

# $k$ -Walk-Regular Digraphs

Wen LIU<sup>1,\*</sup>, Jing LIN<sup>2</sup>

1. *Mathematics and Information College, Hebei Normal University, Hebei 050016, P. R. China;*

2. *School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, P. R. China*

**Abstract** In this paper, we define a class of strongly connected digraph, called the  $k$ -walk-regular digraph, study some properties of it, provide its some algebraic characterization and point out that the 0-walk-regular digraph is the same as the walk-regular digraph discussed by Liu and Lin in 2010 and the  $D$ -walk-regular digraph is identical with the weakly distance-regular digraph defined by Comellas et al in 2004.

**Keywords**  $k$ -walk-regular digraph; predistance polynomial; the crossed  $uv$ -local multiplicity.

**Document code** A

**MR(2010) Subject Classification** 05C12; 05C20; 05C50

**Chinese Library Classification** O157.5

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a strongly connected digraph, with  $V$  denoting the set of vertices and  $E$  the set of arcs. If  $(u, v) \in E$ , we say that  $u$  is adjacent to  $v$  (or  $v$  is adjacent from  $u$ ). A walk of length  $l$  in  $\Gamma$  is a sequence  $(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_l)$  of vertices such that  $(u_{i-1}, u_i) \in E$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ , and a walk is closed if its first and last vertices are the same. If the vertices in a walk are distinct, we call it a path. We say a digraph is strongly connected if any two vertices can be joined by a path. The number of arcs traversed in the shortest walk from  $u$  to  $v$  is called the distance from  $u$  to  $v$ , denoted by  $\partial(u, v)$ , and we call the value  $D := \max\{\partial(u, v) | u, v \in V\}$  the diameter of  $\Gamma$ . Notice that what we consider is the oriented graphs, so  $\partial(u, v)$  and  $\partial(v, u)$  may not be equal. For any fixed integer  $0 \leq k \leq D$ , we will denote by  $\Gamma_k^+(u)$  (respectively,  $\Gamma_k^-(u)$ ) the set of vertices at distance  $k$  from  $u$  (respectively, the set of vertices from which  $u$  is at distance  $k$ ). Sometimes it is written, for short,  $\Gamma^+(u)$  or  $\Gamma^-(u)$  instead of  $\Gamma_1^+(v)$  or  $\Gamma_1^-(v)$ , respectively. Thus the out-valency and in-valency of  $u$  are  $k_+(u) := |\Gamma^+(u)|$  and  $k_-(u) := |\Gamma^-(u)|$ . The digraph  $\Gamma$  is  $k$ -regular if  $k_+(u) = k_-(u) = k$  for every  $u \in V$ .

The adjacency matrix  $A$  and the distance- $k$  matrix  $A_k$ , where  $0 \leq k \leq D$ , of  $\Gamma$  are defined

---

Received January 5, 2010; Accepted May 28, 2010

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 10771051; 10971052), the National Natural Foundation of Hebei Province (Grant No. A2008000128), Educational Committee of Hebei Province (Grant No. 2009134) and Youth Science Foundation of Hebei Normal University (Grant No. L2008Q01).

\* Corresponding author

E-mail address: liuwen1975@126.com (W. LIU)

by

$$A_{uv} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (u, v) \in E, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(A_k)_{uv} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \partial(u, v) = k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

respectively. If  $AA^T = A^T A$ , then  $\Gamma$  is said to be normal. As for normal matrices, there are the following properties:

**Theorem 1** *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  complex matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$ . Then  $A$  is normal if and only if any of the following assertions holds:*

- (a)  $U^*AU = \Lambda$  for some matrix  $U$  such that  $UU^* = I$ , and  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$ , where  $U^*$  is the transpose of  $U$ 's conjugate;
- (b)  $A^* = p(A)$  for some polynomial  $p \in \mathbf{C}[x]$ ;
- (c)  $\text{tr}(AA^*) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\lambda_i|^2$ .

