

On a Class of Weakly-Berwald (α, β) -Metrics

XIANG Chun Huan¹, CHENG Xin Yue²

(1. School of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing University of Arts and Sciences,
Chongqing 402160, China;

2. School of Mathematics and Physics, Chongqing Institute of Technology,
Chongqing 400050, China)

(E-mail: xiangch99@yahoo.com.cn)

Abstract In this paper, we study an important class of (α, β) -metrics in the form $F = (\alpha + \beta)^{m+1}/\alpha^m$ on an n -dimensional manifold and get the conditions for such metrics to be weakly-Berwald metrics, where $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^i y^j}$ is a Riemannian metric and $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$ is a 1-form and m is a real number with $m \neq -1, 0, -1/n$. Furthermore, we also prove that this kind of (α, β) -metrics is of isotropic mean Berwald curvature if and only if it is of isotropic S -curvature. In this case, S -curvature vanishes and the metric is weakly-Berwald metric.

Keywords mean Berwald curvature; weakly-Berwald metric; S -curvature; (α, β) -metric.

Document code A

MR(2000) Subject Classification 53B40; 53C60

Chinese Library Classification O186.1

1. Introduction

(α, β) -metrics form a very important and rich class of Finsler metrics including Randers metrics and Riemannian metrics. In the past several years, we witness a rapid development in Finsler geometry. Various curvatures have been studied and their geometric meanings are better understood. This is partially due to the study of (α, β) -metrics. Hence, it is worthy of doing study for such metrics deeply. The important applications of (α, β) -metrics in physics and biology have been found and studied^[1,2,6,8,9].

An (α, β) -metric is expressed in the following form

$$F = \alpha\phi(s), \quad s = \frac{\beta}{\alpha},$$

where $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^i y^j}$ is a Riemannian metric, $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$ is a 1-form and $\phi = \phi(s)$ is a C^∞ positive function on an open interval $(-b_0, b_0)$. It is known that $F = \alpha\phi(\beta/\alpha)$ is a Finsler metric for any α and β with $b := \|\beta_x\|_\alpha < b_0$ if and only if ϕ satisfies the following condition^[6,11]:

$$\phi(s) - s\phi'(s) + (b^2 - s^2)\phi''(s) > 0, \quad |s| \leq b < b_0. \quad (1)$$

Received date: 2006-11-18; **Accepted date:** 2007-07-13

Foundation item: the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.10671214); the Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing Education Committee (No. KJ080620); the Science Foundation of Chongqing University of Arts and Sciences (No. Z2008SJ14).

Such metric is called an (α, β) -metric. If $\phi = 1 + s$, one gets a Randers metric.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} r_{ij} &:= \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} + b_{j|i}), & s_{ij} &:= \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} - b_{j|i}), \\ s^i_j &:= a^{im} s_{mj}, & s_j &:= b_i s^i_j = b^m s_{mj}, & r_j &:= b^i r_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

where “|” denotes the covariant derivative with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of α . We will denote $r_{00} := r_{ij}y^i y^j, s_0 := s_j y^j$, etc.

Bácsó and Yoshikawa first investigated weakly-Berwald spaces in 2002^[3]. The class of weakly-Berwald metrics is larger than that of Berwald metrics. Let

$$G^i = \frac{g^{il}}{4} \{ [F^2]_{x^k y^l} y^k - [F^2]_{x^l} \}$$

be the geodesic coefficients of a Finsler metric $F = F(x, y)$, where $(g_{ij}) := (\frac{1}{2}[F^2]_{y^i y^j})$ and $(g^{ij}) := (g_{ij})^{-1}$. The Berwald tensor $\mathbf{B}_y = B^i_{jkl}(x, y) dx^j \otimes dx^k \otimes dx^l \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ is defined by

$$B^i_{jkl} := \frac{\partial^3 G^i}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l}(x, y). \tag{2}$$

