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#### Abstract

In this paper, the authors study the existence of periodic solutions to an evolution $p$-Laplacian system. The authors prove a comparison principle of the system in general form. Then the existence of periodic solutions to the system of evolution $p$-Laplacian equations is obtained with the help of the comparison principle and the monotone iteration technique.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the existence of periodic solutions of the evolution $p$-Laplacian system

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial t}=\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla u_{i}\right)+f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right), & (x, t) \in \Omega \times(0,+\infty) \\
u_{i}(x, t)=0, & (x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0,+\infty) \\
u_{i}(x, t+\omega)=u_{i}(x, t), & (x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times(0,+\infty) \tag{1.3}
\end{array}
$$

where $p_{i}>2, \omega>0, f_{i}\left(t+\omega, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right), f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ is quasimonotonic for $u_{j}(j \neq i)$, $i, j=1,2, \Omega \subset R^{n}$ is an open connected bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$.

System (1.1) models heat propagations in a two-component combustible mixture [1], chemical processes [2], interaction of two biological groups without self-limiting [3, 4], etc.

Many authors have studied the properties of the periodic solution to scalar semi-linear reaction diffusion equations and semi-linear reaction diffusion systems [5-10]. In [11], the authors studied the periodic solution of a scalar evolution $p$-Laplacian equation with nonlinear sources, and in [12], Wang studied the following degenerate nonlinear reaction diffusion system:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial t}=\Delta u_{i}^{m_{i}}+b_{i}(t) u_{1}^{p_{i}} u_{2}^{q_{i}}, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times R \\
u_{i}(x, t)=0, & (x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times R \\
u_{i}(x, t+\omega)=u_{i}(x, t), & (x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times R \tag{1.6}
\end{array}
$$

[^0]where $m_{i}>1, \omega>0, p_{i}, q_{i} \geqslant 1, b_{i}(t)>0$ and $b_{i}(t+\omega)=b_{i}(t), i=1,2, \Omega \subset R^{n}$ is an open connected bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$.

Motivated by [11] and [12], we study the existence of periodic solutions to (1.1)-(1.3). Since the system is coupled with nonlinear terms, it is in general difficult to study the system. Our treatment is based on global existence [13], regularity to the solutions of a scalar equation [14] and a comparison principle which we will prove in this paper. We mainly use the monotone iteration technique to construct a monotone sequence of solutions and hence obtain the existence of periodic solutions to the system (1.1)-(1.3) by a standard limiting process.

System (1.1) degenerates when $\nabla u_{i}=0$. In general, there would be no classical solutions and hence we have to study generalized solutions to Problem (1.1)-(1.3).

In this paper, $C_{\omega}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\omega}\right)$ is used to denote the space of continuous functions of $(x, t)$ and of $\omega$-periodic with $t$. The following are the constrains to the nonlinear functions $f_{i}, i=1,2$ involved in this paper.

Definition 1 function $f_{i}=f_{i}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ is said to be quasimonotone nondecreasing (resp., nonincreasing) if for fixed $u_{i}, f_{i}$ is nondecreasing (resp., nonincreasing) in $u_{j}$ for $j \neq i$.

The definition of a periodic solution in this work is the following.
Definition 2 A nonnegative vector valued function $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ is called a generalized solution of the system (1.1)-(1.3), if $u_{i} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \cap L^{p_{i}}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)\right), u_{i t} \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right), \forall T>0, i=1,2$, and satisfy
i) $u_{i} \in C_{\omega}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\omega}\right), u_{i}(x, t)=0,(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, \omega), i=1,2$, where $\Omega_{\omega}=\Omega \times(0, \omega)$;
ii) For any $\varphi_{i} \in C^{1}\left(\Omega_{\omega}\right)$, with $\varphi_{i}(x, t)=0,(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, \omega)$, and $\varphi_{i}(x, 0)=\varphi_{i}(x, \omega)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\iint_{\Omega_{\omega}}\left(u_{i} \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial t}-\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla u_{i} \nabla \varphi_{i}+f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \varphi_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t=0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we will give the definition of the generalized solution of system (1.1), (1.2) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}(x, 0)=u_{i 0}(x) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3 A continuous vector valued function $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ is called a generalized solution of the system (1.1), (1.2) and (1.8), if
i) $u$ satisfies boundary condition (1.2), and for any $\tau>0, u_{i} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{\tau}\right) \cap L^{p_{i}}\left(0, \tau ; W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)\right)$, $u_{i t} \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\tau}\right), i=1,2$, where $\Omega_{\tau}=\Omega \times(0, \tau)$;
ii) For any $\tau>0$, and for any nonnegative $\varphi_{i} \in W^{1, \infty}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\tau}\right)$, with $\varphi_{i}(x, t)=0, \partial \Omega \times(0, \tau)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\iint_{Q_{\tau}}\left(u_{i} \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial t}-\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla u_{i} \nabla \varphi_{i}+f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \varphi_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\int_{\Omega} u_{i 0}(x) \varphi_{i}(x, 0) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\Omega} u_{i}(x, \tau) \varphi_{i}(x, \tau) \mathrm{d} x \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

