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#### Abstract

Let $E$ be a real Banach space and $K$ be a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of $E$. Let $T_{i}: K \rightarrow K, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, be $N$ uniformly $L$-Lipschitzian, uniformly asymptotically regular with sequences $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$ and asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings with sequences $\left\{k_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$, where $\left\{k_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$ and $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}^{(i)}\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, satisfy certain mild conditions. Let a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be generated from $x_{1} \in K$ by $z_{n}:=\left(1-\mu_{n}\right) x_{n}+\mu_{n} T_{n}^{n} x_{n}, x_{n+1}:=\lambda_{n} \theta_{n} x_{1}+$ $\left[1-\lambda_{n}\left(1+\theta_{n}\right)\right] x_{n}+\lambda_{n} T_{n}^{n} z_{n}$ for all integer $n \geqslant 1$, where $T_{n}=T_{n(\bmod N)}$, and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\},\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ are three real sequences in $[0,1]$ satisfying appropriate conditions. Then $\left\|x_{n}-T_{l} x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $l \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. The results presented in this paper generalize and improve the corresponding results of Chidume and Zegeye ${ }^{[1]}$, Reinermann ${ }^{[10]}$, Rhoades ${ }^{[11]}$ and Schu ${ }^{[13]}$.
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $E$ be a real normed linear space and $E^{*}$ its dual space. Let $J: E \rightarrow 2^{E^{*}}$ be the normalized duality mapping defined by $J(x)=\left\{f \in E^{*}:\langle x, f\rangle=\|x\|^{2},\|x\|=\|f\|\right\}, x \in E$, where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing. It is well known that if $E^{*}$ is strictly convex, then $J$ is single-valved. In the sequel, we shall denote the single-valved normalized duality mapping by $j$.

Let $E$ be a normed linear space, $\emptyset \neq K \subset E$. A mapping $T: K \rightarrow K$ is said to be nonexpansive if for all $x, y \in K$ we have $\|T x-T y\| \leqslant\|x-y\|$. It is said to be uniformly $L$-Lipschitzian if there exists $L>0$ such that $\left\|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right\| \leqslant L\|x-y\|$ for all integers $n \geqslant 1$ and all $x, y \in K$. It is said to be asymptotically nonexpansive if there exists a sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$ with $k_{n} \geqslant 1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}=1$ such that $\left\|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right\| \leqslant k_{n}\|x-y\|$ for all integers $n \geqslant 1$ and all $x, y \in K$. Clearly, every nonexpansive mapping is asymptotically nonexpansive with sequence $k_{n} \equiv 1, \forall n \geqslant 1$. There are however, asymptotically nonexpansive mappings which are not nonexpansive ${ }^{[4]}$.
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The class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings was introduced by Goebel and Kirk ${ }^{[3]}$ in 1972 and has been studied by severval authors ${ }^{[5,11-13,15]}$.

Let $K$ be a subset of real Banach space $E$ and $T: K \rightarrow E$ any mapping. $T$ is said to be asymptotically pseudocontractive if there exists a sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \subset[1,+\infty)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}=1$, and there exists $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ such that the inequality $\left\langle T^{n} x-T^{n} y, j(x-y)\right\rangle \leqslant k_{n}\|x-y\|^{2}$ holds for all integers $n \geqslant 1$ and all $x, y \in K$. It is easy to know that every asymptotically nonexpansive mapping is asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping.

The class of asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings was introduced by Schu ${ }^{[14]}$ and has been studied by various authors.

The mapping $T$ is called uniformly asymptotically regular if for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists integer $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\left\|T^{n+1} x-T^{n} x\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon$ for all $n \geqslant n_{0}$ and all $x \in K$ and it is called uniformly asymptotically regular with sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ if $\left\|T^{n+1} x-T^{n} x\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon_{n}$ for all integers $n \geqslant 1$ and all $x \in K$, where $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

