

# Green's Relations on a Kind of Semigroups of Linear Transformations

PEI Hui Sheng<sup>1</sup>, LU Feng Mei<sup>2</sup>

(1. Department of Mathematics, Xinyang Normal University, Henan 464000, China;

2. Department of Science, Anyang Polytechnic College, Henan 454900, China)

(E-mail: phszgz@mail2.xyt.c.edu.cn)

**Abstract** Let  $V$  be a linear space over a field  $F$  with finite dimension,  $L(V)$  the semigroup, under composition, of all linear transformations from  $V$  into itself. Suppose that  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m$  is a direct sum decomposition of  $V$ , where  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m$  are subspaces of  $V$  with the same dimension. A linear transformation  $f \in L(V)$  is said to be sum-preserving, if for each  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ), there exists some  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ) such that  $f(V_i) \subseteq V_j$ . It is easy to verify that all sum-preserving linear transformations form a subsemigroup of  $L(V)$  which is denoted by  $L^\oplus(V)$ . In this paper, we first describe Green's relations on the semigroup  $L^\oplus(V)$ . Then we consider the regularity of elements and give a condition for an element in  $L^\oplus(V)$  to be regular. Finally, Green's equivalences for regular elements are also characterized.

**Keywords** linear spaces; linear transformations; semigroups; Green's equivalence; regular semigroups.

**Document code** A

**MR(2000) Subject Classification** 20M20

**Chinese Library Classification** O152.7

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $X$  be an arbitrary set,  $\mathcal{T}_X$  the full transformation semigroup on the set  $X$  and  $E$  be an equivalence relation on  $X$ . The first author observed in [6] a class of transformation semigroups determined by the equivalence  $E$ , namely

$$T_E(X) = \{f \in \mathcal{T}_X : \forall (a, b) \in E, (f(a), f(b)) \in E\}.$$

$T_E(X)$  is obviously a subsemigroup of  $\mathcal{T}_X$ . The common nature of all elements in  $T_E(X)$  is that they preserve the decomposition induced by the equivalence  $E$ . In other words, all  $f \in T_E(X)$  satisfy the condition that for each  $E$ -class  $A$  there exists some  $E$ -class  $B$  such that  $f(A) \subseteq B$ . In recent years, some properties for  $T_E(X)$  are investigated in many papers. For example, [7] considered the Green's equivalences, [9] and [10] discussed some subsemigroups of  $T_E(X)$  inducing certain lattices of equivalences on the set  $X$ , and [8] investigated the rank of  $T_E(X)$  for a special case of  $X$  and  $E$ .

In this paper we examine a related semigroup defined as follows. Let  $V$  be a linear space over a field  $F$  and  $L(V)$  be the semigroup, under composition, of all linear transformations on the

linear space  $V$ . Suppose that  $V = \oplus\{V_i : i \in I\}$ , where each  $V_i$  is a subspace of  $V$  with  $|I| \geq 2$  and  $\dim V_i \geq 2$  for each  $i$ . A linear transformation  $f \in L(V)$  is called sum-preserving if for each  $i \in I$ , there exists some  $j \in I$  such that  $f(V_i) \subseteq V_j$ . It is not hard to verify that if  $f$  and  $g$  are sum-preserving, then so is  $fg$ . Consequently, all sum-preserving linear transformations form a subsemigroup of  $L(V)$  which will be denoted by  $L^\oplus(V)$ .

We notice that many conclusions for  $\mathcal{T}_X$  have their parallelism for  $L(V)$ . For example, in 1966, Howie<sup>[2]</sup> characterized the transformations in  $\mathcal{T}_X$  that can be written as a product of finite number idempotents in  $\mathcal{T}_X$ . Since then Erdos<sup>[3]</sup> and Dawlings<sup>[4]</sup> gave different proofs of the result that when  $V$  is finite-dimensional,  $\alpha \in L(V)$  is a finite product of proper idempotents in  $L(V)$  if and only if  $\dim(\alpha(V)) < \dim V$ . Later in 1985, Reynolds and Sullivan<sup>[5]</sup> investigated the case of infinite-dimensional spaces and obtained the results similar to Howie's.

We may compare the elements in  $L^\oplus(V)$  with that in  $T_E(X)$  and find that all they are transformations of a set (or a linear space) preserving some decomposition. Therefore,  $L^\oplus(V)$  can be regarded as the linear transformation version of the semigroup  $T_E(X)$ .

In this paper, we are going to consider a special case for the direct sum decomposition, namely, we assume  $\dim V_i = n \geq 2$  for each  $i \in I = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  with  $m \geq 2$  while

$$V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_m, \quad \dim V_i = n \quad (1 \leq i \leq m).$$

Here we focus our attention to Green's equivalence relations and the regularity for the semigroup  $L^\oplus(V)$ . Accordingly, in Section 2, we describe five Green's relations and conclude that  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$ . In Section 3, we consider the condition for an element  $f \in L^\oplus(V)$  to be regular. By the way, we describe the Green's relations for regular elements in the semigroup  $L^\oplus(V)$ .

In order to avoid repeat, in the remainder of the paper, the symbols  $V_i, V_j, V_l, V_{j_s}, \dots$  will always denote certain subspaces in the direct sum decomposition  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_m$  without further mention. In addition, if we have defined a number of linear mappings  $f_i : V_i \rightarrow V_{i'}$  where  $i, i' \in I$ , then there exists a unique linear transformation  $f \in L^\oplus(V)$  satisfying  $f|_{V_i} = f_i$ . Finally, for convenience, we do not distinguish the zero vector  $0$  and the singleton set  $\{0\}$ . As we have seen previously, we write  $f(V_i) = 0$  to mean  $f(V_i) = \{0\}$ .

For standard concepts and notations in semigroup theory one can consult [1].

## 2. Green's relations

In this section, we focus our attention on Green's relations for the semigroup  $L^\oplus(V)$ . We begin with the relation  $\mathcal{L}$ . Before stating the result, we need some notations.

Let  $f \in L^\oplus(V)$  with  $V_j \cap f(V) \neq 0$ . Denote  $W_j = \oplus\{V_i : 0 \neq f(V_i) \subseteq V_j\}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $f(W_j) = V_j \cap f(V)$ . Suppose that all the subspaces  $V_j$  such that  $V_j \cap f(V) \neq 0$  are  $V_{j_1}, V_{j_2}, \dots, V_{j_t}$ . Denote  $K(f) = \{W_{j_1}, \dots, W_{j_t}\}$ . Denote by  $\ker(f)$  the kernel of  $f$ , that is,  $\ker(f) = \{x \in V : f(x) = 0\}$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $f, g \in L^\oplus(V)$ . Then  $f\mathcal{L}g$  if and only if  $\ker(f) = \ker(g)$  and  $K(f) = K(g)$ .*