If we replace $=$ with $\geqslant(\leqslant)$ in above equality, and $u_{i}(x, t) \geq 0\left(u_{i}(x, t) \leq 0\right),(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, \tau)$, $i=1,2$, then $u$ is called a supersolution (subsolution) of the system (1.1), (1.2) and (1.8).

Similarly, we define the periodic supersolution and subsolution of (1.1)-(1.3) as follows:

Definition 4 A continuous vector valued function $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ is called a periodic supersolution (subsolution) of the system (1.1)-(1.3), if
i) $u_{i}(x, t) \geqslant 0\left(u_{i}(x, t) \leqslant 0\right),(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, \tau)$, and $u_{i}(x, 0) \geqslant(\leqslant) 0$ for $x \in \Omega$;
ii) $u_{i}(x, t) \geqslant u_{i}(x, t+\omega)\left(u_{i}(x, t) \leqslant u_{i}(x, t+\omega)\right),(x, t) \in \Omega_{\tau}$,
$u_{i}$ satisfies (1.9) replacing $=$ with $\geqslant(\leqslant), i=1,2$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\iint_{Q_{\tau}}\left(u_{i} \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial t}-\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla u_{i} \nabla \varphi_{i}+f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \varphi_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \geqslant(\leqslant) \int_{\Omega} u_{i 0}(x) \varphi_{i}(x, 0) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\Omega} u_{i}(x, \tau) \varphi_{i}(x, \tau) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Main results

Our main existence result is the following:
Theorem 1 Let $p_{i}>2, m_{1}, n_{2} \geqslant 0, m_{2}, n_{1}>0,\left(p_{1}-1-m_{1}\right)\left(p_{2}-1-n_{2}\right)-m_{2} n_{1}>0, f_{i}$ is quasimonotonic and satisfies Lipschitz condition, and there exist nonnegative functions $c_{i 1}(t)$ and $c_{i 2}(t)$, s.t., $c_{i 2}(t) u_{1}^{m_{i}} u_{2}^{n_{i}} \leqslant f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \leqslant c_{i 1}(t) u_{1}^{m_{i}} u_{2}^{n_{i}}, c_{i j}(t)=c_{i j}(t+\omega), i=1,2, j=1,2$. Then there exists a nontrivial nonnegative periodic solution to the problem (1.1)-(1.3).

In order to prove Theorem 1, we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 1 Let $f_{i}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ be quasimonotone nondecreasing and satisfy the Lipschitz condition. Let $\underline{u}=\left(\underline{u}_{1}, \underline{u}_{2}\right)$ and $\bar{u}=\left(\bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right)$ be the subsolution and supersolution of the system (1.1), (1.2) and (1.8) satisfying $u_{0}=\left(\underline{u}_{10}, \underline{u}_{20}\right)$ and $u_{0}=\left(\bar{u}_{10}, \bar{u}_{20}\right)$, respectively, and $\underline{u}_{i 0} \leqslant \bar{u}_{i 0}$. Then $\underline{u}_{i}(x, t) \leqslant \bar{u}_{i}(x, t), i=1,2$.

