Journal of Mathematical Research & Exposition Sept., 2009, Vol. 29, No. 5, pp. 913–922 DOI:10.3770/j.issn:1000-341X.2009.05.019 Http://jmre.dlut.edu.cn

Extended Cesàro Operators on μ -Bloch Spaces in C^n

XU Ning

(Department of Mathematics & Science, Huaihai Institute of Technology, Jiangsu 222005, China) (E-mail: gx899200@126.com)

Abstract Let μ , $\nu \in [0, 1)$ be normal functions and g be holomorphic function on the unit ball. In this paper, we prove that the generalized Cesàro operator $T_g : \beta_{\mu} \to \beta_{\nu}$ is bounded and compact.

Keywords Bloch-type space; Cesàro operator; boundedness; compactness.

Document code A MR(2000) Subject Classification 47B38 Chinese Library Classification 0174.56

1. Introduction

Let B and D be the unit ball and the unit disc of C^n , respectively, and ∂B the boundary of B. H(B) denotes the class of all holomorphic functions on B. A positive continuous function $\mu(r)$ on [0, 1) is called normal if there is a constant b > 0 such that

$$\lim_{r \to 1^{-}} \mu(r) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \to 1^{-}} \frac{\mu(r)}{(1-r)^{b}} = \infty.$$

These normal functions are more general than usual normal functions.

If μ is normal, we extend it to B by $\mu(z) = \mu(|z|)$. It is well known that a holomorphic function f belongs to μ -Bloch space β_{μ} if and only if

$$||f||_{\mu} = \sup_{z \in B} \mu(|z|) |\nabla f(z)| < \infty,$$

and f belongs to little μ -Bloch space $\beta_{\mu,0}$ if and only if

$$\lim_{|z|\to 1-}\mu(|z|)|\nabla f(z)|=0$$

Here $\nabla f(z) = (\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n})$ is the complex gradient of f. Obviously, both β_{μ} and $\beta_{\mu,0}$ are Banach spaces under the norm $||f||_{\beta_{\mu}} = |f(0)| + ||f||_{\mu}$ and $\beta_{\mu,0}$ is a closed subspace of β_{μ} . When $\mu(r) = (1 - r^2)^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \ge 1$, μ -Bloch space is α -Bloch space, the weighted Bloch space.

Let $g \in H(B)$. The extended Cesàro operator T_g on H(B) with symbol g is defined as

$$T_g f(z) = \int_0^1 f(tz) Rg(tz) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}, \quad f \in H(B), z \in B$$

where $Rg(z) = \langle \nabla g(z), \overline{z} \rangle$ is radial derivative of f.

Received date: 2007-07-03; Accepted date: 2008-01-02

Foundation item: the Natural Science Foundation of the Education Commission of Jiangsu Province (No. 07KJB110115).

For a holomorphic f(z) on D, the extended Cesàro operator acting on f was proved to be bounded or compact on the Hardy spaces, Bergman spaces, weighted Bergman spaces and μ -Bloch spaces^[1-6].

In the unit ball, $\operatorname{Hu}^{[7-9]}$ obtained some necessary and sufficient conditions that T_g is bounded or compact on $\beta_{(1-r^2)^{\alpha}}$, mixed norm spaces and Bergman Spaces. Zhang^[10] considered the boundedness of T_g between $\beta_{(1-r^2)^p}$ and $\beta_{(1-r^2)^q}$ for $0 < p, q < \infty$. The purpose of this work is to obtain the necessary and sufficient conditions on $g \in H(B)$, such that the operator $T_g: \beta_{\mu} \to \beta_{\nu}$ (respectively $\beta_{\mu,0} \to \beta_{\nu,0}$) is bounded or compact, which generalizes the result in [6]. The main results are followings:

Theorem A Let μ, ν be normal and $g \in H(B)$. Then

(1) $T_g: \beta_{\mu} \to \beta_{\nu}$ is bounded if and only if

$$\sup_{z\in B}\nu(|z|)|Rg(z)|\left(1+\int_0^{|z|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right)<\infty,$$

