

# Transcendental Meromorphic Solutions of Second-Order Algebraic Differential Equations

Hai Chou LI\*, Ling Yun GAO

*Department of Mathematics, Ji'nan University, Guangdong 510632, P. R. China*

**Abstract** Using Nevanlinna theory of the value distribution of meromorphic functions, we discuss some properties of the transcendental meromorphic solutions of second-order algebraic differential equations, and generalize some results of some authors.

**Keywords** meromorphic functions; transcendental meromorphic solutions; second-order algebraic differential equations.

**Document code** A

**MR(2010) Subject Classification** 34M10; 30D05; 30D35

**Chinese Library Classification** O174.52

## 1. Introduction and main results

We use the standard notations and results of the Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic or algebraic function, see, e.g, [1]. In this paper we denote:  $M(z, w) = \max_{|z| \leq r} \{|w(z)|\}$ ;

$$V(z, w) = a_k(z)w^k + a_{k-1}(z)w^{k-1} + \cdots + a_0(z). \quad (1)$$

Some authors [2–6] have investigated the problems of the existence of algebraic solutions of equation, and obtained some results. Especially many investigations have been done on the form

$$(w')^n = \frac{P(z, w)}{Q(z, w)}, \quad (2)$$

and some important results were obtained as follows

**Theorem A** ([1]) *Let  $w(z)$  be the transcendental meromorphic solution of algebraic differential equation (2). If  $V(z, w)$ , which is defined in (1), is the prime factor of  $Q(z, w)$ , then  $V(z) = V(z, w)$  has infinite many zeros.*

**Theorem B** ([1]) *Let  $w(z)$  be the transcendental meromorphic solution of algebraic differential equation (2). If  $V(z, w)$ , which is defined in (1), is the prime factor of  $P(z, w)$ , then  $V(z) = V(z, w)$  has infinite many zeros.*

---

Received July 21, 2009; Accepted October 14, 2009

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10471065) and the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (Grant No. 04010474).

\* Corresponding author

E-mail address: lihaichou@126.com (H. C. LI); ylgaojinan@126.com (L. Y. GAO)

In this paper, we mainly consider the form as follows:

$$(w'')^n = \frac{P(z, w)}{Q(z, w)}, \quad (3)$$

where  $P(z, w) = \sum_{i=0}^p a_i(z)w^i \neq 0$  and  $Q(z, w) = \sum_{j=0}^q b_j(z)w^j \neq 0$  are the polynomials co-prime of  $w$ , of which coefficients are rational functions. We will prove

**Theorem 1** *Let  $w(z)$  be the transcendental meromorphic solution of algebraic differential equation (3). If  $V(z, w)$ , which is defined in (1), is the prime factor of  $Q(z, w)$ , then  $V(z) = V(z, w)$  has infinite many zeros.*

**Theorem 2** *Let  $w(z)$  be the transcendental meromorphic solution of algebraic differential equation (3). If  $V(z, w)$ , which is defined in (1), is the prime factor of  $P(z, w)$ , then  $V(z) = V(z, w)$  has infinite many zeros.*

## 2. Some lemmas

We will use the following Lemmas in our proofs of the above Theorems.

**Lemma 1** ([1]) *Let  $w(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function and  $V(z, w)$  be defined by (1). If  $V(z) = V(z, w)$  only has a finite number of zeros. Then for all  $z_r$  in  $M(r, \frac{1}{V}) = \frac{1}{|V(z_r)|}$ , there is a  $\beta > 0$ , such that  $|w(z_r)| \leq r^\beta$  when  $r \geq r_0$ .*

**Lemma 2** ([1]) *Let  $w(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function which has only a finite number of poles. Then for arbitrary  $\alpha > 0$  and  $K$  there is  $\frac{[c]^\alpha}{r^K} \rightarrow \infty$  ( $r \rightarrow \infty$ ).*

**Lemma 3** ([1]) *Let  $w(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function which has only a finite number of poles. Then for any  $\alpha > 0$ , outside a possible exception set of finite linear measure,  $M(r, w') < 2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} [M(r, w)]^{1+\alpha}$ .*

