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#### Abstract

Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings. $T$ is called $w$-linked over $R$ if $T$ as an $R$-module is a $w$-module. In the case of $R \subseteq T \subseteq Q_{0}(R), T$ is called a $w$-linked overring of $R$. As a generalization of Wang-McCsland-Park-Chang Theorem, we show that if $R$ is a reduced ring, then $R$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring with $w$ - $\operatorname{dim}(R) \leqslant 1$ if and only if each $w$-linked overring $T$ of $R$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring with $w-\operatorname{dim}(T) \leqslant 1$. In particular, $R$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring with $w-\operatorname{dim}(R)=0$ if and only if $R$ is an Artinian ring.
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## 1. Introduction

There has been considerable amount of research on $w$-theory over domains. Recently, by virtue of homological algebra, Yin [1] constructed $w$-module over arbitrary commutative rings. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $J$ a finitely generated ideal of $R$. J is called a $G V$-ideal, denoted by $J \in G V(R)$, if the natural homomorphism $R \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(J, R)$ is an isomorphism. An $R$-module $M$ is called a $G V$-torsion-free module if whenever $J x=0$ for some $J \in G V(R)$ and $x \in M$, then $x=0$. A $G V$-torsion-free module $M$ is called a $w$-module if $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(R / J, M)=0$ for any $J \in G V(R)$, and the $w$-envelope of $M$ is the set given by

$$
M_{w}=\{x \in E(M) \mid J x \subseteq M \text { for some } J \in G V(R)\}
$$

where $E(M)$ is the injective hull of $M$. Therefore, $M$ is a $w$-module if and only if $M_{w}=M$. For $w$-modules, readers are refereed to literature [1, 2].

Throughout this paper $R$ denotes a commutative ring with identity, $T(R)$ denotes the total quotient ring of $R$, and $Q_{0}(R)$ denotes the ring of finite fractions over $R$. In this paper, we introduce the notion of $w$-linked. Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings. $T$ is called $w$-linked over $R$ if $T$ as an $R$-module is a $w$-module. In the case of $R \subseteq T \subseteq Q_{0}(R), T$ is called a $w$-linked overring of $R$. In particular, $T(R)$ and $Q_{0}(R)$ are $w$-linked overrings of $R$.

[^0]The Krull-Akizuki Theorem states that if $R$ is a Noetherian domain with $\operatorname{dim}(R)=1$, then each overring $T$ of $R$ is a Noetherian domain with $\operatorname{dim}(T) \leqslant 1$. This was generalized to reduced Noetherian rings by Matijevic [3]. Let $R$ be a reduced Noetherian ring. He introduced the transform ring $R^{g}$, and proved that every ring between $R$ and $R^{g}$ is a Noetherian ring. Wang and McCsland [4] generalized Krull-Akizuki Theorem to strong Mori domains. Let $R$ be a strong Mori domain with $w$ - $\operatorname{dim}(R) \leqslant 1$. They showed that every $t$-linked overring $T$ of $R$ is a strong Mori domain with $w$ - $\operatorname{dim}(T) \leqslant 1$. Park [5] introduced the $w$-transform ring $R^{w g}$, and proved that every $t$-linked overring between $R$ and $R^{w g}$ is a strong Mori domain. As a corollary, she obtained Wang and McCsland's theorem again. By considering valuation overrings of strong Mori ring, Chang [6] showed that Wang and McCsland's theorem is necessary and sufficient. By introducing the concept of $w$-Noetherian ring, Yin [1] generalized Matijevic's result to $w$-Noetherian rings. $R$ is called a $w$-Noetherian ring if it has the ascending chain condition on $w$-ideals. Let $R$ be a $w$ Noetherian ring with $w$ - $\operatorname{dim}(R) \leqslant 1, T$ a $w$-linked overring of $R$, and $T \subseteq T(R)$. She showed that $T$ has the ascending chain condition on regular $w$-ideals with $w$ - $\operatorname{dim}(T) \leqslant 1$. By considering the ring $R\{X\}$ of fractions over $R[X]$, we obtain a similar Wang-McCsland-Park-Chang Theorem: If $R$ is a reduced ring, then $R$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring with $w$ - $\operatorname{dim}(R) \leqslant 1$ if and only if each $w$-linked overring $T$ of $R$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring with $w$ - $\operatorname{dim}(T) \leqslant 1$. In particular, $R$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring with $w$ - $\operatorname{dim}(R)=0$ if and only if $R$ is an Artinian ring.

## 2. Some results on $w$-module

Let $M$ be an $R$-module and $M[X]=R[X] \bigotimes_{R} M=\left\{\sum_{i} u_{i} X^{i} \mid u_{i} \in M\right\}$. For any $\alpha \in M[X]$, $c(\alpha)$ is a submodule of $M$ generated by coefficients of $\alpha$. For any $R[X]$-module $N \subseteq M[X], c(N)$ is a submodule of $M$ generated by coefficients of elements in $N$.