Furthermore, the mean Berwald curvature tensor $\mathbf{E}_y = E_{ij}(x, y) dx^i \otimes dx^j$ is defined by

$$E_{ij} := \frac{1}{2} B^r_{ijr}(x, y). \tag{3}$$

For a Finsler metric F and a volume form $dV = \sigma(x) dx$ on an n -dimensional manifold M , the S -curvature \mathbf{S} is given by

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{\partial G^r}{\partial x^r} - y^r \frac{\partial \ln \sigma}{\partial x^r}. \tag{4}$$

A Finsler metric is called a Berwald metric if the Berwald curvature $\mathbf{B} = 0$. A Finsler metric is called a weakly-Berwald metric if the mean Berwald curvature $\mathbf{E} = 0$. More general, we have the following

Definition^[12] Let F be a Finsler metric on an n -dimensional manifold M .

(a) F is of isotropic mean Berwald curvature if

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{n+1}{2} c F^{-1} h;$$

(b) F is of isotropic S -curvature if

$$\mathbf{S} = (n+1) c F,$$

where $c = c(x)$ is a scalar function on M and h denotes the angular metric tensor of F which is defined by $h_{ij} = F F_{y^i y^j}$.

The second author and Shen have proved that, for a Randers metric $F = \alpha + \beta$, the following are equivalent^[5]:

- (i) $\mathbf{S} = (n+1) c F$;
- (ii) $\mathbf{E} = (n+1) c F^{-1} h$;
- (iii) $r_{ij} + b_i s_j + b_j s_i = 2c(a_{ij} - b_i b_j)$,

where $c = c(x)$ is scalar function on M . In particular, a Randers metric $F = \alpha + \beta$ is a weakly-Berwald metric if and only if $r_{ij} + b_i s_j + b_j s_i = 0$, which is equivalent to $\mathbf{S} = 0$. On the other

hand, Yoshikawa, Okubo and Matsumoto proved that^[13] a Matsumoto metric $F = \alpha^2/(\alpha - \beta)$ is a weakly-Berwald metric if and only if $r_{ij} = 0, s_i = 0$.

The main purpose of this paper is to study and characterize a special class of weakly-Berwald (α, β) -metrics in the form

$$F = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^{m+1}}{\alpha^m}, \quad \alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^i y^j}, \quad \beta = b_i(x)y^i,$$

where m is an arbitrary real number. Obviously, this class of (α, β) -metrics contains Riemannian metric $F = \alpha$ ($m = -1$), Randers metric $F = \alpha + \beta$ ($m = 0$) and the metric $F = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2}{\alpha}$ ($m = 1$). If we substitute β with $-\beta$ and take $m = -2$, the resulting metric is just Matsumoto metric $F = \alpha^2/(\alpha - \beta)$.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $F = (\alpha + \beta)^{m+1}/\alpha^m$ be an (α, β) -metric on an n -dimensional manifold M , where m is a real number with $m \neq -1, 0, -1/n$. Then F is weakly-Berwald metric if and only if $r_{ij} = 0, s_i = 0$.*

Furthermore, we obtain the following

Theorem 1.2 *Let $F = (\alpha + \beta)^{m+1}/\alpha^m$ be an (α, β) -metric on an n -dimensional manifold M , where m is a real number with $m \neq -1, 0, -1/n$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) F is of isotropic S -curvature, $\mathbf{S} = (n + 1)cF$;
- (ii) F is of isotropic mean Berwald curvature, $\mathbf{E} = \frac{n+1}{2}cF^{-1}h$;
- (iii) β is a Killing 1-form with $b = \text{constant}$ with respect to α , that is, $r_{ij} = 0, s_i = 0$;
- (iv) $\mathbf{S} = 0$;
- (v) F is weakly-Berwald metric, i.e. $\mathbf{E} = 0$,

where $c = c(x)$ is a scalar function on M .