A family of mappings $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is called uniformly asymptotically regular if for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists integer $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\max _{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant N}\left\|T_{i}^{n+1} x-T_{j}^{n} x\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon$ for all $n \geqslant n_{0}$ and all $x \in K$ and the mapping family $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is called uniformly asymptotically regular with sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ if $\max _{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant N}\left\{\left\|T_{i}^{n+1} x-T_{j}^{n} x\right\|\right\} \leqslant \varepsilon_{n}$ for all integers $n \geqslant 1$ and all $x \in K$, where $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of a real Banach space $E$. A mapping $T: K \rightarrow K$ is called pseudocontractive if there exists $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T x-T y, j(x-y)\rangle \leq\|x-y\|^{2}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in K$. It follows from a result of Kato $^{[6]}$ that the inequality (1.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x-y\| \leqslant\|x-y+t((I-T) x-(I-T) y)\| \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in K$ and all $t>0$, where $I$ denotes the identity mapping.
A mapping $T$ is called strongly pseudocontractive if for each $x, y \in D(T)$, there exists $j(x-$ $y) \in J(x-y)$ and $k \in(0,1)$ such that $\langle T x-T y, j(x-y)\rangle \leqslant k\|x-y\|^{2}$.

Any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ satisfying that $\left\|x_{n}-T_{l} x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $l \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$, is called an approximate fixed point sequence for a family mappings $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$.

The importance of approximate fixed point sequences is that once a sequence has been constructed and proved to be an appropriate fixed point sequence for a continuous mapping $T$, convergence of that sequence to a fixed point of $T$ is then generally achieved.

For an asymptotically pseudocontractive self-mapping $T$ of a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of a Hilbert space $H$, Schu ${ }^{[13]}$ proved the following theorem:

Theorem $\mathbf{S}^{[13]}$ Let $H$ be a Hilbert space, $K \subset E$ be nonempty closed convex and bounded. Let $T$ be a uniformly L-Lipschitzian and asymptotically pseudocontractive self-mapping of $K$ with $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \subset[1, \infty) ; \sum\left(q_{n}^{2}-1\right)<\infty$, where $q_{n}=\left(2 k_{n}-1\right)$ for all $n \geqslant 1, \alpha_{n}, \beta_{n} \in[0,1]$, $\varepsilon \leqslant \alpha_{n} \leqslant \beta_{n} \leqslant b$ for all integers $n \geqslant 1$ and some $\varepsilon>0$; and some $b \in\left(0, L^{-1}\left[\left(1+L^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}-1\right]\right)$; pick $x_{0} \in K$; and define $x_{n+1}:=\alpha_{n} T^{n} z_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n} ; z_{n}=\beta_{n} T^{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}$ for all $n \geqslant 0$.

Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0$.
In 2003, Chidume and Zegeye ${ }^{[1]}$ constructed an approximate fixed point sequence for the class of asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings in Banach spaces and proved the following theorem:

Theorem CZ ${ }^{[1]}$ Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of a real Banach space $E$. Let $T: K \rightarrow K$ be a uniformly L-Lipschitzian, uniformly asymptotically regular with sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ and asymptotically pseudocontractive with sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$ such that for $\lambda_{n}, \theta_{n} \in(0,1), \forall n \geqslant 0$, and satisfying the conditions: (i) $\lambda_{n}\left(1+\theta_{n}\right) \leqslant 1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}=\infty$; (ii) $\theta_{n} \rightarrow 0, \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\theta_{n}} \rightarrow 0,\left(\frac{\theta_{n-1}}{\theta_{n}}-1\right) / \lambda_{n} \theta_{n} \rightarrow 0, \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \theta_{n}^{2}} \rightarrow 0$; (iii) $k_{n-1}-k_{n}=o\left(\lambda_{n} \theta_{n}^{2}\right)$; (iv) $k_{n}-1=o\left(\theta_{n}\right)$. Let a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be iteratively generated from $x_{1} \in K$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}:=\lambda_{n} \theta_{n} x_{1}+\left[1-\lambda_{n}\left(1+\theta_{n}\right)\right] x_{n}+\lambda_{n} T^{n} x_{n}, \forall n \geqslant 1, n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
In this paper, we introduce a new two-step iteration process as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{n+1}:=\lambda_{n} \theta_{n} x_{1}+\left[1-\lambda_{n}\left(1+\theta_{n}\right)\right] x_{n}+\lambda_{n} T_{n}^{n} z_{n},  \tag{1.4}\\
z_{n}:=\left(1-\mu_{n}\right) x_{n}+\mu_{n} T_{n}^{n} x_{n}, n \geqslant 1,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}: K \rightarrow K$, are $N$ asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings, $T_{n}=T_{n(\bmod N)}$, $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\},\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ are three real sequences in $[0,1]$ satisfying $\lambda_{n}\left(1+\theta_{n}\right) \leq 1$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $x_{0}$ is a given point in $K$.