(2) $T_g: \beta_{\mu,0} \to \beta_{\nu,0}$ is bounded if and only if

$$g \in \beta_{\nu,0}$$
 and $\sup_{z \in B} \nu(|z|) |Rg(z)| \left(1 + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} dt\right) < \infty.$

Theorem B Let μ, ν be normal and $g \in H(B)$. Then

- (1) The following statements are equivalent:
- (i) $T_g: \beta_\mu \to \beta_\nu$ is compact;
- (ii) $T_g: \beta_{\mu,0} \to \beta_{\nu,0}$ is compact;

(iii)
$$\lim_{|z|\to 1-} \nu(|z|) |Rg(z)| \left(1 + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} dt\right) = 0.$$
(1.1)

(2) $T_g: \beta_{\mu} \to \beta_{\nu}$ is compact if and only if $g \in \beta_{\nu}$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$, such that

$$\nu(|z|)|Rg(z)|\left(1+\int_{0}^{|z|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right)<\varepsilon\tag{1.2}$$

as $\nu(|z|)|Rg(z)| < \delta$.

2. Some lemmas

In what follows c, c_1, c_2 will stand for positive constants not depending on the functions being condidered, but whose values may change from line to line. The expression $a \simeq b$ means $c_1a \leq b \leq c_2a$.

Lemma 2.1 Let $f \in H(B)$, $z \in B$, $y \in \partial B$, $\langle z, y \rangle = 0$. Define

$$J_y f(z) = \langle \nabla f(z), \overline{y} \rangle.$$

If

$$|f(z)| \le \frac{c}{\mu(|z|)},\tag{2.1}$$

then

$$|J_y f(z)| \le \frac{c}{\mu(|z|)\sqrt{1-|z|^2}}.$$
(2.2)

Proof Fix $r \in (0,1)$ and define

 $h(\lambda) = f(re_1 + \lambda e_2)$

for all $\lambda \in C$, with $|\lambda|^2 < 1 - r^2$. Here $e_1 = (e_1^1, e_1^2, \dots, e_1^n)$, $e_2 = (e_2^1, e_2^2, \dots, e_2^n)$ are a pair of orthogonal unit vectors which could be replaced by any pair of orthogonal unit vectors in C^n . Take $z = re_1 + \lambda e_2$, where $z_i = re_1^i + \lambda e_2^i$. By (2.1), we see

$$|h(\lambda)| \le \frac{c}{\mu(\sqrt{r^2 + |\lambda|^2})}$$

Let Γ be the circle on which $|\lambda|^2 = \frac{1-r^2}{4}$. Applying the Cauchy formula gives

$$|h'(0)| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{|h(\lambda)|}{|\lambda^2|} |d\lambda| \le \frac{c}{\mu(\sqrt{r^2 + |\lambda|^2})\sqrt{1 - r^2}} \\ = \frac{c}{\mu(|z|)\sqrt{1 - |z|^2 + |\lambda|^2}}.$$

Since

$$h'(0) = \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} = \left(\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial z_1}{\partial \lambda} + \dots + \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_n} \frac{\partial z_n}{\partial \lambda} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0}$$
$$= \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_1} e_2^1 + \dots + \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_n} e_2^n$$
$$= \langle \nabla f(z), \overline{e_2} \rangle,$$

without loss of generality, we take $e_2 = y$ and obtain

$$J_y f(z)| = |\langle \nabla f(z), \overline{y} \rangle| = |h'(0)| \\ \leq \frac{c}{\mu(|z|)\sqrt{1 - |z|^2 + |\lambda|^2}} \leq \frac{c}{\mu(|z|)\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}}$$

Lemma 2.2 Let μ, ν be normal and $f \in H(B)$. Then

(1) $f \in \beta_{\mu} \Leftrightarrow \sup_{z \in B} \mu(|z|) |Rf(z)| < \infty$ and $||f||_{\beta_{\mu}} \approx |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in B} \mu(|z|) |Rf(z)|;$ (2) $f \in \beta_{\mu,0} \Leftrightarrow \lim_{|z| \to 1-} \mu(|z|) |Rf(z)| = 0.$