2. (α, β) -metric

Let G^i and G_α^i be the spray coefficient of F and α respectively given by

$$G^i = \frac{g^{il}}{4}\{[F^2]_{x^k y^l} y^k - [F^2]_{x^l}\}, \quad G_\alpha^i = \frac{a^{il}}{4}\{[\alpha^2]_{x^k y^l} y^k - [\alpha^2]_{x^l}\},$$

where $(a^{ij}) := (a_{ij})^{-1}$. We have the following formula for the spray coefficients G^i of F :

Lemma 2.1^[6,10] *The geodesic coefficients G^i are related to G_α^i by*

$$G^i = G_\alpha^i + \Theta\{-2Q\alpha s_0 + r_{00}\}\frac{y^i}{\alpha} + \alpha Q s_0^i + \Psi\{-2Q\alpha s_0 + r_{00}\}b^i, \tag{5}$$

where G_α^i denote the spray coefficients of α and

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta &:= \frac{(\phi - s\phi')\phi' - s\phi\phi''}{2\phi((\phi - s\phi') + (b^2 - s^2)\phi'')}, \\ Q &:= \frac{\phi'}{\phi - s\phi'}, \\ \Psi &:= \frac{\phi''}{2((\phi - s\phi') + (b^2 - s^2)\phi'')}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s := \beta/\alpha$, $b = \|\beta_x\|_\alpha$.

It is well known that the condition for a Finsler metric to be weakly-Berwald metric is $B_{jkr}^r = 0$. This is equivalent to that $N_r^r := \partial G^r/\partial y^r$ is a 1-form. By Lemma 2.1 and (2), we have the following

Lemma 2.2^[13] *An (α, β) -metric $F = \alpha\phi(\beta/\alpha)$ is a weakly-Berwald metric if and only if N_r^r is a 1-form.*

From Lemma 2.1, we can get

$$N_r^r = Lr_{00} + 2Mr_0 + Ns_0, \tag{6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L &:= (n + 1)\alpha^{-1}\Theta + \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial y^r}b^r, \\ M &:= \Psi, \\ N &:= -\{2(n + 1)Q\Theta + \frac{\partial(2\Psi Q\alpha)}{\partial y^r}b^r\} + \frac{\partial(\alpha Q)}{\partial y^r}s_0s_0^r. \end{aligned}$$

Recently, the second author and Z. Shen have obtained a formula for the S -curvature of an (α, β) -metric on an n -dimensional manifold M as follows

Lemma 2.3^[4] *The S -curvature of an (α, β) -metric is given by*

$$S = \mu(r_0 + s_0) + 2(\Psi + QC)s_0 - 2\Psi r_0 + \alpha^{-1}[(b^2 - s^2)\Psi' + (n + 1)\Theta]r_{00}, \tag{7}$$

where $\mu := -f'(b)/[bf(b)]$ is a scalar function on M and $C := -(b^2 - s^2)\Psi' - (n + 1)\Theta$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we consider the (α, β) -metric in the following form:

$$F = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^{m+1}}{\alpha^m} = \alpha\phi(s), \quad \phi = (1 + s)^{m+1}, \quad s = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}. \tag{8}$$

Let $b_0 = b_0(m) > 0$ be the largest number such that

$$(1 + s)^{m+1} > 0, \quad (1 + s)(1 - ms) + m(m + 1)(b^2 - s^2) > 0, \quad |s| \leq b < b_0, \tag{9}$$

so that $F = (\alpha + \beta)^{m+1}/\alpha^m$ is a Finsler metric if and only if β satisfies that $b := \|\beta_x\|_\alpha < b_0$ for any $x \in M$. It is easy to see that $b_0 = b_0(m) \leq 1$ for $m \neq -1$. Particularly, we have known that $b_0 = 1$ as $m = 0, 1$ ^[5,10,12] and $b_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ as $m = -2$ ^[7]. In general, for fixed m , we always can determine b_0 such that (9) holds. For example, when $m > 0$ and $b := \|\beta_x\|_\alpha < \min\{1, 1/m\}$ (9) holds.