Now assume that  $A$  has  $d+1$  distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$  and  $m(x) = (x - \lambda_0)(x - \lambda_1) \cdots (x - \lambda_d)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $A$ . The spectrum of the digraph  $\Gamma$ , denoted by  $sp\Gamma$  consists of the eigenvalues of  $A$ , which might be not real since  $A$  is not symmetric, together with their (algebraic) multiplicities:

$$sp\Gamma = \{\lambda_0^{m(\lambda_0)}, \lambda_1^{m(\lambda_1)}, \dots, \lambda_d^{m(\lambda_d)}\},$$

where the superscripts stand for the multiplicities  $m_i = m(\lambda_i)$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $\lambda_0$  is the maximal eigenvalue and  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . In particular,  $m(\lambda_0) = 1$  and  $m(\lambda_0) + m(\lambda_1) + \cdots + m(\lambda_d) = n$  (for details the readers can see [1]).

By the Perron-Frobenius theorem,  $\lambda_0$  is simple and has a positive eigenvector  $\nu$ . If  $\Gamma$  is  $k$ -regular, then we may pick  $\nu = \mathbf{j}$ , where  $\mathbf{j}$  denotes the all 1-vector, and  $\lambda_0 = k$ .

The adjacency algebra of  $\Gamma$  (also called Bose-Mesner algebra when it is closed under the Hadamard-or componentwise-product) is defined by  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) := \{p(A) | p \in \mathbf{C}[x]\}$ , where  $A$  is the adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma$ . The dimension of  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ , as a  $\mathbf{C}$ -vector space, equals the degree of the minimum polynomial  $m(x)$ . It is obvious that  $\{I, A, \dots, A^d\}$  is a basis of the adjacency or Bose-Mesner algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$  and the dimension of the Bose-Mesner algebra is at least  $D+1$  since the powers  $I, A, A^2, \dots, A^D$  are linearly independent. By Theorem 1(a), we know that the eigenvectors of a normal  $n \times n$  square matrix constitute an orthogonal basis of the vector space  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , with inner product  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \mathbf{u}^* \mathbf{v}$ . For each polynomial  $p \in \mathbf{C}[x]$  we define  $p$  operates on the vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{C}^n$  by  $p\mathbf{v} = p(A)\mathbf{v}$ . For each  $\lambda_i$ , let  $U_i$  be the matrix whose columns form an orthonormal basis of the eigenspace  $V_i := \text{Ker}(A - \lambda_i I)$ . Then the orthogonal projection onto  $V_i$  is represented by the matrix  $E_i = U_i U_i^*$ , or alternatively,  $E_i = \frac{1}{\phi_i} \prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^d (A - \lambda_j I)$ , where  $\phi_i = \prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^d (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)$ . These matrices are called the principal idempotents of  $A$  and satisfy the following properties:  $E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_i$ ,  $A E_i = \lambda_i E_i$ . Also  $\{E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d\}$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ . Then we can give the orthogonal decomposition of the unitary vector  $\mathbf{e}_u$  representing vertex  $u$

as follows:

$$\mathbf{e}_u = \mathbf{z}_u^0 + \mathbf{z}_u^1 + \dots + \mathbf{z}_u^d, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{z}_u^i = E_i \mathbf{e}_u$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$ .

Throughout this paper, we assume that  $\Gamma$  is a strongly connected digraph with order  $n = |V|$ , size  $m = |E|$ , diameter  $D$ , normal adjacency matrix  $A$  and  $d + 1$  distinct eigenvalues.

## 2. Main results

**Definition 1**  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  is said to be a  $k$ -walk-regular digraph, for a given integer  $k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq D$ ), if the number of walks of length  $l$ ,  $a_{uv}^l = (A^l)_{uv}$ , from vertex  $u$  to vertex  $v$  only depends on the distance from  $u$  to  $v$ , provided that this distance does not exceed  $k$ . In this case we just denote the number by  $a_k^l$ .

Thus, in particular, the 0-walk-regular digraph coincides with the walk-regular digraph, where the number of cycles of length  $l$  rooted at a given vertex is a constant through all the digraph defined by Liu and Lin in [5] and the  $D$ -walk-regular digraph is the same as weakly distance-regular digraph defined by Comellas in [2].