Proof (1) Suppose $f \in \beta_{\mu}$. We have

$$\mu(|z|)|Rf(z)| = \mu(|z|)|\langle \nabla f(z), \overline{z}\rangle| \le \mu(|z|)|\nabla f(z)||z|.$$

 So

$$\sup_{z \in B} \mu(|z|) |Rf(z)| \le \sup_{z \in B} \mu(|z|) |\nabla f(z)| |z| \le \sup_{z \in B} \mu(|z|) |\nabla f(z)|$$

On the other hand, suppose

$$\sup_{z \in B} \mu(|z|) |Rf(z)| \le c < \infty$$

It is easy to see

$$|Rf(z)| \le \frac{c}{\mu(|z|)}.\tag{2.3}$$

Notice that all holomorphic functions are bounded in any compact subset of B. So

$$\sup_{|z| \le \frac{1}{2}} \mu(|z|) |\nabla f(z)| < \infty$$

Now for $|z| > \frac{1}{2}$, there exist unit vectors u_2, \ldots, u_n belonging to $\{y : y \in C^n, \langle z, y \rangle = 0\}$ such that $\frac{z}{|z|}, u_2, \ldots, u_n$ is a base of C^n . Hence

$$|\nabla f(z)|^{2} = |\langle \nabla f(z), \frac{\overline{z}}{|z|} \rangle|^{2} + |\langle \nabla f(z), \overline{u_{2}} \rangle|^{2} + \dots + |\langle \nabla f(z), \overline{u_{n}} \rangle|^{2}$$
$$= \frac{|Rf(z)|^{2}}{|z|^{2}} + |J_{u_{2}}f(z)|^{2} + \dots + |J_{u_{n}}f(z)|^{2}.$$
(2.4)

By (2.2), (2.3), when $y \in \partial B$, $\langle z, y \rangle = 0$, we obtain

$$|J_y Rf(z)| \le \frac{c}{\mu(|z|)\sqrt{1-|z|^2}}.$$

Because $J_y f(z) = \int_0^1 J_y R f(tz) dt$, with the property of $\mu(r)$, we have

$$|J_y f(z)| \le \int_0^1 |J_y Rf(tz)| dt < c \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu(|tz|)\sqrt{1 - |tz|^2}} dt$$
$$= c \frac{1}{\mu(|z|)} \int_0^{|z|} \frac{\mu(|z|)}{\mu(s)\sqrt{1 - s^2}} ds < \frac{c}{\mu(|z|)}.$$
(2.5)

Combining (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain

$$|\nabla f(z)|^2 \le \frac{c}{\mu^2(|z|)}.$$

Hence

$$\sup_{z \in B} \mu(|z|) |\nabla f(z)| < \infty.$$

Moreover, there is $||f||_{\beta_{\mu}} \approx |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in B} \mu(|z|) |Rf(z)|$.

(2) The proof is similar to (1) and is omitted here.

Lemma 2.3^[11] Let μ be normal, $\mu(r_s) = 2^{-s}$ (s = 1, ...), and $n_s = \lfloor \frac{1}{1-r_s} \rfloor$, where the symbol [x] means the greatest integer not exceeding x. Write

$$\varphi(\xi) = 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^s \xi^{n_s}, \ \xi \in D.$$
 (2.6)

Then

(1) $\varphi(r)$ is increasing on [0, 1) and

$$\inf_{r \in [0,1)} \mu(r)\varphi(r) \ge c, \quad \sup_{\xi \in D} \mu(|\xi|)|\varphi(\xi)| \le c.$$

(2) For all $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in B$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < r < 1$, we have

$$\left|\int_{0}^{rz_{1}}\varphi(t)\mathrm{d}t\right| \leq \int_{0}^{r}\varphi(t)\mathrm{d}t \leq c\left\{\varphi(\frac{1}{2}) + \int_{0}^{r^{2}}\varphi(t)\mathrm{d}t\right\}$$

Lemma 2.4^[11] Let μ be normal.

