# A New Fixed Point Theorem in Noncompact L-Convex Metric Spaces with Applications to Minimax Inequalities and Saddle Points

### Kai Ting WEN

Department of Mathematics, Bijie University, Guizhou 551700, P. R. China

**Abstract** In this paper, a new fixed point theorem is established in noncompact complete Lconvex metric spaces. As applications, a maximal element theorem, a minimax inequality and a saddle point theorem are obtained.

**Keywords** L-convex metric space; fixed point; maximal element; minimax inequality; saddle point.

Document code A MR(2010) Subject Classification 47H04; 47H10; 52A99; 58E35 Chinese Library Classification 0177.91

## 1. Introduction

In 1956, Aronszajn and Panitchpakdi [1] introduced the notion of hyperconvex metric spaces. Recently, Khamsi [2] established a hyperconvex version of the famous KKM-Fan principle. Park [3] obtained a Ky Fan matching theorem for open covers, a fixed point theorem and other results in hyperconvex spaces. Kirk et al. [4] established KKM theory in hyperconvex spaces and as applications of their results, a fixed point theorem, maximal element theorem and the other results were given. In [5–12], we established fixed point theorems, Ky Fan matching theorem and other results in noncompact hyperconvex spaces.

In 2001, Ding and Xia [13] introduced H-metric spaces and established some generalized H-KKM theorems in H-metric spaces. In 2005, Meng et al. [14] introduced G-convex metric spaces and established some generalized KKM theorems and fixed point theorems in G-convex metric spaces. In [15–17], we introduced L-convex metric spaces, and established some GLKKM theorems, fixed point theorems, Ky Fan matching theorems and equilibrium existence theorems for abstract economies and qualitative games in complete L-convex metric spaces.

In this paper, a new fixed point theorem is established in noncompact complete L-convex metric spaces. As applications, a maximal element theorem, a minimax inequality and a saddle point theorem are obtained. Our results unify, improve and generalize some recent known results in several aspects.

Received March 18, 2009; Accepted September 15, 2009

Supported by the Natural Science Research Foundation of Guizhou Provincial Education Department (Grant No. 2008072) and the Natural Science Foundation of Science and Technology Bureau of Bijie Area (Grant No. 2008-06).

E-mail address: wenkaiting\_2004@sina.com.cn

#### 2. Preliminaries

Let X be a nonempty set. We denote by  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  and  $2^X$  the family of all nonempty finite subsets of X and the family of all subsets of X, respectively, by |A| the cardinality of A for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ , and by  $\triangle_n$  the standard *n*-dimensional simplex with vertices  $e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Let X and Y be two topological spaces. We denote by  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  the class of single-valued continuous maps of X into Y. Let X be a nonempty set and Y a topological space. A mapping  $G: X \to 2^Y$ is said to be transfer compactly open (resp., closed) valued if for each  $x \in X$  and for each compact set  $K \subset Y, y \in G(x) \cap K$  (resp.,  $y \notin G(x) \cap K$ ) implies that there exists  $x' \in X$  such that  $y \in \operatorname{int}_K(G(x') \cap K)$  (resp.,  $y \notin cl_K(G(x') \cap K)$ ) (see [15,17]).

Following Wen [15–17], an L-convexity structure on a topological space X is given by a mapping  $\Gamma : \mathcal{F}(X) \to 2^X$  satisfying the following condition: for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  with |A| = n + 1, there exists a continuous mapping  $\phi_A : \Delta_n \to \Gamma(A)$  such that  $B \in \mathcal{F}(A)$  with |B| = J + 1, implies  $\phi_A(\Delta_J) \subset \Gamma(B)$ , where  $\Delta_J$  denotes the face of  $\Delta_n$  corresponding to  $B \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ . The pair  $(X, \Gamma)$  is then called an L-convex space. A set  $D \subset X$  is said to be L-convex if for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(D), \Gamma(A) \subset D$ . Let X be a nonempty set and  $(Y, \Gamma)$  be an L-convex space. A mapping  $G : X \to 2^Y$  is said to be a GLKKM mapping if for each  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ , there exists  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$  such that for any nonempty subset  $\{y_{i_1}, \ldots, y_{i_k}\} \subset \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ , we have  $\Gamma(\{y_{i_j} : j = 1, \ldots, k\}) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k G(x_{i_j})$ .  $(M, d, \Gamma)$  is said to be an L-convex metric space if (M, d) is a metric space and  $(M, \Gamma)$  is an L-convex space such that  $\Gamma(A) \subset \operatorname{co}(A)$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ .

The following result, in which Y need not be a topological space, is Lemma 1.3 of Wen [17], which is the improving version of Lemma 1.1 of Wen [6] and Lemma 2.1 of Ding [18].

**Lemma 2.1** ([17]) Let X be a topological space, Y a nonempty set, K a nonempty compact subset of X and  $G: X \to 2^Y$  a mapping such that  $G(x) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in K$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) G has the compactly local intersection property;

(b) For each  $y \in Y$ , there exists an open subset  $O_y$  of X such that  $O_y \cap K \subset G^{-1}(y)$  and  $K = \bigcup_{y \in Y} (O_y \cap K);$ 

(c) There exists a mapping  $F: X \to 2^Y$  such that for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $F^{-1}(y)$  is open in X,  $F^{-1}(y) \cap K \subset G^{-1}(y)$ , and  $K = \bigcup_{y \in Y} (F^{-1}(y) \cap K)$ ;

(d) For each  $x \in K$ , there exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $x \in \operatorname{cint} G^{-1}(y) \cap K$  and  $K = \bigcup_{y \in Y} (\operatorname{cint} G^{-1}(y) \cap K) = \bigcup_{y \in Y} (G^{-1}(y) \cap K);$ 

(e)  $G^{-1}$  is transfer compactly open valued on X.

Now, we introduce the following definitions and lemmas.

**Definition 2.1** Let X be a nonempty set,  $(Y, \Gamma)$  an L-convex space and  $A, B : X \to 2^Y$  two mappings. A is said to be relatively L-convex valued in B if for each  $x \in X$  and for each  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(B(x)), \Gamma(\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}) \subset A(x).$ 

**Remark 2.1** Obviously, A is relatively L-convex valued in A if A is L-convex valued, but A need not be L-convex valued if A is relatively L-convex valued in B. A is relatively L-convex

valued in B if A is L-convex valued and for each  $x \in X, B(x) \subset A(x)$ , but the inverse is not true. A is nonempty valued if A is relatively L-convex valued in B and B is nonempty valued.

**Definition 2.2** Let X be an nonempty set,  $(Y, \Gamma)$  an L-convex space,  $\gamma \in R$  a real number and  $f, g: X \times Y \to \overline{R} := R \cup \{\pm \infty\}$  two functions. f is said to be relatively L- $\gamma$ -quasiconcave (resp., L- $\gamma$ -quasiconvex) in g on y if for each  $x \in X$  and for each  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(\{y \in Y : g(x, y) > \gamma\})$  (resp.,  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(\{y \in Y : g(x, y) < \gamma\})$ ),  $\Gamma(\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}) \subset \{y \in Y : f(x, y) > \gamma\}$  (resp.,  $\Gamma(\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}) \subset \{y \in Y : f(x, y) < \gamma\}$ ). Where the strict inequality > (resp., <) can be replaced equivalently by the inequality  $\geq$  (resp.,  $\leq$ ).

**Remark 2.2** Definition 2.2 unifies and generalizes the definition of L-quasiconcave (resp., L-quasiconvex) of Lu et al. [19] and Ding et al. [20], Definition 2.5(1) of Kirk et al. [4], Definition 1.1 of Wen [6], Definition 1.4 of Zhang [21], Definition 5 of Liu [22] and Definition 1.2(2) of Tan [23].

Clearly, we have the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2** Let X be a nonempty set,  $(Y, \Gamma)$  an L-convex space,  $\gamma \in R$  a real number,  $f, g: X \times Y \to \overline{R}$  two functions and  $A, B: X \to 2^Y$  two mappings defined by  $A(x) := \{y \in Y : f(x, y) > \gamma\}$  and  $B(x) := \{y \in Y : g(x, y) > \gamma\}$  for each  $x \in X$ , respectively. Then f is relatively L- $\gamma$ -quasiconcave in g on y if and only if A is relatively L-convex valued in B.

**Lemma 2.3** Let X be a nonempty set,  $(Y, \Gamma)$  an L-convex space,  $\gamma \in R$  a real number,  $f, g: X \times Y \to \overline{R}$  two functions. Then f is relatively L- $\gamma$ -quasiconcave in g on y if and only if -f is relatively L- $\gamma$ -quasiconvex in -g on y.