For $F = (\alpha + \beta)^{m+1}/\alpha^m$, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 and by using Maple programm, we can easily get the following

$$L = \frac{(n + 1)(m + 1)(\alpha - 2m\beta)}{2\{[1 + m(m + 1)b^2]\alpha^2 + (1 - m)\alpha\beta - m(m + 2)\beta^2\}} + \frac{m(m + 1)(\beta^2 - b^2\alpha^2)[(1 - m)\alpha - 2m(m + 2)\beta]}{2\{[1 + m(m + 1)b^2]\alpha^2 + (1 - m)\alpha\beta - m(m + 2)\beta^2\}^2},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 M &= \frac{m(m+1)\alpha^2}{2\{[1+m(m+1)b^2]\alpha^2 + (1-m)\alpha\beta - m(m+2)\beta^2\}}, \\
 N &= \frac{-(n+1)(m+1)^2\alpha^2(\alpha - 2m\beta)}{(\alpha - m\beta)\{[1+m(m+1)b^2]\alpha^2 + (1-m)\alpha\beta - m(m+2)\beta^2\}} + \\
 &\quad \frac{-m(m+1)^2[1+m(m+1)b^2]\alpha^5\beta}{(\alpha - m\beta)^2\{[1+m(m+1)b^2]\alpha^2 + (1-m)\alpha\beta - m(m+2)\beta^2\}^2} + \\
 &\quad \frac{m(m+1)^2\alpha^3\beta^2[2m^2(m+1)b^2 + 4n - 2\alpha + 9m\beta]}{(\alpha - m\beta)^2\{[1+m(m+1)b^2]\alpha^2 + (1-m)\alpha\beta - m(m+2)\beta^2\}^2} + \\
 &\quad \frac{m(m+1)^2\alpha^2\{-4m^2(m+2)\beta^4 - b^2[m^2(m+1)b^2 + 2n - 1]\alpha^4\}}{(\alpha - m\beta)^2\{[1+m(m+1)b^2]\alpha^2 + (1-m)\alpha\beta - m(m+2)\beta^2\}^2} + \\
 &\quad \frac{m(m+1)^2b^2\alpha^4\beta[3m^2(m+2)\beta - \alpha]}{(\alpha - m\beta)^2\{[1+m(m+1)b^2]\alpha^2 + (1-m)\alpha\beta - m(m+2)\beta^2\}^2} + \\
 &\quad \frac{m(m+1)\alpha^2}{(\alpha - m\beta)^2}. \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Assume F is weakly-Berwald metric. Plugging (10) into (6) yields the following equation

$$A\alpha^6 + B\alpha^4 + C\alpha^2 + D + \alpha(E\alpha^4 + F\alpha^2 + G) = 0, \tag{11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &:= 2[1+m(m+1)b^2]^2N_r^r - 2m(m+1)[1+m(m+1)b^2]r_0 + \\
 &\quad 2(m+1)[1+m(m+1)b^2]\{(n+1)(m+1) - m[1+m(m+1)b^2]\}s_0 + \\
 &\quad 2m(m+1)^2b^2[m^2(m+1)b^2 + 2m - 1]s_0, \\
 B &:= 2\{(1-m)^2 + m[1+m(m+1)b^2][2m - 8 + m(1+m(m+1)b^2)]\}\beta^2N_r^r + \\
 &\quad \{4(n+1)m(m+1)[1+m(m+1)b^2] - (n+1)(1-m^2) - 6m^2(m+1)b^2\}\beta r_{00} + \\
 &\quad 2m^2(m+1)\{4 - m - m[1+m(m+1)b^2]\}\beta^2r_0 + \\
 &\quad 2m(m+1)\{2m(mn + 2m + n + 3)[1+m(m+1)b^2] + 1 - 5m^2 + \\
 &\quad (m+1)[(n+1)(2m - 5) - m^2(5m + 8)b^2]\}\beta^2s_0, \\
 C &:= 2m^2\{9 + 2(m+2)[2 - 2m - m(1+m(m+1)b^2)]\}\beta^4N_r^r + \\
 &\quad m^2(m+1)\{2(n+1)m[1+m(m+1)b^2] + (n+1)(m - 13) + \\
 &\quad 6 - 2m^2(m+2)b^2\}\beta^3r_{00} - 4m^3(m+1)(m+2)(2m+n+2)\beta^4s_0, \\
 D &:= 2m^4(m+2)^2\beta^6N_r^r - 2nm^4(m+1)(m+2)\beta^5r_{00}, \\
 E &:= 4[1+m(m+1)b^2]\{m[1+m(m+1)b^2] + m - 1\}\beta N_r^r + \\
 &\quad (m+1)\{(n+1)[1+m(m+1)b^2] + m(m-1)b^2\}r_{00} - \\
 &\quad 2m(m+1)\{(m-1) + m[1+m(m+1)b^2]\}\beta r_0 + \\
 &\quad 2(m+1)\{(m+1)[(m-1)(n+1) - 6m^2b^2] + \\
 &\quad m[1+m(m+1)b^2](3mn + 3n + 4)\}\beta s_0, \\
 F &:= \{12m(1-m) - 4m^2(m+5)[1+m(m+1)b^2]\}\beta^3N_r^r +
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& m(m+1)\{5m(n+1)[1+m(m+1)b^2] + 3(n+1)(m-2) + 1 - m - \\
& 3m^2(m+3)b^2\}\beta^2 r_{00} + 2m^3(m+1)(m+5)\beta^3 r_0 + \\
& 2\{-(n+1)m^2(m+1)^2(m+8) + m^2(m+1)(2m+1)(m+5)\}\beta^3 s_0, \\
G := & [12m^3(m+2)]\beta^5 N_r^r - 3m^3(m+1)(mn+4n+1)\beta^4 r_{00}.
\end{aligned}$$

