Journal of Mathematical Research & Exposition Mar., 2011, Vol. 31, No. 2, pp. 337–346 DOI:10.3770/j.issn:1000-341X.2011.02.018 Http://jmre.dlut.edu.cn

On *w*-Linked Overrings

Lin XIE*, Fang Gui WANG, Yan TIAN

Department of Mathematics, Sichuan Normal University, Sichuan 610068, P. R. China

Abstract Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings. T is called w-linked over R if T as an R-module is a w-module. In the case of $R \subseteq T \subseteq Q_0(R)$, T is called a w-linked overring of R. As a generalization of Wang-McCsland-Park-Chang Theorem, we show that if R is a reduced ring, then R is a w-Noetherian ring with w-dim $(R) \leq 1$ if and only if each w-linked overring Tof R is a w-Noetherian ring with w-dim $(T) \leq 1$. In particular, R is a w-Noetherian ring with w-dim(R) = 0 if and only if R is an Artinian ring.

Keywords GV-ideal; w-module; w-linked; w-Noetherian ring.

Document code A MR(2010) Subject Classification 13B02; 13E05 Chinese Library Classification 0153.3

1. Introduction

There has been considerable amount of research on w-theory over domains. Recently, by virtue of homological algebra, Yin [1] constructed w-module over arbitrary commutative rings. Let R be a commutative ring and J a finitely generated ideal of R. J is called a GV-ideal, denoted by $J \in GV(R)$, if the natural homomorphism $R \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(J, R)$ is an isomorphism. An R-module M is called a GV-torsion-free module if whenever Jx = 0 for some $J \in GV(R)$ and $x \in M$, then x = 0. A GV-torsion-free module M is called a w-module if $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/J, M) = 0$ for any $J \in GV(R)$, and the w-envelope of M is the set given by

$$M_w = \{ x \in E(M) \, | \, Jx \subseteq M \text{ for some } J \in GV(R) \},\$$

where E(M) is the injective hull of M. Therefore, M is a w-module if and only if $M_w = M$. For w-modules, readers are referred to literature [1,2].

Throughout this paper R denotes a commutative ring with identity, T(R) denotes the total quotient ring of R, and $Q_0(R)$ denotes the ring of finite fractions over R. In this paper, we introduce the notion of w-linked. Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings. T is called w-linked over R if T as an R-module is a w-module. In the case of $R \subseteq T \subseteq Q_0(R)$, T is called a w-linked overring of R. In particular, T(R) and $Q_0(R)$ are w-linked overrings of R.

Received January 12, 2009; Accepted January 18, 2010

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10671137) and by Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (Grant No. 20060636001).

^{*} Corresponding author

E-mail address: xielin7000@yahoo.cn (L. XIE)

The Krull-Akizuki Theorem states that if R is a Noetherian domain with $\dim(R) = 1$, then each overring T of R is a Noetherian domain with $\dim(T) \leq 1$. This was generalized to reduced Noetherian rings by Matijevic [3]. Let R be a reduced Noetherian ring. He introduced the transform ring R^g , and proved that every ring between R and R^g is a Noetherian ring. Wang and McCsland [4] generalized Krull-Akizuki Theorem to strong Mori domains. Let R be a strong Mori domain with w-dim $(R) \leq 1$. They showed that every t-linked overring T of R is a strong Mori domain with w-dim $(T) \leq 1$. Park [5] introduced the w-transform ring R^{wg} , and proved that every t-linked overring between R and R^{wg} is a strong Mori domain. As a corollary, she obtained Wang and McCsland's theorem again. By considering valuation overrings of strong Mori ring, Chang [6] showed that Wang and McCsland's theorem is necessary and sufficient. By introducing the concept of w-Noetherian ring, Yin [1] generalized Matijevic's result to w-Noetherian rings. Ris called a w-Noetherian ring if it has the ascending chain condition on w-ideals. Let R be a w-Noetherian ring with w-dim $(R) \leq 1$, T a w-linked overring of R, and $T \subseteq T(R)$. She showed that T has the ascending chain condition on regular w-ideals with w-dim $(T) \leq 1$. By considering the ring $R\{X\}$ of fractions over R[X], we obtain a similar Wang-McCsland-Park-Chang Theorem: If R is a reduced ring, then R is a w-Noetherian ring with w-dim(R) ≤ 1 if and only if each w-linked overring T of R is a w-Noetherian ring with w-dim $(T) \leq 1$. In particular, R is a w-Noetherian ring with w-dim(R) = 0 if and only if R is an Artinian ring.