Lemma 2.1 ([1]) (1) $R \in G V(R)$.
(2) Let $J_{1}, J_{2}$ be finitely generated ideals of $R$, and $J_{1} \subseteq J_{2}$. If $J_{1} \in G V(R)$, then $J_{2} \in$ $G V(R)$.
(3) Let $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ be $G V$-ideals of $R$. Then $J_{1} J_{2} \in G V(R)$.
(4) Let $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ be rings. Set $R=R_{1} \times R_{2}$. Then $J=J_{1} \times J_{2} \in G V(R)$ if and only if $J_{i} \in G V\left(R_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$.

Remark 2.2 Let $J$ be a finitely generated ideal of $R$. When $R$ is a domain, then $J \in G V(R)$ if and only if $(R: J)=\{x \in T(R) \mid x J \subseteq R\}=R$, since $J \in G V(R)$ if and only if $J_{w}=R$. For any commutative ring $R, J \in G V(R)$ implies that $(R: J)=J^{-1}=\left\{x \in Q_{0}(R) \mid x J \subseteq R\right\}=R$, since $\left(R: J_{w}\right)=R$ and $\left(J_{w}\right)^{-1}=J^{-1}$. For the converse, we show it is false by constructing an example.

Example 2.3 Let $R=\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $A=0 \times \mathbb{Z}$ a finitely generated ideal of $R$. Then $T(R)=\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ and $(R: A)=A^{-1}=R$. However, $A$ is not a $G V$-ideal of $R$ by Lemma 2.1.

The consideration to establish the following lemma thanks to [1]. Here we show a better
result.
Lemma 2.4 Let $J$ be a finitely generated ideal of $R$. Then $J \in G V(R)$ if and only if $J[X] \in G V(R[X])$.

Proof It is clear that $J$ is a $G V$-ideal if and only if $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R / J, R)=0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(R / J, R)=0$. Since $R[X]$ is a faithfully flat $R$-module, it suffices to show that $R[X] \underset{R}{\bigotimes} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R / J, R) \cong$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[X]}(R[X] / J[X], R[X])$ and $R[X] \underset{R}{\bigotimes} \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(R / J, R) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{R[X]}^{1}(R[X] / J[X], R[X])$. By [7, Theorem 4.10.1], the former holds. Since $0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow R \rightarrow R / J \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow J[X] \rightarrow R[X] \rightarrow$ $R[X] / J[X] \rightarrow 0$ are short exact sequences, we have commutative diagram with exact rows:


By [7, Theorem 4.10.1], $\theta_{1}$ is isomorphism. Therefore, $\theta_{2}$ is isomorphism.
Corollary 2.5 Let $B \in G V(R[X])$. Then $c(B) \in G V(R)$, and hence there exists a non-zerodivisor $f \in B$ of $R[X]$ such that $c(f)_{w}=R$.

Proof Since $B$ is a finitely generated ideal of $R[X], c(B)[X]$ is a finitely generated ideal of $R[X]$ and $B \subseteq c(B)[X]$. By Lemma 2.1, $c(B)[X] \in G V(R[X])$, and hence $c(B) \in G V(R)$ by Lemma 2.4. Note that $c(B)$ is a finitely generated ideal of $R$, there exists $f \in B$ such that $c(f)_{w}=R$. If $f$ is a zero-divisor of $R[X]$, then $b f=0$ for some nonzero element $b \in R$. Thus $b \in b c(f)_{w} \subseteq(b c(f))_{w}=c(b f)_{w}=0$, a contradiction.

Remark 2.6 By Corollary 2.5, $\mathcal{N}_{w}=\left\{f \in R[X] \mid c(f)_{w}=R\right\}$. Then $\mathcal{N}_{w}$ is a multiplicatively closed set of non-zero-divisors of $R[X]$, and hence $R\{X\}=R[X]_{\mathcal{N}_{w}}$ is a ring of fractions over $R[X]$.

Lemma 2.7 Let $M$ be a $G V$-torsion-free $R$-module and $N$ a submodule of $M, x \in M$. If $J x \subseteq N$ for some $J \in G V(R)$, then $x \in N_{w}$.

Proof Assume that $x \neq 0$. Since $M$ is a $G V$-torsion-free module, $0 \neq r x \in N$ for some $0 \neq r \in J$. Thus $M$ is an essential extension of $N$, and hence $x \in E(N)$. Therefore, $x \in N_{w}$.

Lemma 2.8 Let $M$ be a $G V$-torsion-free $R$-module and $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ a collection $w$-submodules of $M$. Then $\bigcap A_{i}$ is a $w$-module.

