

A Note on the Paper “Some Determinantal Inequalities on Complex Positive Definite Matrices”

Gan Tong HE

Department of Mathematics, Guizhou University, Guizhou 550025, P. R. China

Abstract By presenting a counterexample, the author of paper (ZHAO Li-feng. J. Math. Res. Exposition, 2007, 27(4): 949–954) declared that some assertions in papers of LÜ Yun-xia, ZHANG Shu-qing (J. Math. Res. Exposition, 1999, 19(3): 598–600), HE Gan-tong (J. Math. Res. Exposition, 2002, 22(1): 79–82) and YUAN Hui-ping (J. Math. Res. Exposition, 2001, 21(3): 464–468) are wrong. In this note, we point out that the counterexample is wrong. Further discussion on these assertions and some related results are also given.

Keywords positive semi-definite matrix; determinantal inequality; Hermitian part.

Document code A

MR(2000) Subject Classification 15A45

Chinese Library Classification O151.21

Let $R^{n \times n}$ and $C^{n \times n}$ denote the sets of $n \times n$ real matrices and complex matrices, respectively. For a matrix $A \in C^{n \times n}$, let A^* denote the conjugate transpose of A , and define $H(A) = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*)$, the Hermitian part of A , $S(A) = \frac{1}{2}(A - A^*)$, the skew-Hermitian part of A . For $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices A and B , $A \geq B$ ($A > B$) will mean that $A - B$ is positive semi-definite (positive definite).

First we quote several theorems which the author of [1] took to be wrong.

Theorem 1 ([2, Theorem 3]) *Let $A, B \in R^{n \times n}$, $n \geq 2$. If $H(A) \geq 0$, $B > 0$, then*

$$|\det(A + B)|^k \geq |\det A|^k + (\det B)^k, \quad (1)$$

where k is a real number such that $k(n + t) \geq 2$, t is the number of real eigenvalues of AB^{-1} .

Theorem 2 ([3, Theorem 2]) *Let $A, B \in C^{n \times n}$, $n \geq 2$. If $H(A) \geq 0$, $B > 0$, then*

$$|\det(A + B)|^{\frac{2}{2n-s}} \geq |\det A|^{\frac{2}{2n-s}} + (\det B)^{\frac{2}{2n-s}}, \quad (2)$$

where s is the number of nonreal eigenvalues of AB^{-1} .

Theorem 3 ([4, Theorem 1]) *Let $A, B \in C^{n \times n}$, $n \geq 2$. If $H(A) > 0$, $B > 0$, then*

$$|\det(A + B)|^{\frac{1}{n-m}} > |\det A|^{\frac{1}{n-m}} + (\det B)^{\frac{1}{n-m}}, \quad (3)$$

Received February 21, 2009; Accepted September 15, 2009

Supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Science and Technology Office of Guizhou Province (Grant No. J[2006]2002).

E-mail address: sci.gthe@gzu.edu.cn

where m is the number of conjugate pairs of AB^{-1} 's nonreal eigenvalues.

Here is the counterexample in [1]:

Example Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 21/5 & 0 \\ -11/5 & 21/5 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $H(A) \geq 0$, $B > 0$, and $AB^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 14/5 & 7/5 \\ -1/15 & 31/15 \end{pmatrix}$. The characteristic polynomial $\det(\lambda I - AB^{-1}) = \lambda^2 - (73/15)\lambda + (441/75)$ has two real roots. For these A , B , the three inequalities in Theorems 1, 2 and 3 are equal to

$$|\det(A+B)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq |\det A|^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\det B)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

(In the case of Theorem 3, we take $m = 0$). The calculating results presented in [1] are

$$|\det(A+B)|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{35.4} < 4.2 + \sqrt{3} = |\det A|^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\det B)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

So the author of [1] concluded that the Theorems 1, 2, 3 and their corollaries are wrong.

It is obvious that the above inequality should turn backward in direction. As a matter of fact, $|\det(A+B)|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ equals $\sqrt{35.24}$, less than $\sqrt{35.4}$, and even so, one should have

$$\begin{aligned} |\det(A+B)|^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \sqrt{35.24} = 5.93632883 \dots \\ &> 4.2 + \sqrt{3} = 5.93205080 \dots \\ &= |\det A|^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\det B)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the corresponding theorems cannot be negated according to this counterexample.

The above three theorems discuss the same thing, to establish a determinantal inequality

$$|\det(A+B)|^s \geq |\det A|^s + (\det B)^s$$

for some positive real number s .

The authors of [2] did not say clearly that the matrices in their paper were real or complex. It seems that they deal with only real matrices since they made use of a condition in their proof that the nonreal eigenvalues of AB^{-1} occur in conjugate pairs. So Theorem 2 is the generalization of Theorem 1 that the matrices in Theorem 2 may be taken to be complex. Explicitly, if t and s are the numbers of real eigenvalues and nonreal eigenvalues of AB^{-1} respectively, then $s+t = n$ and $n+t = 2n-s$. Hence the inequalities (1) (when $k = 2/(n+t)$) and (2) are just the same. It is obvious that if $a^l \geq b^l + c^l$ for some positive real number a, b, c, l , one has $a^k \geq b^k + c^k$ for all $k \geq l$. So if the inequality (1) holds for $k = 2/(n+t)$, Theorem 1 will hold.