Extended Cesàro operators on μ -Bloch spaces in C^n

(1) If $f \in \beta_{\mu}$, then

$$|f(z)| \le c \Big(1 + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathrm{d}t \Big) ||f||_{\beta_{\mu}},$$
(2.7)

(2) If $f \in \beta_{\mu,0}$ and $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu(t)} dt = \infty$, then

$$\lim_{|z|\to 1^{-}} \frac{|f(z)|}{\int_{0}^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathrm{d}t} = 0.$$
(2.8)

Lemma 2.5 Let μ, ν be normal and $g \in H(B)$. Then $T_g : \beta_{\mu} \to \beta_{\nu}$ is compact if and only if for any sequence $\{f_j\}$ in β_{μ} which converges to 0 uniformly on any compact subset of B, we have $\|T_g f_j\|_{\beta_{\nu}} \to 0 \ (j \to \infty).$

Proof It can be proved by Montel theorem and Lemma 2.4. The details are omitted here.

Lemma 2.6 Let μ, ν be normal, $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu(t)} = \infty$ and $g \in H(B)$. If for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$, such that

$$\nu(|z|)|Rg(z)|\Big(1+\int_{0}^{|z|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\Big) < \varepsilon, \text{ as } \nu(|z|)|Rg(z)| < \delta,$$

then $g \in \beta_{\nu,0}$.

Proof Suppose $g \in \beta_{\nu,0}$. There exist $\eta > 0$ and some sequence $\{z^k\} \subset B$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} |z^k| = 1$, but for k = 1, 2, ...

$$\nu(|z^k|)|Rg(z^k)| = \eta > 0.$$

Take $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\eta \in (0, \delta)$. By condition, when $\nu(|z|)|Rg(z)| = \eta < \delta$, we have

$$\nu(|z|)|Rg(z)|\left(1+\int_0^{|z|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right)<\varepsilon_0.$$

 So

$$\nu(|z^k|)|Rg(z^k)|\left(1+\int_0^{|z^k|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right)<\varepsilon_0.$$

On the other hand

$$\nu(|z^{k}|)|Rg(z^{k})|\left(1+\int_{0}^{|z^{k}|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right) = \eta\left(1+\int_{0}^{|z^{k}|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right) \to \infty, \quad k \to \infty,$$

this is a contradiction. Hence $g \in \beta_{\nu,0}$.

Lemma 2.7^[11] Let μ be normal and $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu(t)} dt < \infty$. If sequence $\{f_j\}$ is a bounded sequence in β_{μ} satisfying $f_j \to 0$ uniformly on any compact subset of B. Then $\lim_{j\to\infty} \sup_{z\in B} |f_j(z)| = 0$.

3. The boundedness of T_q

Proof of Theorem A (1) Sufficiency. Suppose $\sup_{z \in B} \nu(|z|) |Rg(z)| (1 + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} dt) < \infty$. We need to prove $T_g : \beta_\mu \to \beta_\nu$ is bounded.

For any $f \in \beta_{\mu}$, by (2.7) and the property $R[T_g f] = |f(z)| |Rg(z)|(z)^{[7]}$, we obtain

$$\nu(|z|)|R[T_g f](z)| = \nu(|z|)|f(z)||Rg(z)|$$

$$\leq c\nu(|z|)|Rg(z)|\Big(1+\int_0^{|z|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\Big)\|f\|_{\beta_{\mu}}.$$

Together with $T_g f(0) = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_g f\|_{\beta_{\nu}} &= |T_g f(0)| + \sup_{z \in B} \nu(|z|) |R[T_g f](z)| \\ &\leq c \sup_{z \in B} \nu(|z|) |Rg(z)| \Big(1 + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathrm{d}t \Big) \|f\|_{\beta_{\mu}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $||T_g|| \leq c \sup_{z \in B} \nu(|z|) |Rg(z)| (1 + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} dt)$. Sufficiency is proved. Necessity. Suppose $T_g : \beta_\mu \to \beta_\nu$ is bounded. We need to prove

$$\sup_{z\in B}\nu(|z|)|Rg(z)|\left(1+\int_0^{|z|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right)<\infty$$

It is easy to see $g \in \beta_{\nu}$ from the fact that $g(z) = g(0) + \int_0^1 \frac{Rg(tz)}{t} dt = g(0) + (T_g 1)(z) \in \beta_{\nu}$.