Proof It is straightforward.
Lemma 2.9 Let $M$ be a $G V$-torsion-free $R$-module with submodules $A$ and $B$. Then the following hold.
(1) $c A_{w} \subseteq(c A)_{w}$ for all $c \in R$.
(2) $A \subseteq A_{w}$, and $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow A_{w} \subseteq B_{w}$.
(3) $\left(A_{w}\right)_{w}=A_{w}$.
(4) $(u)_{w}=(u)$ for a non-zero-divisor $u$ of $R$.
(5) $M_{w}=\bigcup N_{w}$ where $N$ runs over finitely generated $R$-submodule of $M$.
(6) $(I M)_{w}=\left(I_{w} M_{w}\right)_{w}$ for any ideal $I$ of $R$.
(7) $(A \bigcap B)_{w}=A_{w} \bigcap B_{w}$.

Proof (1)-(5) see [1].
(6) Clearly, $(I M)_{w} \subseteq\left(I_{w} M_{w}\right)_{w}$. On the other hand, suppose $x \in\left(I_{w} M_{w}\right)_{w}$. Then $J_{1} x \subseteq$ $I_{w} M_{w}$ for some $J_{1} \in G V(R)$. Since $J_{1}$ is finitely generated, $J_{1} J_{2} x \subseteq I M$ for some $J_{2} \in G V(R)$. By Lemma 2.7, $x \in(I M)_{w}$, and hence $(I M)_{w}=\left(I_{w} M_{w}\right)_{w}$.
(7) By Lemma 2.8, $(A \bigcap B)_{w} \subseteq A_{w} \bigcap B_{w}$. Let $x \in A_{w} \bigcap B_{w}$. Then $J_{1} x \in A, J_{2} x \in B$ for $J_{1}, J_{2} \in G V(R)$, and hence $J_{1} J_{2} x \in A \bigcap B$. By Lemma 2.7, $x \in(A \bigcap B)_{w}$. Therefore, $(A \bigcap B)_{w}=A_{w} \bigcap B_{w}$.

Lemma 2.10 Let $M$ be a $G V$-torsion-free $R$-module, $\alpha \in M[X], g \in \mathcal{N}_{w}$. Then $c(\alpha)_{w}=c(g \alpha)_{w}$.
Proof Since $c(g)^{n+1} c(\alpha)=c(g)^{n} c(g \alpha)$ for some integer $n, c(g \alpha)_{w}=\left(\left(c(g)_{w}\right)^{n} c(g \alpha)_{w}\right)_{w}=$ $\left(c(g)^{n} c(g \alpha)\right)_{w}=\left(c(g)^{n+1} c(\alpha)_{w}\right)_{w}=c(\alpha)_{w}$ by Lemma 2.9.

It is easy to see that, $M$ is a $G V$-torsion-free $R$-module if and only if $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R / J, M)=0$ for any $J \in G V(R)$. Here we have

Proposition 2.11 Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed set of non-zero-divisors of $R$ and $N$ a $w$-module. If natural homomorphism $N \rightarrow N_{S}$ is monomorphism, then $N_{S}$ as an $R$-module is $w$-module.

Proof Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(R / J, N_{S}\right) \cong R_{S} \bigotimes_{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R / J, N)$ for any $J \in G V(R), N_{S}$ is a $G V$-torsionfree $R$-module. Since $N_{S}$ is an essential extension of $N, E\left(N_{S}\right)=E(N)$. Let $J x \subseteq N_{S}$ for some $J \in G V(R)$ where $x \in E(N)$. Then $J s x \subseteq N$ for some $s \in S$, since $J$ is finitely generated. Thus $s x \in N$, and hence $x \in N_{S}$.

It is well-known that $M$ is a torsion-free $R$-module if $M[X]$ is a torsion-free $R[X]$-module, the converse is false. However, we have

Theorem $2.12 M$ is a $G V$-torsion-free $R$-module if and only if $M[X]$ is a $G V$-torsion-free $R[X]$-module.

Proof Let $M$ be a $G V$-torsion-free $R$-module and $\alpha \in M[X]$. If $B \alpha=0$ for some $B \in G V(R[X])$, then there exists $g \in B$ such that $c(g) \in G V(R)$ and $g \alpha=0$. By Lemma 2.10, $c(\alpha) \subseteq c(\alpha)_{w}=$ $\left(c(g \alpha)_{w}\right)=0$, and so $\alpha=0$. Therefore, $M[X]$ is a $G V$-torsion-free $R[X]$-module.
Suppose $M[X]$ is a $G V$-torsion-free $R[X]$-module and $\alpha \in M$. If $J \alpha=0$ for some $J \in G V(R)$, then $J[X] \alpha=0$. Since $J[X] \in G V(R[X]), \alpha=0$. Therefore, $M$ is a $G V$-torsion-free $R$-module. $\square$

Theorem 2.13 Let $M$ be a $G V$-torsion-free module. Then the following hold.
(1) $\left(M[X]_{W}\right)_{\mathcal{N}_{w}}=M\{X\}$.
(2) $M\{X\}$ as an $R[X]$-module is a $w$-module, and hence $M_{w} \subseteq M_{w}[X] \subseteq M[X]_{W} \subseteq M\{X\}$.

