

# Strong Convergence by the Shrinking Projection Method for a Generalized Equilibrium Problems and Hemi-Relatively Nonexpansive Mappings

Ruo Feng RAO, Jia Lin HUANG

*Department of Mathematics, Yibin University, Sichuan 644007, P. R. China*

**Abstract** Motivated by the recent result obtained by Takahashi and Zembayashi in 2008, we prove a strong convergence theorem for finding a common element of the set of solutions of a generalized equilibrium problem and the set of fixed points of a hemi-relatively nonexpansive mapping in a Banach space by using the shrinking projection method. The main results obtained in this paper extend some recent results.

**Keywords** hemi-relatively nonexpansive mapping; generalized equilibrium problem;  $\alpha$ -inverse-strongly monotone mapping.

**Document code** A

**MR(2000) Subject Classification** 47H09

**Chinese Library Classification** O177.91

## 1. Introduction

Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space  $E$ , and  $T$  a mapping from  $C$  into itself. We denote by  $F(T)$  the set of fixed points of  $T$ . Let  $f$  be an equilibrium bifunction from  $C \times C$  into  $R$ , and  $A : C \rightarrow E^*$  a nonlinear mapping. Now we consider the following generalized equilibrium problem: find  $z \in C$  such that

$$f(z, y) + \langle Az, y - z \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (1.1)$$

The set of solutions of (1.1) is denoted by  $EP$ , i.e.,

$$EP = \{z \in C : f(z, y) + \langle Az, y - z \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C\}.$$

In the case of  $f \equiv 0$ ,  $EP$  is denoted by  $VI(C, A)$ . In the case of  $A \equiv 0$ ,  $EP$  is denoted by  $EP(f)$ , Takahashi-Zembayashi [1] in 2008 proved a strong convergence theorem for finding a common element of  $EP(f)$  and the set of fixed points of a relatively nonexpansive mapping in the framework of uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach spaces by using the shrinking projection method. Now, in this paper, we imitatively prove a strong convergence theorem for finding a common element of the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem (1.1) and the set

---

Received December 30, 2008; Accepted May 18, 2009

Supported by Sichuan Educational Committee Science Foundation for Youths (Grant No. 08ZB002).

\* Corresponding author

E-mail address: ruofengrao@163.com (R. F. RAO)

of fixed points of a hemi-relatively nonexpansive mapping in the same framework by using the similar shrinking projection method.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $E$  be a real Banach space with dual  $E^*$ . We denote by  $J$  the normalized duality mapping from  $E$  to  $2^{E^*}$  defined by

$$Jx = \{f \in E^* : \langle x, f \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|f\|^2\},$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the generalized duality pairing. It is well known that if  $E^*$  is uniformly convex, then  $J$  is uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of  $E$ . In this case,  $J$  is single valued and also one to one.

Now in the framework of smooth Banach spaces, we consider the function defined by

$$\phi(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, Jy \rangle + \|y\|^2 \text{ for } x, y \in E.$$

Following Alber [2], the generalized projection  $\Pi_C$  from  $E$  onto  $C$  is defined by

$$\Pi_C(x) = \arg \min_{y \in C} \phi(y, x), \quad \forall x \in E.$$

The generalized projection  $\Pi_C : E \rightarrow C$  is a map that assigns to an arbitrary point  $x \in E$  the minimum point of the function  $\phi(y, x)$ , that is,  $\Pi_C x = \tilde{x}$ , where  $\tilde{x}$  is the solution to the minimization problem

$$\phi(\tilde{x}, x) = \min_{y \in C} \phi(y, x).$$

Existence and uniqueness of the operator  $\Pi_C$  follow from the properties of the functional  $\phi(y, x)$  and strict monotonicity of the mapping  $J$  (see [2, 6, 10]). The generalized projection  $\Pi_C$  from  $E$  onto  $C$  is well defined, single valued and satisfies

$$(\|x\| - \|y\|)^2 \leq \phi(y, x) \leq (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E. \quad (2.1)$$

If  $E$  is a Hilbert space, then  $\phi(y, x) = \|y - x\|^2$  and  $\Pi_C$  is the metric projection of  $H$  onto  $C$ .