**Lemma 4** ([1]) *Let  $w(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function which has only a finite number of poles, and  $w(z)$  and  $w'(z)$  be holomorphic in the plane. Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is*

$$M(r, w) < [M(r, w')]^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Suppose  $V(z)$  has finite number of zeros, then  $y(z) = \frac{1}{V(z)}$  is transcendental meromorphic function which has only a finite number of poles. By Lemma 1, for all  $z_r$  in  $M(r, \frac{1}{V}) = \frac{1}{|V(z_r)|}$ , there is a  $\beta > 0$ , such that  $|w(z_r)| \leq r^\beta$  when  $r \geq r_0$ . By Lemma 2, for arbitrary  $\alpha > 0$  and  $K$  there holds

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[M(r, y)]^\alpha}{r^k} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|V(z)|^\alpha r^K} = 0. \quad (4)$$

$V(z, w)$  is the prime factor of  $Q(z, w)$ ,  $P(z, w)$  and  $Q(z, w)$  are co-prime, so  $V_w(z, w)$  and  $V(z, w)$  are co-prime, and  $V(z, w)$  and  $P(z, w)$  are co-prime. Then there exist rational func-

tions  $P_j(z, w), Q_j(z, w)$  and  $R_j \neq 0$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) such that

$$P_1(z, w)V(z, w) + Q_1(z, w)V_w(z, w) = R_1, \quad P_2(z, w)V(z, w) + Q_2(z, w)P(z, w) = R_2. \quad (5)$$

Through multiplied by the appropriate polynomial of  $z$ ,  $R_j \neq 0$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) can be assumed to be the non-zero polynomials. Therefore, when  $r$  is large enough, there exists  $\alpha > 0$ , such that  $|R_j| > \alpha > 0 > 0$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ). Let  $y(z) = \frac{1}{V(z)}$ . We have

$$y''(z) = y^3 \{ 2V_w^2(w')^2 + 4V_w V_z w' + V_z^2 - VV_{ww}(w')^2 - 2VV_{wz}w' - VV_w w'' - VV_{zz} \}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} M(r, y'') &\geq |y''(z_r)| \geq |y(z_r)|^3 \{ |V(z_r, w(z_r))V_w(z_r, w(z_r))w^{(2)}(z_r)| - |2V_w^2(z_r, w(z_r))[w'(z_r)]^2| - \\ &\quad |4V_w(z_r, w(z_r))V_z(z_r, w(z_r))| - |V(z_r, w(z_r))V_{ww}(z_r, w(z_r))V_w(z_r, w(z_r))| - \\ &\quad |V_z^2(z_r, w(z_r))| - |2V(z_r, w(z_r))V_{wz}(z_r, w(z_r))w'(z_r)| - |V(z_r, w(z_r))V_{zz}(z_r, w(z_r))| \} \\ &= [M(r, y)]^{3+\frac{1}{n}} \{ |V^{1+\frac{1}{n}}(z_r)V_w(z_r, w(z_r))w^{(2)}(z_r)| - \\ &\quad |2V^{\frac{1}{n}}(z_r)V_w^2(z_r, w(z_r))[w'(z_r)]^2| - |4V^{\frac{1}{n}}(z_r)V_w(z_r, w(z_r))V_z(z_r, w(z_r))| - \\ &\quad |V^{1+\frac{1}{n}}(z_r)V(z_r, w(z_r))V_{ww}(z_r, w(z_r))V_w(z_r, w(z_r))| - |V^{1+\frac{1}{n}}(z_r)V_z^2(z_r, w(z_r))| - \\ &\quad |2V^{1+\frac{1}{n}}(z_r)V(z_r, w(z_r))V_{wz}(z_r, w(z_r))w'(z_r)| - |V(z_r, w(z_r))V_{zz}(z_r, w(z_r))| \}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be the set that contains the ten types of polynomials of  $w$ :

$$\mathfrak{S} = \{P_1(z, w), P_2(z, w), Q_1(z, w), Q_2(z, w), \frac{Q}{V}, V_z(z, w), V_w(z, w), V_{zz}(z, w), V_{zw}(z, w), V_{ww}(z, w)\}.$$