**Proof** Suppose  $f \mathcal{L} g$ . Then there exist  $u, v \in L^\oplus(V)$ , such that  $uf = g$  and  $vg = f$ . Hence

$$g(\ker(f)) = uf(\ker(f)) = u(0) = 0.$$

Thus,  $\ker(f) \subseteq \ker(g)$ . Similarly,  $\ker(g) \subseteq \ker(f)$  and  $\ker(f) = \ker(g)$ . Suppose that

$$K(f) = \{W_{j_1}, \dots, W_{j_t}\} \quad \text{and} \quad K(g) = \{U_{l_1}, \dots, U_{l_s}\}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $u(V_{j_1}) \subseteq V_{l_1}$ . So

$$g(W_{j_1}) = uf(W_{j_1}) \subseteq u(V_{j_1}) \subseteq V_{l_1}.$$

Clearly,  $g(V_i) \neq 0$  for each  $V_i \subseteq W_{j_1}$ , since  $\ker(f) = \ker(g)$ . Thus  $W_{j_1} \subseteq U_{l_1}$ . Assume  $f(U_{l_1}) = vg(U_{l_1}) \subseteq v(V_{l_1}) \subseteq V_p$  for some  $p$ . Notice that  $f = vg = vuf$ ,  $f(W_{j_1}) \subseteq V_{j_1}$  and

$$f(W_{j_1}) = vuf(W_{j_1}) \subseteq vu(V_{j_1}) \subseteq v(V_{l_1}) \subseteq V_p,$$

we have  $V_p = V_{j_1}$  and  $f(U_{l_1}) \subseteq V_{j_1}$ . By  $\ker(f) = \ker(g)$  again,  $f(V_i) \neq 0$  for each  $V_i \subseteq U_{l_1}$ . Consequently,  $U_{l_1} \subseteq W_{j_1}$  and  $W_{j_1} = U_{l_1}$  holds. Similarly, one can verify that each  $W \in K(f)$  is equal to some  $U \in K(g)$  and  $s = t$ . Therefore,  $K(f) = K(g)$  and the necessity follows.

In order to show the sufficiency, suppose  $\ker(f) = \ker(g)$  and  $K(f) = K(g)$ . We must find some  $u, v \in L^\oplus(V)$  satisfying  $uf = g$  and  $vg = f$ . Denote  $f_i = f|_{V_i}$  and  $g_i = g|_{V_i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ). Then  $\ker f_i = \ker g_i$ . While for each  $W \in K(f) = K(g)$ ,  $f|_W$  and  $g|_W$  are linear mappings and

$$\ker(f|_W) = \ker(g|_W). \quad (2.1.1)$$

If  $V_j \cap f(V) \neq 0$ , then there exists some  $W \in K(f) = K(g)$  such that  $f(W) = V_j \cap f(V)$ ,  $g(W) = V_l \cap g(V)$ . Let  $f(W) = V'_j \subseteq V_j$  and  $g(W) = V'_l \subseteq V_l$ . From (2.1.1),  $V'_j$  and  $V'_l$  have the same dimension. Without loss of generality, we may assume  $W = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_t$ . Take a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_{r_1}, e_{r_1+1}, \dots, e_n$  for  $V_1$ , a basis  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r_2}, \alpha_{r_2+1}, \dots, \alpha_n$  for  $V_2, \dots$ , a basis  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r_t}, \beta_{r_t+1}, \dots, \beta_n$  for  $V_t$ , where  $e_{r_1+1}, \dots, e_n$  is a basis for  $\ker(f_1)$ ,  $\alpha_{r_2+1}, \dots, \alpha_n$  is a basis for  $\ker(f_2), \dots, \beta_{r_t+1}, \dots, \beta_n$  is a basis for  $\ker(f_t)$ . Then  $\{e_i\} \cup \{\alpha_i\} \cup \dots \cup \{\beta_i\}$  is a basis for  $W$ . While in the subspace  $V'_j$ ,  $f(e_1), \dots, f(e_{r_1})$  are linearly independent, and so also are  $f(\alpha_1), \dots, f(\alpha_{r_2}), \dots$ , and  $f(\beta_1), \dots, f(\beta_{r_t})$ . It is not difficult to see that

$$V'_j = \langle f(e_1), \dots, f(e_{r_1}), f(\alpha_1), \dots, f(\alpha_{r_2}), \dots, f(\beta_1), \dots, f(\beta_{r_t}) \rangle.$$

Now we extend  $f(e_1), \dots, f(e_{r_1})$  to obtain a basis for  $V'_j$  by adding some  $f(\alpha_s)$  ( $1 \leq s \leq r_2$ ),  $\dots$ , and  $f(\beta_k)$  ( $1 \leq k \leq r_t$ ). Without loss of generality, we assume the basis is

$$f(e_1), \dots, f(e_{r_1}), f(\alpha_1), \dots, f(\alpha_p), \dots, f(\beta_1), \dots, f(\beta_q). \quad (2.1.2)$$

We claim that

$$g(e_1), \dots, g(e_{r_1}), g(\alpha_1), \dots, g(\alpha_p), \dots, g(\beta_1), \dots, g(\beta_q) \quad (2.1.3)$$

are linearly independent. Otherwise, suppose

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r_1} a_i g(e_i) + \sum_{j=1}^p b_j g(\alpha_j) + \dots + \sum_{k=1}^q c_k g(\beta_k) = 0$$

for some  $a_i, b_j, c_k \in F$ . Let

$$\xi = a_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_{r_1} e_{r_1} + b_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + b_p \alpha_p + \cdots + c_1 \beta_1 + \cdots + c_q \beta_q \in W.$$

Then  $g(\xi) = 0$  and  $\xi \in W \cap \ker(g) = W \cap \ker(f)$ . Hence

$$0 = f(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} a_i f(e_i) + \sum_{j=1}^p b_j f(\alpha_j) + \cdots + \sum_{k=1}^q c_k f(\beta_k).$$

Notice that (2.1.2) is linearly independent, the above equation implies that

$$a_1 = \cdots = a_{r_1} = b_1 = \cdots = b_p = \cdots = c_1 = \cdots = c_q = 0.$$

Thus, (2.1.3) are linearly independent, while being a basis for  $V'_l$ .

Extend (2.1.2) to a basis  $B$  for  $V_j$  and define a linear mapping  $u_j : V_j \rightarrow V_l$  such that

$$u_j(f(e_1)) = g(e_1), \dots, u_j(f(e_{r_1})) = g(e_{r_1}),$$

$$u_j(f(\alpha_1)) = g(\alpha_1), \dots, u_j(f(\alpha_p)) = g(\alpha_p),$$

...

$$u_j(f(\beta_1)) = g(\beta_1), \dots, u_j(f(\beta_q)) = g(\beta_q),$$

and for each  $\eta \in B$  out of (2.1.2), let  $u_j(\eta) = 0$ . For each  $V_i$ , if  $V_i \cap f(V) \neq 0$ , then define  $u_i$  on  $V_i$  as above. If  $V_i \cap f(V) = 0$ , then let  $u_i(x) = 0$  for each  $x \in V_i$ . Thus, these  $u_i$  uniquely determine a linear transformation  $u$  on the linear space  $V$ . Obviously,  $u \in L^\oplus(V)$ .

Now we verify that  $uf = g$ . For each  $V_i$  and  $x \in V_i$ , if  $f(x) = 0$ , then  $g(x) = 0$  since  $\ker(f) = \ker(g)$ , and  $uf(x) = g(x)$  in this case. If  $f(x) \neq 0$ , then there exists some  $W \in K(f)$  such that  $V_i \subseteq W$ . Without loss of generality, we assume

$$W = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_t,$$

then  $f(x) \in f(W) = V'_j \subseteq V_j$ . As above, we assume (2.1.2) to be a basis for  $V'_j$ . Then

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} a_i f(e_i) + \sum_{j=1}^p b_j f(\alpha_j) + \cdots + \sum_{k=1}^q c_k f(\beta_k) = f(\xi),$$

where

$$\xi = a_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_{r_1} e_{r_1} + b_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + b_p \alpha_p + \cdots + c_1 \beta_1 + \cdots + c_q \beta_q.$$

Since  $\ker(f) = \ker(g)$ , we have  $g(x) = g(\xi)$ . By the definition of  $u$ ,

$$uf(x) = u\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r_1} a_i f(e_i) + \sum_{j=1}^p b_j f(\alpha_j) + \cdots + \sum_{k=1}^q c_k f(\beta_k)\right) = g(\xi) = g(x).$$