Proof (1) By Theorem 2.12, $M[X]$ is a $G V$-torsion-free $R[X]$-module, and hence $M[X]_{W}$ is a $G V$-torsion-free $R[X]$-module. For $0 \neq \alpha \in M[X]_{W}$, we have $f \alpha \neq 0$ for any $f \in \mathcal{N}_{w}$. Suppose $u \in M[X]_{W}$. Then $B u \subseteq M[X]$ for some $B \in G V(R[X])$, and so $f u \in M[X]$ for some $f \in B \cap \mathcal{N}_{w}$ by Corollary 2.5. So $u \in M\{X\}$, and hence $\left(M[X]_{W}\right)_{\mathcal{N}_{w}}=M\{X\}$.
(2) Since $\left(M[X]_{W}\right)_{\mathcal{N}_{w}}$ is a $G V$-torsion-free $R[X]$-module, $M\{X\}=\left(M[X]_{W}\right)_{\mathcal{N}_{w}}$ as an $R[X]$-module is a $w$-module by Proposition 2.11. Let $\beta=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} X^{i} \in M_{w}[X], a_{i} \in M_{w}$. Then there exists $J \in G V(R)$ such that $J a_{i} \subseteq M$ for any $i$, and hence $J[X] \beta \in M[X]$. Since $J[X] \in$ $G V(R[X]), M_{w}[X] \subseteq M[X]_{W}$ by Lemma 2.7, and so $M_{w} \subseteq M_{w}[X] \subseteq M[X]_{W} \subseteq M\{X\}$.

## 3. $w$-linked

Following Lucas [8], $Q_{0}(R)$ consists of those elements $\frac{a(X)}{b(X)}$, where $a(X), b(X) \in R[X]$ and $b(X)$ is a non-zero-divisor of $R[X]$ with the coefficient relations $a_{i} b_{j}=a_{j} b_{i}$ for each $i$ and $j$. For any commutative ring $R, T(R) \subseteq Q_{0}(R)$. When $R$ is a domain, then $T(R)=Q_{0}(R)$ is the quotient field of $R$.

Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of domains. Following Dobbs [9], $T$ is called $t$-linked over $R$ if $(R: J)=R$ (i.e., $J_{t}=R$ ) implies that $(T: J T)=T$ (i.e., $\left.(J T)_{t}=T\right)$ for any non-zero finitely generated ideal $J$. Wang [10] proved that $T$ is $t$-linked over $R$ if and only if $T_{w}=T$. Following the result, we extend $t$-linked to the extension of commutative rings.

Definition 3.1 Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings. $T$ is called $w$-linked over $R$ if $T$ as an $R$-module is a $w$-module. In the case of $R \subseteq T \subseteq Q_{0}(R), T$ is called a $w$-linked overring of $R$.

Remark 3.2 When $R \subseteq T$ is an extension of domains, then $w$-linked coincides with $t$-linked. For any commutative ring $R, R[X]$ is $w$-linked over $R$. In the case of $R=T(R)=Q_{0}(R)$ and $\operatorname{nilradical}(R) \neq 0$, we have $(R: A)=R$ but $(R[X]: A[X]) \neq R[X]$ for any finitely generated nilpotent ideal $A$ of $R$. Therefore, we use $w$-linked instead of $t$-linked.

Lemma 3.3 ([1]) Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings and $T$ a $G V$-torsion-free $R$-module. The following are equivalent.
(1) $T$ is $w$-linked over $R$.
(2) $A \bigcap R$ is a $w$-ideal of $R$ for any $w$-ideal $A$ of $T$.
(3) Let $P$ be a prime $w$-ideal of $T$. Then $P \cap R$ is a prime $w$-ideal of $R$.
(4) If $J \in G V(R)$, then $J T \in G V(R)$.
(5) Let $L$ be a $T$-module. If $L$ as a $T$-module is a $w$-module, then $L$ as an $R$-module is a $w$-module.

Proposition 3.4 $T(R)$ and $Q_{0}(R)$ are $w$-linked overrings of $R$.
Proof Set $T=T(R[X])$. By Proposition 2.11, $T(R)$ is a $w$-linked overring of $R$ and $T$ is $w$ -
linked over $R[X]$. Let $J \in G V(R)$. Then $J[X] \in G V(R[X])$, and hence $J T \in G V(T)$ by Lemma 3.3. Thus $T$ is $w$-linked over $R$. Let $\frac{a(X)}{b(X)} \in T$ such that $J \frac{a(X)}{b(X)} \subseteq Q_{0}(R)$ for some $J \in G V(R)$. Then for any $u \in J$, we have the coefficient relations $u a_{i} b_{j}=u a_{j} b_{i}$ for each $i$ and $j$, and so $J\left(a_{i} b_{j}-a_{j} b_{i}\right)=0$. Thus $a_{i} b_{j}=a_{j} b_{i}$, which implies that $\frac{a(X)}{b(X)} \in Q_{0}(R)$. Therefore, $Q_{0}(R)$ as an $R$-module is a $w$-module.