Then  $\forall X(z, w) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k(z)w^k \in \mathfrak{S}$ , when  $r$  is large enough, there exists  $l$  such that  $|a_k(z_r)| < l$ . By Lemma 1, we have  $|w(z_r)| \leq r^\beta$ . Then there exists  $\sigma > \beta$ , such that  $|X(z_r, w(z_r))| = |\sum_{k=1}^n a_k(z)w^k(z_r)| < r^\sigma$ , namely,  $\mathfrak{S} = \{X(z, w) | |X(z_r, w(z_r))| < r^\sigma\}$ .

1<sup>0</sup>. By  $P_1(z, w(z_r)) \in \mathfrak{S}, Q_1(z, w) \in \mathfrak{S}$ , we obtain  $|P_1(z_r, w(z_r))| < r^\sigma, |Q_1(z_r, w(z_r))| < r^\sigma$ . By (4), there is  $|P_1(z_r, w(z_r))||V(z_r)| < r^\sigma|V(z_r)| < \frac{a}{2}$ . From (5), we have

$$|V_w(z_r, w(z_r))| = \left| \frac{R_1(z_r) - P_1(z_r, w(z_r))V(z_r)}{Q_1(z_r, w(z_r))} \right| \geq \frac{|R_1(z_r)| - |P_1(z_r, w(z_r))V(z_r)|}{|Q_1(z_r, w(z_r))|} \geq \frac{a}{2r^\sigma}. \quad (7)$$

Similarly, we get  $|P(z_r, w(z_r))| \geq \frac{|R_2(z_r)| - |P_2(z_r, w(z_r))V(z_r)|}{|Q_2(z_r, w(z_r))|} \geq \frac{a}{2r^\sigma}$ . By  $\frac{Q(z, w)}{V(z, w)} \in \mathfrak{S}$ , we have  $|\frac{Q(z_r, w(z_r))}{V(z_r, w(z_r))}| < r^\sigma$ . Since  $w(z)$  is the transcendental meromorphic solution of algebraic differential equation (3),  $\frac{a}{2r^\sigma} \leq |P(z_r, w(z_r))| = |w''(z_r)|^n |Q(z_r, w(z_r))| \leq |w''(z_r)|^n |V(z_r)| r^\sigma$ . Then

$$|w''(z_r)||V(z_r)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} r^{-\frac{2\sigma}{n}} \geq \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} r^{-2\sigma}. \quad (8)$$

Combining the inequalities (7) and (8), we obtain

$$|V_w(z_r, w(z_r))||w''(z_r)||V(z_r)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n}} r^{-3\sigma}. \quad (9)$$

2<sup>0</sup>. By Lemma 3, when  $\alpha = 1$ , then  $|w'(z_r)| \leq M(r, w') < 2[M(r, w)]^2 = 2|w(z_r)|^2 \leq 2r^{2\beta} \leq 2r^{2\sigma}$ . By (4), when  $\alpha = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $K = 9\sigma$ , and  $r$  is large enough, then  $|V(z_r)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{64} \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n}} r^{-9\sigma}$ . By  $V_w(z, w) \in \mathfrak{S}$ , we have  $|V_w(z_r, w(z_r))| < r^\sigma$ , then

$$|2V^{\frac{1}{n}}(z_r)V_w^2(z_r, w(z_r))[w'(z_r)]^2| \leq \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n}} r^{-3\sigma}. \quad (10)$$

3<sup>0</sup>. By  $V_z(z, w) \in \mathfrak{S}$ , we have  $|V_z(z_r, w(z_r))| < r^\sigma$ . It follows from the above

$$|V^{\frac{1}{n}}(z_r)V_z(z_r, w(z_r))w'(z_r)| \leq \frac{1}{32}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n}}r^{-3\sigma}, \quad (11)$$

$$|V^{1+\frac{1}{n}}(z_r)V_z^2(z_r, w(z_r))| \leq \frac{1}{64}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n}}r^{-3\sigma}. \quad (12)$$