Thus,  $uf(x) = g(x)$  holds for every  $x \in V_i$ . Consequently,  $uf(x) = g(x)$  holds for every  $x \in V$  and  $uf = g$ . Similarly, one can find  $v \in L^\oplus(V)$  such that  $vg = f$ . Therefore,  $f\mathcal{L}g$  holds.  $\square$

Before describing the relation  $\mathcal{R}$  on  $L^\oplus(V)$  some notations should be introduced. Let  $f \in L^\oplus(V)$ . If  $V_j \cap f(V) \neq 0$ , then there exists some  $V_i$  such that  $0 \neq f(V_i) \subseteq V_j$ . Denote

$$P_j(f) = \{f(V_i) : 0 \neq f(V_i) \subseteq V_j\}$$

and define a partial order  $\leq$  on  $P_j(f)$  by letting  $A \leq B$  if and only if  $A \subseteq B$ . Denote by  $M_j(f)$  the collection of all maximal elements in  $P_j(f)$ . Then for each  $i$  with  $0 \neq f(V_i) \subseteq V_j$ , there exists some  $s$  such that  $f(V_i) \subseteq f(V_s) \in M_j(f)$ .

Now we can state and prove the conclusion for the relation  $\mathcal{R}$ .

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $f, g \in L^\oplus(V)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f\mathcal{R}g$ .
- (2) For each  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) there exist  $j, k$  such that  $f(V_i) \subseteq g(V_j)$  and  $g(V_i) \subseteq f(V_k)$ .
- (3)  $f(V) = g(V)$  and  $M_j(f) = M_j(g)$  holds for each  $j$  with  $V_j \cap f(V) \neq 0$ .

**Proof** (1) $\implies$ (2) Suppose  $f\mathcal{R}g$ . Then there exist  $u, v \in L^\oplus(V)$  such that  $fu = g$  and  $gv = f$ . For each  $i$ , there exists some  $j$  such that  $v(V_i) \subseteq V_j$ . Consequently,  $f(V_i) = gv(V_i) \subseteq g(V_j)$ . Similarly, there exists some  $k$  such that  $g(V_i) \subseteq f(V_k)$  holds.

(2) $\implies$ (3) It is not difficult to see from (2) that  $f(V) \subseteq g(V)$  and  $g(V) \subseteq f(V)$ , so  $f(V) = g(V)$ . Suppose  $V_j \cap f(V) \neq 0$  and  $f(V_i) \in M_j(f)$ . Then there exist  $i_1, i_2$  such that  $f(V_i) \subseteq g(V_{i_1}) \subseteq f(V_{i_2})$ . From  $f(V_i) \subseteq V_j \cap f(V_{i_2})$ , we see that  $f(V_{i_2}) \subseteq V_j$ . Since  $f(V_i) \in M_j(f)$  and  $f(V_i) \subseteq f(V_{i_2})$ , we have  $f(V_{i_2}) = g(V_{i_1}) = f(V_i)$ . Take  $g(V_{i_3}) \in M_j(g)$  such that  $g(V_{i_1}) \subseteq g(V_{i_3})$ . By (2) again, there exists  $i_4$  such that  $g(V_{i_3}) \subseteq f(V_{i_4})$ . Thus,

$$f(V_i) \subseteq g(V_{i_1}) \subseteq g(V_{i_3}) \subseteq f(V_{i_4}) \subseteq V_j$$

which implies that  $f(V_{i_4}) = f(V_i) = g(V_{i_3}) \in M_j(g)$  and that  $M_j(f) \subseteq M_j(g)$ . By symmetry, we have  $M_j(g) \subseteq M_j(f)$  and therefore  $M_j(f) = M_j(g)$  holds.

(3) $\implies$ (1) Suppose that  $f(V) = g(V)$  and  $M_j(f) = M_j(g)$  holds for each  $j$  with  $V_j \cap f(V) \neq 0$ . We first look for some  $h \in L^\oplus(V)$  such that  $fh = g$ . For each  $V_i$ , if  $g(V_i) = 0$ , then define  $h(x) = 0$  for each  $x \in V_i$ . If there is some  $j$  such that  $0 \neq g(V_i) \subseteq V_j$ , then there is some  $A \in M_j(g) = M_j(f)$  such that  $g(V_i) \subseteq A$ . Denote  $g_i = g|_{V_i}$  and assume  $A = f(V_s) = g(V_t)$ . Take a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_r, e_{r+1}, \dots, e_n$  for  $V_i$  where  $e_{r+1}, \dots, e_n$  is a basis for  $\ker(g_i)$ . Then  $g(e_1), g(e_2), \dots, g(e_r)$  are linearly independent. Let  $f_s = f|_{V_s} : V_s \rightarrow V_j$ . Choose  $e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_r \in V_s$  such that

$$f_s(e'_1) = g(e_1), f_s(e'_2) = g(e_2), \dots, f_s(e'_r) = g(e_r).$$

Then  $e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_r$  are linearly independent. Define a linear mapping  $h_i : V_i \rightarrow V_s$  such that

$$h_i(e_1) = e'_1, \dots, h_i(e_r) = e'_r, \quad h_i(e_{r+1}) = 0, \dots, h_i(e_n) = 0.$$

Then for each vector  $x = a_1e_1 + \dots + a_re_r + a_{r+1}e_{r+1} + \dots + a_ne_n \in V_i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} fh_i(x) &= f(a_1h_i(e_1) + \dots + a_re_r(e_r)) = f(a_1e'_1 + \dots + a_re'_r) \\ &= a_1f(e'_1) + \dots + a_rf(e'_r) = a_1g(e_1) + \dots + a_rg(e_r) \\ &= g(x). \end{aligned}$$

These  $h_i$  defined on each  $V_i$  determine a linear transformation  $h$  on  $V$ . It is obvious that  $h \in L^\oplus(V)$  and  $fh = g$ . By symmetry, there exists  $k \in L^\oplus(V)$  such that  $gk = f$  holds. Therefore,  $f\mathcal{R}g$ .  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we have the following

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $f, g \in L^\oplus(V)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{H}$ .
- (2)  $\ker(f) = \ker(g)$ ,  $K(f) = K(g)$  and for each  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ), there exist  $j, k$  such that  $f(V_i) \subseteq g(V_j)$ ,  $g(V_i) \subseteq f(V_k)$ .

Let  $f \in L^\oplus(V)$  and assume that all the subspaces  $V_i$  with  $f(V) \cap V_i \neq 0$  are  $V_{i_1}, V_{i_2}, \dots, V_{i_s}$ . Denote  $V'_{i_t} = f(V) \cap V_{i_t}$  ( $1 \leq t \leq s$ ). Then one easily verifies that

$$f(V) = V'_{i_1} \oplus V'_{i_2} \oplus \dots \oplus V'_{i_s}.$$

The following concept will be useful in describing the relations  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  on  $L^\oplus(V)$ .

**Definition 2.4** *Let  $U$  and  $W$  be two subspaces of  $V$  where*

$$U = V'_{i_1} \oplus V'_{i_2} \oplus \dots \oplus V'_{i_k} \quad \text{and} \quad W = V'_{j_1} \oplus V'_{j_2} \oplus \dots \oplus V'_{j_k}$$

and each  $V'_{i_s}$  is a non-zero subspace of  $V_{i_s}$  while each  $V'_{j_s}$  is a non-zero subspace of  $V_{j_s}$ . If  $\phi : U \rightarrow W$  is an isomorphism such that for each  $s$  ( $1 \leq s \leq k$ ) there exists a unique  $r$  ( $1 \leq r \leq k$ ) such that  $\phi(V'_{i_s}) = V'_{j_r}$ , then  $\phi$  is called a sum-preserving isomorphism.