Especially, if $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\},\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}$ are two sequences in $[0,1]$ satisfying $\lambda_{n}\left(1+\theta_{n}\right) \leq 1$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $x_{0}$ is a given point in $K$, then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}:=\lambda_{n} \theta_{n} x_{1}+\left[1-\lambda_{n}\left(1+\theta_{n}\right)\right] x_{n}+\lambda_{n} T_{n}^{n} x_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.1 If $T_{1}=T_{2}=\cdots=T_{N}=T$ or $N=1$, then (1.5) reduces to (1.3).
The purpose of this paper is to construct an approximate fixed point sequence for a finite family of asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ in Banach spaces. The results presented in this paper generalize and improve the corresponding results of Chidume and Zegeye ${ }^{[1]}$, Reinermann ${ }^{[10]}$, Rhoades ${ }^{[11]}$ and Schu ${ }^{[13]}$.

In order to prove the main result of this paper, we need the following Lemmas:
Lemma 1.1 ${ }^{[2,8]}$ Let $E$ be a real normed linear space. Then for any $x, y \in E$ and $j(x+y) \in$ $J(x+y)$, we have $\|x+y\|^{2} \leqslant\|x\|^{2}+2\langle y, j(x+y)\rangle$.

Lemma 1.2 ${ }^{[7]}$ Let $\left\{\rho_{n}\right\},\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ be three sequences of nonnegative numbers satisfying the conditions $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0, \Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$, and $\frac{\sigma_{n}}{\alpha_{n}} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let the recursive inequality $\rho_{n+1}^{2} \leqslant \rho_{n}^{2}-\alpha_{n} \psi\left(\rho_{n+1}\right)+\sigma_{n}, n \geqslant 1$ be given, where $\psi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is a strictly increasing function such that it is positive on $(0,+\infty)$ and $\psi(0)=0$. Then $\rho_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

## 2. Main results

Lemma 2.1 Let $E$ be a real Banach space, and $K$ be a nonempty closed convex and bounded
subset of $E$. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}: K \rightarrow K$ be $N$ uniformly asymptotically regular, uniformly $L$ Lipschitzian and asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings with sequences $\left\{k_{n}^{(i)}\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Then for $u \in K$ and $t_{n} \in(0,1)$ such that $t_{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset K$ satisfying the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T_{n}^{n} y_{n}+\left(1-\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}}\right) u \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{n}=\max \left\{k_{n}^{(1)}, k_{n}^{(2)}, \ldots, k_{n}^{(N)}\right\}, T_{n}=T_{n(\bmod N)}$. Furthermore, we have $\left\|y_{n}-T_{n} y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof Since $T_{i}: K \rightarrow K, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, is uniformly $L$-Lipschitzian, there exists $L_{i}>0$, $i=1,2, \ldots, N$ such that $\left\|T_{i}^{n} x-T_{i}^{n} y\right\| \leqslant L_{i}\|x-y\| \leqslant L\|x-y\|$ for all $n \geqslant 1$ and all $x, y \in K$, where $L=\max \left\{L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{N}\right\}$.