By assumption, N_r^r is a 1-form. Note that the coefficients of α in (11) must be zero (because α^{even} is a polynomial in y^i). Then (11) is equivalent to the following two equations

$$A\alpha^6 + B\alpha^4 + C\alpha^2 + D = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$E\alpha^4 + F\alpha^2 + G = 0. \quad (13)$$

If $m \neq -2$, (12) $\times 6$ subtracting (13) $\times m(m+2)\beta$ yields

$$6A\alpha^6 + H\alpha^4 + I\alpha^2 - 3m^4(m+1)(m+2)(mn+1)\beta^5 r_{00} = 0, \quad (14)$$

where $H = 6B - m(m+2)\beta E$, $I = 6C - m(m+2)\beta F$. Note that $m \neq 0, -1$ and $-1/n$, we know from (14) that $\beta^5 r_{00}$ can be divided by α^2 . Because β^5 and α^2 are relatively prime polynomials of (y^i) , there is a scalar function $\rho(x)$ on M such that

$$r_{00} = \rho(x)\alpha^2. \quad (15)$$

Substituting (15) into (13), we get

$$E\alpha^4 + [F - 3m^3(m+1)(mn+4n+1)\rho(x)\beta^4]\alpha^2 = -12m^3(m+2)\beta^5 N_r^r.$$

It is easy to see that the left-hand side of the above equation can be divided by α^2 . Hence N_r^r can be divided by α^2 . However, N_r^r is a 1-form. So we obtain

$$N_r^r = 0. \quad (16)$$

By (15), we have

$$r_0 = \rho(x)\beta. \quad (17)$$

Plugging (15), (16), (17) into (12) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& A\alpha^4 + \{B + m^2(m+1)[2(n+1)m(1+m(m+1)b^2) + \\
& (n+1)(m-13) + 6 - 2m^2(m+2)b^2]\rho(x)\beta^3\}\alpha^2 \\
& = 2m^3(m+1)(m+2)[2(2m+n+2)s_0 + nm\rho(x)\beta]\beta^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Since α^2 is not divided by β^4 , from the above equation, we get

$$2(2m+n+2)s_0 + nm\rho(x)\beta = 0,$$

that is

$$2(2m+n+2)s_i + nm\rho(x)b_i = 0. \quad (18)$$

Contracting (18) with b^i yields $nm\rho(x)b^2 = 0$. Since $m \neq 0$, we obtain $\rho(x) = 0$. Thus, from (15), (17) and (18), we obtain

$$r_{00} = r_0 = s_0 = 0. \quad (19)$$

When $m = -2$, if we substitute β with $-\beta$, the resulting metric is just Matsumoto metric $F = \alpha^2/(\alpha - \beta)$. In this case, the result is still true^[13].