For a given digraph  $\Gamma$  with adjacency matrix  $A$ , we consider the following scalar product in  $\mathbf{C}[x]$ :

$$\langle p, q \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(p(A)q(A)^*).$$

This product is well defined in the quotient ring  $\mathbf{C}[x]/(m(x))$ , where  $(m(x))$  is the ideal generated by the minimum polynomial of  $\Gamma$ ,  $m(x)$ .

**Proposition 1** If  $\Gamma$  is a normal digraph with spectrum  $sp\Gamma$  defined above, then we have

$$\langle p, q \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(p(A)q(A)^*) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^d m_k(p(\lambda_k)\overline{q(\lambda_k)}).$$

**Proof**  $A$  can be diagonalized by means of a unitary matrix, that is,  $U^*AU = D$  for some matrix  $U$  such that  $U^*U = I$  and  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p, q \rangle &= \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(p(A)q(A)^*) = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(Up(\Lambda)U^*(Uq(\Lambda)U^*)^*) = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(Up(\Lambda)U^*Uq(\Lambda)^*U^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(Up(\Lambda)\overline{q(\Lambda)}U^*) = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(p(\Lambda)\overline{q(\Lambda)}U^*U) = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(p(\Lambda)\overline{q(\Lambda)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^d m_k(p(\lambda_k)\overline{q(\lambda_k)}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $1, x, x^2, \dots, x^d$  are linearly independent in  $\mathbf{C}_d[x]$ , then by using the Gram-Schmidt method and normalizing appropriately, one can immediately prove the existence and the uniqueness of an orthogonal system of polynomials  $\{p_k\}_{0 \leq k \leq d}$  called predistance polynomials which, for any  $0 \leq h, k \leq d$ , satisfy:

- (1)  $dgr(p_k) = k$ ;
- (2)  $\langle p_h, p_k \rangle = 0$ , if  $h \neq k$ ;
- (3)  $\|p_k\|^2 = p_k(\lambda_0)$ .

Recall that, in a weakly distance-regular digraph, we have  $D = d$  and such polynomials satisfy  $p_k(A) = A_k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq d$ ), where  $A_k$  stands for the distance- $k$  matrix.

From the decomposition (1) we define the crossed  $uv$ -local multiplicity of eigenvalue  $\lambda_k$  as  $m_{uv}(\lambda_k) = (E_k)_{uv}$  (which is similar to the definition in [3]). Furthermore,

$$m_{uv}(\lambda_k) = (E_k)_{uv} = \langle E_k \mathbf{e}_u, \mathbf{e}_v \rangle = \langle E_k \mathbf{e}_u, E_k \mathbf{e}_v \rangle = \langle \mathbf{z}_u^k, \mathbf{z}_v^k \rangle, \quad u, v \in V.$$

Now, for a given  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq d$ , if the crossed  $uv$ -local multiplicities of  $\lambda_h$ ,  $m_{uv}(\lambda_h)$ , only depend on the distance from  $u$  to  $v$ , provided that  $\partial(u, v) = i \leq k$ , we denote  $m_{uv}(\lambda_h) \triangleq m_{ih}$ .

**Theorem 2** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a strongly connected normal digraph with predistance polynomials  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_d$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\Gamma$  is  $k$ -walk-regular;
- (ii) The  $uv$ -local multiplicities of  $\lambda_h$ ,  $m_{uv}(\lambda_h)$ , only depend on the distance from  $u$  to  $v$ , provided that  $\partial(u, v) = i \leq k$ , that is,  $m_{uv}(\lambda_h) = m_{ih}$ .