For each $n \geqslant 1$, define the mapping $S_{n}: K \rightarrow K$ by $S_{n}(y):=\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T_{n}^{n} y+\left(1-\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}}\right) u$. Then $S_{n}: K \rightarrow K$ is continuous and strongly pseudocontractive. Therefore, by Theorem 5 of Reich ${ }^{[9]}$, $S_{n}$ has a unique fixed point (say) $y_{n} \in K$. This means that the equation $y_{n}=\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T_{n}^{n} y_{n}+\left(1-\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}}\right) u$ has a unique solution for each $t_{n} \in(0,1)$. Moreover, since $K$ is bounded, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-T_{n}^{n} y_{n}\right\| & =\left\|\left(1-\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}}\right) u+\left(\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}}-1\right) T_{n}^{n} y_{n}\right\| \\
& =\left(1-\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}}\right)\left\|u-T_{n}^{n} y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-T_{n} y_{n}\right\| & =\left\|\left(1-\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}}\right)\left(u-T_{n} y_{n}\right)+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}}\left(T_{n}^{n} y_{n}-T_{n} y_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \leqslant\left(1-\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}}\right)\left\|u-T_{n} y_{n}\right\|+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}}\left\|T_{n}^{n} y_{n}-T_{n}^{n+1} y_{n}\right\|+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} L\left\|T_{n}^{n} y_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of the uniformly asymptotic regularity of $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$, it follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that $\left\|y_{n}-T_{n} y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.2 Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of a real Banach space E. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}: K \rightarrow K$ be $N$ uniformly L-Lipschitzian, asymptotically pseudocontractive with sequence $\left\{k_{n}^{(i)}\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, and uniformly asymptotically regular with sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$. Let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\},\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ be three real sequences in $[0,1]$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $\lambda_{n}\left(1+\theta_{n}\right) \leq 1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}=\infty$;
(ii) $\theta_{n} \rightarrow 0, \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\theta_{n}} \rightarrow 0, \frac{\mu_{n}}{\theta_{n}} \rightarrow 0, \frac{\left|\frac{\theta_{n-1}}{\theta_{n}}-1\right|}{\lambda_{n} \theta_{n}} \rightarrow 0, \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \theta_{n}^{2}} \rightarrow 0$;
(iii) $\left|k_{n-1}-k_{n}\right|=o\left(\lambda_{n} \theta_{n}^{2}\right)$;
(iv) $k_{n}-1=o\left(\theta_{n}\right)$.

Where $k_{n}=\max \left\{k_{n}^{(1)}, k_{n}^{(2)}, \ldots, k_{n}^{(N)}\right\}$. Suppose further that $x_{1} \in K$ is any given point and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is the iterative sequence defined by (1.4). Then $\left\|x_{n}-T_{l} x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $l \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$.

Proof Let $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ denote the sequence defined as in (2.1) with $t_{n}=\frac{1}{1+\theta_{n}}$ and $u=x_{1}$. Then from
(1.4) and Lemma 1.1 we get the following estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}=\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}-\lambda_{n}\left(\left(x_{n}-T_{n}^{n} z_{n}\right)+\theta_{n}\left(x_{n}-x_{1}\right)\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqslant\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle\left(x_{n}-T_{n}^{n} z_{n}\right)+\theta_{n}\left(x_{n}-x_{1}\right), j\left(x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+ \\
& \quad 2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle\theta_{n}\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)-\left(x_{n}-T_{n}^{n} z_{n}\right)+\theta_{n}\left(x_{1}-y_{n}\right), j\left(x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leqslant
\end{align*}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+\quad . \quad 2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle\theta_{n}\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)+\left[\theta_{n}\left(x_{1}-y_{n}\right)-\left(y_{n}-\frac{1}{k_{n}} T_{n}^{n} y_{n}\right)\right]--\quad\left[\left(x_{n+1}-\frac{1}{k_{n}} T_{n}^{n} x_{n+1}\right)-\left(y_{n}-\frac{1}{k_{n}} T_{n}^{n} y_{n}\right)\right]+-\quad\left[\left(x_{n+1}-\frac{1}{k_{n}} T_{n}^{n} x_{n+1}\right)-\left(x_{n}-T_{n}^{n} z_{n}\right)\right], j\left(x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right)\right\rangle .
$$