Lemma 3.5 Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings, $x \in T$, and $N$ an $R$-submodule of $T$. If $T$ is a $G V$-torsion-free $R$-module, then the following hold.
(1) $x N_{w} \subseteq(x N)_{w}$.
(2) If $x$ is a non-zero-divisor of $N$, then $x N_{w}=(x N)_{w}$.

Proof (1) Suppose $u \in N_{w}$. Then $J u \subseteq N$ for some $J \in G V(R)$, and hence $J x u \subseteq x N$. By Theorem 2.13, $T\{X\}$ is a $G V$-torsion-free $R$-module and $x u \in x N_{w} \subseteq T\{X\}$. By Lemma 2.7, $x N_{w} \subseteq(x N)_{w}$.
(2) It is clear that $x$ is a non-zero-divisor of $N_{w}$. Since $x N_{w} \cong N_{w}, x N_{w}$ is a $w$-module, and hence $x N_{w}=(x N)_{w}$.

Proposition 3.6 Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings and $T$ a $G V$-torsion-free $R$-module. Then the following hold
(1) $T_{w}$ is $w$-linked over $R$.
(2) $A_{w}$ is an ideal of $T_{w}$ for any ideal $A$ of $T$.
(3) Let $P$ be a prime ideal of $T$ and $P \cap R$ a $w$-ideal of $R$. Then $P_{w} \neq T_{w}$.
(4) Let $P$ be a prime ideal of $T$ and $P_{w} \neq T_{w}$. Then $P_{w}$ is a prime ideal of $T_{w}$ and $P_{w} \cap T=P$.
(5) Let $P$ be a prime ideal of $T, P_{w} \neq T_{w}, P_{1}$ a prime ideal of $T_{w}$ such that $P_{1} \subseteq P_{w}$, and $P_{1} \bigcap T=P$. Then $P_{1}=P_{w}$.
(6) Let $P$ be a prime ideal of $T$. If ht $P_{w}=0$, then ht $P=0$.

Proof (1) It suffices to show that $T_{w}$ is a ring. Suppose $a, b \in T_{w}, a b \neq 0$. Then $J_{1} a \subseteq T, J_{2} b \subseteq T$ for $J_{1}, J_{2} \in G V(R)$, and hence $J_{1} J_{2} a b \subseteq T$. By Theorem 2.13, $T\{X\}$ is a $G V$-torsion-free $R$ module and $a b \in T\{X\}$. Therefore, $a b \in T_{w}$.
(2) It is similar to (1).
(3) If $P_{w}=T_{w}$, then $J \subseteq P$ for some $J \in G V(R)$. Thus $J \subseteq P \bigcap R$, a contradiction.
(4) Suppose $x \in P_{w} \bigcap T$. Then $J x \subseteq P$ for some $J \in G V(R)$. Since $J \nsubseteq P, P_{w} \bigcap T=P$.
(5) Suppose $x, y \in T_{w}, x y \in P_{w}$. Then $J_{1} x \subseteq T, J_{2} y \subseteq T$ for $J_{1}, J_{2} \in G V(R)$. Thus $J x y \subseteq T$ for some $J=J_{1} J_{2} \in G V(R)$, and hence $J x \subseteq P$ or $J y \subseteq P$. Therefore, $x \in P_{w}$ or $y \in P_{w}$.
(6) It follows from (4).

For a $T$-module $Y$, we denote by $Y_{w}$ the $w$-envelope of $Y$ as an $R$-module and by $Y_{W}$ the $w$-envelope of $Y$ as a $T$-module.

Theorem 3.7 Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings and $T$ a $G V$-torsion-free $R$-module. The following are equivalent.
(1) $I_{w} \subseteq(I T)_{W}$ for any ideal $I$ of $R$;
(2) $\left(I_{w} T\right)_{W}=(I T)_{W}$ for any ideal $I$ of $R$;
(3) $(I T)_{W} \bigcap R$ is a $w$-ideal of $R$ for any ideal $I$ of $R$;
(4) $(I T)_{W} \bigcap R$ is a w-ideal of $R$ for any finitely generated ideal $I$ of $R$;
(5) $T$ is $w$-linked over $R$.

Proof $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$. Since $\left(I_{w} T\right)_{W} \subseteq\left((I T)_{W}\right)_{W}=(I T)_{W}, I_{w} \subseteq(I T)_{W}$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$. Set $J=(I T)_{W} \bigcap R$. Then $J_{w} \subseteq\left(I_{w} T\right)_{W} \bigcap R=(I T)_{W} \bigcap R=J$, and hence $J=J_{w}$.
$(3) \Rightarrow(4)$. Clearly.
$(4) \Rightarrow(1)$. Let $B$ be a finitely generated subideal of $I$. Since $B_{w} \subseteq(B T)_{W} \bigcap R, I_{w}=\bigcup B_{w} \subseteq$ $(I T)_{W}$ by Lemma 2.9.
$(1) \Rightarrow(5)$. Since $R=J_{w} \subseteq(J T)_{W}$ for any $J \in G V(R), T=(J T)_{W}$ by Proposition 3.5. Thus $J T \in G V(T)$, and hence $T$ is $w$-linked over $R$ by Lemma 3.3.
$(5) \Rightarrow(2)$. Clearly $(I T)_{W} \subseteq\left(I_{w} T\right)_{W}$. On the other hand, suppose $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} t_{i} \in I_{w} T$ where $a_{i} \in I_{w}$ and $t_{i} \in T$. Thus $J x \subseteq I T$ for some $J \in G V(R)$, and hence $J T x \subseteq I T$. By Lemma 3.3, $\left(I_{w} T\right)_{W} \subseteq(I T)_{W}$.