Fix $w \in B$ and take the test function

$$h_w(z) = 1 + \int_0^{\langle z, w \rangle} \varphi(t) dt, \quad \forall w \in B.$$

By Lemma 2.3

$$\mu(|z|)|\nabla h_w(z)| = \mu(|z|)|\varphi(\langle z, w\rangle)||w| \le \mu(|\langle z, w\rangle|)|\varphi(\langle z, w\rangle)| \le c.$$
(3.1)

Hence $h_w \in \beta_{\mu}$. Therefore

$$c\|T_g\| \ge \|T_gh_w\|_{\beta_{\mu}} \ge \nu(|w|)|Rg(w)||h_w(w)| = \nu(|w|)|Rg(w)|\Big(1 + \int_0^{|w|^2} \varphi(t)dt\Big).$$

By Lemma 2.3, when $|w| > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \nu(|w|)|Rg(w)|\Big(1+\int_0^{|w|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\Big) &\leq \nu(|w|)|Rg(w)|\Big(1+c\int_0^{|w|}\varphi(t)\mathrm{d}t\Big)\\ &\leq \nu(|w|)|Rg(w)|\Big\{1+c\Big(\varphi(\frac{1}{2})+\int_0^{|w|^2}\varphi(t)\mathrm{d}t\Big)\Big\}\\ &\leq c||g||_{\beta_\nu}+c\nu(|w|)|Rg(w)|\Big(1+\int_0^{|w|^2}\varphi(t)\mathrm{d}t\Big)\\ &\leq c<\infty \end{split}$$

and when $|w| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, we have

$$\nu(|w|)|Rg(w)|\left(1+\int_0^{|w|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right) \le ||g||_{\beta_{\nu}}\left(1+\int_0^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right) < \infty.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \sup_{z \in B} \nu(|z|) |Rg(z)| \Big(1 + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathrm{d}t \Big) \\ &\leq \Big(\sup_{|z| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} + \sup_{|z| > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \Big) \nu(|z|) |Rg(z)| \Big(1 + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathrm{d}t \Big) < \infty. \end{split}$$

The proof is completed.

(2) Sufficiency. Suppose $g \in \beta_{\nu,0}$ and $\sup_{z \in B} \nu(|z|) |Rg(z)| (1 + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} dt) < \infty$. By (1), we know $T_g : \beta_\mu \to \beta_\nu$ is bounded. So for any $f \in \beta_{\mu,0}$, we need to prove $T_g f \in \beta_{\nu,0}$. Let $A = \sup_{z \in B} \nu(|z|) |Rg(z)| (1 + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} dt)$.

i) If $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu(t)} dt = \infty$, by Lemma 2.4, we have $\lim_{|z|\to 1-} \frac{|f(z)|}{\int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} dt} = 0$. That is to say for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{|f(z)|}{\int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathrm{d}t} < \varepsilon \quad \text{as} \quad \delta_1 < |z| < 1$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \nu(|z|)|R[T_g f](z)| &= \nu(|z|)|Rg(z)||f(z)| < c\nu(|z|)|Rg(z)|\Big(1 + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathrm{d}t\Big) \frac{|f(z)|}{\int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathrm{d}t} \\ &\leq cA\varepsilon. \end{split}$$

Therefore $T_g f \in \beta_{\nu,0}$.

ii) If $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu(t)} dt < \infty$, by $g \in \beta_{\nu,0}$, we know for the above $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta_2 \in (0, 1)$, such that

$$u(|z|)|Rg(z)| < \varepsilon \text{ as } \delta_2 < |z| < 1$$

Hence by Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\nu(|z|)|R[T_g f](z)| \le c\nu(|z|)|Rg(z)| \Big(1 + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathrm{d}t\Big) \|f\|_{\beta_{\mu}} \le c\varepsilon.$$

Therefore $T_g f \in \beta_{\nu,0}$.