2. Some results on *w*-module

Let M be an R-module and $M[X] = R[X] \bigotimes_R M = \{\sum_i u_i X^i \mid u_i \in M\}$. For any $\alpha \in M[X]$, $c(\alpha)$ is a submodule of M generated by coefficients of α . For any R[X]-module $N \subseteq M[X]$, c(N) is a submodule of M generated by coefficients of elements in N.

Lemma 2.1 ([1]) (1) $R \in GV(R)$.

(2) Let J_1, J_2 be finitely generated ideals of R, and $J_1 \subseteq J_2$. If $J_1 \in GV(R)$, then $J_2 \in GV(R)$.

(3) Let J_1 and J_2 be GV-ideals of R. Then $J_1J_2 \in GV(R)$.

(4) Let R_1 and R_2 be rings. Set $R = R_1 \times R_2$. Then $J = J_1 \times J_2 \in GV(R)$ if and only if $J_i \in GV(R_i)$ for i = 1, 2.

Remark 2.2 Let J be a finitely generated ideal of R. When R is a domain, then $J \in GV(R)$ if and only if $(R : J) = \{x \in T(R) \mid xJ \subseteq R\} = R$, since $J \in GV(R)$ if and only if $J_w = R$. For any commutative ring R, $J \in GV(R)$ implies that $(R : J) = J^{-1} = \{x \in Q_0(R) \mid xJ \subseteq R\} = R$, since $(R : J_w) = R$ and $(J_w)^{-1} = J^{-1}$. For the converse, we show it is false by constructing an example.

Example 2.3 Let $R = \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $A = 0 \times \mathbb{Z}$ a finitely generated ideal of R. Then $T(R) = \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ and $(R : A) = A^{-1} = R$. However, A is not a GV-ideal of R by Lemma 2.1.

The consideration to establish the following lemma thanks to [1]. Here we show a better

result.

Lemma 2.4 Let J be a finitely generated ideal of R. Then $J \in GV(R)$ if and only if $J[X] \in GV(R[X])$.

Proof It is clear that J is a GV-ideal if and only if $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/J, R) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(R/J, R) = 0$. Since R[X] is a faithfully flat R-module, it suffices to show that $R[X] \bigotimes_R \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/J, R) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R[X]}(R[X]/J[X], R[X])$ and $R[X] \bigotimes_R \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(R/J, R) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{R[X]}^1(R[X]/J[X], R[X])$. By [7, Theorem 4.10.1], the former holds. Since $0 \to J \to R \to R/J \to 0$ and $0 \to J[X] \to R[X] \to R[X] \to R[X]/J[X] \to 0$ are short exact sequences, we have commutative diagram with exact rows:

By [7, Theorem 4.10.1], θ_1 is isomorphism. Therefore, θ_2 is isomorphism. \Box

Corollary 2.5 Let $B \in GV(R[X])$. Then $c(B) \in GV(R)$, and hence there exists a non-zerodivisor $f \in B$ of R[X] such that $c(f)_w = R$.

Proof Since B is a finitely generated ideal of R[X], c(B)[X] is a finitely generated ideal of R[X] and $B \subseteq c(B)[X]$. By Lemma 2.1, $c(B)[X] \in GV(R[X])$, and hence $c(B) \in GV(R)$ by Lemma 2.4. Note that c(B) is a finitely generated ideal of R, there exists $f \in B$ such that $c(f)_w = R$. If f is a zero-divisor of R[X], then bf = 0 for some nonzero element $b \in R$. Thus $b \in bc(f)_w \subseteq (bc(f))_w = c(bf)_w = 0$, a contradiction. \Box

Remark 2.6 By Corollary 2.5, $\mathcal{N}_w = \{f \in R[X] \mid c(f)_w = R\}$. Then \mathcal{N}_w is a multiplicatively closed set of non-zero-divisors of R[X], and hence $R\{X\} = R[X]_{\mathcal{N}_w}$ is a ring of fractions over R[X].

Lemma 2.7 Let M be a GV-torsion-free R-module and N a submodule of M, $x \in M$. If $Jx \subseteq N$ for some $J \in GV(R)$, then $x \in N_w$.

Proof Assume that $x \neq 0$. Since M is a GV-torsion-free module, $0 \neq rx \in N$ for some $0 \neq r \in J$. Thus M is an essential extension of N, and hence $x \in E(N)$. Therefore, $x \in N_w$. \Box

Lemma 2.8 Let M be a GV-torsion-free R-module and $\{A_i\}$ a collection w-submodules of M. Then $\bigcap A_i$ is a w-module.