Proof Since $\underline{u}$ and $\bar{u}$ are the subsolution and supersolution of system (1.1), (1.2) and (1.8), for any $\varphi_{i} \in W^{1, \infty}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\tau}\right), \forall \tau \in(0, T)$, with $\varphi_{i}=0$, for $(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, \tau)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \underline{u}_{i}(x, \tau) \varphi_{i}(x, \tau) \mathrm{d} x+\iint_{\Omega_{\tau}}\left|\nabla \underline{u}_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla \underline{u}_{i} \nabla \varphi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \quad \leqslant \iint_{\Omega_{\tau}}\left(f_{i}(\underline{u}) \varphi_{i}+\varphi_{i t} \underline{u}_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\Omega} \underline{u}_{i 0}(x) \varphi_{i}(x, 0) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \bar{u}_{i}(x, \tau) \varphi_{i}(x, \tau) \mathrm{d} x+\iint_{\Omega_{\tau}}\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla \bar{u}_{i} \nabla \varphi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \quad \geqslant \iint_{\Omega_{\tau}}\left(f_{i}(\bar{u}) \varphi_{i}+\varphi_{i t} \bar{u}_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\Omega} \bar{u}_{i 0}(x) \varphi_{i}(x, 0) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $\varphi_{i}=\left(\underline{u}_{i}-\bar{u}_{i}\right)^{+}$as a test function, where $a^{+}=\max (0, a) \geqslant 0$, subtracting the two inequalities, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\underline{u}_{i}(x, \tau)-\bar{u}_{i}(x, \tau)\right)^{+}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad=-\iint_{\Omega_{\tau}}\left(\left|\nabla \underline{u}_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla \underline{u}_{i}-\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla \bar{u}_{i}\right) \nabla\left(\left(\underline{u}_{i}-\bar{u}_{i}\right)^{+}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\Omega_{\tau}}\left(f_{i}(\underline{u})-f_{i}(\bar{u})\right)\left(\underline{u}_{i}-\bar{u}_{i}\right)^{+} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
= & -\iint_{\Omega_{\tau} \cap\left\{\underline{u}_{i}>\bar{u}_{i}\right\}}\left(\left|\nabla \underline{u}_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla \underline{u}_{i}-\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla \bar{u}_{i}\right) \nabla\left(\underline{u}_{i}-\bar{u}_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+ \\
& \iint_{\Omega_{\tau}}\left(f_{i}(\underline{u})-f_{i}(\bar{u})\right)\left(\underline{u}_{i}-\bar{u}_{i}\right)^{+} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that

$$
\left(\left|\nabla \underline{u}_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla \underline{u}_{i}-\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla \bar{u}_{i}\right) \nabla\left(\underline{u}_{i}-\bar{u}_{i}\right) \geqslant 0 .
$$

In view of the above inequality and the Lipschitz condition, by simple calculation, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\left(\underline{u}_{1}-\bar{u}_{1}\right)^{+}\right|^{2}+\left|\left(\underline{u}_{2}-\bar{u}_{2}\right)^{+}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad \leqslant 2 K \iint_{\Omega_{\tau}}\left(\left|\left(\underline{u}_{1}-\bar{u}_{1}\right)^{+}\right|+\left|\left(\underline{u}_{2}-\bar{u}_{2}\right)^{+}\right|\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \quad \leqslant 4 K \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\left(\underline{u}_{1}-\bar{u}_{1}\right)^{+}\right|^{2}+\left|\left(\underline{u}_{2}-\bar{u}_{2}\right)^{+}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $F(\tau)=\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\left(\underline{u}_{1}-\bar{u}_{1}\right)^{+}\right|^{2}+\left|\left(\underline{u}_{2}-\bar{u}_{2}\right)^{+}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t$, then the above inequality can be written as

$$
F^{\prime}(\tau) \leqslant 4 K F(\tau)
$$

A standard argument shows that $F(\tau) \equiv 0$ since $F(0) \equiv 0$, hence $\left(\underline{u}_{i}-\bar{u}_{i}\right)^{+}=0$, i.e., $\underline{u}_{i} \leqslant \bar{u}_{i}$.
Lemma 2 ([14]) Let $u$ be a solution of the homogeneous Dirichlet problem to the equation

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+f(x, t)
$$

where $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega \times(0, \tau))$. Then there exist an $\alpha>0$ and a constant $K$ depending only on $\tau^{\prime} \in(0, \tau)$ and the upper-bound of $\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega \times(0, \tau))}$, s.t.,

$$
\left|u\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)-u\left(x_{2}, t_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant K\left(\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{\alpha}+\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right), \quad\left(x_{i}, t_{i}\right) \in \bar{\Omega} \times\left[\tau^{\prime}, \tau\right]
$$

Lemma 3 Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, there exist a nontrivial subsolution and a supersolution to the problem (1.1), (1.2) and (1.8).