**Definition 2.3** ([17]) Let X be a nonempty set, Y a topological space and  $\gamma \in R$  a real number. A function  $g: X \times Y \to \overline{R}$  is said to be  $\gamma$ -transfer compactly lower semicontinuous (in short,  $\gamma$ -t.c.l.s.c.) (resp.,  $\gamma$ -transfer compactly upper semicontinuous (in short,  $\gamma$ -t.c.u.s.c.)) in y if for each nonempty compactly subset K of Y and for each  $x \in X$  and  $y \in K$ ,  $g(x, y) > \gamma$  (resp.,  $g(x, y) < \gamma$ ) implies that there exist  $x' \in X$  and a relatively open neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}(y)$  of y in K such that  $g(x', z) > \gamma$  (resp.,  $g(x', z) < \gamma$ ) for all  $z \in \mathcal{N}(y)$ .

**Lemma 2.4** ([17]) Let X be a nonempty set, Y a topological space and  $\gamma \in R$  a real number. Then a function  $g: X \times Y \to \overline{R}$  is  $\gamma$ -t.c.l.s.c. (resp.,  $\gamma$ -t.c.u.s.c.) in y if and only if the mapping  $G: X \to 2^Y$  defined by  $G(x) := \{y \in Y : g(x, y) \leq \gamma\}$  (resp.,  $G(x) := \{y \in Y : g(x, y) \geq \gamma\}$ ) for each  $x \in X$  is transfer compactly closed valued.

#### 3. Main results

**Theorem 3.1** Let X be a topological space, Y a nonempty subset of a complete L-convex metric space  $(M, d, \Gamma)$ . Suppose  $s \in \mathcal{C}(M, X)$  is a continuous map and  $A, B : X \to 2^Y \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  are two nonempty valued mappings satisfying

(i)  $\inf_{y \in Y} \mu(s^{-1}(X \setminus B^{-1}(y))) = 0;$ 

- (ii) B satisfies one of the conditions (a) $\sim$ (e) in Lemma 2.1;
- (iii) A is relatively L-convex valued in B.

Then, there exists  $y_0 \in Y$  such that  $y_0 \in A(s(y_0))$ .

**Proof** Since B is nonempty valued, we have:

- (a)  $X = \bigcup_{y \in Y} B^{-1}(y).$
- We claim that
- (b) There exist  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$  and  $y_0 \in \Gamma(\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\})$  such that  $s(y_0) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n B^{-1}(y_i)$ .

Suppose the conclusion of (b) is false, which implies that for each  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$ ,  $s(\Gamma(\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\})) \subset X \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^n B^{-1}(y_i)$ . Define the mapping  $B^* : Y \to 2^X$  by

$$B^*(y) := X \setminus B^{-1}(y)$$
 for each  $y \in Y$ .

Then  $s(\Gamma(\{y_1,\ldots,y_n\})) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n B^*(y_i)$ , and hence,  $\Gamma(\{y_1,\ldots,y_n\}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n (s^{-1}B^*)(y_i)$ . Define  $G: Y \to 2^M$  by

$$G(y) := (s^{-1}B^*)(y)$$
 for each  $y \in Y$ .

Then,  $\Gamma(\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n G(y_i)$ , thus, G is a GLKKM mapping, moreover, by (i),  $\inf_{y \in Y} \mu(G(y)) = 0$ . By (ii),  $B^{-1}$  is transfer compactly open valued, which implies that  $B^*$  is transfer compactly closed valued. By the continuity of s, G is also transfer compactly closed valued. In virtue of Theorem 2.1 of Wen [17],  $\bigcap_{y \in Y} G(y) = \bigcap_{y \in Y} (s^{-1}B^*)(y)$  is nonempty and compact, thus,  $\bigcap_{y \in Y} B^*(y) = X \setminus \bigcup_{y \in Y} B^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$ , which contradicts (a).

Finally, by (b), there exist  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$  and  $y_0 \in \Gamma(\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\})$  such that  $s(y_0) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n B^{-1}(y_i)$ , which results in that  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(B(s(y_0)))$ . By (iii), we have  $\Gamma(\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}) \subset A(s(y_0))$ . Therefore,  $y_0 \in \Gamma(\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}) \subset A(s(y_0))$ .

