

# Solvability of Multi-Point Boundary Value Problem

ZHANG Hui Xing, LIU Wen Bin, ZHANG Jian Jun, CHEN Tai Yong

(Department of Mathematics, China University of Mining and Technology, Jiangsu 221008, China)

(E-mail: huixingzhangcumt@163.com)

**Abstract** This paper deals with the existence of solutions for the problem

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(u'))' = f(t, u, u'), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u'(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} a_i u(\eta_i), \end{cases}$$

where  $\phi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ ,  $p > 1$ .  $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \cdots < \eta_{n-2} < 1$ ,  $a_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$ ) are non-negative constants and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} a_i = 1$ . Some known results are improved under some sign and growth conditions. The proof is based on the Brouwer degree theory.

**Keywords**  $p$ -Laplace; multi-point boundary value problem; resonance; degree theory.

**Document code** A

**MR(2000) Subject Classification** 34B25; 34C25

**Chinese Library Classification** O175

## 1. Introduction

We consider the existence of solutions for multi-point boundary value problem (BVP)

$$(\phi_p(u'))' = f(t, u, u'), \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (1.1)$$

$$u'(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} a_i u(\eta_i), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\phi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ ,  $p > 1$ .  $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \cdots < \eta_{n-2} < 1$ ,  $a_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$ ) are non-negative constants and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} a_i = 1$ . Eq.(1.1) is widely applied in mechanics and physics<sup>[1-3]</sup>. When  $p = 2$ , Eq.(1.1) reduces to  $u'' = f(t, u, u')$ .

In recent years,  $p$ -Laplace equation associated with various boundary value conditions has been studied<sup>[4-10]</sup>. For example, Carcía-huidobro and Gupta<sup>[7]</sup> discussed (1.1) with boundary conditions

$$u'(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = u(\eta), \quad \eta \in (0, 1)$$

under the following assumptions

(A<sub>1</sub>) There are nonnegative functions  $d_1(t)$ ,  $d_2(t)$ , and  $r(t) \in L^1[0, 1]$  such that

$$|f(t, u, v)| \leq d_1(t)|u|^{p-1} + d_2(t)|v|^{p-1} + r(t), \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, 1], u, v \in \mathbb{R};$$

---

**Received date:** 2007-05-08; **Accepted date:** 2007-11-22

**Foundation item:** the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10771212); the Foundation of China University of Mining and Technology (Nos. 2005A041; 2006A042; 2008A037).

(A<sub>2</sub>) There exists  $u_0 > 0$ , such that for all  $|u| > u_0$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|f(t, u, v)| \geq \Lambda |u|^{p-1} - A |v|^{p-1} - B,$$

where  $\Lambda > 0$ , and  $A, B \geq 0$  are constants;

(A<sub>3</sub>) There is  $R > 0$  such that for all  $|u| > R$

$$uf(t, u, 0) > 0, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, 1], \quad uf(t, u, 0) < 0, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, 1]$$

as well as the other conditions.

In this paper, we discuss the solvability of (1.1)–(1.2) and obtain the following result.

**Theorem 3.1** Suppose that  $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and has the decomposition

$$f(t, u, v) = g(t, u, v) + h(t, u, v)$$

which satisfies the following assumptions:

(H<sub>1</sub>) There exist  $r_1 < 0, r_2 > 0$ , such that

$$f(t, r_1, 0) \leq 0, \quad f(t, r_2, 0) \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1];$$

(H<sub>2</sub>)  $vg(t, u, v) \leq 0$  for all  $(t, u) \in [0, 1] \times [r_1, r_2], |v| > 1$ ;

(H<sub>3</sub>)  $|h(t, u, v)| \leq a(t)|v|^m + b(t)$  for all  $(t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [r_1, r_2] \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $a(t), b(t) \in L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ .

Then there exists at least one solution for BVP (1.1)–(1.2), provided that

$$p - 1 < m < (1 + \frac{1}{\|a\|_1 + \|b\|_1 + r})(p - 1), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $r = \max\{-r_1, r_2\}$ .

**Remark 1.1** When  $p = 2, n = 3$ , BVP (1.1)–(1.2) becomes

$$u'' = f(t, u, u'), \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (1.4)$$

$$u'(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = u(\eta), \quad \eta \in (0, 1). \quad (1.5)$$

Feng and Webb in [10] proved that BVP (1.4)–(1.5) has at least a solution under the following assumptions

(B<sub>1</sub>) There exists a constant  $M \geq 0$  such that

$$uf(t, u, 0) > 0, \quad \text{for all } |u| > M, t \in [0, 1];$$

(B<sub>2</sub>)  $vg(t, u, v) \leq 0$  for all  $(t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [-M, M] \times \mathbb{R}$ ;

(B<sub>3</sub>)  $|h(t, u, v)| \leq a(t)|u| + b(t)|v| + c(t)|u|^r + d(t)|v|^k + e(t)$  for all  $(t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [-M, M] \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $0 \leq r, k < 1, a, b, c, d, e \in L^1[0, 1]$  and  $\|b\|_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ .

It is easy to see that the conditions (A<sub>1</sub>)–(A<sub>3</sub>) in [7] and (B<sub>1</sub>)–(B<sub>3</sub>) in [10] are stronger than the ones of Theorem 3.1. To some extent, we improve the results of [7] and [10].

## 2. Auxiliary results

From now on, we use the classical spaces  $C[0, 1], C^1[0, 1]$  and  $L^1[0, 1]$ . Define the norm in  $C[0, 1]$  by  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  and in  $L^1[0, 1]$  by  $\|\cdot\|_1$ . Moreover, we shall need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1**<sup>[11]</sup> Let  $a < b$ ,  $u(t) \in C([a, b], [0, +\infty))$  and  $v(t) \in L^1([a, b], [0, +\infty))$ . Suppose that there exists a constant  $c \geq 0$  and a function  $\omega(t)$  such that

- (1)  $\int_a^b v(t)\omega(u(t))dt < +\infty$ ;
- (2)  $u(t) \leq c + \int_a^t v(s)\omega(u(s))ds$ , for all  $t \in [a, b]$ .

Then

$$\int_c^{u(t)} \frac{ds}{\omega(s)} \leq \int_a^t v(s)ds, \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b],$$

where  $\omega \in C([0, +\infty), [0, +\infty))$  is increasing.

In Lemma 2.1, if the assumption (2) is replaced by

$$u(t) \leq c + \int_t^b v(s)\omega(u(s))ds, \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b],$$

then

$$\int_c^{u(t)} \frac{ds}{\omega(s)} \leq \int_t^b v(s)ds, \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b].$$

Consider the auxiliary boundary value problem

$$(\phi_p(\frac{u'}{\lambda}))' = f^*(t, u, u', \lambda), \quad \lambda \in (0, 1], \quad (2.1)$$

$$u'(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} a_i u(\eta_i), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \dots < \eta_{n-2} < 1$ ,  $a_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$ ) are non-negative constants and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} a_i = 1$ ,  $f^* : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and

$$f^*(t, r, s, 1) = f(t, r, s), \quad \text{for all } (t, r, s) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (2.3)$$

**Lemma 2.2** Suppose (2.3) holds. Furthermore, let  $\Omega \subset C^1[0, 1]$  be an open bounded set. Assume that

- (C<sub>1</sub>) There exists no solution  $u$  of BVP (2.1)–(2.2),  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , such that  $u \in \partial\Omega$ ;
- (C<sub>2</sub>) The equation

$$F(s) := \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} a_i \int_{\eta_i}^1 \phi_p^{-1}(\int_0^\tau f^*(t, s, 0, 0)dt)d\tau = 0$$

has no solution on  $\partial\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}$ ;

- (C<sub>3</sub>) The Brouwer degree  $\deg_B(F, \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}, 0) \neq 0$ .

Then BVP (1.1)–(1.2) has at least one solution in  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

The proof of Lemma 2.2 is similar to that of Lemma 2.1 in [7], so we omit it.

### 3. Existence results

**Theorem 3.1** Suppose that the assumptions (H<sub>1</sub>)–(H<sub>3</sub>) are satisfied. Then there exists at least one solution for BVP (1.1)–(1.2), provided that

$$p-1 < m < (1 + \frac{1}{\|a\|_1 + \|b\|_1 + r})(p-1),$$

where  $r = \max\{-r_1, r_2\}$ .

**Proof** For all  $(t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2$ , define the function  $\bar{f}$  by

$$\bar{f}(t, u, v) = \begin{cases} f(t, r_2, v), & \text{if } u > r_2, \\ f(t, u, v), & \text{if } r_1 \leq u \leq r_2, \\ f(t, r_1, v), & \text{if } u < r_1. \end{cases}$$

Then, the modified problem corresponding to BVP (1.1)–(1.2) is

$$(\phi_p(u'))' = \bar{f}(t, u, u'), \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (3.2)$$

$$u'(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} a_i u(\eta_i). \quad (3.3)$$

Consider the homotopy problem (2.1)–(2.2), where

$$f^*(t, u, u', \lambda) = \lambda u + (1 - \lambda) \bar{f}(t, u, u').$$

**Step 1.** Let  $u(t)$  be a solution for BVP (2.1)–(2.2). Then we have

$$r_1 < u(t) < r_2 \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1], \lambda \in (0, 1].$$