4<sup>0</sup>. By  $V_{ww}(z, w) \in \mathfrak{S}$ , we have  $|V_{ww}(z_r, w(z_r))| < r^\sigma$ , and it follows from the above

$$|w'(z_r)|^2|V^{1+\frac{1}{n}}(z_r)V_{ww}(z_r, w(z_r))| \leq \frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n}}r^{-3\sigma}. \quad (13)$$

5<sup>0</sup>. By  $V_{wz}(z, w) \in \mathfrak{S}$ , we have  $|V_{wz}(z_r, w(z_r))| < r^\sigma$ , and it follows from the above

$$2|w'(z_r)||V^{1+\frac{1}{n}}(z_r)V_{wz}(z_r, w(z_r))| \leq \frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n}}r^{-3\sigma}. \quad (14)$$

6<sup>0</sup>. By  $V_{zz}(z, w) \in \mathfrak{S}$ , we have  $|V_{zz}(z_r, w(z_r))| < r^\sigma$ , and it follows from the above

$$|V^{1+\frac{1}{n}}(z_r)V_{zz}(z_r, w(z_r))| \leq \frac{1}{64}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n}}r^{-3\sigma}. \quad (15)$$

Combining the inequalities (9)–(15) and (6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} M(r, y'') &\geq [M(r, y)]^{3+\frac{1}{n}}\left\{\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n}}r^{-3\sigma} - \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n}}r^{-3\sigma} - \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n}}r^{-3\sigma} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{64}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n}}r^{-3\sigma} - \frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n}}r^{-3\sigma} - \frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n}}r^{-3\sigma} - \frac{1}{64}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n}}r^{-3\sigma}\right\} \\ &\geq [M(r, y)]^{3+\frac{1}{n}}\frac{9}{16}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n}}r^{-3\sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

By Lemma 3, when  $\alpha = 1$ , outside a possible exception set of finite linear measure, we have

$$M(r, y'') < 2[M(r, y')]^2 < 8[M(r, y)]^4.$$

Combining the inequality (16), we have  $\frac{[M(r, y)]^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{r^{-3\sigma}} \leq \frac{128}{9}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{-(1+\frac{1}{n})} < \infty$ . Clearly, this and (4) result in a contradiction. So  $V(z) = V(z, w)$  has infinite many zeros. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2

If  $V(z)$  has finite number of zeros, then  $y(z) = \frac{1}{V(z)}$  is a transcendental meromorphic function which has only a finite number of poles. If value  $z_r$  satisfies  $M(r, y) = |y(z_r)| = \frac{1}{|V(z_r)|}$ , then for any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $K$ , by Lemma 2,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[M(r, y)]^\alpha}{r^K} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|V(z_r)|^\alpha r^K} = \infty \Rightarrow \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} |V(z_r)|^\alpha r^K = 0. \quad (17)$$

From  $y(z_r) = \frac{1}{V(z_r)}$  and (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(r, y'') &\geq |y''(z_r)| \\ &\geq |y(z_r)|^3 \{|V(z_r, w(z_r))V_w(z_r, w(z_r))w^{(2)}(z_r)| - |2V_w^2(z_r, w(z_r))[w'(z_r)]^2| - \\ &\quad |4V_w(z_r, w(z_r))V_z(z_r, w(z_r))| - |V(z_r, w(z_r))V_{ww}(z_r, w(z_r))V_w(z_r, w(z_r))| - \\ &\quad |V_z^2(z_r, w(z_r))| - |2V(z_r, w(z_r))V_{wz}(z_r, w(z_r))w'(z_r)| - |V(z_r, w(z_r))V_{zz}(z_r, w(z_r))| \\ &\geq [M(r, y)]^3 \{|V_z^2(z_r, w(z_r))| - |V(z_r)V_w(z_r, w(z_r))w''(z_r)| - |2V_w^2(z_r, w(z_r))[w'(z_r)]^2| - \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |4V_w(z_r, w(z_r))V_z(z_r, w(z_r))w'(z_r)| - |V(z_r)V_{ww}(z_r, w(z_r))[w'(z_r)]^2| - \\ & |2V(z_r)V_{wz}(z_r, w(z_r))w'(z_r)| - |V(z_r)V_{zz}(z_r, w(z_r))|. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Since  $V(z, w)$  is the prime factor of  $P(z, w)$ ,  $V(z, w)$  and  $V_z(z, w)$  are co-prime. Then there exist two polynomials  $P_1(z, w)$  and  $Q_1(z, w)$  in  $w$  and rational function  $R(z) \neq 0$  such that