Let $T$ be $w$-linked over $R$ and $A$ an ideal of $T . A$ is called a $w_{R}$-ideal (or $w$-linked ideal) if $A$ as an $R$-module is a $w$-module.

Theorem 3.8 Let $T$ be w-linked over $R$ and $P$ a prime ideal of $T$. Then the following hold.
(1) $P \bigcap R$ is a $w$-ideal of $R$ for any $w_{R}$-ideal $P$ of $T$.
(2) $P$ is a proper $w_{R}$-ideal of $T$ if and only if $P_{w} \neq T$.
(3) If $P \bigcap R$ is a proper $w$-ideal of $R$, then $P$ is a $w_{R}$-ideal of $T$.
(4) Let $A$ be a $w_{R}$-ideal of $T$ and $P$ a minimal prime ideal over $A$. Then $P$ is a $w_{R}$-ideal of $T$.
(5) Let $P$ be a $w_{R}$-ideal of $T$, and $Q$ a prime ideal of $T$ such that $Q \subseteq P$. Then $Q$ is a $w_{R}$-ideal of $T$.

Proof (1) It follows from Lemma 2.8.
(2) If $P$ is a $w_{R}$-ideal of $T$, then $P_{w}=P \subset T$. On the other hand, let $x \in T, J x \subseteq P$ for some $J \in G V(R)$. If $J \subseteq P$, then $P_{w}=T$, a contradiction. Thus $J \nsubseteq P$, and hence $x \in P$.
(3) By Lemma 2.9, $P_{w} \neq T$.
(4) Let $B$ be a finitely generated $R$-submodule of $P$. Since $P T_{P}$ is a minimal prime ideal over $A_{P}$, there exists some $s \in T \backslash P$ such that $s B^{n} \subseteq A$ for some integer $n$. By Lemma 3.6, $s\left(B_{w}\right)^{n} \subseteq s\left(\left(B_{w}\right)^{n}\right)_{w} \subseteq\left(s B^{n}\right)_{w} \subseteq A_{w}=A \subseteq P$. Therefore, $P_{w}=P$.
(5) Since $Q_{w} \subseteq P_{w}=P \neq T, Q$ is a $w_{R}$-ideal of $T$.

## 4. The generalization of Wang-McCsland-Park-Chang Theorem

Following Yin [1], $R$ is called a $w$-Noetherian ring if $R$ has the ascending chain condition on $w$ ideals, which contains Noetherian ring, strong Mori domain and so on. Note that each maximal $w$ ideal of $R$ is a prime ideal, we use $w-\operatorname{Max}(R)$ to denote the set of all maximal $w$-ideals of $R$. Since
a prime ideal $P$ of $R$ is a $w$-ideal if and only if $P_{w} \neq R$, each prime ideal contained in a proper $w$-ideal of $R$ is also a $w$-ideal. Following Wang [11], $w$ - $\operatorname{dim}(R)=\operatorname{Sup}\{\operatorname{ht} P \mid P \in w-\operatorname{Max}(R)\}$. Following Matijevic [3], $R^{g}=\left\{x \in T(R) \mid x M_{1} M_{2} \cdots M_{n} \subseteq R\right.$ where $\left.M_{i} \in \operatorname{Max}(R)\right\}$. Then $R^{g}$ is a ring and $R^{g} \subseteq T(R)$. Following Park [5], $R^{w g}=\left\{x \in T(R) \mid x M_{1} M_{2} \cdots M_{n} \subseteq R\right.$ where $\left.M_{i} \in w-\operatorname{Max}(R)\right\}$. Then $R^{w g}$ is a ring and $R^{w g} \subseteq T(R)$.