Otherwise, there exists a point  $t_0 \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$u(t_0) = \min_{t \in [0, 1]} u(t) \leq r_1 \quad \text{or} \quad u(t_0) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} u(t) \geq r_2.$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose  $u(t_0) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} u(t) \geq r_2$  holds, so there are three cases as follows:

**Case 1** Let  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ . We have  $u'(t_0) = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} u(t_0) (\phi_p(\frac{u'(t)}{\lambda}))' \big|_{t=t_0} &= u(t_0) f^*(t_0, u(t_0), 0, \lambda) \\ &= \lambda (u(t_0))^2 + (1 - \lambda) u(t_0) f(t_0, r_2, 0) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, there exists a positive constant  $\delta > 0$  such that  $(\phi_p(\frac{u'(t)}{\lambda}))' > 0$ , for all  $t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta)$ . This implies that  $\phi_p(\frac{u'(t)}{\lambda})$  is increasing in  $(t_0, t_0 + \delta)$ . Thus

$$\phi_p(u'(t)) > \phi_p(u'(t_0)) = \phi_p(0) = 0, \text{ for all } t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta).$$

By the monotonicity of  $\phi_p$ , we have  $u'(t) > 0$ , for all  $t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta)$ . That is,  $u(t)$  is increasing in  $(t_0, t_0 + \delta)$ . This is a contradiction.

**Case 2** Let  $t_0 = 0$ . Then we have

$$u(0) (\phi_p(\frac{u'(t)}{\lambda}))' \big|_{t=0} = \lambda (u(0))^2 + (1 - \lambda) u(0) f(0, r_2, 0) > 0.$$

Similar to above process, we can obtain a contradiction.

**Case 3** Let  $t_0 = 1$ . Combining with the boundary condition (3.3), we know that there exists  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $u(1) = u(\eta)$ . Similar to Case 1, we can obtain a contradiction.

**Step 2.** We prove that there exists a positive constant  $M_0$  such that  $\|u'\|_\infty \leq M_0$ .

Let  $\|u'\|_\infty \leq 1$ . Then  $u'(t)$  has a prior bounds. Otherwise, let  $\|u'\|_\infty > 1$ , that is, there exists a point  $t_0 \in (0, 1]$  such that  $|u'(t_0)| = \|u'\|_\infty > 1$ .

This together with the continuity of  $u'(t)$  and  $u'(0) = 0$  implies that there exists an interval  $[\mu, \nu] \subset [0, 1]$ ,  $t_0 \in [\mu, \nu]$  such that  $|u'(\mu)| = 1$  and  $|u'(t)| \geq 1$ , for all  $t \in [\mu, \nu]$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $u'(t) \geq 1$  holds, for all  $t \in [\mu, \nu]$ .

Multiplying (2.1) by  $\phi_p(\frac{u'}{\lambda})$  and integrating on both sides of it from  $\mu$  to  $t$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\mu}^t \phi_p\left(\frac{u'}{\lambda}\right) \left(\phi_p\left(\frac{u'}{\lambda}\right)\right)' ds = \int_{\mu}^t \phi_p\left(\frac{u'}{\lambda}\right) f^*(s, u, u', \lambda) ds,$$

that is,

$$\frac{1}{2} \phi_p^2\left(\frac{u'(t)}{\lambda}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \phi_p^2\left(\frac{u'(\mu)}{\lambda}\right) = \int_{\mu}^t \phi_p\left(\frac{u'}{\lambda}\right) [\lambda u + (1 - \lambda) \bar{f}(s, u, u')] ds.$$

Since  $r_1 < u(t) < r_2$ , for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{u'(t)}{\lambda}\right|^{2p-2} &= \left|\frac{1}{\lambda}\right|^{2p-2} + 2 \int_{\mu}^t \phi_p\left(\frac{u'}{\lambda}\right) [\lambda u + (1 - \lambda) f(s, u, u')] ds \\ &= \left|\frac{1}{\lambda}\right|^{2p-2} + 2 \int_{\mu}^t \phi_p\left(\frac{u'(s)}{\lambda}\right) [\lambda u + (1 - \lambda) g(s, u, u')] ds + \\ &\quad 2 \int_{\mu}^t \phi_p\left(\frac{u'(s)}{\lambda}\right) [(1 - \lambda) h(s, u, u')] ds. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption (H<sub>2</sub>) and  $r_1 < u(t) < r_2$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we get