$$P_1(z, w)V(z, w) + Q_1(z, w)V_z(z, w) = R(z). \quad (19)$$

When  $r$  is large enough, there exists  $b > 0$ , such that  $|R(z)| > b > 0$ .

By Lemma 1, there exists  $\tau > 0$ , such that  $|w(z_r)| \leq r^\tau$ . Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1, let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be the set that contains the eight types of polynomials of  $w$

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{P_1(z, w), Q_1(z, w), \frac{P(z, w)}{V(z, w)}, V_z(z, w), V_w(z, w), V_{zz}(z, w), V_{zw}(z, w), V_{ww}(z, w)\}.$$

Then  $\forall X(z, w) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k(z)w^k \in \mathfrak{R}$ , when  $r$  is large enough, there exists  $l$  such that  $|a_k(z_r)| < r^l$ . By Lemma 1, we have  $|w(z_r)| \leq r^\tau$ . Then there exists  $v > \tau$ , such that  $|X(z_r, w(z_r))| = |\sum_{k=1}^n a_k(z)w^k(z_r)| < r^\tau$ , namely,  $\mathfrak{R} = \{X(z, w) | |X(z_r, w(z_r))| < r^\tau\}$ . Then by  $P_1(z, w) \in \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $Q_1(z, w) \in \mathfrak{R}$ , we get  $|P_1(z_r, w(z_r))| < r^\tau$ ,  $|Q_1(z_r, w(z_r))| < r^\tau$ . By (17), we obtain

$$|P_1(z_r, w(z_r))||V(z_r)| < r^\tau |V(z_r)| < \frac{b}{2}.$$

From (19), we have  $|V_z(z_r, w(z_r))| = \frac{|R(z_r)| - |P_1(z_r, w(z_r))||V(z_r)|}{|Q_1(z_r, w(z_r))|} \geq \frac{b}{2r^v}$ . Then

$$|V_z(z_r, w(z_r))|^2 \geq \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 r^{-2v}. \quad (20)$$

Because  $Q(z)$  is rational function, when  $r$  is large enough,  $|Q(z)| < r^v$ . By  $\frac{P(z, w)}{V(z, w)} \in \mathfrak{R}$ , then  $|\frac{P(z, w)}{V(z, w)}| < r^\tau$ . Since  $w(z)$  is the transcendental meromorphic solution of algebraic differential equation (3), we have  $|w''(z_r)|^n = \frac{1}{|Q(z_r)|} \frac{|P(z_r, w(z_r))|}{|V(z_r)|} |V(z_r)| < r^{2v} |V(z_r)|$ . By (17) and  $\alpha = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $K = 4v + \frac{2v}{n}$ , when  $r$  is large enough, there is  $|V^{\frac{1}{n}}(z_r)| \leq \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 r^{-(4v + \frac{2v}{n})}$ . So we obtain  $|w''(z_r)| < \frac{1}{8} \frac{b}{2} r^{-4v} < \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 r^{-3v}$ . By  $V_w(z, w) \in \mathfrak{R}$ , then  $|V_w(z_r, w(z_r))| < r^\tau$ , also  $|V(z_r)| \leq [\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 r^{-(4v + \frac{2v}{n})}]^2 \leq 1$ , we get

$$|V(z_r)||V_w(z_r, w(z_r))||w''(z_r)| < \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 r^{-2v}. \quad (21)$$