Proof Motivated by [15], we use the eigenfunction to construct the subsolution and the supersolution of system (1.1), (1.2) and (1.8).

Let $\mu_{1}$ and $\lambda_{1}$ be the first eigenvalue of the following eigenvalue problem.

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla \psi_{1}\right|^{p_{1}-2} \nabla \psi_{1}\right)=\mu_{1} \psi_{1}^{p_{1}-1}, x \in \Omega, \psi_{1}=0, x \in \partial \Omega  \tag{2.1}\\
& -\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla \phi_{1}\right|^{p_{2}-2} \nabla \phi_{1}\right)=\lambda_{1} \phi_{1}^{p_{2}-1}, x \in \Omega, \phi_{1}=0, x \in \partial \Omega \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi_{1}$ and $\phi_{1}$ are the corresponding eigenfunctions, satisfying $\psi_{1}(x)>0, \phi_{1}(x)>0, x \in \Omega$, $\left|\nabla \psi_{1}\right|>0,\left|\nabla \phi_{1}\right|>0, x \in \partial \Omega, i=1,2$. Without loss of generality, let $\left\|\psi_{1}\right\|_{p_{1}}=\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{p_{2}}=1$. Since $\left(p_{1}-1-m_{1}\right)\left(p_{2}-1-n_{2}\right)-m_{2} n_{1}>0$, we can choose $k$, s.t.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m_{2}}{p_{2}-1-n_{2}}<k<\frac{p_{1}-1-m_{1}}{n_{1}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove that $\left(\underline{u}_{1}, \underline{u}_{2}\right)=\left(a \psi_{1}^{m}(x), a^{k} \phi_{1}^{n}(x)\right)$ is a subsolution to Problem (1.1), (1.2) and (1.8), where $m=\frac{p_{1}}{p_{1}-1}, n=\frac{p_{2}}{p_{2}-1}$, and $a>0$ is small number to be specified later.

Let $\varphi_{1}(x, t) \in C^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\tau}\right), \varphi_{1}(x, t) \geqslant 0$, be a test function. Then it follows from (2.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{\Omega_{\tau}}\left(\underline{u}_{1} \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla \underline{u}_{1}\right|^{p_{1}-2} \nabla \underline{u}_{1}\right) \varphi_{1}+f_{1}\left(t, \underline{u}_{1}, \underline{u}_{2}\right) \varphi_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+ \\
& \quad \int_{\Omega} \underline{u}_{1}(x, 0) \varphi_{1}(x, 0) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\Omega} \underline{u}_{1}(x, \tau) \varphi_{1}(x, \tau) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\iint_{\Omega_{\tau}}\left(f_{1}\left(t, \underline{u}_{1}, \underline{u}_{2}\right)+\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla \underline{u}_{1}\right|^{p_{1}-2} \nabla \underline{u}_{1}\right)\right) \varphi_{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \geqslant  \tag{2.4}\\
& \quad \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \min _{(0, \omega)} c_{12}(t) \underline{u}_{1}^{m_{1}} \underline{u}_{2}^{n_{1}} \varphi_{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega}(a m)^{p_{1}-1}\left(\mu_{1} \psi_{1}^{p_{1}}-\left|\nabla \psi_{1}\right|^{p_{1}}\right) \varphi_{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, for all $\varphi_{2}(x, t) \in C^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\tau}\right), \varphi_{2}(x, t) \geqslant 0$, following (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{\Omega_{\tau}}\left(\underline{u}_{2} \frac{\partial \varphi_{2}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla \underline{u}_{2}\right|^{p_{2}-2} \nabla \underline{u}_{2}\right) \varphi_{2}+f_{2}\left(t, \underline{u}_{1}, \underline{u}_{2}\right) \varphi_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+ \\
& \quad \int_{\Omega} \underline{u}_{2}(x, 0) \varphi_{2}(x, 0) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\Omega} \underline{u}_{2}(x, \tau) \varphi_{2}(x, \tau) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\iint_{\Omega_{\tau}}\left(f_{2}\left(t, \underline{u}_{1}, \underline{u}_{2}\right)+\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla \underline{u}_{2}\right|^{p_{2}-2} \nabla \underline{u}_{2}\right)\right) \varphi_{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \geqslant  \tag{2.5}\\
& \geqslant \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \min _{(0, \omega)} c_{22}(t) \underline{u}_{1}^{m_{2}} \underline{u}_{2}^{n_{2}} \varphi_{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega}\left(a^{k} n\right)^{p_{2}-1}\left(\lambda_{1} \phi_{1}^{p_{2}}-\left|\nabla \phi_{1}\right|^{p_{2}}\right) \varphi_{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t .
\end{align*}
$$