Conversely, we suppose that the equations $r_{ij} = s_i = 0$ hold. Then from (6), we have $N_r^r = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

For $F = \frac{(\alpha+\beta)^{m+1}}{\alpha^m}$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \frac{m+1}{1-ms}, \\ \Theta &= \frac{(m+1)(1-2ms)}{2[1+m(m+1)b^2 - (m-1)s - m(m+2)s^2]}, \\ \Psi &= \frac{m(m+1)}{2[1+m(m+1)b^2 - (m-1)s - m(m+2)s^2]}, \\ \Psi' &= \frac{m(m+1)[2m(m+2)s + m-1]}{2[1+m(m+1)b^2 - (m-1)s - m(m+2)s^2]^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2 The proof contains the following steps:

Step 1. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). In fact, (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is clearly true. Assume that (ii) holds, which is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{S} = (n+1)\{cF + \eta\}, \tag{21}$$

where η is a 1-form on M . So (i) is equivalent to (ii) if and only if $\eta = 0$. Plugging (20) and (21) into (7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &J_6\alpha^5 + J_5\alpha^4 + J_4\alpha^3 + J_3\alpha^2 + J_2\alpha + J_1 \\ &= (n+1)c[K_6\alpha^5 + K_5\alpha^4 + K_4\alpha^3 + K_3\alpha^2 + K_2\alpha + K_1] \frac{(\alpha+\beta)^{m+1}}{\alpha^m}, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &:= -2m^3(m+2)^2\beta^5, \\ K_2 &:= -2m^2(m+2)(m-4)\beta^4, \\ K_3 &:= 2m[3m^2 + 8m - 5 + 2m^2(m+1)(m+2)b^2]\beta^3, \\ K_4 &:= -12m[1 - m(m+1)b^2]\beta^2, \\ K_5 &:= -2[m^3(m+1)^2b^4 + 3m - 6 + 2m(m+1)(2m-1)b^2]\beta, \\ K_6 &:= 2[1 + m(m+1)b^2]^2, \\ J_1 &:= -2\mu m^3(m+2)^2\beta^5(s_0 + r_0) - 2nm^3(m+1)(m+2)\beta^4r_{00} + \\ &\quad 2(n+1)\eta m^3(m+2)^2\beta^5, \\ J_2 &:= -2\mu m^2(m+2)(m-4)\beta^4(s_0 + r_0) + m^2(m+1)[(n+1)(m+8) - m-5]\beta^3r_{00} + \\ &\quad 2(n+1)\eta m^2(m+2)(m-4)\beta^4, \\ J_3 &:= 2\mu m[3m^2 + 8m - 5 + 2m^2(m+1)(m+2)b^2]\beta^3(s_0 + r_0) + \\ &\quad 2m^2(m+1)(m+2)[m - 2n(m+1)]\beta^3s_0 - 2m^3(m+1)(m+2)\beta^3r_{00} + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& m[(n+1)(4m-5) - m + 1 + 2m^2[(n+1)(m+1) - m - 2]b^2]\beta^2 r_{00} - \\
& 2(n+1)\eta m[3m^2 + 8m - 5 + 2m^2(m+1)(m+2)b^2]\beta^3, \\
J_4 := & 12\mu m[1 - m(m+1)b^2]\beta^2(s_0 + r_0) + 2m(m+1)[3 - n(m+1)(m-4)]\beta^2 s_0 + \\
& 6m^2(m+1)\beta^2 r_0 + (m+1)[m^2(m+5)b^2 - 3m^2(n+1)(m+1)b^2 - \\
& (4m-1)(n+1)]\beta r_{00} + 12(n+1)\eta m[1 - m(m+1)b^2]\beta^2, \\
J_5 := & [m^3(m+1)^2b^4 - 3m - 6 + 2m(m+1)(2m-1)b^2]\beta(s_0 + r_0) + \\
& 2(m+1)[n(3m-1)(m+1) + m^2 + 3m - 1 - 2nm^2(m+1)^2b^2]\beta s_0 + \\
& 2m(m+1)[2m-1 + m^2(m+1)b^2]\beta r_0 + (m+1)[m(m-1)b^2 + \\
& (n+1)(1 + m(m+1)b^2)]r_{00} + 2(n+1)\eta[m^3(m+1)^2b^4 + 3m - 6 + \\
& + 2m(m+1)(2m-1)b^2]\beta, \\
J_6 := & 2\mu[1 + m(m+1)b^2](s_0 + r_0) - 2(m+1)[n(m+1) + \\
& 1 + (m+1)[n(m+1) + m]b^2]s_0 - 2m(m+1)[1 + m(m+1)b^2]r_0 - \\
& 2(n+1)\eta[1 + m(m+1)b^2]^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Rewrite (22) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^m [J_6\alpha^5 + J_5\alpha^4 + J_4\alpha^3 + J_3\alpha^2 + J_2\alpha + J_1] - \\
& (n+1)c[K_6\alpha^4 + K_5\alpha^3 + K_4\alpha^2 + K_3\alpha + K_2]\alpha(\alpha + \beta)^{m+1} - \\
& (n+1)cK_1(\alpha + \beta)^{m+1} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