Observe that from the properties of $y_{n}$ and the asymptotical pseudocontractivity of $T_{n}$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{n}\left(x_{1}-y_{n}\right)-\left(y_{n}-\frac{1}{k_{n}} T_{n}^{n} y_{n}\right)+\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right) x_{1}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left(x_{n+1}-\frac{1}{k_{n}} T_{n}^{n} x_{n+1}\right)-\left(y_{n}-\frac{1}{k_{n}} T_{n}^{n} y_{n}\right), j\left(x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right)\right\rangle \geqslant 0 . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.5), (2.6) and (2.4) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+ \\
& \quad 2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle\left(\theta_{n}+1\right)\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\left(T_{n}^{n} x_{n+1}-T_{n}^{n} z_{n}\right)+\frac{k_{n}-1}{k_{n}}\left(T_{n}^{n} z_{n}-x_{1}\right), j\left(x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right)\right\rangle- \\
& \quad 2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle\left(x_{n+1}-\frac{1}{k_{n}} T_{n}^{n} x_{n+1}\right)-\left(y_{n}-\frac{1}{k_{n}} T_{n}^{n} y_{n}\right), j\left(x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leqslant\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+ \\
& \quad 2 \lambda_{n}\left[\left(\theta_{n}+1\right)\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+\frac{1}{k_{n}}\left\|T_{n}^{n} z_{n}-T_{n}^{n} x_{n+1}\right\|+\frac{k_{n}-1}{k_{n}}\left\|T_{n}^{n} z_{n}-x_{1}\right\|\right] \cdot\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\| \\
& \leqslant\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+ \\
& \quad 2 \lambda_{n}\left[(2+L)\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+L\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|+\frac{k_{n}-1}{k_{n}}\left(\left\|T_{n}^{n} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|x_{1}\right\|\right)\right] \cdot\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\| . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice the fact that $x_{n+1}-x_{n}=\lambda_{n} \theta_{n} x_{1}-\lambda_{n}\left(1+\theta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\lambda_{n} T_{n}^{n} z_{n}=\lambda_{n} u_{n}$ and $z_{n}-x_{n}=$ $\mu_{n}\left(T_{n}^{n} x_{n}-x_{n}\right)=\mu_{n} v_{n}$, where $u_{n}=\theta_{n} x_{1}-\left(1+\theta_{n}\right) x_{n}+T_{n}^{n} z_{n}, v_{n}=T_{n}^{n} x_{n}-x_{n}$. Since $K$ is bounded, which implies that $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\},\left\{z_{n}\right\},\left\{T_{n}^{n} x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{T_{n}^{n} z_{n}\right\}$ are all bounded, there exists $M_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|,\left\|u_{n}\right\|,\left\|v_{n}\right\|,\left\|T_{n}^{n} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|x_{1}\right\|\right\} \leq M_{1} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|=\lambda_{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leqslant \lambda_{n} M_{1}, \quad\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=\mu_{n}\left\|v_{n}\right\| \leqslant \mu_{n} M_{1} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.8) and (2.9) into (2.7), we have