We need to prove that the right hand side of (2.4) and (2.5) are nonnegative.
Since $\psi_{1}=0, \phi_{1}=0,\left|\nabla \psi_{1}\right|>0,\left|\nabla \phi_{1}\right|>0, x \in \partial \Omega$, there exists an $\eta>0$, s.t.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1} \psi_{1}^{p_{1}}-\left|\nabla \psi_{1}\right|^{p_{1}} \leqslant 0, \quad \lambda_{1} \phi_{1}^{p_{2}}-\left|\nabla \phi_{1}\right|^{p_{2}} \leqslant 0, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_{\eta}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\Omega}_{\eta}=\{x \in \Omega \mid \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) \leqslant \eta\}$. This shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\bar{\Omega}_{\eta}}(a m)^{p_{1}-1}\left(\mu_{1} \psi_{1}^{p_{1}}-\left|\nabla \psi_{1}\right|^{p_{1}}\right) \varphi_{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant 0 \leqslant \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\bar{\Omega}_{\eta}} \min _{(0, \omega)} c_{12}(t) \underline{u}_{1}^{m_{1}} \underline{u}_{2}^{n_{1}} \varphi_{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\bar{\Omega}_{\eta}}\left(a^{k} n\right)^{p_{2}-1}\left(\lambda_{1} \phi_{1}^{p_{2}}-\left|\nabla \phi_{1}\right|^{p_{2}}\right) \varphi_{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant 0 \leqslant \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\bar{\Omega}_{\eta}(0, \omega)} \min _{22}(t) \underline{u}_{1}^{m_{2}} \underline{u}_{2}^{n_{2}} \varphi_{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.7) and (2.8) show that $\left(\underline{u}_{1}, \underline{u}_{2}\right)$ is a subsolution on $\bar{\Omega}_{\eta} \times(0,+\infty)$. Furthermore, we note that $\psi_{1}(x), \phi_{1}(x) \geqslant \mu>0$ for some $\mu>0$ in $\Omega_{0}=\Omega \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{\eta}$. Then from (2.3) there exists an $a_{0}>0$, s.t., if $a \in\left(0, a_{0}\right)$, the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{k\left(p_{2}-1-n_{2}\right)-m_{2}} \lambda_{1} n^{p_{2}-1} \phi_{1}^{p_{2}-n n_{2}} \leqslant \min _{(0, \omega)} c_{12}(t) \mu^{m m_{2}} \leqslant \min _{(0, \omega)} c_{12}(t) \psi_{1}^{m m_{2}}, \quad x \in \Omega_{0},  \tag{2.9}\\
& a^{p_{1}-1-m_{1}-k n_{1}} \mu_{1} m^{p_{1}-1} \psi_{1}^{p_{1}-m m_{1}} \leqslant \min _{(0, \omega)} c_{22}(t) \mu^{n n_{1}} \leqslant \min _{(0, \omega)} c_{22}(t) \phi_{1}^{n n_{1}}, \quad x \in \Omega_{0} . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