Suppose that  $f, g \in L^\oplus(V)$  and  $\phi : f(V) \rightarrow g(V)$  is a sum-preserving isomorphism satisfying  $\phi(V_i \cap f(V)) = V_j \cap g(V)$ . If for each  $A \in M_j(g)$ , there exists  $B \in M_i(f)$  such that  $\phi(B) = A$ , while for each  $C \in M_i(f)$  there exists  $D \in M_j(g)$  such that  $\phi(C) = D$ , then we write  $\phi(M_i(f)) = M_j(g)$ .

Next we consider the condition for two elements in  $L^\oplus(V)$  to be  $\mathcal{D}$  equivalent.

**Theorem 2.5** *Let  $f, g \in L^\oplus(V)$ . Then  $f \mathcal{D} g$  if and only if there exists a sum-preserving isomorphism  $\phi : f(V) \rightarrow g(V)$  such that for each  $i$  with  $f(V) \cap V_i \neq 0$ , there exists some  $j$  such that  $\phi(f(V) \cap V_i) = g(V) \cap V_j$  and  $\phi(M_i(f)) = M_j(g)$ .*

**Proof** Suppose  $f \mathcal{D} g$ . Then there exists  $h \in L^\oplus(V)$  such that  $f \mathcal{L} h$  and  $h \mathcal{R} g$ . From Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we have  $\ker(f) = \ker(h)$ ,  $K(f) = K(h)$ ,  $h(V) = g(V)$  and  $M_j(h) = M_j(g)$  holds for each  $j$  with  $h(V) \cap V_j \neq 0$ .

We first establish the isomorphism  $\phi$  from  $f(V)$  onto  $h(V)$ . Suppose  $f(V) \cap V_i \neq 0$ . Take  $W \in K(f) = K(h)$  such that  $f(W) = f(V) \cap V_i$ . Then there is some  $j$  such that  $h(W) = h(V) \cap V_j$ . Since  $\ker(f) = \ker(h)$ , we have  $\ker(f|_W) = \ker(h|_W)$  and  $\dim f(W) = \dim h(W)$  which implies that  $f(W)$  and  $h(W)$  are isomorphic. Take a basis  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r$  for  $f(W) = f(V) \cap V_i$  and choose  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r \in W$  such that

$$f(w_1) = e_1, f(w_2) = e_2, \dots, f(w_r) = e_r.$$

Then  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r$  are linearly independent.

Let

$$e'_1 = h(w_1), e'_2 = h(w_2), \dots, e'_r = h(w_r).$$

Then  $e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_r$  are linearly independent while being a basis for  $h(W)$ . Define a linear mapping

$\phi_i : f(V) \cap V_i \rightarrow h(V) \cap V_j$  such that  $\phi_i(e_t) = e'_t$ ,  $t = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Then  $\phi_i$  is an isomorphism and  $\phi_i f(x) = h(x)$  for each  $x \in W$ . Suppose

$$M_i(f) = \{f(V_{i_1}), f(V_{i_2}), \dots, f(V_{i_s})\}.$$

By virtue of  $\ker(f) = \ker(h)$ , one routinely verifies that

$$M_j(h) = \{h(V_{i_1}), h(V_{i_2}), \dots, h(V_{i_s})\}.$$

Besides, since  $V_{i_1}, V_{i_2}, \dots, V_{i_s}$  are contained in  $W$  and  $\phi_i f = h$  on  $W$ , we have

$$\phi_i(f(V_{i_1})) = h(V_{i_1}), \dots, \phi_i(f(V_{i_s})) = h(V_{i_s})$$

which implies that  $\phi_i(M_i(f)) = M_j(h)$ . Notice that  $h(V) = g(V)$  and  $M_j(h) = M_j(g)$ , it is evident that  $\phi_i : f(V) \cap V_i \rightarrow g(V) \cap V_j$  is an isomorphism satisfying  $\phi_i(M_i(f)) = M_j(g)$ . Furthermore, we obtain the isomorphism  $\phi$  from  $f(V)$  onto  $g(V)$  determined by these  $\phi_i$  on  $f(V) \cap V_i$ . Clearly,  $\phi$  is a sum-preserving isomorphism as required.

Conversely, suppose that there exists a sum-preserving isomorphism  $\phi : f(V) \rightarrow g(V)$  satisfying the condition of the theorem. Let  $h = \phi f$ . Then  $h \in L^\oplus(V)$ ,  $h(V) = g(V)$  and  $\ker(f) = \ker(h)$ . Assume  $W \in K(f)$  with  $f(W) = f(V) \cap V_i \neq 0$ . Then there exists  $j$  such that

$$h(W) = \phi f(W) = \phi(f(V) \cap V_i) = g(V) \cap V_j = h(V) \cap V_j \subseteq V_j.$$

Notice that  $f(V_i) \neq 0$  for every  $V_i \subseteq W$  and that  $\ker(f) = \ker(h)$ , it readily follows that  $h(V_i) \neq 0$  for every  $V_i \subseteq W$ . Denote  $W' = \oplus\{V_i : 0 \neq h(V_i) \subseteq V_j\}$ . Then  $W' \in K(h)$  and  $W \subseteq W'$ . Hence  $K(f)$  refines  $K(h)$ . Take  $W^* \in K(h)$ . Then there exists some  $s$ , such that

$$\phi f(W^*) = h(W^*) = h(V) \cap V_s = g(V) \cap V_s.$$

Since  $\phi$  is a sum-preserving isomorphism, there exists some  $t$  such that

$$\phi(f(W^*)) = g(V) \cap V_s = \phi(f(V) \cap V_t).$$

It follows that  $f(W^*) = f(V) \cap V_t$  and that  $W^*$  is contained in some  $W \in K(f)$ . So  $K(h)$  refines  $K(f)$  as well and  $K(f) = K(h)$ . Consequently,  $f\mathcal{L}h$  holds.

Finally we verify that  $h\mathcal{R}g$ . As we have seen above that  $h(V) = g(V)$ . Now for each  $V_i$  with  $g(V) \cap V_i \neq 0$ , there exists some  $j$  such that  $\phi(f(V) \cap V_j) = g(V) \cap V_i$  and  $\phi(M_j(f)) = M_i(g)$ . Then

$$h(V) \cap V_i = \phi f(V) \cap V_i = g(V) \cap V_i = \phi(f(V) \cap V_j),$$

which together with  $\ker(f) = \ker(h)$  and  $K(f) = K(h)$  implies that  $M_i(h) = \phi(M_j(f)) = M_i(g)$  and  $h\mathcal{R}g$ . Consequently,  $f\mathcal{D}g$  follows and the proof is completed.  $\square$

Now we consider the final Green relation  $\mathcal{J}$  on the semigroup  $L^\oplus(V)$ .