**Proof** Since  $A^l$  can be expressed as a linear combination of the idempotents  $E_k : A^l = \sum_{h=0}^d \lambda_h^l E_h$ , we have that the number of walks  $a_{uv}^l$  can be computed in terms of the crossed  $uv$ -local multiplicities as

$$a_{uv}^l = (A^l)_{uv} = \sum_{h=0}^d \lambda_h^l (E_h)_{uv} = \sum_{h=0}^d m_{uv}(\lambda_h) \lambda_h^l.$$

Then if  $m_{uv}(\lambda_h) = m_{ih}$  for any  $u, v \in V$  such that  $\partial(u, v) = i \leq k$ , and  $l \geq 0$ ,  $a_{uv}^l = \sum_{h=0}^d m_{ih} \lambda_h^l$  is independent of  $u, v$ , provided that  $\partial(u, v) = i \leq k$  and  $\Gamma$  is  $k$ -walk-regular.

Conversely, the crossed  $uv$ -local multiplicities are  $m_{uv}(\lambda_h) = (E_h)_{uv} = (P_h(A))_{uv}$ , where  $P_h(A) = \frac{1}{\phi_h} \prod_{j=0, j \neq h}^d (A - \lambda_j I)$ . If  $\Gamma$  is  $k$ -walk-regular, we have that there are constant  $uv$ -entries for  $I, A, A^2, \dots$ , provided that  $\partial(u, v) \leq k$ . Observe that  $P_h(A)$  is a polynomial of  $A$ , so we have that  $m_{uv}(\lambda_h)$  is a constant independent of  $u, v$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a strongly connected normal digraph with predistance polynomials  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_d$ . If  $\Gamma$  is  $k$ -walk-regular, then  $p_i(A) = A_i$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq k$ .*

**Proof** Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a  $k$ -walk-regular digraph. The number of walks with length  $i$  from vertex  $u$  to vertex  $v$  at distance  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq k$ ) is  $a_{uv}^l = a_i^l$  (a constant). Hence

$$A^l = a_0^l I + a_1^l A + a_2^l A^2 + \dots + a_i^l A_i, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k, \quad (8)$$

where, necessarily,  $a_i^i \neq 0$  and as already mentioned,  $a_j^i = 0$  for any  $j > i$ . In matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} I \\ A \\ A^2 \\ \vdots \\ A^k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0^0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a_0^1 & a_1^1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a_0^2 & a_1^2 & a_2^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_0^k & a_1^k & a_2^k & a_3^k & \dots & a_k^k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I \\ A \\ A_2 \\ \vdots \\ A_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

where  $C := (a_i^l)$  is a lower triangular matrix. Since  $a_i^i > 0$  for any  $0 \leq i \leq k$ , the matrix  $C$  is non-singular and its inverse  $C^{-1}$  is also a lower triangular matrix. Hence  $A_i$  is a polynomial, say,  $q_i$  of degree of  $i$  in  $A$  for any  $0 \leq i \leq k$ :

$$A_i = q_i(A) = \alpha_0^i I + \alpha_1^i A + \alpha_2^i A^2 + \cdots + \alpha_k^i A^k, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k, \quad (10)$$

where  $\alpha_i^i \neq 0$ . These polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the scalar product since

$$\langle q_i, q_j \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(q_i(A)q_j(A)^*) = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(A_i A_j^T) = 0, \quad i \neq j.$$

Moreover, notice that  $A_i \mathbf{j} = q_i(A) \mathbf{j} = q_i(\lambda_0) \mathbf{j}$  since  $\mathbf{j}$  is an eigenvector of  $\lambda_0$ , it is easy to see the number of vertices at distance  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq k$ , from a given vertex  $u$  is a constant through all the digraph:  $n_i = |\Gamma_i^+(u)| = q_i(\lambda_0)$  for every  $u \in V$ . Thus

$$\|q_i\|^2 = \langle q_i, q_i \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(q_i(A)q_i(A)^*) = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(A_i A_i^T) = q_i(\lambda_0).$$

Therefore, the obtained polynomials are, in fact, the (pre)distance polynomials  $q_i = p_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq k$ , for the uniqueness of the predistance polynomials.  $\square$

From the result above it is immediate to have

**Proposition 3** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $k$ -walk-regular digraph and a strongly connected normal digraph. Then the number of vertices at distance  $k$  from any given vertex is equal to  $p_k(\lambda_0)$ , for each  $k = 0, 1, \dots, t$ .*