$$\int_{\mu}^t \phi_p\left(\frac{u'}{\lambda}\right) g(s, u, u') ds = \int_{\mu}^t \left|\frac{u'}{\lambda}\right|^{p-2} \frac{u'}{\lambda} g(s, u, u') ds \leq 0,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} |u'(t)|^{2p-2} &\leq 1 + 2 \int_{\mu}^t \lambda^{2p-2} \phi_p\left(\left|\frac{u'}{\lambda}\right|\right) |\lambda u(s) + (1 - \lambda) h(s, u, u')| ds \\ &\leq 1 + 2 \int_{\mu}^t |u'|^{p-1} (r + a(s) |u'|^m + b(s)) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $r = \max\{|r_1|, |r_2|\}$ . By  $|u'(t)| \geq 1$ , for  $t \in [\mu, \nu]$

$$|u'(t)|^{2p-2} \leq 1 + 2 \int_{\mu}^t |u'|^{m+p-1} (r + a(s) + b(s)) ds, \quad \text{for all } t \in [\mu, \nu].$$

For convenience, we write

$$z(t) = |u'(t)|^{2p-2}, \quad \omega(t) = t^{\frac{m+p-1}{2p-2}}, \quad v(t) = 2(a(t) + b(t) + r).$$

Then

$$\int_{\mu}^{\nu} v(s) \omega(z(s)) ds < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad z(t) \leq 1 + \int_{\mu}^t v(s) \omega(z(s)) ds, \quad \text{for all } t \in [\mu, \nu].$$

By Lemma 2.1, we can conclude that

$$\int_1^{z(t)} \frac{ds}{\omega(s)} \leq \int_{\mu}^t v(s) ds, \quad \text{for all } t \in [\mu, \nu].$$

So

$$\int_1^{z(t)} s^{-\frac{m+p-1}{2p-2}} ds \leq \int_{\mu}^t v(s) ds \leq 2 \int_0^1 (r + a(s) + b(s)) ds$$

$$\leq 2(\|a\|_1 + \|b\|_1 + r) := M_1, \quad \text{for all } t \in [\mu, \nu].$$

By the assumption (H<sub>3</sub>) and (1.3), we have

$$\int_1^{+\infty} s^{-\frac{m+p-1}{2p-2}} ds > 2(\|a\|_1 + \|b\|_1 + r).$$

This inequality implies that there exists a constant  $M_2$  (independent of  $\lambda$ ) such that  $z(t) \leq M_2$ , that is,  $|u'(t)| \leq (M_2)^{\frac{1}{2p-2}} := M_3$ , for all  $t \in [\mu, \nu]$ . Put  $M_0 = \max\{1, M_3\}$  (independent of  $\lambda$ ), then  $|u'|_\infty \leq M_0$ .

**Step 3.** By using Lemma 2.2, we prove that BVP (3.2)–(3.3) has at least one solution. Put

$$\Omega = \{u(t) \in C^1[0, 1] : r_1 < u(t) < r_2, t \in [0, 1]; \|u'\|_\infty < M_0 + 1\}.$$

It is clear that the assumption (C<sub>1</sub>) of Lemma 2.2 is satisfied.

By the assumption (H<sub>1</sub>) and (3.1), we deduce

$$f^*(t, r_1, 0, 0) = \bar{f}(t, r_1, 0) \leq 0, \quad f^*(t, r_2, 0, 0) = \bar{f}(t, r_2, 0) \geq 0.$$

Combining with the monotonicity of  $\phi_p$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} F(r_1) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} a_i \int_{\eta_i}^1 \phi_p^{-1} \left( \int_0^\tau f^*(t, r_1, 0, 0) dt \right) d\tau \leq 0, \\ F(r_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} a_i \int_{\eta_i}^1 \phi_p^{-1} \left( \int_0^\tau f^*(t, r_2, 0, 0) dt \right) d\tau \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $F(r_1) \cdot F(r_2) = 0$ , we can conclude that BVP (1.1)–(1.2) has at least one solution  $r_1$  or  $r_2$ . Otherwise,  $F(r_1)F(r_2) < 0$ , which implies the assumption (C<sub>2</sub>) of Lemma 2.2 holds. By the property of Brouwer degree, we have  $\deg_B(F, \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}, 0) = 1$ . So the assumption (C<sub>3</sub>) of Lemma 2.2 is satisfied. By Lemma 2.2, we prove that BVP (3.2)–(3.3) has at least one solution  $u(t)$  satisfying  $r_1 < u(t) < r_2$ , for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . This implies that BVP (1.1)–(1.2) has at least one solution. The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** *Let assumptions (H<sub>1</sub>)–(H<sub>3</sub>) be satisfied. Furthermore, suppose the following inequality*

$$(H_4) \quad f(t, u_1, v_1) > f(t, u_2, v_2), \quad \text{for all } u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}, u_1 > u_2, v_1 \leq v_2$$

*holds. Then there exists a unique solution for BVP (1.1)–(1.2).*

**Proof** We have proved that BVP (1.1)–(1.2) has at least one solution in Theorem 3.1. Next, we will obtain the uniqueness of the solution for BVP (1.1)–(1.2) by (H<sub>4</sub>).