(2.9) and (2.10) show that

$$
\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\nabla \underline{u}_{1}\right|^{p_{1}-2} \nabla \underline{u}_{1} \nabla \varphi_{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega_{0}}(a m)^{p_{1}-1}\left(\mu_{1} \psi_{1}^{p_{1}}-\left|\nabla \psi_{1}\right|^{p_{1}}\right) \varphi_{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leqslant \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega_{0}} \min _{(0, \omega)} c_{12}(t) \underline{u}_{1}^{m_{1}} \underline{u}_{2}^{n_{1}} \varphi_{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\nabla \underline{u}_{2}\right|^{p_{2}-2} \nabla \underline{u}_{2} \nabla \varphi_{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega_{0}}\left(a^{k} n\right)^{p_{2}-1}\left(\lambda_{1} \phi_{1}^{p_{2}}-\left|\nabla \phi_{1}\right|^{p_{2}}\right) \varphi_{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leqslant \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega_{0}} \min _{(0, \omega)} c_{22}(t) \underline{u}_{1}^{m_{2}} \underline{u}_{2}^{n_{2}} \varphi_{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $\left(\underline{u}_{1}, \underline{u}_{2}\right)=\left(a \psi_{1}^{m}(x), a^{k} \phi_{1}^{n}(x)\right)$ is a subsolution of (1.1), (1.2) and (1.8).
We now construct a supersolution $\left(\bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right)$ of (1.1), (1.2) and (1.8). Let $w_{1}(x), w_{2}(x)$ be the positive solutions of the following problems, respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla w_{1}\right|^{p_{1}-2} \nabla w_{1}\right)=1, x \in \Omega, w_{1}=0, x \in \partial \Omega  \tag{2.13}\\
& -\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla w_{2}\right|^{p_{2}-2} \nabla w_{2}\right)=1, x \in \Omega, w_{2}=0, x \in \partial \Omega \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}_{1}=A w_{1}(x), \quad \bar{u}_{2}=B w_{2}(x) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constants $A, B>0$ are large and to be chosen later. We shall verify that $\left(\bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right)$ is a supersolution of (1.1), (1.2) and (1.8). Let $\varphi_{i} \in C^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\tau}\right), \varphi_{i} \geqslant 0$, be test functions, $i=1,2$. Then from (2.13), (2.14), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{\Omega_{\tau}}\left(\bar{u}_{1} \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{1}\right|^{p_{1}-2} \nabla \bar{u}_{1}\right) \varphi_{1}+f_{1}\left(t, \bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right) \varphi_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+ \\
& \quad \int_{\Omega} \bar{u}_{1}(x, 0) \varphi_{1}(x, 0) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\Omega} \bar{u}_{1}(x, \tau) \varphi_{1}(x, \tau) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\iint_{\Omega_{\tau}}\left(f_{1}\left(t, \bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right)+\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{1}\right|^{p_{1}-2} \nabla \bar{u}_{1}\right)\right) \varphi_{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leqslant \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \max _{(0, \omega)} c_{11}(t) \bar{u}_{1}^{m_{1}} \bar{u}_{2}^{n_{1}} \varphi_{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} A^{p_{1}-1} \varphi_{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{\Omega_{\tau}}\left(\bar{u}_{2} \frac{\partial \varphi_{2}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{2}\right|^{p_{2}-2} \nabla \bar{u}_{2}\right) \varphi_{2}+f_{2}\left(t, \bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right) \varphi_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+ \\
& \quad \int_{\Omega} \bar{u}_{2}(x, 0) \varphi_{2}(x, 0) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\Omega} \bar{u}_{2}(x, \tau) \varphi_{2}(x, \tau) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\iint_{\Omega_{\tau}}\left(f_{2}\left(t, \bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right)+\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{2}\right|^{p_{2}-2} \nabla \bar{u}_{2}\right)\right) \varphi_{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leqslant \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \max _{(0, \omega)} c_{21}(t) \bar{u}_{1}^{m_{2}} \bar{u}_{2}^{n_{2}} \varphi_{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} B^{p_{2}-1} \varphi_{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