**Remark 3.1** Note that a metric space (M, d) is complete if (M, d) is hyperconvex by Proposition 1 of Khamsi [2]. If X = Y is a nonempty L-convex subset of  $(M, d, \Gamma)$  and  $s = I_X$ ,  $s \in \mathcal{C}(X, X)$ , certainly. Let X = Y = M be a hyperconvex space, A = B and  $s = I_X$ . If there exists a compact subset K of X and  $y_0 \in X$  such that  $X \setminus K \subset \operatorname{int} A^{-1}(y_0)$ , then  $X \setminus A^{-1}(y_0) \subset X \setminus \operatorname{int} A^{-1}(y_0) \subset K$ . And hence,  $\mu(s^{-1}(X \setminus A^{-1}(y_0))) = \mu(X \setminus A^{-1}(y_0)) = 0$ . Thus, the condition (i) holds. Suppose X = Y is compact L-convex subset of  $(M, d, \Gamma)$ . Then the condition (i) is also satisfied trivially. If  $B^{-1}$  is open valued or transfer open valued, the condition (ii) is satisfied. If A = B is L-convex valued, the condition (iii) holds, of course. Therefore, Theorem 3.1 unifies, improves and generalizes Theorem 3 of Park [3], Theorem 3.1 of Kirk et al. [4], Theorem 3.1 of Wen [5], Theorem 2.5 of Wen [16], Theorem 2.6 of Wen [17], Lemma 2.2 of Zhang [21], Corollaries 2 and 3 of Chen and Shen [24], Theorem 8 of Park [25], Theorem 3.6 of Yuan [26], Theorems 2.11, 2.22 of Yuan [27] and Theorem 3.3 of Wen [28].

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1, we have the following maximal element theorem in L-convex metric spaces.

**Theorem 3.2** Let X be a topological space, Y a nonempty subset of a complete L-convex metric space  $(M, d, \Gamma)$ . Suppose  $s \in \mathcal{C}(M, X)$  is a continuous map and  $A, B : X \to 2^Y$  are two

nonempty valued mappings satisfying

- (i)  $\inf_{y \in Y} \mu(s^{-1}(X \setminus B^{-1}(y))) = 0;$
- (ii) B satisfies one of the conditions (a) $\sim$ (e) in Lemma 2.1;
- (iii) A is relatively L-convex valued in B;
- (iv) For each  $y \in Y$ ,  $y \notin A(s(y))$ .
- Then, there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $B(x_0) = \emptyset$ .

**Remark 3.2** Let X = Y = M be a hyperconvex metric space. Then M is a complete L-convex metric space. Moreover, if X = Y = M is compact, the condition (i) is satisfied trivially. If  $A^{-1}$  is transfer open valued, the condition (ii) is satisfied, certainly. If A(x) = B(x) is either empty or admissible for each  $x \in X$ , the condition (iii) holds. If  $s = I_X$ , of course,  $s \in C(X, X)$ . Therefore, Theorem 3.2 improves and generalizes Theorem 3.4 of Kirk et al. [4] in several aspects. Meanwhile, Theorem 3.2 improves and generalizes Theorem 3.4 of Wen [28].

**Theorem 3.3** Let X be a topological space, Y a nonempty subset of a complete L-convex metric space  $(M, d, \Gamma)$ ,  $s \in \mathcal{C}(M, X)$  a continuous map,  $\gamma \in R$  a real number. Suppose  $f, g: X \times Y \to \overline{R}$  are two functions satisfying

- (i)  $\inf_{y \in Y} \mu(s^{-1}\{x \in X : g(x, y) \le \gamma\}) = 0;$
- (ii) g(x, y) is  $\gamma$ -t.c.l.s.c. in x;
- (iii) f is relatively L- $\gamma$ -quasiconcave in g on y;
- (iv) For each  $y \in Y$ ,  $f(s(y), y) \le \gamma$ .

Then, there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\sup_{y \in Y} g(x_0, y) \leq \gamma$ .