Proof It is straightforward. \Box

Lemma 2.9 Let M be a GV-torsion-free R-module with submodules A and B. Then the following hold.

- (1) $cA_w \subseteq (cA)_w$ for all $c \in R$.
- (2) $A \subseteq A_w$, and $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow A_w \subseteq B_w$.

- $(3) (A_w)_w = A_w.$
- (4) $(u)_w = (u)$ for a non-zero-divisor u of R.
- (5) $M_w = \bigcup N_w$ where N runs over finitely generated R-submodule of M.
- (6) $(IM)_w = (I_w M_w)_w$ for any ideal I of R.
- (7) $(A \cap B)_w = A_w \cap B_w.$

Proof (1)-(5) see [1].

(6) Clearly, $(IM)_w \subseteq (I_w M_w)_w$. On the other hand, suppose $x \in (I_w M_w)_w$. Then $J_1 x \subseteq I_w M_w$ for some $J_1 \in GV(R)$. Since J_1 is finitely generated, $J_1 J_2 x \subseteq IM$ for some $J_2 \in GV(R)$. By Lemma 2.7, $x \in (IM)_w$, and hence $(IM)_w = (I_w M_w)_w$.

(7) By Lemma 2.8, $(A \cap B)_w \subseteq A_w \cap B_w$. Let $x \in A_w \cap B_w$. Then $J_1x \in A, J_2x \in B$ for $J_1, J_2 \in GV(R)$, and hence $J_1J_2x \in A \cap B$. By Lemma 2.7, $x \in (A \cap B)_w$. Therefore, $(A \cap B)_w = A_w \cap B_w$. \Box

Lemma 2.10 Let M be a GV-torsion-free R-module, $\alpha \in M[X], g \in \mathcal{N}_w$. Then $c(\alpha)_w = c(g\alpha)_w$.

Proof Since $c(g)^{n+1}c(\alpha) = c(g)^n c(g\alpha)$ for some integer n, $c(g\alpha)_w = ((c(g)_w)^n c(g\alpha)_w)_w = (c(g)^n c(g\alpha))_w = c(\alpha)_w$ by Lemma 2.9. \Box

It is easy to see that, M is a GV-torsion-free R-module if and only if $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/J, M) = 0$ for any $J \in GV(R)$. Here we have

Proposition 2.11 Let S be a multiplicatively closed set of non-zero-divisors of R and N a w-module. If natural homomorphism $N \to N_S$ is monomorphism, then N_S as an R-module is w-module.

Proof Since $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/J, N_S) \cong R_S \bigotimes_R \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/J, N)$ for any $J \in GV(R)$, N_S is a GV-torsionfree R-module. Since N_S is an essential extension of N, $E(N_S) = E(N)$. Let $Jx \subseteq N_S$ for some $J \in GV(R)$ where $x \in E(N)$. Then $Jsx \subseteq N$ for some $s \in S$, since J is finitely generated. Thus $sx \in N$, and hence $x \in N_S$. \Box

It is well-known that M is a torsion-free R-module if M[X] is a torsion-free R[X]-module, the converse is false. However, we have

Theorem 2.12 M is a GV-torsion-free R-module if and only if M[X] is a GV-torsion-free R[X]-module.

Proof Let M be a GV-torsion-free R-module and $\alpha \in M[X]$. If $B\alpha = 0$ for some $B \in GV(R[X])$, then there exists $g \in B$ such that $c(g) \in GV(R)$ and $g\alpha = 0$. By Lemma 2.10, $c(\alpha) \subseteq c(\alpha)_w = (c(g\alpha)_w) = 0$, and so $\alpha = 0$. Therefore, M[X] is a GV-torsion-free R[X]-module.

Suppose M[X] is a GV-torsion-free R[X]-module and $\alpha \in M$. If $J\alpha = 0$ for some $J \in GV(R)$, then $J[X]\alpha = 0$. Since $J[X] \in GV(R[X])$, $\alpha = 0$. Therefore, M is a GV-torsion-free R-module. \Box

Theorem 2.13 Let M be a GV-torsion-free module. Then the following hold.