Assume to the contrary that there exist two different solutions  $x(t), y(t)$  of BVP (1.1)–(1.2). Let  $z(t) = x(t) - y(t)$ . By the condition (1.2), there exists some  $t_0 \in [0, 1)$  such that  $z(t_0) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} z(t) > 0$ .

**Case 1** If  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ , then  $z'(t_0) = 0, z(t_0) > 0$ . By the continuity of  $z'(t), z(t)$ , there exists an interval  $[t_0, t_1]$  such that  $z(s) > 0, z'(s) \leq 0$ , for all  $s \in [t_0, t_1]$ .

Since

$$(\phi_p(x') - \phi_p(y'))' = f(t, x, x') - f(t, y, y'),$$

by  $(H_4)$ , we have

$$\int_{t_0}^s (\phi_p(x'(t)) - \phi_p(y'(t)))' dt = \int_{t_0}^s (f(t, x(t), x'(t)) - f(t, y(t), y'(t))) dt > 0, \quad \text{for all } s \in [t_0, t_1].$$

Combining with the monotonicity of  $\phi_p$ , we have  $z'(s) = x'(s) - y'(s) > 0$ , for all  $s \in [t_0, t_1]$ . This is a contradiction.

**Case 2** If  $t_0 = 0$ , then  $z'(0) = 0, z(0) > 0$ . By the continuity of  $z'(t), z(t)$ , there exists an interval  $[0, t_2]$  such that  $z(s) > 0, z'(s) \leq 0$ , for all  $s \in [0, t_2]$ . Similar to above process, we obtain  $z'(s) = x'(s) - y'(s) > 0$ , for all  $s \in [0, t_2]$ . This is a contradiction.

Combining with the two cases, we deduce that BVP (1.1)–(1.2) has a unique solution.

Especially, let  $\eta_i \rightarrow 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$ . We can obtain the following result.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1** Suppose the assumptions  $(H_1)$ – $(H_4)$  in Theorem 3.2 hold. Then the following Neumann BVP

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_p(u'))' &= f(t, u, u'), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ u'(0) &= u'(1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

has at least one solution.

## References

- [1] LEIBENSON L S. *General problem of the movement of a compressible fluid in a porous medium* [C]. Izvestia Akad. Nauk SSSR, Geograhya and Geophysics, IX, 1983, 7–10. (In Russian)
- [2] BOBISUD L E. *Steady-state turbulent flow with reaction* [J]. Rocky Mountain J. Math., 1991, **21**(3): 993–1007.
- [3] HERRERO M A, VÁZQUEZ J L. *On the propagation properties of a nonlinear degenerate parabolic equation* [J]. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 1982, **7**(12): 1381–1402.
- [4] DE C C. *Pairs of positive solutions for the one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian* [J]. Nonlinear Anal., 1994, **23**(5): 669–681.
- [5] JIANG Daqing, WANG Junyu. *A generalized periodic boundary value problem for the one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian* [J]. Ann. Polon. Math., 1997, **65**(3): 265–270.
- [6] O'REGAN D. *Some general existence principles and results for  $(\phi(y'))' = qf(t, y, y')$ ,  $0 < t < 1$*  [J]. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 1993, **24**(3): 648–668.
- [7] GARCÍA-HUIDOBRO M, GUPTA C P, MANASEVICH R. *Solvability for a nonlinear three-point boundary value problem with  $p$ -Laplacian-like operator at resonance* [J]. Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2001, **6**(4): 191–213.
- [8] GUPTA C P. *Existence theorems for a second order  $m$ -point boundary value problem at resonance* [J]. Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 1995, **18**(4): 705–710.
- [9] MA Ruyun. *Existence theorems for a second order  $m$ -point boundary value problem* [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1997, **211**(2): 545–555.
- [10] FENG W, WEBB J R L. *Solvability of  $m$ -point boundary value problems with nonlinear growth* [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1997, **212**(2): 467–480.
- [11] RĂDULESCU M, RADULESCU S. *Global inversion theorems and applications to differential equations* [J]. Nonlinear Anal., 1980, **4**(5): 951–965.