$T$  is called hemi-relatively nonexpansive if  $\phi(p, Tx) \leq \phi(p, x)$  for all  $x \in C$  and  $p \in F(T)$ . A point  $p \in C$  is said to be an asymptotic fixed point of  $T$  if there exists  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  which converges weakly to  $p$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$ . We denote the set of all asymptotic fixed points of  $T$  by  $\hat{F}(T)$ . Following Matsushita-Takahashi [3], a mapping  $T$  is said to be relatively nonexpansive if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $F(T)$  is nonempty;
- (2)  $\phi(p, Tx) \leq \phi(p, x)$ , for all  $p \in F(T)$ ,  $x \in C$ ;
- (3)  $\hat{F}(T) = F(T)$ .

It is obvious that the class of hemi-relatively nonexpansive mappings contains the class of relatively nonexpansive mappings.

For solving the equilibrium problem for bifunction  $f : C \times C \rightarrow R$ , let us assume that  $f$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (A<sub>1</sub>)  $f(x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in C$ ;

(A<sub>2</sub>)  $f$  is monotone, i.e.,  $f(x, y) + f(y, x) \leq 0$  for all  $x, y \in C$ ;

(A<sub>3</sub>) for each  $x, y, z \in C$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(tz + (1-t)x, y) \leq f(x, y);$$

(A<sub>4</sub>) for each  $x \in C$ ,  $y \rightarrow f(x, y)$  is a convex and lower semicontinuous.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $E$  be a strictly convex and smooth real Banach space,  $C$  a closed convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $T$  be a hemi-relatively nonexpansive mapping from  $C$  into itself. Then  $F(T)$  is closed and convex.*

**Proof** We firstly prove that  $F(T)$  is closed.

Indeed, if  $\{x_n\} \subset F(T)$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , then we have  $\phi(x_n, Tx) \leq \phi(x_n, x)$ . Hence,

$$\phi(x, Tx) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, Tx) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, x) = \phi(x, x) = 0.$$

This implies  $\phi(x, Tx) = 0$ , and hence  $x \in F(T)$ .

Finally, we show that  $F(T)$  is convex.

Indeed, for any  $x, y \in F(T)$ , taking  $z = tx + (1-t)y$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(z, Tz) &= \|z\|^2 - 2\langle z, J(Tz) \rangle + \|Tz\|^2 \\ &= \|z\|^2 - 2\langle tx + (1-t)y, J(Tz) \rangle + \|Tz\|^2 \\ &= \|z\|^2 - 2t\langle x, J(Tz) \rangle - 2(1-t)\langle y, J(Tz) \rangle + \|Tz\|^2 \\ &= \|z\|^2 + t\phi(x, Tz) + (1-t)\phi(y, Tz) - t\|x\|^2 - (1-t)\|y\|^2 \\ &\leq \|z\|^2 + t\phi(x, z) + (1-t)\phi(y, z) - t\|x\|^2 - (1-t)\|y\|^2 \\ &= \|z\|^2 - 2\langle tx + (1-t)y, Jz \rangle + \|z\|^2 = \phi(z, z) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $z \in F(T)$ .

**Lemma 2.2** ([4]) *Let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of a uniformly smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space  $E$ , and let  $f$  be a bifunction from  $C \times C$  to  $R$  satisfying (A<sub>1</sub>)–(A<sub>4</sub>). Let  $r > 0$  and  $x \in E$ . Then there exists  $z \in C$  such that*

$$f(z, y) + \frac{1}{r}\langle y - z, Jz - Jx \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

**Lemma 2.3** ([5]) *Let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of a uniformly smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space  $E$ , and let  $f$  be a bifunction from  $C \times C$  to  $R$  satisfying (A<sub>1</sub>)–(A<sub>4</sub>). For  $r > 0$  and  $x \in E$ , define a mapping  $T_r : E \rightarrow 2^C$  as follows:*

$$T_r(x) = \{z \in C : f(z, y) + \frac{1}{r}\langle y - z, Jz - Jx \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C\}$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Then the following holds:

- (1)  $T_r$  is single-valued;
- (2)  $T_r$  is a firmly nonexpansive-type mapping, that is, for all  $x, y \in E$ ,

$$\langle T_r x - T_r y, JT_r x - JT_r y \rangle \leq \langle T_r x - T_r y, Jx - Jy \rangle;$$

- (3)  $F(T_r) = \hat{F}(T_r) = EP(f)$ ;

(4)  $EP(f)$  is closed and convex.