**Theorem 2.6** *Let  $f, g \in L^\oplus(V)$ . Then  $f\mathcal{J}g$  if and only if there exist sum-preserving isomorphisms*

$$\phi : f(V) \rightarrow g(V) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi : g(V) \rightarrow f(V),$$

*such that for each  $i$ , there exist  $p, q$  such that  $f(V_i) \subseteq \psi(g(V_p)), g(V_i) \subseteq \phi(f(V_q))$ .*

**Proof** Suppose  $f\mathcal{J}g$ . Then there exist  $h, k, u, v \in L^\oplus(V)$  such that  $hfk = g$  and  $ugv = f$ . Thus,  $uhfkv(V) = f(V)$ . Since  $fkv(V)$  is a subspace of  $f(V)$  and

$$\dim f(V) = \dim uhfkv(V) \leq \dim fkv(V) \leq \dim f(V),$$

we have  $\dim fkv(V) = \dim f(V)$  and  $fkv(V) = fk(V) = f(V)$ . Similarly,  $g(V) = gv(V)$ . Consequently, from  $hf(V) = h(fk(V)) = g(V)$  we see that  $\dim g(V) \leq \dim f(V)$ . By symmetry,  $\dim f(V) \leq \dim g(V)$ . Thus,  $\dim f(V) = \dim g(V)$  and  $f(V)$  is isomorphic to  $g(V)$ . Let  $\phi = h|f(V)$  and  $\psi = u|g(V)$ . Then  $\phi : f(V) \rightarrow g(V)$  and  $\psi : g(V) \rightarrow f(V)$  are isomorphisms. Next we verify that both  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are sum-preserving. Suppose

$$f(V) = V'_{i_1} \oplus V'_{i_2} \oplus \dots \oplus V'_{i_t} \text{ and } g(V) = V'_{j_1} \oplus V'_{j_2} \oplus \dots \oplus V'_{j_s}$$

where  $V'_{i_p} = f(V) \cap V_{i_p}$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq t$  and  $V'_{j_q} = g(V) \cap V_{j_q}$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq s$ . Since  $h$  is sum-preserving, for each  $p$  there exists a unique  $q$  such that  $\phi(V'_{i_p}) \subseteq V'_{j_q}$ . Notice that  $\phi$  is surjective, it must be the case that  $t \geq s$ . By symmetry,  $s \geq t$  and  $t = s$ . Thus,  $\phi(V'_{i_p}) = V'_{j_q}$  and  $\phi$  maps different  $V'_{i_p}$  into different  $V'_{j_q}$  isomorphically. Hence  $\phi$  is a sum-preserving isomorphism. Similarly,  $\psi$  is sum-preserving isomorphism as well.

Now for each  $i$ , there exists some  $p$  such that  $v(V_i) \subseteq V_p$ . Then  $f(V_i) = ugv(V_i) \subseteq ug(V_p) = \psi(g(V_p))$ . By symmetry, there exists  $q$  such that  $g(V_i) \subseteq \phi(f(V_q))$ , and the necessity follows.

Conversely, suppose the condition holds and we need to show that  $f\mathcal{J}g$ . We first look for some  $h, k \in L^\oplus(V)$  such that  $hfk = g$ . For each  $i$ , if  $g(V_i) = 0$ , then define  $k(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in V_i$ . If  $g(V_i) \neq 0$ , choose a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_r, e_{r+1}, \dots, e_n$  for  $V_i$  such that  $g(e_{r+1}) = 0, \dots, g(e_n) = 0$  and  $g(e_1), \dots, g(e_r)$  are linearly independent. By hypothesis, there exists  $V_q$  such that  $g(V_i) \subseteq \phi(f(V_q))$ . Take linearly independent vectors  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_r$  in  $V_q$  such that

$$g(e_1) = \phi f(\varepsilon_1), g(e_2) = \phi f(\varepsilon_2), \dots, g(e_r) = \phi f(\varepsilon_r).$$

Define a linear mapping  $k$  from  $V_i$  into  $V_q$  such that

$$k(e_1) = \varepsilon_1, k(e_2) = \varepsilon_2, \dots, k(e_r) = \varepsilon_r, k(e_{r+1}) = 0, \dots, k(e_n) = 0.$$

One easily verifies that  $g(x) = \phi f k(x)$  holds for each  $x \in V_i$ . Thus, these  $k$  defined on each  $V_i$  determine uniquely a linear transformation  $k$  of  $V$ . Clearly,  $k \in L^\oplus(V)$  and  $g(x) = \phi f k(x)$  for each  $x \in V$ .

Now we define the linear transformation  $h$ . For each  $V_j$  with  $V_j \cap f(V) = 0$ , define  $h(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in V_j$ . For those  $V_j$  with  $f(V) \cap V_j \neq 0$ , since  $\phi$  is sum-preserving, there exists some  $l$  such that  $\phi(f(V) \cap V_j) = g(V) \cap V_l$ . Take a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  for  $f(V) \cap V_j$  and extend this to a basis

$$e_1, \dots, e_r, e_{r+1}, \dots, e_n$$

for  $V_j$ . Define a linear mapping  $h$  from  $V_j$  into  $V_l$  such that

$$h(e_1) = \phi(e_1), \dots, h(e_r) = \phi(e_r), h(e_{r+1}) = 0, \dots, h(e_n) = 0.$$

Then one routinely verifies that  $h|(f(V) \cap V_j) = \phi|(f(V) \cap V_j)$ . Consequently, there exists a unique linear transformation  $h$  on  $V$  determined by these linear mappings  $h$  defined on each



$V_j$ . Clearly,  $h \in L^\oplus(V)$ ,  $h|f(V) = \phi$  and  $g(x) = \phi f k(x) = h f k(x)$  holds for arbitrary  $x \in V$ . Consequently,  $g = h f k$ . By symmetry, there exist  $u, v \in L^\oplus(V)$  such that  $u g v = f$  and it follows that  $f \mathcal{J} g$ .  $\square$

It is well-known that  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$  for every semigroup. In what follows, we will soon see that  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$  for the semigroups  $L^\oplus(V)$ .

Suppose  $f, g \in L^\oplus(V)$  and  $f \mathcal{J} g$ . Assume that

$$f(V) = V'_{i_1} \oplus V'_{i_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V'_{i_s}, \quad g(V) = V'_{j_1} \oplus V'_{j_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V'_{j_s}$$

and  $\phi : f(V) \rightarrow g(V)$ ,  $\psi : g(V) \rightarrow f(V)$  are both sum-preserving isomorphisms satisfying the condition in Theorem 2.6. Then we have the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.7** *There exists a positive integer  $r$  such that  $(\psi\phi)^r : f(V) \rightarrow f(V)$  is a sum-preserving isomorphism such that*

$$(\psi\phi)^r(V'_{i_k}) = V'_{i_k} \quad \text{and} \quad (\psi\phi)^r(M_{i_k}(f)) = M_{i_k}(f)$$

holds for each  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq s$ ).

**Proof** It is clear that  $\psi\phi : f(V) \rightarrow f(V)$  is a sum-preserving isomorphism and for each  $i_k$ , there exists a unique  $i'_k$  such that

$$\psi\phi(V'_{i_k}) = V'_{i'_k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

Thus,  $\psi\phi$  induces a permutation  $\rho$  of the set  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\}$  where

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & i_2 & \cdots & i_s \\ i'_1 & i'_2 & \cdots & i'_s \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the property of permutations, there exists a positive integer  $r$  such that  $\rho^r$  is the identity permutation of the set  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\}$ . Let  $\xi = (\psi\phi)^r$ . Then  $\xi : f(V) \rightarrow f(V)$  is a sum-preserving isomorphism satisfying  $\xi(V'_{i_k}) = V'_{i_k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$ .