Combining i) and ii) gives $T_g f \in \beta_{\nu,0}$. Sufficiency is proved.

Necessity. Suppose $T_g : \beta_{\mu,0} \to \beta_{\nu,0}$ is bounded. It is easy to obtain $g \in \beta_{\nu,0}$. On the other hand, the test function h_w in Theorem A belongs to $\beta_{\mu,0}$ as well. Thus, the proof is similar to that in theorem A and is omitted here. The proof is completed.

4. The compactness of T_q

Proof of Theorem B (1) (i) \Rightarrow (ii). It is obvious and omitted here.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Suppose $T_g: \beta_{\mu,0} \to \beta_{\nu,0}$ is compact. It is clear that $g \in \beta_{\nu,0}$. If (1.1) did not hold, there would exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a sequence $\{z^j\} \subset B$ such that $\lim_{j\to\infty} |z^j| = 1$, but for j=1,2,...

$$\nu(|z^{j}|)|Rg(z^{j})|\left(1+\int_{0}^{|z^{j}|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right) \ge 2\varepsilon_{0}.$$
(4.1)

We may assume $\{z^j\}$ satisfies $|z^{j+1}| \ge |z^j|$ and $z^j \to z^0 \in \partial B$. Because $\lim_{j\to\infty} \nu(|z^j|)|Rg(z^j)| = 0$, for any j, there exists $k_j > j$ such that

$$\nu(|z^{k_j}|)|Rg(z^{k_j})|\left(1+\int_0^{|z^j|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right)<\varepsilon_0.$$
(4.2)

Combining (4.1) and (4.2), we obtain

$$\nu(|z^{k_j}|)|Rg(z^{k_j})|\int_{|z^j|}^{|z^{k_j}|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t > \varepsilon_0.$$
(4.3)

Let
$$F_j(z) = \int_0^{\langle z, \frac{|z^j|}{k_j} \rangle} \varphi(\zeta) \mathrm{d}\zeta, \ H_j(z) = \int_0^{\langle z, \frac{|z^k_j|}{k_j} \rangle} \varphi(\zeta) \mathrm{d}\zeta, \ G(z) = \int_0^{\langle z, z^0 \rangle} \varphi(\zeta) \mathrm{d}\zeta, \ \text{where}$$

 $\left\langle z, \frac{|z^j|}{z^{k_j}} \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \frac{|z^j|}{z_i^{k_j}}, \ z = (z_1, \dots, z_n), \ z^{k_j} = (z_1^{k_j}, \dots, z_n^{k_j}) \in B.$

It is easy to see $F_j(0) = H_j(0) = G(0) = 0$ and the sequences $\{F_j\}$, $\{H_j\}$ converge to G(z) uniformly on any compact subset of B.

Fix j. Then

$$\mu(|z|)|\nabla F_j(z)| = \mu(|z|) \Big| \varphi\Big(\langle z, \frac{|z^j|}{z^{k_j}}\rangle\Big) \Big| \Big| \frac{|z^j|}{z^{k_j}} \Big| \le \mu(|z|) \Big| \varphi\Big(\langle z, \frac{|z^j|}{z^{k_j}}\rangle\Big) \Big| \to 0$$

as $|z| \rightarrow 1-$.

It is easy to check that $\mu(|z|)|\nabla H_j(z)| \to 0$ as $|z| \to 1-$. Hence for any $j, F_j, H_j \in \beta_{\mu,0}$, and $\{F_j\}$ and $\{H_j\}$ are bounded sequences on $\beta_{\mu,0}$. Because $T_g : \beta_{\mu,0} \to \beta_{\nu,0}$ is compact, $\{T_gF_j\}$ and $\{T_gH_j\}$ have convergent subsequences. We may assume $\{T_gF_j\}$ and $\{T_gH_j\}$ converge to Φ and Ψ respectively. Then $\Phi, \Psi \in \beta_{\nu,0}$.