**Proof** Define  $A, B: X \to 2^Y$  by  $A(x) := \{y \in Y : f(x, y) > \gamma\}$  and  $B(x) := \{y \in Y : g(x, y) > \gamma\}$  for each  $x \in X$ . Then,  $B^*(y) := X \setminus B^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X : g(x, y) \le \gamma\}$  for each  $y \in Y$ . By (i),  $\inf_{y \in Y} \mu(s^{-1}(X \setminus B^{-1}(y))) = 0$ . By (ii) and Lemma 2.4,  $B^*$  is transfer compactly closed valued, and hence,  $B^{-1}$  is transfer compactly open valued. i.e., B satisfies the condition (e) in Lemma 2.1. By (iii) and Lemma 2.2, A is relatively L-convex valued in B. By (iv), for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $y \notin A(s(y))$ . In virtue of Theorem 3.2, there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $B(x_0) = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $g(x_0, y) \le \gamma$  for all  $y \in Y$ , and hence  $\sup_{y \in Y} g(x_0, y) \le \gamma$ .

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $(X, d, \Gamma)$  be a complete L-convex metric space,  $s \in C(X, X)$  a continuous map. Suppose  $f, g: X \times X \to \overline{R}$  are two functions satisfying

 $(i) \ \inf_{y \in X} \mu(s^{-1}\{x \in X : g(x,y) \le 0\}) = \inf_{x \in X} \mu(s^{-1}\{y \in X : g(x,y) \ge 0\}) = 0;$ 

(ii) g(x, y) is 0-t.c.l.s.c. in x and 0-t.c.u.s.c. in y;

(iii) f(x, y) is relatively L-0-quasiconcave in g on y and -f(x, y) is relatively L-0-quasiconvex in -g on x;

(iv) For each  $y \in X$ , f(s(y), y) = f(y, s(y)) = 0.

Then g has a saddle point in  $X \times X$ , i.e., there exists  $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times X$  such that

$$\sup_{y \in X} \inf_{x \in X} g(x, y) = g(x_0, y_0) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in X} g(x, y).$$

**Proof** By conditions (i)–(iv), in virtue of Theorem 3.3, there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that

$$\sup_{y \in X} g(x_0, y) \le 0. \tag{1}$$

Define  $h: X \times X \to \overline{R}$  by h(x, y) = -g(y, x) for each  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . Then, by condition (i),  $\inf_{y \in X} \mu(s^{-1}\{x \in X : h(x, y) \leq 0\}) = 0$ . By condition (ii), h(x, y) is 0-t.c.l.s.c. in x. By condition (iii) and Lemma 2.3, f(x, y) is relatively L-0-quasiconcave in h on y. By condition (iv) and in virtue of Theorem 3.3, there exists  $y_0 \in X$  such that  $\sup_{x \in X} h(y_0, x) \leq 0$ , i.e.,

$$\inf_{x \in X} g(x, y_0) \ge 0. \tag{2}$$

By inequalities (1) and (2), we have

$$g(x_0, y_0) = 0. (3)$$

Moreover, inequalities (1)-(3) imply

$$\inf_{x \in X} g(x, y) \le \sup_{y \in X} g(x_0, y) \le g(x_0, y_0) \le \inf_{x \in X} g(x, y_0) \le \sup_{y \in X} g(x, y).$$
(4)

In turn inequality (4) implies

$$\sup_{y \in X} \inf_{x \in X} g(x, y) \le g(x_0, y_0) \le \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in X} g(x, y),$$
(5)

$$\sup_{y \in X} \inf_{x \in X} g(x, y) \ge \inf_{x \in X} g(x, y_0) \ge g(x_0, y_0), \tag{6}$$

$$\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in X} g(x, y) \le \sup_{y \in X} g(x_0, y) \le g(x_0, y_0).$$
(7)

Therefore,

$$\sup_{y \in X} \inf_{x \in X} g(x, y) = g(x_0, y_0) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in X} g(x, y),$$

i.e.,  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a saddle point of g. The proof is completed.  $\Box$ 