**Lemma 2.4** ([5]) Let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space  $E$  and let  $f$  be a bifunction from  $C \times C$  to  $R$  satisfying  $(A_1)$ – $(A_4)$ . Then for  $r > 0$ ,  $x \in E$ , and  $q \in F(T_r)$ ,

$$\phi(q, T_r x) + \phi(T_r x, x) \leq \phi(q, x).$$

**Lemma 2.5** ([2, 6]) Let  $C$  be nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space  $E$ . Then

$$\phi(x, \Pi_C y) + \phi(\Pi_C y, y) \leq \phi(x, y), \quad \forall x \in C, y \in E.$$

**Lemma 2.6** ([6]) Let  $E$  be a smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be sequences in  $E$  such that either  $\{x_n\}$  or  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded. If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, y_n) = 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.7** ([7–9]) Let  $E$  be a smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let  $r > 0$ . Then there exists a strictly increasing, continuous and convex function  $h : [0, 2r] \rightarrow R$  such that  $h(0) = 0$  and

$$h(\|x - y\|) \leq \phi(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in B_r$ , where  $B_r = \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq r\}$ .

Recall that an operator  $S$  in a Banach space is called closed. If  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $Tx_n \rightarrow y$ , then  $Tx = y$ .

### 3. The main results

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $E$  be a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space, and  $C$  a nonempty closed convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $f$  be a bifunction from  $C \times C$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $(A_1)$ – $(A_4)$ , and  $S$  a closed hemi-relatively nonexpansive mapping from  $C$  into itself such that  $F(S) \cap EP \neq \emptyset$ . Assume,  $A : C \rightarrow E^*$  is  $\alpha$ -inverse-strongly monotone mapping.  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence generated by  $x_0 = x \in C$ ,  $C_0 = C$  and

$$\begin{cases} y_n = J^{-1}(a_n Jx_n + (1 - a_n)JSx_n), \\ u_n \in C \text{ such that } f(u_n, y) + \langle Au_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Ju_n - Jy_n \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \phi(z, u_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n)\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

for every  $n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ , where  $J$  is the duality mapping on  $E$ ,  $\{a_n\} \subset [0, 1]$  satisfies  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(1 - a_n) > 0$  and  $\{r_n\} \subset [a, \infty)$  for some  $a > 0$ . Then,  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $\Pi_{F(S) \cap EP} x$ , where  $\Pi_{F(S) \cap EP}$  is the generalized projection of  $E$  onto  $F(S) \cap EP$ .

**Proof** Firstly, we may define a bifunction  $g : C \times C \rightarrow R$  by

$$g(x, y) = f(x, y) + \langle Ax, y - x \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

We claim that the bifunction  $g$  satisfies conditions  $(A_1)$ – $(A_4)$ .

Indeed, we can see easily that  $g(x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in C$ , i.e.,  $(A_1)$  holds. Next, we can prove easily that  $g(z, y) + g(y, z) \leq 0$  for all  $y, z \in C$  by way of the assumption that  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -inverse-strongly monotone. By virtue of the continuity of  $x \rightarrow \langle Ax, y - x \rangle$ , we can conclude  $g$  satisfies  $(A_3)$ . Below, we may prove  $y \mapsto g(x, y)$  is convex for any  $x \in C$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, ty + (1 - t)z) &= f(x, ty + (1 - t)z) + \langle Ax, ty + (1 - t)z - x \rangle \\ &\leq tf(x, y) + (1 - t)f(x, z) + t\langle Ax, y - x \rangle + (1 - t)\langle Ax, z - x \rangle \\ &= tg(x, y) + (1 - t)g(x, z). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove that  $y \mapsto g(x, y)$  is lower semi-continuous.

Indeed, if  $\{y_n\} \subset C$  with  $y_n \rightarrow y \in C$ , then

$$g(x, y) = f(x, y) + \langle Ax, y - x \rangle \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x, y_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Ax, y_n - x \rangle = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(x, y_n).$$

Thus,  $(A_4)$  also holds for  $g(x, y)$ .

From all the proof above, (3.1) can actually be equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} y_n = J^{-1}(a_n Jx_n + (1 - a_n)JSx_n), \\ u_n \in C \text{ such that } g(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n}\langle y - u_n, Ju_n - Jy_n \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \phi(z, u_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n)\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}}x, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

where  $S : C \rightarrow C$  is a nonexpansive mapping defined by (3.2), and  $g(x, y)$  is a bifunction satisfying the conditions  $(A_1)$ – $(A_4)$ . Now we have  $EP = EP(g)$ , for

$$EP(g) = \{z \in C : g(z, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C\} = \{z \in C : f(z, y) + \langle Az, y - z \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\} = EP.$$

Below, we shall prove  $\{x_n\}$  generated by (3.2) converges strongly to  $\Pi_{F(S) \cap EP(g)}x$ .