When m is a positive integer, it is easy to see that the term which does not include α in (23) is just $-(n+1)cK_1\beta^{m+1}$. Because α^2 is not divided by β , we get $c = 0$. So

$$J_6\alpha^5 + J_5\alpha^4 + J_4\alpha^3 + J_3\alpha^2 + J_2\alpha + J_1 = 0.$$

When m is a non-zero real number but not a positive integer, we know that the left-hand side of (22) is a polynomial in α , but the term $(\alpha + \beta)^{m+1}/\alpha^m$ is not a polynomial in α . Hence we also have

$$J_6\alpha^5 + J_5\alpha^4 + J_4\alpha^3 + J_3\alpha^2 + J_2\alpha + J_1 = 0.$$

Therefore, when m is a non-zero real number, we always have

$$J_5\alpha^4 + J_3\alpha^2 + J_1 + \alpha(J_6\alpha^4 + J_4\alpha^2 + J_2) = 0. \tag{24}$$

Note that the coefficients of α in (24) must be zero (because α^{even} is a polynomial in y^i). Then (24) is equivalent to the following two equations

$$J_5\alpha^4 + J_3\alpha^2 + J_1 = 0, \tag{25}$$

$$J_6\alpha^4 + J_4\alpha^2 + J_2 = 0. \tag{26}$$

If $m \neq -2$, (25) \times $(m-4)$ - (26) \times $m(m+2)\beta$ yields

$$X\alpha^4 + Y\alpha^2 - 3m^3(m+1)(m+2)(mn+1)\beta^4 r_{00} = 0,$$

where $X = (m - 4)J_5 - m(m + 2)\beta J_6$, $Y = (m - 4)J_3 - m(m + 2)\beta J_4$. Note $m \neq 0, -1$ and $-1/n$, and β^4 and α^2 are relatively prime polynomials of (y^i) , we know that r_{00} can be divided by α^2 . That is, there is a scalar function $\tau(x)$ on M such that

$$r_{00} = \tau(x)\alpha^2. \tag{27}$$

Substituting (27) into (25), we get

$$J_5\alpha^4 + [J_3 - 2nm^3(m + 1)(m + 2)\tau(x)\beta^4]\alpha^2 + 2m^3(m + 2)^2[(n + 1)\eta - \mu(s_0 + r_0)]\beta^5 = 0. \tag{28}$$