**Proof** By the Proposition 2 we have that  $A_i = p_i(A)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$  and  $\Gamma$  is a  $\lambda_0$ -regular digraph. Thus we have that  $\mathbf{j} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$ . Consequently,  $A_k \mathbf{j} = p_k(A) \mathbf{j} = p_k(\lambda_0) \mathbf{j}$ , which implies that  $n_k = p_k(\lambda_0)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, t$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a strongly connected normal digraph with predistance polynomials  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_d$ . Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\Gamma$  is  $k$ -walk-regular;
- (ii)  $(p_j(A))_{uv} = 0$ , for  $k+1 \leq j \leq d$ ,  $\partial(u, v) = i \leq k$ .

**Proof** If  $\Gamma$  is  $k$ -walk-regular, then

$$(p_i(A)E_h)_{uu} = p_i(\lambda_h)(E_h)_{uu} = p_i(\lambda_h)m_{uu}(\lambda_h) = p_i(\lambda_h) \cdot m_{0h},$$

for any  $h$  with  $0 \leq h \leq d$ . But if  $\partial(u, v) = i \leq k$ , we have already known that  $p_i(A) = A_i$  and then

$$\begin{aligned} (p_i(A)E_h)_{uu} &= (A_i E_h)_{uu} = \sum_{v \in V} (A_i)_{uv} (E_h)_{vu} = \sum_{v \in V} (A_i)_{uv} (\overline{E_h})_{uv} \\ &= \sum_{v \in V} (A_i)_{uv} \overline{(E_h)_{uv}} = \sum_{v \in \Gamma_i^+(u)} \overline{m_{uv}(\lambda_h)} = n_i \overline{m_{ih}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the invariance of the crossed local multiplicities  $m_{uv}(\lambda_h) = m_{ih}$ , and the

number of vertices at distance  $i$  from any given vertex  $n_i = p_i(\lambda_0)$ . So

$$m_{ih} = \frac{\overline{m_h p_i(\lambda_h)}}{np_i(\lambda_0)}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k, \quad 0 \leq h \leq d.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (p_j(A))_{uv} &= \sum_{h=0}^d p_j(\lambda_h)(E_h)_{uv} = \sum_{h=0}^d p_j(\lambda_h)m_{ih} = \frac{1}{np_i(\lambda_0)} \sum_{h=0}^d m_h p_j(\lambda_h) \overline{p_i(\lambda_h)} \\ &= \frac{1}{np_i(\lambda_0)} \langle p_j, p_i \rangle = 0, \quad i \leq k < j. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that (ii) holds and for every  $h$ ,  $0 \leq h \leq d$ . Now we consider the expression of  $P_h = \sum_{j=0}^d \beta_{hj} p_j$ , where  $\beta_{hj}$  is the coefficient of  $P_h$  in terms of  $p_j$ . If  $\partial(u, v) = i \leq k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{uv}(\lambda_h) &= (E_h)_{uv} = (P_h(A))_{uv} = \sum_{j=0}^d \beta_{hj} (p_j(A))_{uv} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_{hj} (A_j)_{uv} + \sum_{j=k+1}^d \beta_{hj} (p_j(A))_{uv} = \beta_{hi}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the crossed multiplicities,  $m_{uv}(\lambda_h) = \beta_{hi}$ , only depend on the distance from  $u$  to  $v$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] GODSIL C, ROYLE G. *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Springer Verlag [M]. New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2004.
- [2] COMELLAS F, FIOL M A, GIMBERT J, et al. *Weakly distance-regular digraphs* [J]. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 2004, **90**(2): 233–255.
- [3] FIOL M A, GARRIGA E. *Spectral and geometric properties of  $k$ -walk-regular graph* [J]. Elec. Notes in Discrete Math., 2007, **29**: 333–337.
- [4] DAMERELL R M. *Distance-transitive and distance-regular digraphs* [J]. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 1981, **31**(1): 46–53.
- [5] LIU Wen, LIN Jing. *Walk regular digraphs* [J]. Ars Combin., 2010, **95**: 97–102.