(1)
$$(M[X]_W)_{\mathcal{N}_{uv}} = M\{X\}$$

Proof (1) By Theorem 2.12, M[X] is a GV-torsion-free R[X]-module, and hence $M[X]_W$ is a GV-torsion-free R[X]-module. For $0 \neq \alpha \in M[X]_W$, we have $f\alpha \neq 0$ for any $f \in \mathcal{N}_w$. Suppose $u \in M[X]_W$. Then $Bu \subseteq M[X]$ for some $B \in GV(R[X])$, and so $fu \in M[X]$ for some $f \in B \cap \mathcal{N}_w$ by Corollary 2.5. So $u \in M\{X\}$, and hence $(M[X]_W)_{\mathcal{N}_w} = M\{X\}$.

(2) Since $(M[X]_W)_{\mathcal{N}_w}$ is a GV-torsion-free R[X]-module, $M\{X\} = (M[X]_W)_{\mathcal{N}_w}$ as an R[X]-module is a w-module by Proposition 2.11. Let $\beta = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i \in M_w[X], a_i \in M_w$. Then there exists $J \in GV(R)$ such that $Ja_i \subseteq M$ for any i, and hence $J[X]\beta \in M[X]$. Since $J[X] \in GV(R[X]), M_w[X] \subseteq M[X]_W$ by Lemma 2.7, and so $M_w \subseteq M_w[X] \subseteq M[X]_W \subseteq M\{X\}$. \Box

3. w-linked

Following Lucas [8], $Q_0(R)$ consists of those elements $\frac{a(X)}{b(X)}$, where $a(X), b(X) \in R[X]$ and b(X) is a non-zero-divisor of R[X] with the coefficient relations $a_i b_j = a_j b_i$ for each i and j. For any commutative ring $R, T(R) \subseteq Q_0(R)$. When R is a domain, then $T(R) = Q_0(R)$ is the quotient field of R.

Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of domains. Following Dobbs [9], T is called *t*-linked over R if (R:J) = R (i.e., $J_t = R$) implies that (T:JT) = T (i.e., $(JT)_t = T$) for any non-zero finitely generated ideal J. Wang [10] proved that T is *t*-linked over R if and only if $T_w = T$. Following the result, we extend *t*-linked to the extension of commutative rings.

Definition 3.1 Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings. T is called *w*-linked over R if T as an R-module is a *w*-module. In the case of $R \subseteq T \subseteq Q_0(R)$, T is called a *w*-linked overring of R.

Remark 3.2 When $R \subseteq T$ is an extension of domains, then *w*-linked coincides with *t*-linked. For any commutative ring R, R[X] is *w*-linked over R. In the case of $R = T(R) = Q_0(R)$ and $nilradical(R) \neq 0$, we have (R : A) = R but $(R[X] : A[X]) \neq R[X]$ for any finitely generated nilpotent ideal A of R. Therefore, we use *w*-linked instead of *t*-linked.

Lemma 3.3 ([1]) Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings and T a GV-torsion-free R-module. The following are equivalent.

- (1) T is w-linked over R.
- (2) $A \cap R$ is a w-ideal of R for any w-ideal A of T.
- (3) Let P be a prime w-ideal of T. Then $P \cap R$ is a prime w-ideal of R.
- (4) If $J \in GV(R)$, then $JT \in GV(R)$.

(5) Let L be a T-module. If L as a T-module is a w-module, then L as an R-module is a w-module.

Proposition 3.4 T(R) and $Q_0(R)$ are w-linked overrings of R.

Proof Set T = T(R[X]). By Proposition 2.11, T(R) is a w-linked overring of R and T is w-

linked over R[X]. Let $J \in GV(R)$. Then $J[X] \in GV(R[X])$, and hence $JT \in GV(T)$ by Lemma 3.3. Thus T is w-linked over R. Let $\frac{a(X)}{b(X)} \in T$ such that $J\frac{a(X)}{b(X)} \subseteq Q_0(R)$ for some $J \in GV(R)$. Then for any $u \in J$, we have the coefficient relations $ua_ib_j = ua_jb_i$ for each i and j, and so $J(a_ib_j - a_jb_i) = 0$. Thus $a_ib_j = a_jb_i$, which implies that $\frac{a(X)}{b(X)} \in Q_0(R)$. Therefore, $Q_0(R)$ as an R-module is a w-module. \Box

Lemma 3.5 Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings, $x \in T$, and N an R-submodule of T. If T is a GV-torsion-free R-module, then the following hold.

- (1) $xN_w \subseteq (xN)_w$.
- (2) If x is a non-zero-divisor of N, then $xN_w = (xN)_w$.

Proof (1) Suppose $u \in N_w$. Then $Ju \subseteq N$ for some $J \in GV(R)$, and hence $Jxu \subseteq xN$. By Theorem 2.13, $T\{X\}$ is a GV-torsion-free R-module and $xu \in xN_w \subseteq T\{X\}$. By Lemma 2.7, $xN_w \subseteq (xN)_w$.