Lemma 4.1 $\operatorname{Max}(R\{X\})=\{M\{X\}\}$ where $M$ runs over all maximal w-ideals of $R$.
Proof See [12, Proposition 2.1].
Lemma 4.2 For any ring $R$, we have $R\{X\}^{g} \bigcap T(R)=R^{w g}$, and $R^{w g}[X]_{\mathcal{N}_{w}} \subseteq R\{X\}^{g}$.
Proof Let $u \in T(R)$. Then $u \in R\{X\}^{g}$ if and only if $u M_{1} \cdots M_{n} \subseteq R\{X\}$ where $M_{i} \in$ $\operatorname{Max}(R\{X\})$. By Lemma 4.1, there exists $\mathrm{m}_{i} \in w-\operatorname{Max}(R)$ such that $M_{1}=\mathrm{m}_{i}\{X\}$ for all i. Thus $u \in R\{X\}^{g} \bigcap T(R)$ if and only if $u \mathrm{~m}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~m}_{n} \subseteq R$, if and only if $u \in R^{w g}$. Thus $R\{X\}^{g} \bigcap T(R)=R^{w g}$, and hence $R^{w g} \subseteq R\{X\}^{g}$. Since $R\{X\}^{g}$ is an $R\{X\}=R[X]_{\mathcal{N}_{w}}$-module, $R^{w g}[X]_{\mathcal{N}_{w}} \subseteq R\{X\}^{g}$.

It is easy to see that, $R$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring if and only if for each ideal $I$ of $R, I_{w}=A_{w}$ for some finitely generated subideal $A$ of $I$. Here we have

Proposition 4.3 The following are equivalent for a ring $R$.
(1) $R$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring;
(2) $R[X]$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring;
(3) $R\{X\}$ is a Noetherian ring.

Proof $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$. See [1].
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$. Let $A$ be an ideal of $R\{X\}$. Then $A=B_{\mathcal{N}_{w}}$ for some ideal $B$ of $R[X]$. Since $R[X]$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring, $B_{w}=C_{w}$ for some finitely generated subideal $C$ of $B$. For any $f \in B, J f \subseteq C$ for some $J \in G V(R[X])$. Note that $J_{\mathcal{N}_{w}}=R\{X\}$ and $f R\{X\} \subseteq C_{\mathcal{N}_{w}}$, we have $f \in C_{\mathcal{N}_{w}}$, and $B \subseteq C_{\mathcal{N}_{w}} \subseteq B_{\mathcal{N}_{w}}$. Therefore, $A=C_{\mathcal{N}_{w}}$ is a finitely generated ideal of $R\{X\}$, and hence $R\{X\}$ is a Noetherian ring.
$(3) \Rightarrow(1)$. Let $I$ be a ideal of $R$. Then $I\{X\}$ is a finitely generated ideal of $R\{X\}$, and $I\{X\}=A_{\mathcal{N}_{w}}$ for some finitely generated ideal $A$ of $R[X]$. Since $A \subseteq c(A)[X]_{\mathcal{N}_{w}} \subseteq I\{X\}$, $I\{X\}=c(A)[X]_{\mathcal{N}_{w}}$. For any $u=\frac{\alpha}{g} \in I \subseteq I\{X\}$, where $g \in \mathcal{N}_{w}, \alpha \in c(A)[X]$, we have $u c(g)=c(u g)=c(\alpha) \subseteq c(A)$, and hence $u \in u c(g)_{w} \subseteq c(u g)_{w}=c(\alpha)_{w} \subseteq c(A)_{w}$. Thus $I_{w}=c(A)_{w}$, and hence $R$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring.

Lemma 4.4 Let $R$ be a $w$-Noetherian ring. Then $w-\operatorname{dim}(R)=\operatorname{dim}(R\{X\})$.
Proof Let $P$ be a prime $w$-ideal of $R$. Then $P\{X\}$ is a prime ideal of $R\{X\}$, and hence $w-\operatorname{dim}(R) \leqslant \operatorname{dim}(R\{X\})$. Assume that $Q$ be a maximal ideal of $R\{X\}$, then $Q=M\{X\}$ for some $M \in w-\operatorname{Max}(R)$ by Lemma 4.1. Since $R[X] \backslash M[X] \supseteq \mathcal{N}_{w}, R\{X\}_{M\{X\}}=\left(R[X]_{\mathcal{N}_{w}}\right)_{M[X]} \mathcal{N}_{w}=$ $R[X]_{M[X]}=R_{M}[X]_{M R_{M}[X]}$. Since $R_{M}$ is a Noetherian ring, ht $Q=\operatorname{dim}\left(R\{X\}_{M\{X\}}\right)^{w}=$
$\mathrm{ht} M R_{M}[X]=\mathrm{ht} M R_{M}=\mathrm{ht} M \leqslant w-\operatorname{dim}(R)$.
Proposition 4.5 Let $R$ be a reduced $w$-Noetherian ring and $R \subseteq T \subseteq R^{w g}$. If $T$ is a $w$-linked overring of $R$, then $T$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring.

Proof Note that $T$ is also a reduced ring. By Proposition 4.3, $R\{X\}$ is a reduced Noetherian ring. Since $T$ is $w$-linked over $R, \mathcal{N}_{w} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{w}(T)=\{f \in T[X] \mid c(f) \in G V(T)\}$ by Lemma 3.3, and hence $T\{X\}=\left(T[X]_{\mathcal{N}_{w}}\right)_{\mathcal{N}_{w}(T)}$. Since $R \subseteq T \subseteq R^{w g}, R\{X\} \subseteq T[X]_{\mathcal{N}_{w}} \subseteq(R\{X\})^{g}$ by Lemma 4.2. By [3, Corollary], $T[X]_{\mathcal{N}_{w}}$ is a Noetherian ring. Thus $T\{X\}$ is a Noetherian ring, and hence $T$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring.