There is something to be questioned for Theorem 3 indeed. Under the conditions of Theorem 3, the nonreal eigenvalues of AB^{-1} need not occur in conjugate pairs. If so, what does the m mean?

For example, let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i & i \\ i & 1 & i \\ i & i & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $B = I$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$, then $H(A) = I > 0$ and $B > 0$. The eigenvalues of AB^{-1} are $1 + 2i$, $1 - i$, $1 - i$. The nonreal eigenvalues of AB^{-1} do not occur in conjugate pairs. If we take $m = 0$ in this case, the determinantal inequality is

$$\begin{aligned} |\det(A + B)|^{\frac{1}{3}} &= \sqrt[6]{200} = 2.418271175 \dots \\ &< \sqrt[6]{20} + 1 = 2.647548927 \dots \\ &= |\det A|^{\frac{1}{3}} + (\det B)^{\frac{1}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality in Theorem 3 does not hold for these A and B .

Examining the proof of Theorem 3 carefully, we find the author made use of a condition that the nonreal eigenvalues of AB^{-1} occur in conjugate pairs. This is not always true when $H(A) \geq 0$ and $B > 0$ unless A, B are real.

We conclude that Theorems 1 and 2 are faultless while Theorem 3 is not true unless A and B are restricted to be real matrices.

In recent years, a lot of results on the Minkowski type determinantal inequalities have appeared in literatures. For example, one can see [6–10]. Here we will give a brief discussion about the results in [6]. The author of [6] dealt with only real matrices and established the following theorem.

Theorem 4 ([6, Theorem 1]) *Let $A, B \in R^{n \times n}$, $n \geq 2$, and $H(A) > 0$, $B > 0$.*

(a) *If $k \geq \frac{1}{n}$, then*

$$|\det(A + B)|^k \geq 2^{-km} (|\det A|^k + (\det B)^k); \quad (4)$$

(b) *If $k \geq \frac{1}{n-m}$, then*

$$|\det(A + B)|^k \geq |\det A|^k + (\det B)^k, \quad (5)$$

where $2m$ is the number of nonreal eigenvalues of AB^{-1} .

And two more theorems were also given in [6] to discuss the same inequalities as (4) and (5), of course, under different conditions that A and B satisfied [6, Theorem 2, Theorem 5]. Thus the analogous Minkowski type determinantal inequalities recently appearing in literatures were collected in the paper and were discussed by similar method.

Examining Theorems 1, 2, 4 carefully, we point out that Theorem 1 and the part (b) of Theorem 4 are the same, since here $t + 2m = n$ and then $1/(n - m) = 2/(n + t)$. And inequality (4) is a special case of inequality (6) in Theorem 5 below. In fact, when A, B are restricted to be real matrices and $H(A) > 0$, (6) is turned into (4) for $k = 1/n$ in (4), since here $s = 2m$.

Theorem 5 ([3, Theorem 3]) *Let $A, B \in C^{n \times n}$, $n \geq 2$. If $H(A) \geq 0$, $B > 0$, then*

$$|\det(A + B)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq 2^{-\frac{s}{2n}} (|\det A|^{\frac{1}{n}} + (\det B)^{\frac{1}{n}}), \quad (6)$$

where s is the number of nonreal eigenvalues of AB^{-1} .

References

- [1] ZHAO Lifeng. *Some determinantal inequalities on complex positive definite matrices* [J]. J. Math. Res. Exposition, 2007, **27**(4): 949–954. (in Chinese)
- [2] LÜ Yunxia, ZHANG Shuqing. *A note for “The mistakes on “Theory of sub-positive definite matrix”* [J]. J. Math. Res. Exposition, 1999, **19**(3): 598–600. (in Chinese)
- [3] HE Gantong. *Several determinant inequalities of positive definite Hermitian matrices* [J]. J. Math. Res. Exposition, 2002, **22**(1): 79–82. (in Chinese)
- [4] YUAN Huiping. *Minkowski inequality over complex positive definite matrix* [J]. J. Math. Res. Exposition, 2001, **21**(3): 464–468. (in Chinese)
- [5] TU Boxun. *Theory of meta-positive definite matrix (II)* [J]. Acta. Math. Sinica, 1991, **34**(1): 91–102. (in Chinese)
- [6] YUE Rong. *Revision of a generalized Minkowski inequality* [J]. Math. Pract. Theory, 2008, **38**(3): 135–141. (in Chinese)
- [7] SHEN Shuqian, HUANG Tingzhu. *Further researches on generalized positive definite matrices* [J]. Math. Practice Theory, 2006, **36**(10): 210–214. (in Chinese)
- [8] CUI Runqing, ZHENG Yumin. *Determinantal inequalities for totally principle positive matrices* [J]. Math. Practice Theory, 2006, **36**(7): 320–323. (in Chinese)
- [9] YUAN Huiping, GUO Wei. *Determinantal inequalities for almost positive definite matrices* [J]. J. Math. (Wuhan), 2008, **28**(5): 514–518. (in Chinese)
- [10] YANG Hu, SHAO Hua. *A further study on universal positive definite matrices* [J]. Math. Practice Theory, 2008, **38**(3): 114–122. (in Chinese)
- [11] HORN R A, JOHNSON C R. *Matrix Analysis* [M]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.