(2) It is clear that x is a non-zero-divisor of N_w . Since $xN_w \cong N_w$, xN_w is a w-module, and hence $xN_w = (xN)_w$. \Box

Proposition 3.6 Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings and T a GV-torsion-free R-module. Then the following hold

- (1) T_w is w-linked over R.
- (2) A_w is an ideal of T_w for any ideal A of T.
- (3) Let P be a prime ideal of T and $P \cap R$ a w-ideal of R. Then $P_w \neq T_w$.
- (4) Let P be a prime ideal of T and $P_w \neq T_w$. Then P_w is a prime ideal of T_w and $P_w \cap T = P$.
- (5) Let P be a prime ideal of T, $P_w \neq T_w$, P_1 a prime ideal of T_w such that $P_1 \subseteq P_w$, and

 $P_1 \cap T = P$. Then $P_1 = P_w$.

(6) Let P be a prime ideal of T. If ht $P_w = 0$, then ht P = 0.

Proof (1) It suffices to show that T_w is a ring. Suppose $a, b \in T_w, ab \neq 0$. Then $J_1a \subseteq T, J_2b \subseteq T$ for $J_1, J_2 \in GV(R)$, and hence $J_1J_2ab \subseteq T$. By Theorem 2.13, $T\{X\}$ is a GV-torsion-free R-module and $ab \in T\{X\}$. Therefore, $ab \in T_w$.

- (2) It is similar to (1).
- (3) If $P_w = T_w$, then $J \subseteq P$ for some $J \in GV(R)$. Thus $J \subseteq P \bigcap R$, a contradiction.
- (4) Suppose $x \in P_w \cap T$. Then $Jx \subseteq P$ for some $J \in GV(R)$. Since $J \not\subseteq P, P_w \cap T = P$.

(5) Suppose $x, y \in T_w, xy \in P_w$. Then $J_1x \subseteq T, J_2y \subseteq T$ for $J_1, J_2 \in GV(R)$. Thus $Jxy \subseteq T$

for some $J = J_1 J_2 \in GV(R)$, and hence $Jx \subseteq P$ or $Jy \subseteq P$. Therefore, $x \in P_w$ or $y \in P_w$.

(6) It follows from (4). \Box

For a T-module Y, we denote by Y_w the w-envelope of Y as an R-module and by Y_W the w-envelope of Y as a T-module.

Theorem 3.7 Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings and T a GV-torsion-free R-module. The following are equivalent.

(1) $I_w \subseteq (IT)_W$ for any ideal I of R;

- (2) $(I_wT)_W = (IT)_W$ for any ideal I of R;
- (3) $(IT)_W \cap R$ is a w-ideal of R for any ideal I of R;
- (4) $(IT)_W \cap R$ is a w-ideal of R for any finitely generated ideal I of R;
- (5) T is w-linked over R.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2). Since $(I_wT)_W \subseteq ((IT)_W)_W = (IT)_W$, $I_w \subseteq (IT)_W$.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. Set $J = (IT)_W \cap R$. Then $J_w \subseteq (I_w T)_W \cap R = (IT)_W \cap R = J$, and hence $J = J_w$.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$. Clearly.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$. Let B be a finitely generated subideal of I. Since $B_w \subseteq (BT)_W \cap R$, $I_w = \bigcup B_w \subseteq (IT)_W$ by Lemma 2.9.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (5)$. Since $R = J_w \subseteq (JT)_W$ for any $J \in GV(R)$, $T = (JT)_W$ by Proposition 3.5. Thus $JT \in GV(T)$, and hence T is w-linked over R by Lemma 3.3.

 $(5)\Rightarrow(2)$. Clearly $(IT)_W \subseteq (I_wT)_W$. On the other hand, suppose $x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i t_i \in I_w T$ where $a_i \in I_w$ and $t_i \in T$. Thus $Jx \subseteq IT$ for some $J \in GV(R)$, and hence $JTx \subseteq IT$. By Lemma 3.3, $(I_wT)_W \subseteq (IT)_W$. \Box

Let T be w-linked over R and A an ideal of T. A is called a w_R -ideal (or w-linked ideal) if A as an R-module is a w-module.

Theorem 3.8 Let T be w-linked over R and P a prime ideal of T. Then the following hold.

(1) $P \cap R$ is a w-ideal of R for any w_R -ideal P of T.