By Lemma 4, when  $\varepsilon = 1$ , then  $|w'(z_r)| \leq M(r, w') < M^2(r, w'') = |w''(z_r)|^2 < [\frac{1}{8} \frac{b}{2} r^{-4v}]^2 < \frac{1}{64} \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 r^{-4v}$ . By  $V_w(z, w) \in \mathfrak{R}$ , then  $|V_w(z_r, w(z_r))| < r^\tau$ , and it follows

$$2|V_w^2(z_r, w(z_r))||w'(z_r)|^2 < \frac{1}{32} \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 r^{-2v}. \quad (22)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$|4V_w(z_r, w(z_r))V_z(z_r, w(z_r))w'(z_r)| < \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 r^{-2v}, \quad (23)$$

$$|2V_w^2(z_r, w(z_r))[w'(z_r)]^2| < \frac{1}{32} \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 r^{-2v}, \quad (24)$$

$$|2V(z_r)V_{wz}(z_r, w(z_r))w'(z_r)| < \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 r^{-2v}. \quad (25)$$

By  $|V(z_r)V_{zz}(z_r, w(z_r))| < [\frac{1}{8}\frac{b}{2}r^{-(4v+\frac{2v}{n})}]^n r^v$ , when  $n = 2$ , then

$$|V(z_r)V_{zz}(z_r, w(z_r))| < \frac{1}{64}(\frac{b}{2})^2 r^{-2v}. \quad (26)$$

Combining the inequalities (20)–(26) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(r, y'') &\geq [M(r, y)]^3 \left\{ (\frac{b}{2})^2 r^{-2v} - \frac{1}{8}(\frac{b}{2})^2 r^{-2v} - \frac{1}{32}(\frac{b}{2})^2 r^{-2v} - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{b}{2})^2 r^{-2v} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{64}(\frac{b}{2})^2 r^{-2v} - \frac{1}{4}(\frac{b}{2})^2 r^{-2v} - \frac{1}{64}(\frac{b}{2})^2 r^{-2v} \right\} \\ &\geq [M(r, y)]^3 \frac{1}{8}(\frac{b}{2})^2 r^{-2v}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

By Lemma 3, when  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , outside a possible exception set of finite linear measure, we have

$$M(r, y'') < 4[M(r, y')]^{\frac{3}{2}} < 4(4[M(r, y)]^{\frac{3}{2}})^{\frac{3}{2}} = 32[M(r, y)]^{\frac{9}{4}}.$$

Combining the inequality (27) gives

$$[M(r, y)]^3 \frac{1}{8}(\frac{b}{2})^2 r^{-2v} < 32[M(r, y)]^{\frac{9}{4}} \Rightarrow \frac{[M(r, y)]^{\frac{3}{4}}}{r^{2v}} < 256(\frac{b}{2})^2 \rightarrow \infty.$$

Clearly, this and (17) lead to a contradiction. So  $V(z) = V(z, w)$  has infinite many zeros. The proof of Theorem 2 is completed.  $\square$

## References

- [1] HE Yuzan, XIAO Xiuzhi. *Algebroid Function and Ordinary Differential Equations* [M]. Science Press, Beijing, 1998.
- [2] GAO Lingyun. *Algebroidal solutions of a second-order algebraic differential equation* [J]. J. Math. Res. Exposition, 2005, **25**(2): 358–362. (in Chinese)
- [3] GAO Lingyun. *Admissible meromorphic solutions of a type of higher-order algebraic differential equation* [J]. J. Math. Res. Exposition, 2003, **23**(3): 443–448.
- [4] TODA N. *On algebroid solution of some binomial different equation in the complex plane* [J]. Proc. Japan Acad. Math. Sci. Ser.A, 1988, **64**(3): 61–64.
- [5] HE Yuzan, XIAO Xiuzhi. *Admissible Solutions of Ordinary Differential Equations* [M]. Contemp. Math., 25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.
- [6] GAO Lingyun. *Some results on admissible algebroid solutions of complex differential equations* [J]. J. Systems Sci. Math. Sci., 2001, **21**(2): 213–222. (in Chinese)