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(2+L) \lambda_{n}^{2} M_{1}^{2}+2 \lambda_{n} L \mu_{n} M_{1}^{2}+2 \lambda_{n} \frac{k_{n}-1}{k_{n}} M_{1}^{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, observe that $\bar{T}:=\frac{1}{k_{n}} T_{n}^{n}$ is pseudocontractive. Thus it follows from (1.2) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|y_{n-1}-y_{n}\right\| \leqslant\left\|y_{n-1}-y_{n}+\frac{1}{\theta_{n}}\left[(I-\bar{T}) y_{n-1}-(I-\bar{T}) y_{n}\right]\right\| \\
& \quad=\left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\theta_{n-1}}{\theta_{n}}-1\right)\left(x_{1}-y_{n-1}\right)+\frac{1}{\theta_{n} k_{n-1}}\left(T_{n-1}^{n-1} y_{n-1}-T_{n}^{n} y_{n-1}\right)+\frac{1}{\theta_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{n-1}}-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right)\left(T_{n}^{n} y_{n-1}-x_{1}\right)\right. \| \\
& \quad \leqslant\left|\frac{\theta_{n-1}}{\theta_{n}}-1\right|\left(\left\|x_{1}\right\|+\left\|y_{n-1}\right\|\right)+\frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{\theta_{n} k_{n-1}}+\frac{1}{\theta_{n}} \frac{\left|k_{n}-k_{n-1}\right|}{k_{n} k_{n-1}}\left(\left\|T_{n}^{n} y_{n-1}\right\|+\left\|x_{1}\right\|\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Because $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\},\left\{T_{n}^{n} x_{n}\right\},\left\{T_{n}^{n} y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{T_{n}^{n} y_{n-1}\right\}$ are bounded, there exists $M_{2}>0$ such that $\max \left\{2\left(\left\|x_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|+\left\|y_{n-1}-y_{n}\right\|\right),\left\|x_{1}\right\|+\left\|y_{n-1}\right\|,\left\|T_{n}^{n} y_{n-1}\right\|+\left\|x_{1}\right\|\right\} \leqslant M_{2}$. Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left(\left\|x_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|+\left\|y_{n-1}-y_{n}\right\|\right)^{2} \leqslant\left\|x_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{n-1}-y_{n}\right\| \cdot M_{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.11), (2.12) and (2.10), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \leqslant & \left\|x_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \lambda_{n} L \mu_{n} M_{1}^{2}+ \\
& 2(2+L) \lambda_{n}^{2} M_{1}^{2}+2 \lambda_{n}\left(k_{n}-1\right) M_{1}^{2}+\left|\frac{\theta_{n-1}}{\theta_{n}}-1\right| M_{2}^{2}+ \\
& \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{\theta_{n} k_{n-1}} M_{2}+\frac{1}{\theta_{n}} \frac{\left|k_{n}-k_{n-1}\right|}{k_{n} k_{n-1}} M_{2}^{2} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus by Lemma 1.2 and the conditions (i)-(iv) on $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\},\left\{\theta_{n}\right\},\left\{\mu_{n}\right\},\left\{k_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ we get $\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, $\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Next we prove that $\left\|x_{n}-T_{l} x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $l \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. Indeed, by Lemma 2.1 we have that $\left\|y_{n}-T_{n} y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\| & \leqslant\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|+\left\|y_{n}-T_{n} y_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{n} y_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leqslant L(1+L)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|+\left\|y_{n}-T_{n} y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

From the condition $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and (2.9) we have $\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \leqslant \lambda_{n} M_{1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and so $\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+l}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $l \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. Thus, for each $l \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$, from (2.14) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}-T_{n+l} x_{n}\right\| & \leqslant\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+l}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+l}-T_{n+l} x_{n+l}\right\|+\left\|T_{n+l} x_{n+l}-T_{n+l} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leqslant(1+L)\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+l}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+l}-T_{n+l} x_{n+l}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that the sequence $\bigcup_{l=1}^{N}\left\{\left\|x_{n}-T_{n+l} x_{n}\right\|\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For each $l \in$ $\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$, observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{\left\|x_{n}-T_{l} x_{n}\right\|\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} & =\left\{\left\|x_{n}-T_{n+(l-n)} x_{n}\right\|\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \\
& =\left\{\left\|x_{n}-T_{n+l_{n}} x_{n}\right\|\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \bigcup_{l=1}^{N}\left\{\left\|x_{n}-T_{n+l} x_{n}\right\|\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $l-n=l_{n}(\bmod N), l_{n} \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. Therefore, we have $\left\|x_{n}-T_{l} x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Remark 2.1 If $\mu_{n} \equiv 0$ in Theorem 2.2, then $z_{n}=x_{n}$, hence we can obtain corresponding results of the iterative process (1.5), which is omitted here.

Remark 2.2 If $T_{1}=T_{2}=\cdots=T_{N}=T$ or $N=1$ in Theorem 2.2, then we can obtain corresponding results, which is omitted here.

Remark 2.3 Theorem 2.2 is a generalization of Theorem CZ, that is, if $\mu_{n} \equiv 0$ and $T_{1}=T_{2}=$ $\cdots=T_{N}=T$ or $N=1$, then Theorem 2.2 will reduce to Theorem CZ.

Remark 2.4 Theorem 2.2 also improves and extends the corresponding results of Reinermann ${ }^{[10]}$, Rhoades ${ }^{[11]}$ and Schu ${ }^{[13]}$.
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