In order to show the remainder, we assume  $M_{i_1}(f) = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \cdots \cup M_u$ , where  $M_r$  ( $1 \leq r \leq u$ ) is the collection of those  $A$  in  $M_{i_1}(f)$  with  $\dim A = m_r$ , and  $m_1 > m_2 > \cdots > m_u \geq 1$ . By Theorem 2.6, for each  $A \in M_{i_1}(f)$  there is some  $p$  such that  $A \subseteq \psi(g(V_p))$ . While there is some  $q$  such that  $g(V_p) \subseteq \phi(f(V_q))$ . Hence  $A \subseteq \psi\phi(f(V_q))$ . Repeating the discussion, there exists some  $p(A)$  ( $1 \leq p(A) \leq m$ ) such that

$$A \subseteq (\psi\phi)^r(f(V_{p(A)})) = \xi(f(V_{p(A)})). \tag{2.7.1}$$

Since  $\xi$  is sum-preserving and  $\xi(V'_{i_1}) = V'_{i_1}$ , one routinely verifies that  $f(V_{p(A)}) \subseteq V'_{i_1}$ .

We first verify

$$\{f(V_{p(A)}) : A \in M_1\} = M_1. \tag{2.7.2}$$

Suppose  $A \in M_1$ . Then  $\dim f(V_{p(A)}) \leq m_1$  since  $m_1$  is the maximal dimension of the elements in  $M_{i_1}(f)$ . Now by (2.7.1), we have

$$\dim f(V_{p(A)}) \geq \dim A = m_1.$$

Therefore,  $\dim f(V_{p(A)}) = m_1$  and  $f(V_{p(A)}) \in M_1$ . Thus,  $\{f(V_{p(A)}) : A \in M_1\} \subseteq M_1$ . From (2.7.1) it follows that  $A = \xi(f(V_{p(A)}))$  for each  $A \in M_1$ . Notice that  $\xi$  is a sum-preserving isomorphism and that  $M_1$  is a finite set, it is clear that (2.7.2) holds. Consequently, we have  $\xi(M_1) = M_1$ .

Next we verify that

$$\{f(V_{p(B)}) : B \in M_2\} = M_2. \tag{2.7.3}$$

Suppose  $B \in M_2$ . By (2.7.1) again, we have  $\dim f(V_{p(B)}) \geq \dim B = m_2$ . If  $\dim f(V_{p(B)}) > m_2$ , then there exists  $A \in M_1$  such that  $f(V_{p(B)}) \subseteq A$ . Consequently,

$$B \subseteq \xi(f(V_{p(B)})) \subseteq \xi(A) \in M_1,$$

which contradicts the hypothesis that  $B$  is a maximal element in  $P_{i_1}(f)$ . Hence  $\dim f(V_{p(B)}) = m_2$  and  $B = \xi(f(V_{p(B)}))$ . While  $f(V_{p(B)})$  cannot be contained in any element of  $M_1$ . Consequently,  $f(V_{p(B)}) \in M_2$  for each  $B \in M_2$  and (2.7.3) follows. While we also have  $\xi(M_2) = M_2$ . Go on in this way, we can finally get

$$\{f(V_{p(A)}) : A \in M_i\} = M_i \text{ and } \xi(M_i) = M_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, u.$$

Furthermore,  $M_{i_1}(f) = \xi(M_{i_1}(f))$  holds. One similarly verifies that  $M_{i_k}(f) = \xi(M_{i_k}(f))$  holds for  $k = 2, \dots, s$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8** *Let  $\theta = \phi(\psi\phi)^{r-1}$ . Then  $\theta : f(V) \rightarrow g(V)$  is a sum-preserving isomorphism. Moreover, if  $\theta(V'_{i_k}) = V'_{j_k}$ , then  $M_{j_k}(g) = \theta(M_{i_k}(f))$ .*

**Proof**  $\theta$  is clearly a sum-preserving isomorphism and  $\xi = \psi\theta$ . Denote

$$M_{i_k}(f) = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \dots \cup M_u \text{ and } M_{j_k}(g) = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_v,$$

where  $\dim B = m_r$  for each  $B \in M_r$  ( $1 \leq r \leq u$ ) and  $\dim A = n_t$  for each  $A \in N_t$  ( $1 \leq t \leq v$ ) with  $m_1 > m_2 > \dots > m_u \geq 1$  and  $n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_v \geq 1$ . Suppose  $\theta(V'_{i_k}) = V'_{j_k}$ , then

$$\psi(V'_{j_k}) = \psi\theta(V'_{i_k}) = \xi(V'_{i_k}) = V'_{i_k}.$$

For each  $A \in M_{j_k}(g)$  there exists some  $p$  such that  $f(V_p) \subseteq V'_{i_k}$  and  $A \subseteq \theta(f(V_p))$ . Moreover, there exists some  $B \in M_{i_k}(f)$  with  $f(V_p) \subseteq B$ . Consequently,

$$A \subseteq \theta(f(V_p)) \subseteq \theta(B). \tag{2.8.1}$$

By Theorem 2.6, for this  $B$  there exists some  $q$  such that  $B \subseteq \psi(g(V_q))$  and it is clear that  $g(V_q) \subseteq V'_{j_k}$ . Thus there is  $A' \in M_{j_k}(g)$  such that  $g(V_q) \subseteq A'$ . Hence we have

$$B \subseteq \psi(g(V_q)) \subseteq \psi(A'). \tag{2.8.2}$$

Suppose  $A \in N_1$ . Then  $\dim A = n_1$ . By (2.8.1) and (2.8.2), we have

$$n_1 = \dim A \leq \dim B \leq \dim A' \leq n_1$$

and  $\dim B = n_1 = \dim A'$ . Notice that  $B \in M_{i_k}(f)$ , so  $\dim B \leq m_1$  and  $n_1 \leq m_1$ . Conversely, suppose  $B \in M_{i_k}(f)$  and  $\dim B = m_1$ . From the discussion above, there exist  $q$  and some

$A' \in M_{j_k}(g)$  such that  $B \subseteq \psi(g(V_q)) \subseteq \psi(A')$ . Hence

$$m_1 = \dim B \leq \dim A' \leq n_1$$

and  $m_1 = n_1$ . Thus, (2.8.1) implies that  $A = \theta(B)$  and that every element  $A \in N_1$  is an image of some  $B \in M_1$  under the isomorphism  $\theta$ . Consequently,  $|M_1| \geq |N_1|$ . Similarly, from (2.8.2), for each  $B \in M_1$  there exists  $A' \in N_1$  such that  $B = \psi(A')$ , so  $|M_1| \leq |N_1|$ . Therefore,  $|M_1| = |N_1|$  and  $\theta(M_1) = N_1$ .

Now suppose  $A \in N_2$ . By (2.8.1) again, there exists  $B \in M_{i_k}(f)$  such that  $A \subseteq \theta(B)$ . If  $B \in M_1$ , then there is some  $A' \in N_1$  such that  $A \subseteq \theta(B) = A'$  which contradicts the fact that  $A$  is maximal. Thus, it must be the case that  $B \notin M_1$  and  $\dim(B) < m_1$ . While from (2.8.2) we see that there exists some  $A' \in M_{j_k}(g)$  such that  $B \subseteq \psi(A')$ . If  $\dim A' = n_1 (= m_1)$ , since  $\theta(M_1) = N_1$ , then there exists some  $B' \in M_1$  such that  $A' = \theta(B')$ . Therefore there exists some  $B'' \in M_1$  such that  $B \subseteq \psi(A') \subseteq \psi\theta(B') = B''$  holds, contradicting the fact that  $B$  is maximal. So  $\dim A' < n_1 (= m_1)$  and

$$n_2 = \dim A \leq \dim B \leq \dim A' \leq n_2.$$

Consequently,  $\dim B = n_2$ ,  $A = \theta(B)$  and  $n_2 = m_2$ . Similarly, we have  $|N_2| = |M_2|$  and  $\theta(M_2) = N_2$ . Repeating the discussion above, we finally obtain that

$$u = v, |N_i| = |M_i|, \theta(M_i) = N_i, n_i = m_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, u.$$