(2) P is a proper w_R -ideal of T if and only if $P_w \neq T$.

(3) If $P \cap R$ is a proper w-ideal of R, then P is a w_R -ideal of T.

(4) Let A be a w_R -ideal of T and P a minimal prime ideal over A. Then P is a w_R -ideal of T.

(5) Let P be a w_R -ideal of T, and Q a prime ideal of T such that $Q \subseteq P$. Then Q is a w_R -ideal of T.

Proof (1) It follows from Lemma 2.8.

(2) If P is a w_R -ideal of T, then $P_w = P \subset T$. On the other hand, let $x \in T$, $Jx \subseteq P$ for some $J \in GV(R)$. If $J \subseteq P$, then $P_w = T$, a contradiction. Thus $J \not\subseteq P$, and hence $x \in P$.

(3) By Lemma 2.9, $P_w \neq T$.

(4) Let B be a finitely generated R-submodule of P. Since PT_P is a minimal prime ideal over A_P , there exists some $s \in T \setminus P$ such that $sB^n \subseteq A$ for some integer n. By Lemma 3.6, $s(B_w)^n \subseteq s((B_w)^n)_w \subseteq (sB^n)_w \subseteq A_w = A \subseteq P$. Therefore, $P_w = P$.

(5) Since $Q_w \subseteq P_w = P \neq T$, Q is a w_R -ideal of T. \Box

4. The generalization of Wang-McCsland-Park-Chang Theorem

Following Yin [1], R is called a w-Noetherian ring if R has the ascending chain condition on wideals, which contains Noetherian ring, strong Mori domain and so on. Note that each maximal wideal of R is a prime ideal, we use w-Max(R) to denote the set of all maximal w-ideals of R. Since a prime ideal P of R is a w-ideal if and only if $P_w \neq R$, each prime ideal contained in a proper w-ideal of R is also a w-ideal. Following Wang [11], w-dim $(R) = \sup\{\operatorname{ht} P \mid P \in w$ -Max $(R)\}$. Following Matijevic [3], $R^g = \{x \in T(R) \mid xM_1M_2 \cdots M_n \subseteq R \text{ where } M_i \in \operatorname{Max}(R)\}$. Then R^g is a ring and $R^g \subseteq T(R)$. Following Park [5], $R^{wg} = \{x \in T(R) \mid xM_1M_2 \cdots M_n \subseteq R \text{ where } M_i \in w$ -Max $(R)\}$. Then R^{wg} is a ring and $R^{wg} \subseteq T(R)$.

Lemma 4.1 $Max(R{X}) = {M{X}}$ where M runs over all maximal w-ideals of R.

Proof See [12, Proposition 2.1]. \Box

Lemma 4.2 For any ring R, we have $R\{X\}^g \cap T(R) = R^{wg}$, and $R^{wg}[X]_{\mathcal{N}_w} \subseteq R\{X\}^g$.

Proof Let $u \in T(R)$. Then $u \in R\{X\}^g$ if and only if $uM_1 \cdots M_n \subseteq R\{X\}$ where $M_i \in Max(R\{X\})$. By Lemma 4.1, there exists $m_i \in w$ -Max(R) such that $M_1 = m_i\{X\}$ for all i. Thus $u \in R\{X\}^g \cap T(R)$ if and only if $um_1 \cdots m_n \subseteq R$, if and only if $u \in R^{wg}$. Thus $R\{X\}^g \cap T(R) = R^{wg}$, and hence $R^{wg} \subseteq R\{X\}^g$. Since $R\{X\}^g$ is an $R\{X\} = R[X]_{\mathcal{N}_w}$ -module, $R^{wg}[X]_{\mathcal{N}_w} \subseteq R\{X\}^g$. \Box

It is easy to see that, R is a w-Noetherian ring if and only if for each ideal I of R, $I_w = A_w$ for some finitely generated subideal A of I. Here we have

Proposition 4.3 The following are equivalent for a ring R.

- (1) R is a w-Noetherian ring;
- (2) R[X] is a w-Noetherian ring;
- (3) $R{X}$ is a Noetherian ring.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2). See [1].