Corollary 4.6 Let $R$ be a reduced $w$-Noetherian ring. Then $R^{w g}$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring.
Proof Let $x \in\left(R^{w g}\right)_{w} \subseteq T(R)$. Then $J x \subseteq R^{w g}$ for some $J \in G V(R)$. Since $J$ is a finitely generated ideal of $R$, there exist $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n} \in w-\operatorname{Max}(R)$ such that $M_{1} \cdots M_{n} J x \subseteq R$, and hence $M_{1} \cdots M_{n} x \subseteq R$, which implies that $x \in R^{w g}$, and $R^{w g}$ is a $w$-liked overring of $R$. By Lemma 4.5, $R^{w g}$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring.

Lemma 4.7 Let $R \subseteq T \subseteq T(R)$ be rings. If $R$ is a Noetherian ring with $\operatorname{dim}(R) \leqslant 1$, then $\operatorname{dim}(T) \leqslant 1$.

Proof Let $P$ be a minimal prime of $T$. Then $\mathrm{p}=P \bigcap R$ is a prime of $R$, and hence $R / \mathrm{p}$ is a Noetherian domain with $\operatorname{dim}(R / \mathrm{p}) \leqslant \operatorname{dim}(R) \leqslant 1$. For any $x \in T$, there exists a non-zero-divisor $s$ of $R$ such that $s x \in R$, and $\bar{s} \bar{x} \in R / \mathrm{p}$. Since $P$ is a minimal prime of $T, s \notin P$, and hence $s \notin \mathrm{p}$. Thus $T / P$ is contained in the quotient field of $R / \mathrm{p}$. If $\operatorname{dim}(R / \mathrm{p})=0$, then $\operatorname{dim}(T / P)=0$ and so $\operatorname{dim}(T)=0$. If $\operatorname{dim}(R / \mathrm{p})=1$, then $T / P$ is a Noetherian domain and $\operatorname{dim}(T / P) \leqslant 1$ by the Krull-Akizuki Theorem. Therefore, $\operatorname{dim}(T) \leqslant 1$.

Following Lucas [8], $I$ is called a semi-regular ideal of $R$ if it contains a finitely generated ideal $A$ of $R$ such that ann $(A)=0$. If every semi-regular ideal $I$ contains a non-zero-divisor of $R$, then $T(R)=Q_{0}(R)$. When $R$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring, then $T(R)=Q_{0}(R)$ by [2, Theorem 3.19]. Combining with [1], we have

Proposition 4.8 Let $R$ be a $w$-Noetherian ring with $w-\operatorname{dim}(R) \leqslant 1$. Then $R^{w g}=Q_{0}(R)$.
Theorem 4.9 Let $R$ be a reduced ring. Then $R$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring with $w$ - $\operatorname{dim}(R) \leqslant 1$ if and only if each $w$-linked overring $T$ of $R$ is $w$-Noetherian ring with $w$ - $\operatorname{dim}(T) \leqslant 1$.

Proof Necessity. By Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.8, $T$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring, and hence $T\{X\}$ is a Noetherian ring. By Proposition 4.3, $R\{X\}$ is a Noetherian ring. By Lemma 4.4, $\operatorname{dim}(R\{X\})=w-\operatorname{dim}(R) \leqslant 1$. Since $T\{X\}$ is contained in the total quotient ring of $R\{X\}$, $w-\operatorname{dim}(T)=\operatorname{dim}(T\{X\}) \leqslant 1$ by Lemmas 4.4 and 4.7.

Sufficiency. Note that each $T$ is also a reduced ring. Set $R=T$.
Theorem 4.10 $R$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring with $w-\operatorname{dim}(R)=0$ if and only if $R$ is an Artinian
ring.
Proof Sufficiency is immediate, since $R$ is an Artinian ring if and only if $R$ is a Noetherian ring with $\operatorname{dim}(R)=0$.

Necessity. Let $u$ be a non-zero-divisor of $R$. Then $\operatorname{ht} P \geqslant 1$ for a prime ideal $P$ of $R$ minimal over $(u)$, since each minimal prime ideal of $R$ consists of zero-divisors. Repeating the way of Theorem 3.8(4), $P$ is a $w$-ideal of $R$. Since $w$ - $\operatorname{dim}(R)=0, P=R$, and so $u$ is a unit of $R$. Thus $G V(R)=\{R\}$ by [2, Corollary 3.20], and hence each ideal of $R$ is a $w$-ideal. Therefore, $\operatorname{dim}(R)=0$. For any ideal $I$ of $R, I=I_{w}=B_{w}=B$ for some finitely generated subideal $B$ of $I$, since $R$ is a $w$-Noetherian ring. Therefore, $R$ is a Noetherian ring.
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