For any $z \in B$, we have

$$(T_g F_j)(z) = \int_0^1 F_j(tz) Rg(tz) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} = \int_0^1 \int_0^{\langle tz, \frac{|z^j|}{z^{k_j}} \rangle} \varphi(\zeta) \mathrm{d}\zeta Rg(tz) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}$$
$$\to \int_0^1 \int_0^{\langle tz, z^0 \rangle} \varphi(\zeta) \mathrm{d}\zeta Rg(tz) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} = (T_g G)(z).$$

Hence $\Phi = T_g G$. It is easy to check that $\Psi = T_g G$. Therefore

$$||T_g F_j - T_g H_j||_{\beta_{\nu}} \le ||T_g F_j - \Phi||_{\beta_{\nu}} + ||T_g H_j - \Psi||_{\beta_{\nu}} \to 0, \quad j \to \infty.$$
(4.4)

On the other hand, by (4.3) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|T_g F_j - T_g H_j\|_{\beta_{\nu}} &= \sup_{z \in B} \nu(|z|) |Rg(z)| |F_j(z) - H_j(z)| \\ &\geq \nu(|z^{k_j}|) |Rg(z^{k_j})| |F_j(z^{k_j}) - H_j(z^{k_j})| \\ &\geq c\nu(|z^{k_j}|) |Rg(z^{k_j})| \int_{|z^j|}^{|z^{k_j}|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathrm{d}t \\ &> c\varepsilon_0. \end{split}$$

This is a contradiction to (4.4). Hence

$$\lim_{|z|\to 1-}\nu(|z|)|Rg(z)|\left(1+\int_{0}^{|z|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right)=0.$$

iii) \Rightarrow i). Suppose (1.1) holds. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, ther exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$\nu(|z|)|Rg(z)|\Big(1+\int_0^{|z|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\Big)<\varepsilon$$

as $\delta_1 < |z| < 1$. For any $f \in \beta_{\mu}$,

$$\nu(|z|)|R[T_g f](z)| \le c\nu(|z|)|Rg(z)|\left(1 + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathrm{d}t\right)||f||_{\beta_{\mu}} < c\varepsilon$$

Hence $T_g f \in \beta_{\nu,0}$. Especially, $g \in \beta_{\nu,0}$ when $f \equiv 1$.

Next we need to prove $T_g : \beta_{\mu} \to \beta_{\nu,0}$ is compact. Let $\{f_j\}$ be a bounded sequence in β_{μ} , say $\|f\|_{\beta_{\mu}} \leq 1$ and $f_j(z) \to 0$ uniformly on any compact subset of B.

For the above $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta_2 > 0$. Take $\delta = \max{\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}}$ such that

$$\nu(|z|)|R[T_g f_j](z)| \le c\nu(|z|)|Rg(z)|\Big(1 + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathrm{d}t\Big)\|f_j\|_{\beta_{\mu}} < c\varepsilon$$
(4.5)

as $|z| > \delta$.

Moreover, when $|z| \leq \delta$,

$$\nu(|z|)|R[T_g f_j](z)| \le \|g\|_{\beta_\nu}|f_j(z)| < c\varepsilon.$$

$$(4.6)$$

Combining (4.5) and (4.6) yields

$$\|T_g f_j\|_{\beta_\nu} \to 0, \ j \to \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.5, we know $T_g : \beta_{\mu} \to \beta_{\nu,0}$ is compact. The proof is completed. (2) Sufficiency.

i) If $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu(t)} dt = \infty$, by Lemma 2.6 and the condition we know $g \in \beta_{\nu,0}$. So for the δ of the condition, there exists δ' such that

$$u(|z|)|Rg(z)| < \delta \text{ as } \delta' < |z| < 1.$$

Hence $\lim_{|z|\to 1} \nu(|z|) |Rg(z)| (1 + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} dt) = 0$. By result of (1) we know $T_g : \beta_\mu \to \beta_{\nu,0} \subset \beta_\nu$ is compact.