This implies that $[(n + 1)\eta - \mu(s_0 + r_0)]\beta^5$ can be divided by α^2 . Because β^5 and α^2 are relatively prime polynomials of (y^i) , we know that $(n + 1)\eta - \mu(s_0 + r_0)$ can be divided by α^2 , which is impossible unless

$$(n + 1)\eta - \mu(s_0 + r_0) = 0. \tag{29}$$

From (27), we have

$$r_0 = \tau(x)\beta. \tag{30}$$

Plugging (27), (29), (30) into (25) yields

$$\begin{aligned} J_5\alpha^2 + m\{(n + 1)(4m - 5) - (m - 1) + 2m^2[(n + 1)(m + 1) - (m + 2)]b^2\}\tau(x)\beta^2\alpha^2 \\ = 2m^2(m + 1)(m + 2)\{[m - 2n(m + 1)]s_0 - m(n + 1)\tau(x)\beta\}\beta^3. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Since β^3 is not divided by α^2 , we get

$$[m - 2n(m + 1)]s_0 - m(n + 1)\tau(x)\beta = 0,$$

that is,

$$[m - 2n(m + 1)]s_i - m(n + 1)\tau(x)b_i = 0. \tag{32}$$

Contracting (32) with b_i yields

$$-m(n + 1)\tau(x)b^2 = 0.$$

Because $b^2 \neq 0$ and $m \neq 0$, $\tau(x) = 0$. From (27), (30) and (32), we obtain

$$r_{00} = 0, r_0 = 0, s_0 = 0. \tag{33}$$

Thus, from (29), we obtain $\eta = 0$.

When $m = -2$, if we substitute β with $-\beta$, the resulting metric is just Matsumoto metric $F = \alpha^2/(\alpha - \beta)$. In this case, the result is still true^[4].

Step 2. (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). The proof has been contained in the Step 1.

Step 3. (iii) \Rightarrow (iv). When $r_{00} = 0, s_0 = 0$, by Lemma 2.3, we have $\mathbf{S} = 0$.

Step 4. (iv) \Rightarrow (v). $\mathbf{S} = 0$ implies that F is of isotropic S -curvature with $c = 0$. Thus we obtain $\mathbf{E} = 0$ by the equivalence of (i) and (ii).

Step 5. (v) \Rightarrow (i). $\mathbf{E} = 0$ is equivalent to that F is of isotropic mean Berwald curvature with $c = 0$, that is, (ii) holds with $c = 0$. By the equivalence of (i) and (ii), we know that F has isotropic S -curvature with $c = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] ANTONELLI P L, MIRON R. *Lagrange and Finsler Geometry. Applications to Physics and Biology* [M]. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1996.
- [2] BALAN V, STAVRINOS P C. *Finslerian (α, β) -Metrics in Weak Gravitational Models* [M]. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2003.
- [3] BÁCSÓ S, YOSHIKAWA R. *Weakly-Berwald spaces* [J]. Publ. Math. Debrecen, 2002, **61**(1-2): 219–231.
- [4] Cheng Xinyue and Shen Zhongmin. *A class of Finsler metrics with isotropic S-curvature*[J]. Israel J. Math., 2009, **169**(1): 317–340.
- [5] CHENG Xinyue, SHEN Zhongmin. *Randers metrics with special curvature properties* [J]. Osaka J. Math., 2003, **40**(1): 87–101.
- [6] CHERN S S, SHEN Zhongmin. *Riemann-Finsler Geometry* [M]. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2005.
- [7] LI Benling. *Projectively flat Matsumoto metric and its approximation* [J]. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed., 2007, **27**(4): 781–789.
- [8] MATSUMOTO M. *On C-reducible Finsler spaces* [J]. Tensor (N.S.), 1972, **24**: 29–37.
- [9] ROMAN M, SHIMADA H, SABÁU V S. *On β -change of the Antonelli-Shimada ecological metric* [J]. Tensor (N.S.), 2004, **65**(1): 65–73.
- [10] SHEN Zhongmin, CIVI Y G. *On a class of projectively flat metrics with constant flag curvature* [J]. Canad. J. Math., 2008, **60**(2): 443–456.
- [11] Shen Zhongmin. *A Sampler of Riemann-Finsler Geometry* [M]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [12] Shen Zhongmin. *Differential Geometry of Spray and Finsler Spaces* [M]. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001.
- [13] YOSHIKAWA R, OKUBO K, MATSUMOTO M. *The conditions for some (α, β) -metric spaces to be weakly-Berwald spaces* [J]. Tensor (N.S.), 2004, **65**(3): 277–290.