Since the bifunction  $g$  satisfies conditions  $(A_1)$ – $(A_4)$ , we know by Lemma 2.3(4) that  $EP(g)$  is closed and convex. In addition, Lemma 2.1 tells us that  $F(S)$  is also closed and convex so that  $\Pi_{F(S) \cap EP(g)}$  is well defined.

Secondly, since the bifunction  $g$  satisfies conditions  $(A_1)$ – $(A_4)$ , we may still denote  $u_n = T_{r_n}y_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 yield that each  $T_{r_n}$  is relatively nonexpansive. We claim that each  $C_n$  is closed and convex.

Indeed, since

$$\phi(z, u_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n) \Leftrightarrow \|u_n\|^2 - \|x_n\|^2 - 2\langle z, Ju_n - Jx_n \rangle \geq 0,$$

$C_n$  is closed and convex for all  $n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ . This implies each  $\Pi_{C_{n+1}}$  is well defined.

Next, we show by induction that  $EP(g) \cap F(S) \subset C_n$  for all  $n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ .

Indeed, from  $C_0 = C$ , we have  $F(S) \cap EP(g) \subset C_0$ .

Suppose that  $F(S) \cap EP(g) \subset C_k$  for some  $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $u \in F(S) \cap EP(g) \subset C_k$ . Since  $T_{r_k}$  is relatively nonexpansive, and  $S$  is hemi-relatively nonexpansive, we get by Lemmas 2.3 and

2.4

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(u, u_k) &= \phi(u, T_{r_k} y_k) \leq \phi(u, y_k) \\
&= \phi(u, J^{-1}(a_k Jx_k + (1 - a_k)JSx_k)) \\
&= \|u\|^2 - 2\langle u, a_k Jx_k + (1 - a_k)JSx_k \rangle + \|a_k Jx_k + (1 - a_k)JSx_k\|^2 \\
&\leq \|u\|^2 - 2a_k \langle u, Jx_k \rangle - 2(1 - a_k) \langle u, JSx_k \rangle + a_k \|x_k\|^2 + (1 - a_k) \|Sx_k\|^2 \\
&= a_k \phi(u, x_k) + (1 - a_k) \phi(u, Sx_k) \leq \phi(u, x_k).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have  $u \in C_{k+1}$ . This implies

$$EP(g) \cap F(S) \subset C_n, \quad \forall n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}.$$

So,  $\{x_n\}$  is well defined.

From the definition of  $x_n$ , we get by Lemma 2.5

$$\phi(x_n, x) = \phi(\Pi_{C_n} x, x) \leq \phi(u, x) - \phi(u, \Pi_{C_n} x) \leq \phi(u, x)$$

for all  $u \in F(S) \cap EP(g) \subset C_n$ . Then  $\phi(x_n, x)$  is bounded. Thereby, both  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{Sx_n\}$  are bounded.

From  $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n$  and  $x_n = \Pi_{C_n} x$ , we have

$$\phi(x_n, x) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x), \quad \forall n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus, the limit of  $\{\phi(x_n, x)\}$  exists owing to the boundedness of the monotone real sequence  $\{\phi(x_n, x)\}$ . Denote

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, x) = d. \quad (3.3)$$

From Lemma 2.5, we know that for any positive integer  $m$ ,

$$\phi(x_{n+m}, x_n) = \phi(x_{n+m}, \Pi_{C_n} x) \leq \phi(x_{n+m}, x_0) - \phi(x_n, x_0), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.4)$$

and hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_{n+m}, x_n) = 0.$$

Next, we claim that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. If not, there exists a constant  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and subsequences  $\{n_k\}, \{m_k\} \subset \{n\}$  such that

$$\|x_{n_k+m_k} - x_{n_k}\| \geq \varepsilon_0,$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ .

In addition, we get by (3.3) and (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(x_{n_k+m_k}, x_{n_k}) &\leq \phi(x_{n_k+m_k}, x) - \phi(x_{n_k}, x) \\
&\leq |\phi(x_{n_k+m_k}, x) - d| + |\phi(x_{n_k}, x) - d| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

The boundedness of  $\{x_n\}$  can be obtained by (2.1) and (3.3). Hence, we get by Lemma 2.6 that

$$\|x_{n_k+m_k} - x_{n_k}\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

The contradiction implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Since

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) = \phi(x_{n+1}, \Pi_{C_n} x) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x) - \phi(\Pi_{C_n} x, x) = \phi(x_{n+1}, x) - \phi(x_n, x)$$

for all  $n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0$ . From  $x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x \in C_{n+1}$ , we get by (3.2)

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, u_n) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n), \quad \forall n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}.$$

Thereby,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, u_n) = 0.$$

Thus,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0$  and Lemma 2.6 yield

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - u_n\|,$$

and hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - u_n\| = 0.$$

Since  $J$  is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|J(x_n) - J(u_n)\| = 0.$$

Let  $r = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\|x_n\|, \|Sx_n\|\}$ . Since  $E$  is a uniformly smooth Banach space, we know that  $E^*$  is a uniformly convex Banach space. Therefore, from Lemma 2.7, there exists a continuous, strictly increasing, and convex function  $h$  with  $h(0) = 0$  such that

$$\|\alpha x^* + (1 - \alpha)y^*\|^2 \leq \alpha \|x^*\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|y^*\|^2 - \alpha(1 - \alpha)h(\|x^* - y^*\|)$$

for all  $x^*, y^* \in B_r^*$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , where  $B_r^* = \{x^* \in E^* : x^* = Jx, x \in B_r\}$ . Thanks to the assumptions on the Banach space  $E$ , the normalized duality mapping is really a single-valued and one-to-one surjection of  $E$  onto  $E^*$ , which deduces  $B_r^* = \{x^* \in E^* : \|x^*\| \leq r\}$ . So, for  $u \in F(S) \cap EP(g)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u, u_n) &= \phi(u, T_{r_n} y_n) \leq \phi(u, y_n) = \phi(u, J^{-1}(a_n Jx_n + (1 - a_n)JSx_n)) \\ &= \|u\|^2 - 2\langle u, a_n Jx_n + (1 - a_n)JSx_n \rangle + \|a_n Jx_n + (1 - a_n)JSx_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \|u\|^2 - 2a_n \langle u, Jx_n \rangle - 2(1 - a_n) \langle u, JSx_n \rangle + a_n \|x_n\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|Sx_n\|^2 - \\ &\quad a_n(1 - a_n)h(\|Jx_n - JSx_n\|) \\ &= a_n \phi(u, x_n) + (1 - a_n) \phi(u, Sx_n) - a_n(1 - a_n)h(\|Jx_n - JSx_n\|) \\ &\leq \phi(u, x_n) - a_n(1 - a_n)h(\|Jx_n - JSx_n\|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$a_n(1 - a_n)h(\|Jx_n - JSx_n\|) \leq \phi(u, x_n) - \phi(u, u_n). \tag{3.5}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u, x_n) - \phi(u, u_n) &= \|x_n\|^2 - \|u_n\|^2 - 2\langle u, Jx_n - Ju_n \rangle \\ &\leq \| \|x_n\| - \|u_n\| \|(\|x_n\| + \|u_n\|) + 2\|u\| \cdot \|Jx_n - Ju_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - u_n\|(\|x_n\| + \|u_n\|) + 2\|u\| \cdot \|Jx_n - Ju_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\phi(u, x_n) - \phi(u, u_n)) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

From  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(1 - a_n) > 0$ , we get by (3.5)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\|Jx_n - JSx_n\|) = 0.$$

The property of  $h$  yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Jx_n - JSx_n\| = 0.$$

Since  $J^{-1}$  is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Sx_n\| = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Since  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, there exists a point  $p \in C$  such that  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $p$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\| = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Since  $S$  is a closed operator, we know by (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$p \in F(S).$$

Next, we shall show  $p \in EP(g)$  so that

$$p \in F(S) \cap EP(g). \quad (3.9)$$

Indeed, since  $u_n = T_{r_n}y_n$  and  $\phi(u, y_n) \leq \phi(u, x_n)$ , we get by Lemma 2.4

$$\phi(u_n, y_n) \leq \phi(u, y_n) - \phi(u, T_{r_n}y_n) \leq \phi(u, x_n) - \phi(u, T_{r_n}y_n) = \phi(u, x_n) - \phi(u, u_n).$$

Then we get by (3.6)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(u_n, y_n) = 0.$$

So we get by the boundedness of  $\{u_n\}$  and Lemma 2.6

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - y_n\| = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Thus, all the sequences  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{u_n\}$  converge strongly to the same element  $p \in F(S)$ .