ii) If $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu(t)} < \infty$, by $g \in \beta_{\nu}$ we know $\sup_{z \in B} \nu(|z|) |Rg(z)| (1 + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} dt) < \infty$. Hence by the result of (1) of Theorem A, we obtain that for any $f \in \beta_{\mu}$, $T_g f \in \beta_{\nu}$. Let $\{f_j\}$ be a bounded sequence in β_{μ} , say $||f_j||_{\beta_{\mu}} \leq 1$ and $f_j(z) \to 0$ uniformly on any compact subset of B. By Lemma 2.7 we obtain

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \sup_{z \in B} |f_j(z)| = 0$$

Hence

$$||T_g f_j||_{\beta_{\nu}} \le \sup_{z \in B} \nu(|z|) |Rg(z)| \sup_{z \in B} |f_j(z)| \to 0, \ j \to \infty.$$

Therefore $T_g: \beta_\mu \to \beta_\nu$ is compact.

Necessity. Suppose $T_g: \beta_{\mu} \to \beta_{\nu}$ is compact. It is easy to check that $g \in \beta_{\nu}$. If (1.2) did not hold, there would exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $\{z^j\} \subset B$ such that

$$\nu(|z^{j}|)|Rg(z^{j})| < \frac{1}{j},$$
(4.7)

but

$$\nu(|z^{j}|)|Rg(z^{j})|\left(1+\int_{0}^{|z^{j}|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right) \ge 2\varepsilon_{0}.$$
(4.8)

By (4.7) and (4.8) we know $1 + \int_0^{|z^j|} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} dt \ge 2j\varepsilon_0$, so $\lim_{j\to\infty} |z^j| = 1$. We may assume $|z^{j+1}| \ge |z^j|$ and $z^j \to z^0 \in \partial B$. By(4.7) we know for any j, there exists $k_j > j$ such that

$$\nu(|z^{k_j}|)|Rg(z^{k_j})|\left(1+\int_0^{|z^j|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right)<\varepsilon_0.$$
(4.9)

Combining (4.8) and (4.9) gives

$$\nu(|z^{k_j}|)|Rg(z^{k_j})|\int_{|z^j|}^{|z^{k_j}|}\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\mathrm{d}t > \varepsilon_0.$$

Take functions $F_j(z)$, $H_j(z)$ and $G_j(z)$. The details of the rest are similar to those in the proof of (1) of Theorem B and omitted here.

Acknowledgment The author would like to express her thanks to Professor Su Jianbing and Liu Yongmin. Thanks are also due to the Department of Mathematics at the Xuzhou Normal University.

References

- [1] HARDY G H. Notes on some points in the integral caculus LXVY [J]. Messenger of Math., 1929, 58: 50-52.
- [2] MIAO Jie. The Cesàro operator is bounded on H^p for 0 [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1992,**116**(4): 1077–1079.
- [3] SISKAKIS A G. Composition semigroups and the Cesàro operator on H^p [J]. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 1987, 36(1): 153–164.
- [4] SISKAKIS A.G. The Cesàro operator is bounded on H¹ [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1990, 110(2): 461–462.
- [5] SHI Jihuai, REN Guangbin. Boundedness of the Cesàro operator on mixed norm spaces [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1998, 126(12): 3553–3560.
- WANG Shushi, HU Zhangjian. Generalized Cesàro operators on Bloch-type spaces [J]. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A, 2005, 26(5): 613–624. (in Chinese)
- [7] HU Zhangjian. Extended Cesàro operators on the Bloch space in the unit ball of Cⁿ [J]. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed., 2003, 23(4): 561–566.
- [8] HU Zhangjian. Extended Cesàro operators on mixed norm spaces [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2003, 131(7): 2171–2179.
- HU Zhangjian. Extended Cesàro operators on Bergman spaces [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2004, 296(2): 435–454.
- [10] ZHANG Xuejun. Weighted Cesàro operators on Dirichlet type spaces and Bloch type spaces of C^n [J]. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A, 2005, **26**(1): 139–150. (in Chinese)
- [11] ZHANG Xuejun, XIAO Jianbin. Weighted composition operators between μ-Bloch spaces on the unit ball
 [J]. Sci. China Ser. A, 2005, 48(10): 1349–1368.