We need to prove that the right hand side of (2.16) and (2.17) are nonpositive. Let $l=\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{\infty}$, $L=\left\|w_{2}\right\|_{\infty}, C=\max \left\{\max _{(0, \omega)} c_{11}(t), \max _{(0, \omega)} c_{21}(t)\right\}$. Since $\theta>0$, it is easy to prove that there exist positive large constants $A, B$, s.t.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{p_{1}-1-m_{1}}=C B^{n_{1}} l^{m_{1}} L^{n_{1}}, \quad B^{p_{2}-1-n_{2}}=C A^{m_{2}} l^{m_{2}} L^{n_{2}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{p_{1}-1} \geqslant C \bar{u}_{1}^{m_{1}} \bar{u}_{2}^{n_{1}} \geqslant \max _{(0, \omega)} c_{11}(t) \bar{u}_{1}^{m_{1}} \bar{u}_{2}^{n_{1}},  \tag{2.19}\\
& B^{p_{2}-1} \geqslant C \bar{u}_{1}^{m_{2}} \bar{u}_{2}^{n_{2}} \geqslant \max _{(0, \omega)} c_{21}(t) \bar{u}_{1}^{m_{2}} \bar{u}_{2}^{n_{2}} . \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

These imply that the right hand side of (2.16) and (2.17) are nonpositive. Therefore, $\left(\bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right)$ is a supersolution of (1.1), (1.2) and (1.8). We can choose large $A, B$ such that $\underline{u}_{i} \leqslant \bar{u}_{i}, i=1,2$.

## 3. The proof of main results

Definition 3 (Poincaré Mapping) Set $T=\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right): C(\bar{\Omega}) \times C(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C(\bar{\Omega}) \times C(\bar{\Omega}), T\left(u_{10}(x)\right.$, $\left.u_{20}(x)\right)=\left(u_{1}(x, \omega), u_{2}(x, \omega)\right)$, where $u(x, t)=\left(u_{1}(x, t), u_{2}(x, t)\right)$ is the solution of the initialboundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial t}=\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla u_{i}\right)+f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right), \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0,+\infty)  \tag{3.1}\\
& u_{i}(x, t)=0, \quad(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0,+\infty)  \tag{3.2}\\
& u_{i}(x, 0)=u_{i 0}, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The definition is reasonable due to the existence and uniqueness of the system (1.1), (1.2) and (1.8) in [13].

In the following, we will prove Theorem 1.
Proof Set $u_{0}=\underline{u}$. By Lemma 1 and the fact that $\underline{u}$ is the subsolution of system (1.1), we get that $u_{i}(x, \omega)=T_{i} \underline{u}(x) \geqslant \underline{u}_{i}(x), i=1,2$. Repeating the process, we can obtain a sequence $\left\{T^{n} \underline{u}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, where $T^{1}=T, T^{n+1} \underline{u}=T\left(T^{n} \underline{u}\right)$. By Lemma 1 and $T_{i} \underline{u} \geqslant \underline{u}_{i}$, we know that $\left\{T^{n} \underline{u}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is nondecreasing. Similarly, we can obtain a nonincreasing sequence $\left\{T^{n} \bar{u}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Following Lemma 1 , we know that $T_{i} \underline{u}(x) \leqslant T_{i} \bar{u}(x)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{u}_{i}(x) \leqslant T_{i} \underline{u}(x) \leqslant \cdots \leqslant T_{i}^{n} \underline{u}(x) \leqslant T_{i}^{n} \bar{u}(x) \leqslant \cdots \leqslant T_{i} \bar{u}(x) \leqslant \bar{u}_{i}(x), \quad i=1,2 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u_{n}(x, t)$ be the solution of system (1.1), (1.2) and (1.8) with $u_{i 0}=T^{n-1} \underline{u}$. We get $T^{n} \underline{u}(x)=$ $u_{n}(x, \omega)$. By Lemma $1, u_{i n}(x, t) \leqslant \bar{u}_{i}(x), i=1,2$. So there exists a constant $C_{0}$ independent of $n$, s.t.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}\left(t, u_{1 n}, u_{2 n}\right) \leqslant C_{0}, \quad i=1,2 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following above inequality and Lemma 2, there exist an $\alpha>0$ and a constant $K$ depending only on $\omega>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{i n}\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)-u_{i n}\left(x_{2}, t_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant K\left(\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{\alpha}+\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right),\left(x_{i}, t_{i}\right) \in \bar{\Omega} \times\left[\frac{\omega}{2}, \omega\right] . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Particularly,

$$
\left|T_{i}^{n} \underline{u}\left(x_{1}\right)-T_{i}^{n} \underline{u}\left(x_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant K\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{\alpha}, \quad x_{i} \in \bar{\Omega} .
$$

Due to Ascoli-Arzelá Theorem, there exist a function $v_{0} \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \times C(\bar{\Omega})$ and a subsequence of $\left\{T^{n} \underline{u}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, without loss of generality, denoted again by $\left\{T^{n} \underline{u}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, s.t.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{n} \underline{u} \rightarrow v_{0}, \quad \text { uniformly in } C(\bar{\Omega}) \times C(\bar{\Omega}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove that the solution to the initial boundary problem (1.1), (1.2) and (1.8) with $u(x, 0)=v_{0}$ is a solution of Problem (1.1)-(1.3).