 $(2)\Rightarrow(3)$. Let A be an ideal of $R\{X\}$. Then $A = B_{\mathcal{N}_w}$ for some ideal B of R[X]. Since R[X] is a w-Noetherian ring, $B_w = C_w$ for some finitely generated subideal C of B. For any $f \in B, Jf \subseteq C$ for some $J \in GV(R[X])$. Note that $J_{\mathcal{N}_w} = R\{X\}$ and $fR\{X\} \subseteq C_{\mathcal{N}_w}$, we have $f \in C_{\mathcal{N}_w}$, and $B \subseteq C_{\mathcal{N}_w} \subseteq B_{\mathcal{N}_w}$. Therefore, $A = C_{\mathcal{N}_w}$ is a finitely generated ideal of $R\{X\}$, and hence $R\{X\}$ is a Noetherian ring.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$. Let I be a ideal of R. Then $I\{X\}$ is a finitely generated ideal of $R\{X\}$, and $I\{X\} = A_{\mathcal{N}_w}$ for some finitely generated ideal A of R[X]. Since $A \subseteq c(A)[X]_{\mathcal{N}_w} \subseteq I\{X\}$, $I\{X\} = c(A)[X]_{\mathcal{N}_w}$. For any $u = \frac{\alpha}{g} \in I \subseteq I\{X\}$, where $g \in \mathcal{N}_w, \alpha \in c(A)[X]$, we have $uc(g) = c(ug) = c(\alpha) \subseteq c(A)$, and hence $u \in uc(g)_w \subseteq c(ug)_w = c(\alpha)_w \subseteq c(A)_w$. Thus $I_w = c(A)_w$, and hence R is a w-Noetherian ring. \Box

Lemma 4.4 Let R be a w-Noetherian ring. Then w-dim $(R) = dim(R\{X\})$.

Proof Let P be a prime w-ideal of R. Then $P\{X\}$ is a prime ideal of $R\{X\}$, and hence w-dim $(R) \leq \dim(R\{X\})$. Assume that Q be a maximal ideal of $R\{X\}$, then $Q = M\{X\}$ for some $M \in w$ -Max(R) by Lemma 4.1. Since $R[X] \setminus M[X] \supseteq \mathcal{N}_w$, $R\{X\}_{M\{X\}} = (R[X]_{\mathcal{N}_w})_{M[X]}_{\mathcal{N}_w} = R[X]_{M[X]} = R_M[X]_{MR_M[X]}$. Since R_M is a Noetherian ring, ht $Q = \dim(R\{X\}_{M\{X\}}) = R[X]_{M\{X\}}$

 $\operatorname{ht} MR_M[X] = \operatorname{ht} MR_M = \operatorname{ht} M \leqslant w \operatorname{-dim}(R). \square$

Proposition 4.5 Let *R* be a reduced *w*-Noetherian ring and $R \subseteq T \subseteq R^{wg}$. If *T* is a *w*-linked overring of *R*, then *T* is a *w*-Noetherian ring.

Proof Note that T is also a reduced ring. By Proposition 4.3, $R\{X\}$ is a reduced Noetherian ring. Since T is w-linked over R, $\mathcal{N}_w \subseteq \mathcal{N}_w(T) = \{f \in T[X] \mid c(f) \in GV(T)\}$ by Lemma 3.3, and hence $T\{X\} = (T[X]_{\mathcal{N}_w})_{\mathcal{N}_w(T)}$. Since $R \subseteq T \subseteq R^{wg}$, $R\{X\} \subseteq T[X]_{\mathcal{N}_w} \subseteq (R\{X\})^g$ by Lemma 4.2. By [3, Corollary], $T[X]_{\mathcal{N}_w}$ is a Noetherian ring. Thus $T\{X\}$ is a Noetherian ring, and hence T is a w-Noetherian ring. \Box

Corollary 4.6 Let R be a reduced w-Noetherian ring. Then R^{wg} is a w-Noetherian ring.

Proof Let $x \in (R^{wg})_w \subseteq T(R)$. Then $Jx \subseteq R^{wg}$ for some $J \in GV(R)$. Since J is a finitely generated ideal of R, there exist $M_1, \ldots, M_n \in w$ -Max(R) such that $M_1 \cdots M_n Jx \subseteq R$, and hence $M_1 \cdots M_n x \subseteq R$, which implies that $x \in R^{wg}$, and R^{wg} is a w-liked overring of R. By Lemma 4.5, R^{wg} is a w-Noetherian ring. \Box

Lemma 4.7 Let $R \subseteq T \subseteq T(R)$ be rings. If R is a Noetherian ring with $\dim(R) \leq 1$, then $\dim(T) \leq 1$.