Consequently,  $M_{j_k}(g) = \theta(M_{i_k}(f))$  holds. The proof is completed. □

By Lemma 2.8 and Theorem 2.5, we can prove the following

**Theorem 2.9** *In the semigroup  $L^\oplus(V)$ ,  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$ .*

**Proof** We only need to show that  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ . Suppose  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{J}$ . From Theorem 2.6, there exist sum-preserving isomorphisms  $\phi : f(V) \rightarrow g(V)$  and  $\psi : g(V) \rightarrow f(V)$  satisfying the condition in Theorem 2.6. Let  $\xi = (\psi\phi)^r$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $\xi : f(V) \rightarrow f(V)$  is a sum-preserving isomorphism satisfying that  $\xi(V'_{i_k}) = V'_{i_k}$ ,  $\xi(M_{i_k}(f)) = M_{i_k}(f)$  ( $1 \leq k \leq s$ ). Denote  $\theta = \phi(\psi\phi)^{r-1}$ . By Lemma 2.8,  $\theta : f(V) \rightarrow g(V)$  is a sum-preserving isomorphism and if  $\theta(V'_{i_k}) = V'_{j_k}$ , then  $M_{j_k}(g) = \theta(M_{i_k}(f))$ . Thus  $\theta$  satisfies the condition of Theorem 2.5, hence  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{D}$  holds. □

### 3. Regular elements in $L^\oplus(V)$

In this section we consider the condition under which an element in  $L^\oplus(V)$  is regular and when the semigroup  $L^\oplus(V)$  is a regular semigroup. And then we investigate the Green's relations for regular elements in the semigroup  $L^\oplus(V)$ .

For  $f \in L^\oplus(V)$ , denote  $\text{Fix}(f) = \{x \in V : f(x) = x\}$ . The following result is routinely verified and the proof is omitted.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $f \in L^\oplus(V)$ . Then  $f$  is idempotent if and only if  $f(V) = \text{Fix}(f)$ .*

**Lemma 3.2** *Suppose  $f \in L^\oplus(V)$  is an idempotent. Then for each  $W \in K(f)$  there exists some*

$V_i \subseteq W$  such that  $f(V_i) = f(W) = V_i \cap f(V)$ .

**Proof** Suppose  $f(W) = V_i \cap f(V)$ . Then for each  $x \in V_i \cap f(V)$ , by Lemma 3.1,  $x = f(x) \in f(V_i)$  which implies that  $V_i \cap f(V) \subseteq f(V_i)$ . Hence  $0 \neq f(V_i) \subseteq V_j$  for some  $j$ . Notice that  $V_i \cap f(V) \subseteq f(V_i)$  and  $V_i \cap f(V) = f(V_i \cap f(V)) \subseteq V_j$ , so  $V_i = V_j$ . Consequently,  $f(V_i) \subseteq V_i$  and  $f(V_i) = V_i \cap f(V)$ . While  $V_i \subseteq W$  follows from the definition of  $K(f)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $f \in L^\oplus(V)$ . Then  $f$  is regular if and only if for each  $i$  with  $V_i \cap f(V) \neq 0$  there exists some  $j$  such that  $f(V_j) = V_i \cap f(V)$ .*

**Proof** If  $f$  is regular, then there exists an idempotent  $g$  in  $L^\oplus(V)$  such that  $f\mathcal{L}g$ . By Theorem 2.1 we have  $\ker(f) = \ker(g)$  and  $K(f) = K(g)$ . Take a subspace  $V_i$  such that  $V_i \cap f(V) \neq 0$ . Then there exists  $W \in K(f) = K(g)$  such that  $f(W) = V_i \cap f(V)$ . By Lemma 3.2, we can choose  $V_j \subseteq W$  such that  $g(V_j) = g(W) = V_j \cap g(V)$ . Now  $\ker(f) = \ker(g)$  and  $g(V_j) = g(W)$  implies that  $f(V_j) = f(W) = V_i \cap f(V)$  and the necessity holds.

Now suppose that  $f$  satisfies the condition and we shall find some idempotent  $g$  such that  $f\mathcal{L}g$  which of course implies that  $f$  is regular. We first define  $g$  on each  $W \in K(f)$ . By hypothesis, there exist  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $V_j \subseteq W$  and  $f(V_j) = f(W) = V_i \cap f(V)$ . Take a basis  $\{e_u\}$  for  $V_i \cap f(V)$  and choose  $e'_u \in V_j$  such that  $f(e'_u) = e_u$  for each  $u$ . Then  $\{e'_u\}$  is linearly independent. Extend this to a basis  $\{e_u\} \cup \{d_v\}$  for  $W$ . Then  $f(d_v) = 0$  for each  $v$ . Now define a linear mapping  $g : W \rightarrow V_j$  such that  $g(e'_u) = e'_u$  for each  $u$  and  $g(d_v) = 0$  for each  $v$ . For those  $V_i$  (if exists) with  $f(V_i) = 0$ , define  $g(x) = 0$  for each  $x \in V_i$ . Thus, we have defined the linear transformation  $g$  of  $V$ . It is obvious that  $g \in L^\oplus(V)$  and  $g^2 = g$ . By definition of  $g$  it readily follows that  $K(f) = K(g)$  and  $\ker(f) = \ker(g)$ . Consequently,  $f\mathcal{L}g$  and  $f$  is regular in  $L^\oplus(V)$ .  $\square$

The following example tells us that the semigroup  $L^\oplus(V)$  is not, in general, a regular semigroup.

**Example** Let  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$  where  $V_1$  has a basis  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ),  $V_2$  has a basis  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  and  $V_3$  has a basis  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$ . Define a linear transformation  $f : V \rightarrow V$  such that

$$f(e_1) = f(\beta_1) = \alpha_1, f(\alpha_1) = f(e_i) = \alpha_2, f(\alpha_i) = f(\beta_i) = \alpha_3 \text{ (for } i \neq 1\text{)}.$$

Then  $f \in L^\oplus(V)$  and  $V_2 \cap f(V) = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \rangle$ . However,  $f(V_1) = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle$ ,  $f(V_2) = \langle \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \rangle$  and  $f(V_3) = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_3 \rangle$ . It is clear that there is no  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq 3$ ) satisfying  $V_2 \cap f(V) = f(V_j)$ . By Theorem 3.3,  $f$  is not regular in the semigroup  $f \in L^\oplus(V)$ .

Next we investigate when the semigroup  $L^\oplus(V)$  is a regular semigroup.

**Theorem 3.4** *The semigroup  $L^\oplus(V)$  is regular if and only if  $m = 1$  or  $\dim V_i = 1$  for each  $i$ .*

**Proof** If  $m = 1$ , then  $V = V_1$  is an  $n$  dimensional space. Thus,  $L^\oplus(V) = L(V)$  is a regular semigroup. If  $\dim V_i = 1$  for each  $i$ , then  $V$  is a direct sum of  $m$  one dimensional spaces. Let  $f \in L^\oplus(V)$ . If  $V_i \cap f(V) \neq 0$ , then we have  $V_i \cap f(V) = V_i$  since the subspace  $V_i \cap f(V)$  must be one dimensional. Notice that there must be some  $j$  such that  $0 \neq f(V_j) = V_i$ , otherwise, we would

conclude that  $V_i \cap f(V) = 0$ , a contradiction. Consequently, we have  $f(V_j) = V_i \cap f(V) \neq 0$ . By Theorem 3.3,  $f$  is regular and  $L^\oplus(V)$  is a regular semigroup.