Considering initial and boundary problem (1.1), (1.2) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}(x, 0)=T_{i}^{n} \underline{u}(x) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\bar{u}(x)$ is a supersolution of (1.1) and $T_{i}^{n} \underline{u}(x) \leqslant \bar{u}_{i}(x)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i n}(x, t) \leqslant \bar{u}_{i}(x), \quad(x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times(0,+\infty) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following above inequality and Lemma 2, we obtain that there exists a positive constant $K$ depending only on $\omega$ and a $\beta>0$, s.t., $\left(x_{i}, t_{i}\right) \in \bar{\Omega} \times[\omega, 2 \omega]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{i n}\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)-u_{i n}\left(x_{2}, t_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant K\left(\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{\beta}+\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the proof of the global existence in [13], we know that there exists a positive constant $C_{0}$ independent of $n$, s.t.,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\nabla u_{i n}\right|_{L^{p_{i}}(\Omega \times(\omega, 2 \omega))} \leqslant C_{0}  \tag{3.11}\\
\left|u_{i n t}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega \times(\omega, 2 \omega))} \leqslant C_{0} \tag{3.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Due to (3.7)-(3.9), there exist functions $w_{i}(x, t) \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times(\omega, 2 \omega))$ and a subsequence of $\left\{u_{i n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, without loss of generality, denoted again by $\left\{u_{i n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, s.t.,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{i n} \rightarrow w_{i}, & \text { in } C(\bar{\Omega} \times[\omega, 2 \omega]), \\
\nabla u_{i n} \rightharpoonup \nabla w_{i}, & \text { in } L^{p_{i}}(\Omega \times(\omega, 2 \omega)), \\
u_{i n t} \rightharpoonup w_{i t}, & \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega \times(\omega, 2 \omega)), \\
\left|\nabla u_{i n}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i n x_{l}} \rightharpoonup w_{i x_{l}}, & \text { in } L^{\frac{p_{i}}{p_{i}-1}}(\Omega \times[\omega, 2 \omega]), \tag{3.16}
\end{array}
$$

where $\rightharpoonup$ stands for weak convergence, $i=1,2$. Following (3.4), (3.10)-(3.13), we get that $v_{i 0}(x)=w_{i}(x, \omega)$.

By the definition of generalized solutions and (3.10)-(3.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\Omega_{\omega}^{\prime}}\left(w_{i} \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial t}-\left|\nabla w_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla w_{i} \nabla \varphi_{i}+f_{i}\left(t, w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \varphi_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega} w_{i}(x, 2 \omega) \varphi_{i}(x, 2 \omega) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\Omega} w_{i}(x, \omega) \varphi_{i}(x, \omega) \mathrm{d} x, \quad i=1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Omega_{\omega}^{\prime}=\Omega \times(\omega, 2 \omega)$. It shows that function $w_{i}(x, t)$ is a solution of (1.1), on $\Omega_{\omega}^{\prime}$. On the other hand, following (3.10) and the definition of the map $T$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(x, 2 \omega) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}(x, 2 \omega)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T\left(u_{n}(x, \omega)\right)(x) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T\left(T\left(T^{n} \underline{u}\right)\right)(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{n+2} \underline{u}(x) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{n+1} \underline{u}(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T\left(T^{n} \underline{u}\right)(x) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}(x, \omega)=w(x, \omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the uniqueness of the solution to the initial and boundary problem, we know that $u(x, t)=$ $w(x, t+\omega), t \in[0, \omega]$. Therefore, $u(x, 0)=w(x, \omega)=w(x, 2 \omega)=u(x, \omega)$. The proof is completed.
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