Proof Let P be a minimal prime of T. Then $p = P \cap R$ is a prime of R, and hence R/p is a Noetherian domain with $\dim(R/p) \leq \dim(R) \leq 1$. For any $x \in T$, there exists a non-zero-divisor s of R such that $sx \in R$, and $\bar{s}\bar{x} \in R/p$. Since P is a minimal prime of T, $s \notin P$, and hence $s \notin p$. Thus T/P is contained in the quotient field of R/p. If $\dim(R/p) = 0$, then $\dim(T/P) = 0$ and so $\dim(T) = 0$. If $\dim(R/p) = 1$, then T/P is a Noetherian domain and $\dim(T/P) \leq 1$ by the Krull-Akizuki Theorem. Therefore, $\dim(T) \leq 1$. \Box

Following Lucas [8], I is called a *semi-regular* ideal of R if it contains a finitely generated ideal A of R such that $\operatorname{ann}(A) = 0$. If every semi-regular ideal I contains a non-zero-divisor of R, then $T(R) = Q_0(R)$. When R is a *w*-Noetherian ring, then $T(R) = Q_0(R)$ by [2, Theorem 3.19]. Combining with [1], we have

Proposition 4.8 Let R be a w-Noetherian ring with w-dim $(R) \leq 1$. Then $R^{wg} = Q_0(R)$.

Theorem 4.9 Let R be a reduced ring. Then R is a w-Noetherian ring with w-dim $(R) \leq 1$ if and only if each w-linked overring T of R is w-Noetherian ring with w-dim $(T) \leq 1$.

Proof Necessity. By Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.8, T is a w-Noetherian ring, and hence $T\{X\}$ is a Noetherian ring. By Proposition 4.3, $R\{X\}$ is a Noetherian ring. By Lemma 4.4, $\dim(R\{X\}) = w$ -dim $(R) \leq 1$. Since $T\{X\}$ is contained in the total quotient ring of $R\{X\}$, w-dim $(T) = \dim(T\{X\}) \leq 1$ by Lemmas 4.4 and 4.7.

Sufficiency. Note that each T is also a reduced ring. Set R = T. \Box

Theorem 4.10 R is a w-Noetherian ring with w-dim(R) = 0 if and only if R is an Artinian

346

Proof Sufficiency is immediate, since R is an Artinian ring if and only if R is a Noetherian ring with $\dim(R) = 0$.

Necessity. Let u be a non-zero-divisor of R. Then $htP \ge 1$ for a prime ideal P of R minimal over (u), since each minimal prime ideal of R consists of zero-divisors. Repeating the way of Theorem 3.8(4), P is a w-ideal of R. Since w-dim(R) = 0, P = R, and so u is a unit of R. Thus $GV(R) = \{R\}$ by [2, Corollary 3.20], and hence each ideal of R is a w-ideal. Therefore, dim(R) = 0. For any ideal I of R, $I = I_w = B_w = B$ for some finitely generated subideal B of I, since R is a w-Noetherian ring. Therefore, R is a Noetherian ring. \Box

References

- YIN Huayu. w-Modules over Commutative Rings [M]. Dissertation for the Doctoral Degree, Nanjing: Libraty of Nanjing Unversity, 2010.
- [2] WANG Fanggui, ZHANG Jun. Injective modules over w-Noetherian rings [J]. Acta Math Sinica, 2010, 53(6): 1019–1030.
- [3] MATIJEVIC J. Maximal ideal transforms of Noetherian rings [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1976, 54: 49-52.
- [4] WANG Fanggui, MCCASLAND R L. On strong Mori domains [J]. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 1999, 135(2): 155–165.
- [5] PARK M H. On overrings of strong Mori domains [J]. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 2002, 172(1): 79-85.
- [6] CHANG G W. Strong Mori domains and the ring $D[X]_{N_v}$ [J]. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 2005, **197**(1-3): 293–304.
- [7] WANG Fanggui. Commutative Rings and Star-Operation Theory [M]. Beijing: Science Press, 2006.
- [8] LUCAS T G. Strong Pr
 üfer rings and the ring of finite fractions [J]. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 1993, 84(1): 59–71.
- [9] DOBBS D E, HOUSTON E G, LUCAS T G. On t-linked overrings [J]. Comm. Algebra, 1992, 20(5): 1463–1488.
- [10] WANG Fanggui. *w-dimension of domains (II)* [J]. Comm. Algebra, 2001, **29**(6): 2419–2428.
- [11] WANG Fanggui. *w*-dimension of domains [J]. Comm. Algebra, 1999, **27**(5): 2267–2276.
- [12] KANG B G. Prüfer v-multiplication domains and the ring $R[X]_{N_v}$ [J]. J. Algebra, 1989, 123(1): 151–170.