Since  $J$  is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets, we get by (3.10) and  $r_n \geq a$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|Ju_n - Jy_n\|}{r_n} = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

From  $u_n = T_{r_n}y_n$ , we have

$$g(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Ju_n - Jy_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (3.12)$$

Since  $g$  satisfies the conditions (A<sub>1</sub>)–(A<sub>4</sub>), we can get by (3.11), (3.12) and by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  that

$$g(y, p) \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (3.13)$$

For  $t$  with  $0 < t \leq 1$  and  $y \in C$ , let  $y_t = ty + (1 - t)p$ . Since  $y \in C$  and  $p \in C$ , we have  $y_t \in C$ , and hence  $g(y_t, p) \leq 0$ . So, we get by (A<sub>1</sub>) and (A<sub>4</sub>)

$$0 = g(y_t, y_t) \leq tg(y_t, y) + (1 - t)g(y_t, p) \leq tg(y_t, y).$$

Thus,

$$g(y_t, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

Letting  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ , we get by (A<sub>3</sub>)

$$g(p, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

Therefore,  $p \in EP(g)$ , and hence (3.9) holds.

Finally, we show that  $p = \Pi_{F(S) \cap EP(g)} x$ .

Indeed, we can get by Lemma 2.5

$$\phi(p, \Pi_{F(S) \cap EP(g)} x) + \phi(\Pi_{F(S) \cap EP(g)} x, x) \leq \phi(p, x). \quad (3.14)$$

On the other hand, since  $x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x$  and  $F(S) \cap EP(g) \subset C_n$  for all  $n$ , we get by Lemma 2.5

$$\phi(\Pi_{F(S) \cap EP(g)} x, x_{n+1}) + \phi(x_{n+1}, x) \leq \phi(\Pi_{F(S) \cap EP(g)} x, x). \quad (3.15)$$

Then we can get by (3.14) and (3.15) that both  $\phi(p, x) \leq \phi(\Pi_{F(S) \cap EP(g)} x, x)$  and  $\phi(p, x) \geq \phi(\Pi_{F(S) \cap EP(g)} x, x)$  hold, and hence  $\phi(p, x) = \phi(\Pi_{F(S) \cap EP(g)} x, x)$ . It follows by the uniqueness of  $\Pi_{F(S) \cap EP(g)} x$  that  $p = \Pi_{F(S) \cap EP(g)} x$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark** Letting  $A \equiv 0$  in Theorem 3.1, and replacing the closed hemi-relatively nonexpansive mapping with relatively nonexpansive mapping, we see, Theorem 3.1 is reduced to Takahashi-Zembayashi [1, Theorem 3.1].

**Acknowledgement** The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to Professor Shihsen Chang for helpful discussions on some relative literatures.

## References

- [1] TAKAHASHI W, ZEMBAYASHI K. *Strong convergence theorem by a new hybrid method for equilibrium problems and relatively nonexpansive mappings* [J]. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2008, 1–11.
- [2] ALBER Y I. *Metric and Generalized Projection Operators in Banach Spaces: Properties and Applications* [M]. New York, 1996.
- [3] MATSUSHITA S, TAKAHASHI W. *Weak and strong convergence theorems for relatively nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces* [J]. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2004, 1: 37–47.
- [4] BLUM E, OETTLI W. *From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems* [J]. Math. Student, 1994, 63(1-4): 123–145.
- [5] TAKAHASHI W, ZEMBAYASHI K. *Strong and weak convergence theorems for equilibrium problems and relatively nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces* [J]. Nonlinear Anal., 2009, 70(1): 45–57.
- [6] KAMIMURA S, TAKAHASHI W. *Strong convergence of a proximal-type algorithm in a Banach space* [J]. SIAM J. Optim., 2002, 13(3): 938–945.
- [7] XU Hongkun. *Inequalities in Banach spaces with applications* [J]. Nonlinear Anal., 1991, 16(12): 1127–1138.
- [8] ZĂLINESCU C. *Convex Analysis in General Vector Space* [M]. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [9] ZĂLINESCU C. *On uniformly convex functions* [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1983, 95(2): 344–374.
- [10] AL'BER Y I, REICH S. *An iterative method for solving a class of nonlinear operator equations in Banach spaces* [J]. Panamer. Math. J., 1994, 4(2): 39–54.