Conversely, suppose that  $m > 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Take a basis  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  for  $V_1$ , a basis  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n$  for  $V_2$ . Define  $f : V \rightarrow V$  such that  $f(e_k) = e_1$ ,  $f(g_k) = e_2$  for each  $k$  and  $f(x) = 0$  for any  $x \in V_s$  ( $s \neq 1, 2$ ). Clearly,  $f \in L^\oplus(V)$  and  $f(V) = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \subseteq V_1$ . Thus,  $V_1 \cap f(V) = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$ . However, there is no  $j$  satisfying  $V_1 \cap f(V) = f(V_j)$  which implies that  $f$  is not a regular element. Consequently,  $L^\oplus(V)$  is not a regular semigroup.  $\square$

Finally, we describe Green's equivalences for regular elements in the semigroups  $L^\oplus(V)$ . We first make some observations.

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $f, g \in L^\oplus(V)$  be regular. If  $\ker(f) = \ker(g)$ , then  $K(f) = K(g)$ .*

**Proof** Suppose

$$W = \oplus\{V_i : 0 \neq f(V_i) \subseteq V_j\} \in K(f).$$

Then  $f(W) = V_j \cap f(V)$ . Since  $f$  is regular, by Theorem 3.3, there exists some  $l$  such that  $f(W) = V_j \cap f(V) = f(V_l)$ . Suppose  $0 \neq g(V_i) \subseteq V_k$  for some  $k$ . Denote

$$U = \oplus\{V_s : 0 \neq g(V_s) \subseteq V_k\}.$$

By Theorem 3.3 again, there exists some  $u$  such that  $g(U) = V_k \cap g(V) = g(V_u)$ . We claim that  $W = U$ . Actually, from  $\ker(f) = \ker(g)$  one routinely verifies that, for each  $V_i \subseteq W$ ,  $f(V_i) \subseteq f(V_l)$  implies  $0 \neq g(V_i) \subseteq g(V_l) \subseteq V_k$ . Thus,  $V_i \subseteq U$  and  $W \subseteq U$  holds.

On the other hand, since  $g(V_u) = V_k \cap g(V)$  and  $g(V_l) \subseteq V_k$ , we have  $g(V_l) \subseteq g(V_u)$  which together with  $\ker(f) = \ker(g)$  implies that  $f(V_l) \subseteq f(V_u)$ . Therefore,

$$f(V_l) = V_j \cap f(V) = f(V_u).$$

By  $\ker(f) = \ker(g)$  again, we have  $g(V_l) = g(V_u)$ . Now for each  $V_s \subseteq U$ , we have  $0 \neq g(V_s) \subseteq g(V_l)$ . Hence  $0 \neq f(V_s) \subseteq f(V_l)$ . Thus,  $V_s \subseteq W$  and  $U \subseteq W$  holds. Consequently,  $U = W$  and  $K(f) \subseteq K(g)$ . By symmetry,  $K(g) \subseteq K(f)$ , so  $K(f) = K(g)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6** *Let  $f, g \in L^\oplus(V)$  be regular elements. If  $f(V) = g(V)$ , then, for each  $i$ , there exist  $j, k$  such that  $f(V_i) \subseteq g(V_j)$ ,  $g(V_i) \subseteq f(V_k)$ .*

**Proof** If  $f(V_i) = 0$ , then  $f(V_i) \subseteq g(V_j)$  holds for arbitrary  $j$ . If  $0 \neq f(V_i) \subseteq V_l$ , then

$$V_l \cap g(V) = V_l \cap f(V) \neq 0.$$

Since  $g$  is regular, there exists  $j$  such that  $V_l \cap g(V) = g(V_j)$ . Consequently,

$$f(V_i) \subseteq V_l \cap f(V) = V_l \cap g(V) = g(V_j).$$

By symmetry, for each  $i$ , there exists  $k$  such that  $g(V_i) \subseteq f(V_k)$ .  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 3.3, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.7** *Let  $f, g \in L^\oplus(V)$  be regular elements. Then*

- (1)  $f \mathcal{L} g$  if and only if  $\ker(f) = \ker(g)$ .

(2)  $f\mathcal{R}g$  if and only if  $f(V) = g(V)$ .

Finally, we observe the relation  $\mathcal{D}$  for regular elements.

**Theorem 3.8** *Let  $f, g \in L^\oplus(V)$  be regular elements. Then  $f\mathcal{D}g$  if and only if there exists a sum-preserving isomorphism from  $f(V)$  onto  $g(V)$ .*

**Proof** Suppose  $f\mathcal{D}g$ . Then there exists some  $h \in L^\oplus(V)$  such that  $f\mathcal{L}h$  and  $h\mathcal{R}g$ . By Theorem 2.1,  $\ker(f) = \ker(h)$  and  $K(f) = K(h)$ . While by Theorem 2.2,  $h(V) = g(V)$ . Denote  $K(f) = \{W_1, \dots, W_t\} = K(h)$ . Denote  $V'_{i_r} = f(W_r) = V_{i_r} \cap f(V)$  and  $V'_{j_r} = h(W_r) = V_{j_r} \cap h(V)$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq t$ . Then

$$f(V) = V'_{i_1} \oplus V'_{i_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V'_{i_t},$$

$$h(V) = V'_{j_1} \oplus V'_{j_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V'_{j_t} = g(V).$$

By the proof of Theorem 2.5, there exists a sum-preserving isomorphism from  $f(V)$  onto  $h(V) = g(V)$ .

Conversely, if there exists a sum-preserving isomorphism  $\phi$  from  $f(V)$  onto  $g(V)$ , define  $h : V \rightarrow V$  by  $h = \phi f$ . Then it is clear that  $h \in L^\oplus(V)$ ,  $\ker(f) = \ker(h)$  and  $K(f) = K(h)$ . By Theorem 2.1,  $f\mathcal{L}h$ . Hence  $h$  is also regular. While from the definition of  $h$  one easily verifies that  $h(V) = g(V)$  and  $h\mathcal{R}g$  follows from Theorem 3.7. Consequently,  $f\mathcal{D}g$  holds.  $\square$

## References

- [1] HOWIE J M. *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory* [M]. Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [2] HOWIE J M. *The subsemigroup generated by the idempotents of a full transformation semigroup* [J]. J. London Math. Soc., 1966, **41**: 707–716.
- [3] ERDOS J A. *On products of idempotent matrices* [J]. Glasgow Math. J., 1967, **8**: 118–122.
- [4] DAWLINGS R J H. *Products of idempotents in the semigroup of singular endomorphisms of a finite-dimensional vector space* [J]. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 1981, **91**(1-2): 123–133.
- [5] REYNOLDS M A, SULLIVAN R P. *Products of idempotent linear transformations* [J]. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 1985, **100**(1-2): 123–138.
- [6] PEI Huisheng. *Equivalences,  $\alpha$ -semigroups and  $\alpha$ -congruences* [J]. Semigroup Forum, 1994, **49**(1): 49–58.
- [7] PEI Huisheng. *Regularity and Green's relations for semigroups of transformations that preserve an equivalence* [J]. Comm. Algebra, 2005, **33**(1): 109–118.
- [8] PEI Huisheng. *On the rank of the semigroup  $T_E(X)$*  [J]. Semigroup Forum, 2005, **70**(1): 107–117.
- [9] PEI Huisheng. *A regular  $\alpha$ -semigroup inducing a certain lattice* [J]. Semigroup Forum, 1996, **53**(1): 98–113.
- [10] PEI Huisheng. *Some  $\alpha$ -semigroups inducing certain lattices* [J]. Semigroup Forum, 1998, **57**(1): 48–59.