
(2.28)

where  $\rho \leq \rho_3/4$ ,  $A_{\tau,\rho} := \{x : x \in K_{\rho}, w_{x_s} > \tau\}$ ,  $q' := \frac{(2r+4)q}{q+2r+4}$ ,  $s' := \frac{r}{2} + 1$  and  $q'' := \min\{q, q', 2s'\}$ . Since  $r = [\frac{q^2+qn}{2(q-n)} - 2] + 1$ , we have  $2r + 4 > \frac{q^2+qn}{q-n} = \{\frac{q+n}{2} \cdot q\} \div \{q - \frac{q+n}{2}\}$ ,  $\frac{qq'}{q-q'} = 2r + 4$ ,  $q > q' > \frac{q+n}{2} > n$ ,  $\frac{1}{s'} < \frac{2}{n}$  and q'' > n. Analogous inequalities hold for the sets  $B_{\tau,\rho}$ , where  $w_{x_s} < \tau$ . Consequently, together with above inequality and estimate (2.8), the estimate (1.6) follows from the similar proof to that of [3]. The theorem is proved.

## References

- KAYMIN L I. The method of heat potentials for a parabolic equation with discontinous coefficients [J]. Sib, Mat. Z., 1963, 4(5): 1071–1105. (in Russian).
- [2] LADYZENSKAYA O A, SOLOMIKOV V A, URAL'CEVA N N. Linear and Quasilinear Elliptic Equations [M]. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1968.
- [3] LADYZENSKAYA O A, URAL'CEVA N N. Linear and Quasilinear Elliptic Equations [M]. Academic Press, New York-London, 1968.
- [4] LADYZENSKAYA O A, RIVKLIND V JA, URAL'CEVA N N. Solvability of diffraction problems in the classical sense [J]. Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov., 1966, 92: 116–146. (in Russian)
- [5] EVANS L C. A free boundary problem: the flow of two immiscible fluids in a one-dimensional porous medium. I [J]. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 1977, 26(5): 915–932.
- [6] BAI Donghua, TAN Qijian. A class of free boundary value problems with two free boundaries [J]. Sichuan Daxue Xuebao, 1993, 30(2): 154–163. (in Chinese)
- [7] XING Mei. Regularity of solutions to second-order elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients [J]. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed., 2005, 25(5): 685–693. (in Chinese)