#### References

- ARONSZAJN N, PANITCHPAKDI P. Extension of uniformly continuous transformation and hyperconvex metric space [J]. Pacific J. Math., 1956, 6: 405–439.
- [2] KHAMSI M A. KKM and Ky Fan theorems in hyperconvex metric spaces [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1996, 204(1): 298–306.
- [3] PARK S. Fixed point theorems in hyperconvex metric spaces [J]. Nonlinear Anal., 1999, 37(4): 467–472.
- [4] KIRK W A, SIMS B, YUAN Xianzhi. The Knaster-Kuratowski and Mazurkiewicz theory in hyperconvex metric spaces and some of its applications [J]. Nonlinear Anal., 2000, 39(5): 611–627.
- [5] WEN Kaiting. A Browder fixed point theorem in noncompact hyperconvex metric spaces and its applications to coincidence problems [J]. Adv. Math. (China), 2005, 34(2): 208–212. (in Chinese)
- [6] WEN Kaiting. A new fixed point theorem in noncompact hyperconvex metric spaces and its application to saddle point problems [J]. J. Math. Res. Exposition, 2008, 28(1): 161–168.
- [7] WEN Kaiting. A Ky Fan matching theorem for transfer compactly open covers and the application to the fixed point [J]. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed., 2006, 26(7): 1159–1165.
- [8] WEN Kaiting. A new Ky Fan type matching theorem for compactly open covers and its applications [J]. Adv. Math. (China), 2007, 36(4): 407–414.
- WEN Kaiting. Ky Fan matching theorems for transfer compactly open covers and their application to the equilibrium for abstract economies [J]. Gongcheng Shuxue Xuebao, 2008, 25(1): 149–154.
- [10] WEN Kaiting. A new maximal element theorem in noncompact hyperconvex metric spaces and its application to abstract economies [J]. College Math. (China), 2008, 24(5): 19–24.

- [11] WEN Kaiting. A Fan-Browder coincidence theorem and its application to the equilibrium for abstract economies [J]. Adv. Math. (China), 2007, 36(6): 721–727.
- [12] WEN Kaiting. The generalized metric S-KKM mapping principle and its application to the saddle point problems [J]. Adv. Math. (China), 2008, 37(4): 409–416.
- [13] DING Xieping, XIA Fuquan. Generalized H-KKM type theorems in H-metric spaces with application [J]. Appl. Math. Mech. (English Ed.), 2001, 22(10): 1140–1148.
- [14] MENG Li, SHEN Zifei, CHENG Xiaoli. Generalized KKM type theorems in G-convex metric spaces with application [J]. Acta Anal. Funct. Appl., 2005, 7(3): 273–279. (in Chinese)
- [15] WEN Kaiting. A Ky Fan matching theorem in complete L-convex metric spaces and its application to abstract economies [J]. Math. Appl., 2007, 20(3): 593–597.
- [16] WEN Kaiting. GLKKM theorems in L-convex metric spaces with application [J]. Acta Anal. Funct. Appl., 2008, 10(2): 109–115.
- [17] WEN Kaiting. A GLKKM type theorem for noncompact complete L-convex metric spaces with applications to variational inequalities and fixed points [J]. J. Math. Res. Exposition, 2009, 29(1): 19–27.
- [18] DING Xieping. Generalized variational inequalities and equilibrium problems in generalized convex spaces
   [J]. Computers Math. Appl., 1999, 38: 189–197.
- [19] LU Haishu, TANG Deshan. An intersection theorem in L-convex spaces with applications [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2005, 312: 343–356.
- [20] DING Xieping, PARK J Y. Continuous selection theorem, coincidence theorem, and generalized equilibrium in L-convex spaces [J]. Computers Math. Appl., 2002, 44: 95–103.
- [21] ZHANG Huili. Some nonlinear problem in hyperconvex metric spaces [J]. J. Appl. Anal., 2003, 9(2): 225–235.
- [22] LIU Naigong. Noncompact minimax theorem on G-convex spaces [J]. Gongcheng Shuxue Xuebao, 1999, 16(3): 73–78. (in Chinese)
- [23] TAN K K. G-KKM theorem, minimax inequalities and saddle points [J]. Nonlinear Anal. TMA, 1997, 30(7): 4151–4160.
- [24] CHEN Fengjuan, SHEN Zifei. Continuous selection theorem and coincidence theorem on hyperconvex spaces [J]. Adv. Math. (China), 2005, 34(5): 614–618.
- [25] PARK S. Fixed point theorems in locally G-convex spaces [J]. Nonlinear Anal., 2002, 48: 869–879.
- [26] YUAN Xianzhi. The characterization of generalized metric KKM mappings with open values in hyperconvex metric spaces and some applications [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1999, 235(1): 315–325.
- [27] YUAN Xianzhi. KKM Theory and Applications in Nonlinear Analysis [M]. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1999.
- [28] WEN Kaiting. A new Ky Fan matching theorem for transfer open covers with some applications in L-convex metric spaces [J]. Acta Anal. Funct. Appl., 2008, 10(4): 305–312.